

The State Board of Regents met on Saturday, November 5, 1988, at the University of Iowa. The following were in attendance:

Members of the State Board of Regents

Mr. Pomerantz, President
Mr. Duchon
Mr. Fitzgibbon (excused)
Mr. Greig
Dr. Harris
Mr. Tyler
Miss VanEkeren
Mr. VanGilst
Mrs. Williams

Office of the State Board of Regents

Mr. Richey
Director Eisenhauer
Director Wright
Associate Director Jensen
Associate Director Kading
Minutes Secretary Briggle

State University of Iowa

President Rawlings
Vice President Phillips
Acting Vice President Vernon

Iowa State University

Executive Vice President McCandless
Provost Glick
Vice President Madden
Vice President Thielen
Assistant to President Bradley

University of Northern Iowa

President Curris
Provost Martin
Vice President Conner
Vice President Follon
Assistant to President Geadelmann
Director Chilcott

Iowa School for the Deaf

Superintendent Johnson
Business Manager Ahrens

Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School

Superintendent Thurman
Director Hauser

GENERAL

The following business pertaining to general or miscellaneous business was transacted on Saturday, November 5, 1988.

OPERATING BUDGET REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEARS 1990 AND 1991. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) approve final Board of Regents operating appropriation requests of \$443,325,000 for fiscal year 1990 and \$476,988,000 for fiscal year 1991 with the understanding that the fiscal year 1989 budget ceiling adjustments approved by the Board at the October 20, 1988, meeting will be reflected in the base; (2) approve final requests for Special Purpose Appropriations for fiscal year 1990 and fiscal year 1991; (3) approve revisions to the Board Office appropriation request approved at the October meeting to include \$10,683 for fiscal year 1990 and \$10,883 for fiscal year 1991 to reflect state audit and unfunded health insurance costs; and (4) consider the information on teaching assistants as requested by Regent Fitzgibbon.

Highlights of the revised recommendations by the Board Office are enumerated below:

1. In accordance with the agreement by the Board at the time the organizational audit began that any savings identified will be reallocated internally based on Board priorities, the fiscal year 1989 revised operating budget serves as the base for the budget requests.
2. The salary increases included are only for the full year cost of salary and benefit increases occurring during fiscal year 1989 (annualization) and for merit step increases for General Service Staff (merit) and the equivalent amounts for professional and scientific and faculty employees.
3. Price inflation was recommended at the level requested by the institutions (5 percent for equipment, building renewal and supplies; 14 percent for library books).
4. Utilities recommendations cover price inflation and debt service requirements to the extent these requirements are in accordance with the agreement made with the Governor and General Assembly at the time the bond

financings were approved for the University of Iowa and Iowa State University. Increases for the University of Northern Iowa are for price inflation.

5. Funding is provided for buildings opened during fiscal years 1989, 1990 and 1991. No funding is provided for buildings opening before fiscal year 1989. A custodial staff ratio of 25,000 gross square feet per custodian was assumed by the Board Office.
6. Improving Educational Quality requests were considered on the individual merit of each request. Highest consideration was given to the needs for undergraduate education, minority recruitment, library acquisition, library automation, computerization, and educational equipment.
7. The Board Office corrected the formula used in making recommendations for undergraduate education. The formula was revised to use FTE enrollment resident undergraduate increases rather than headcount and to use a projected 1988-89 unit cost. The funding was recommended to be phased-in over four years.
8. "Equity Funding" was not recommended as requested by the University of Northern Iowa because it does not have as many nonresident students as the University of Iowa or Iowa State University.
9. Library automation was recommended at approximately two-thirds of institutional requests, therefore, requiring internal reallocations by the universities to meet the other portion. The Board Office also encouraged the library administrators to pursue interinstitutional cooperative efforts.
10. In recognition of the need to improve institutional financial management systems to comply with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), the Board Office recommended partial funding of institutional requests.
11. Institutional requests to recoup ground lost the last few years due to "past funding deficiencies" were not recommended by the Board Office. While the impact of these "deterioration of base budget" needs is recognized, the institutions will need to continue the internal reallocations which have been necessary to meet critical needs.

The Board Office recommendations were revised following discussion at the previous Board meeting to provide for appropriation increases of \$39.2 million in fiscal year 1990 and \$33.7 million in fiscal year 1991.

The total appropriation recommendation was \$443,325,000 in fiscal year 1990 and \$476,988,000 in fiscal year 1991. The recommendations resulted in a

9.7 percent increase in appropriations for fiscal year 1990 and a 7.6 percent increase in fiscal year 1991 without general salary increases.

The appropriation recommendations result in a total general fund operating budget of approximately \$805 million in fiscal year 1990 and \$840 million in fiscal year 1991. The recommendations result in a 6 percent increase for fiscal year 1990 and an additional 4 percent increase for fiscal year 1991.

The Board will consider Regent Van Gilst's student aid setaside proposal, currently being reviewed by a priority committee, at the November meeting in conjunction with the tuition docket item.

The Special Purpose appropriation recommendation for the Small Business Development Centers at Iowa State University was increased by \$687,295 to the center's request of \$1,512,295. This change was in response to comments made at the Board meeting.

Revisions were made to the recommended institutional requests for both fiscal year 1990 and fiscal year 1991. They include adjustments to the University of Northern Iowa and Iowa School for the Deaf salary recommendations to make them comparable to other schools.

University of Northern Iowa

All institutional requests and Board Office recommendations include annualization amounts for faculty and professional and scientific staff analogous to Regent merit staff and staff in other state agencies. The University of Northern Iowa failed to include this item for certain of its employees due to a conflict in instructions. This error was corrected. The revised figures increase the fiscal year 1990 salary recommendation by \$396,000 and the fiscal year 1991 salary recommendation by \$414,000 for the institution.

The University of Northern Iowa also failed to include in its fiscal year 1990 request the \$91,000 of increased health insurance costs being paid through the state's reserve fund in fiscal year 1989. Since the university will need to pay those costs, they should be included in the fiscal year 1990 appropriation request. These two changes will make the University of Northern Iowa salary annualization request comparable to the other four institutions.

Iowa School for the Deaf

The cost of salary annualization and benefit increase for fiscal year 1991 based on current salary policies generally results in about a 2 percent increase in fiscal year 1991 over the fiscal year 1990 request. However, the original Iowa School for the Deaf request represented over a 9 percent increase. After discussions with school administrators the Board Office

recommended that the Iowa School for the Deaf fiscal year 1991 appropriation be revised to provide a \$91,000 increase over fiscal year 1990 rather than the \$409,000 originally requested and recommended. It appears that most of the difference is due to double-counting of costs in the fiscal year 1991 request.

Board Office

The Board Office appropriation recommendation approved at the October Board meeting failed to include \$10,683 for state audit and unfunded health insurance costs for fiscal year 1990 and \$10,883 for fiscal year 1991. This error should be corrected by approving the addition of these funds.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

Iowa State University

Iowa State University changed its priorities for two of its projects (Intensive Livestock Research and Advanced Teaching Research Facility in Engineering). This change does not change the total dollar figures of the recommendations, but involves the switching of one project for another as originally recommended by the Board Office in October.

University of Iowa

Estimates for construction of the first phase of the proposed Pharmacy Addition were refined by the institution. As a result, the Board Office recommended an additional \$600,000 be added to the original 1991 recommendation of \$10 million for construction on Phase I of the project. Total recommended for planning and Phase I construction is \$11.2 million. Total project is estimated to cost \$20.5 million excluding equipment. As estimates relating to Phase II of the project are refined, the Board will be advised.

Mr. Richey stated that the result of the revised recommendations is a 9.8 percent increase in appropriations the first year and a 7.6 percent increase in appropriations the second year. Those figures do not include general state salary policy. Mr. Richey explained the revised table entitled "Schedule 1".

Regent VanGilst stated that it appeared that on Opening New Buildings the institutions were being told that for funding needs not addressed in prior years they would have to absorb it. He questioned whether that was telling the legislature it doesn't have to fund costs of opening new buildings. Last year they requested funding to open certain buildings and they did not receive those funds. He questioned whether they were now not going to ask for it.

Mr. Richey said that there are many unmet needs of the institutions for which the Board is not requesting funds such as unfunded price inflation and backlogs of other unfunded items such as opening new buildings, state salary policies, and unfunded health insurance.

Mr. Richey discussed the proposed funding request for line items falling within the Improving Educational Quality category.

Regent Duchen asked for an explanation of the line item "faculty start-up". Vice President Madden responded that, in the case of Iowa State University, when they are attempting to recruit new faculty it becomes necessary to deal with items such as renovation of laboratory space and acquisition of equipment. University officials are finding these packages cost \$250,000 to \$500,000 to attract the scientists they would like to attract.

Regent Harris asked how university officials deal with the problem this creates for those faculty members who are currently doing research in inadequate facilities.

Vice President Glick said that in some cases the equipment requested can be shared with others. In addition to that, he said their faculty believes that the future of the university and their own well being is based on attracting and hiring top young faculty.

Vice President Vernon said the problem is that they don't have the up-front money. To recruit a senior chemist it costs as much as \$1,500,000 to \$2 million to bring someone in who might also bring other people with them to continue work on grants. The absence of up-front money makes it impossible to get world-class people.

Regent Duchen said he did not see how the universities can possibly go comfortably through two fiscal years without any funding to address faculty start-up costs.

Mr. Richey stated that they can only ask for so much. He said they did put a lot of money in the budget for computers, equipment and undergraduate education. The Board's policy on faculty salaries would also have a substantial effect on their ability to recruit.

Regent Tyler stated that for Fiscal Year 1989 state appropriations comprised 26 percent of revenue at the University of Iowa, 41 percent at Iowa State University and 50 percent at the University of Northern Iowa.

President Pomerantz stated that there are so many constraints and only so many sources of funds. He hoped that through the process of better information, the organizational audit and the budget revisions they could find a way to get more appropriate funding. The budget speaks to it in an authoritative way.

GENERAL
November 5, 1988

They need to get on with a more honest way of dealing with the numbers required and the budget process.

Regent VanGilst referred to the unfunded \$300,000 request for Livestock and asked if that is the standing appropriation of \$300,000 for disease research.

Vice President Madden said the \$300,000 is for agriculture extension. He said it was a request by Cooperative Extension to develop a program with the agricultural industry.

Mr. Richey said the appropriation increases recommended result in the general university budget at the University of Iowa increasing 10.8 percent, Iowa State University is up 10.3 percent and University of Northern Iowa is up 11 percent. When we get through with the salary policy next spring he said, if everything else stays the same, the University of Northern Iowa would edge up even more on its percentage of revenues received by appropriation. More of their faculty salary increases would be funded from appropriations.

✓ President Rawlings stated that University of Iowa officials have worked long and hard with the Board Office on the budget request. They made several changes and adjustments. He felt that the figures presented to the Board reflected accurately the priorities of the University of Iowa. Within the overall context of the budget he said the Board Office has been responsive to their requests. The one item which remained a concern is some facilities help for the College of Engineering. He said that was probably not going to be recognized in this budget. It had been a tough job on campus to prioritize all their needs. He wanted to continue to remind the Board that the College of Engineering is a high priority and an area of critical facilities needs.

Vice President Phillips stated that if they are successful in carrying forward with the budget it will take them a long way toward meeting the needs on campus. She expressed their appreciation to the Board Office staff.

Vice President Glick stated that Iowa State University officials have also been working closely with the Board Office over the past two weeks. They changed positions to best achieve their priorities.

President Curris stated that University of Northern Iowa officials have enjoyed an excellent working relationship with the Board Office staff. They are pleased with the efforts made to fine tune the budgets. He said one issue he needed to address deals with equity funding. It was recommended by the Board last year but staff recommended they not endorse it this year. He said the University of Northern Iowa had asked for \$1.2 million in equity funding. The Board adopted a request for \$1 million to reflect that request. The General Assembly appropriated \$460,000 for those purposes. Their request for this year was for the remainder of that amount. He was very sorely disappointed that was not what was recommended to the Board. He said the

University of Northern Iowa has never received from the General Assembly an amount equal to what it requested. He said the issue that causes him to bring forth this request is not a feeling that overall the request for funding is not adequate but was rather a matter of credibility, trust and consistency. He expressed concern that that which was recommended last year by the Board was not worthy of recommendation this year. He encouraged the Board to send a message back to the campus that they continue to support those things they previously supported. He asked the Board to not accept the recommendation of the Board Office but to take the stand they supported last year. He encouraged them to stay the course and try to resolve this issue by reaffirming the advocacy of the University of Northern Iowa.

Superintendent Johnson stated the he appreciated the support of Board Office staff and the special attention they gave to the Iowa School for the Deaf utility situation.

Superintendent Thurman stated that he appreciated the Board Office recommendation and agreed that reallocation will probably meet the rest of the needs of the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School.

Regent Harris asked Mr. Richey to respond to the comments made by President Curris concerning equity funding for the University of Northern Iowa.

Mr. Richey responded that the recommendation before the Board last year assumed a certain policy. That was not the basis upon which the University of Northern Iowa made its request this year. It had requested equity funding based upon the fact that it did not have as many non-resident students. He said the proposal for the equity review also has an underlying premise and the Board Office staff have not accepted that premise. The Board Office recommendation for resident undergraduate students overwhelmingly favors the University of Northern Iowa.

Regent VanGilst asked if the University of Northern Iowa receives additional money represented by the tuition increase or do they get funding in the undergraduate recommendation that the others do not get?

Mr. Richey said the fact that the University of Northern Iowa has a significantly larger share of its costs paid by appropriations indicates there has been an allowance made for the fact that they have fewer non-resident students.

Regent VanGilst asked if that had been under the same formula would they get more money?

Mr. Richey said that if they had taken additional non-resident students they would have received the same increase in tuition revenue as University of Iowa

GENERAL
November 5, 1988

and Iowa State University. Their resident undergraduate students are funded on a basis similar to the University of Iowa and Iowa State University.

Regent VanGilst questioned whether it costs more to teach a non-resident student and if they would have non-resident students they would have gotten more money.

Mr. Richey said that the unit cost formulas at all three universities shows University of Northern Iowa to be \$200 greater than the University of Iowa but \$400 lower than Iowa State University's unit cost.

President Pomerantz said he has a problem with the logic that says some factor in an institutions' appropriation request is not fair to other institutions. They are unique institutions. He said it is unfair to say they want to be like the other institutions just because it means more money. They can't have it both ways. The obligation of the Board should be to make sure the institutions each receive the most appropriate level of funds to serve its needs and not to engage in fictitious mechanics in order to increase the funding. He said his view is that if they need more money at the University of Northern Iowa they should evaluate it on the basis of their needs. He questioned why they should subject this process to a logic that is fallacious.

President Curris stated that how an institution spends its money is not the same issue as whether it receives the amount. He said the University of Northern Iowa places an emphasis on undergraduate education. He asked that they not confuse unit cost with the issue of adequate equitable funding. President Curris said their request was for a continuation of what the Board approved last year. University of Northern Iowa officials are not particularly interested in making this a divisive issue. If the legislature would fund the institutions exactly as what they asked for, then every institution would be at 100 percent of its need. Their big problem is not the request for money but the issue of credibility.

President Pomerantz asked if the Board were to reconstruct the budget and take an amount of money from another line item for the University of Northern Iowa and put it into an equity line item would university officials be satisfied?

President Curris said they want to be treated fairly. If that means the Board would make reductions in other line items then so be it. Credibility is the issue he said he was concerned with.

President Pomerantz stated that in terms of the Board Office recommendation the University of Northern Iowa has an 11 percent increase, University of Iowa a 10.8 percent increase and Iowa State University a 10.3 percent increase. He said that is not including the salary item. Because there are significant increases each year their obligation is to convince the Governor and the legislature that these are not frivolous requests. This year they really need

to fund the institutions to speak to catch-up needs to address the reversions of a few years ago. He said the issue is lack of funds and they need to get on with aggressively pursuing appropriations.

President Pomerantz said they could debate equity for a long time. He felt it was an honest difference of opinion. He said that to the Board members it isn't a matter of the Board's credibility. They are trying to address in a forthright way the issue of appropriation requests in order to move the universities on toward their goals. It isn't meant to be a personality problem but a genuine difference of opinion. He said he understood the position they were putting university representatives in. They are speaking to a different logic from last year which he felt was not an unreasonable request. Circumstances and conditions change. He said he continues to be impressed with university officials' ability to speak frankly to the legislature and the Board. He said he had much confidence in the ability of President Curris and his representatives to handle those issues.

Mr. Richey stated that two other items of concern were the student aid proposal of Regent VanGilst and the answer to the question of Regent Fitzgibbon regarding teaching assistants. He said those will be addressed at the next meeting.

President Pomerantz stated that there are a tremendous number of teaching assistants utilized at the Regent universities. In some colleges it is causing great concern. He hoped that in the context of better budgeting university officials take appropriate steps on a timely basis to make sure that students are being taught by the best instructors they can bring together. He emphasized that this is a critical issue. One of the issues in the minds of the people of this state is that their children get the best instruction possible.

MOTION:

Regent Williams moved to (1) approve final Board of Regents operating appropriation requests of \$443,325,000 for fiscal year 1990 and \$476,988,000 for fiscal year 1991 with the understanding that the fiscal year 1989 budget ceiling adjustments approved by the Board at the October 20, 1988, meeting will be reflected in the base; (2) approve final requests for Special Purpose Appropriations for fiscal year 1990 and fiscal year 1991; (3) approve revisions to the Board Office appropriation request approved at the October meeting to include \$10,683 for fiscal year 1990 and \$10,883 for fiscal year 1991 to reflect state audit and unfunded health insurance costs; and (4) consider the

GENERAL
November 5, 1988

information on teaching assistants as requested by Regent Fitzgibbon. Regent VanGilst seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Regent Greig, who participated in this meeting through the use of a speaker telephone, stated he agreed with President Pomerantz's comments regarding equity funding for the University of Northern Iowa. He said the organizational audit will clear that matter up. He said he thoroughly agreed with Regent Fitzgibbon's concern about the number of graduate students teaching classes. He noted that a lot of the temporary instructors are very good. He said the whole idea of having a special budget meeting is good. He suggested that next year the Board Office send out the section of the docket materials on budgets ahead of the regular docket items, and allow three to four hours of meeting time to discuss budgets. He said he learned a lot this year about budgets.

Regent Tyler said he hoped that the Board Office staff and the institutional officials felt it was good to have the amount of discussion they had concerning the budgets. He said he felt more comfortable voting on budgets than he did at the previous meeting. It points out the need for more time for budget discussions next year.

Regent Williams stated that there needs to be time for the institutions to respond to the Board Office recommendation on budgets and the Board Office to rework the figures according to their discussions with the institutions. She stated that even if it does mean a special meeting she was willing to meet in a special meeting.

Mr. Richey stated that for the first time since he has been with the Board Office the initial budget request was not accompanied by a Board Office recommendation. He felt that was one reason the extra meeting was required.

President Pomerantz said he agreed with Regent Williams' comments about giving the institutions a chance to comment and the Board Office an opportunity to revise the recommendation.

CAPITAL BUDGET REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEARS 1990 AND 1991. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) approve the revised capital recommendations prepared by the Board Office totaling \$58,025,000 in fiscal year 1990 and \$50,150,000 in fiscal year 1991 and (2) approve the revised priority listing of capital requests for fiscal years 1990 and 1991.

The only changes in this revised capital improvement request for fiscal years 1990 and 1991 were to revert back to the original Board Office recommendation in October in regard to the Intensive Livestock Research Facility and the Advanced Teaching and Research Facility in Engineering, both for Iowa State

University and with which the university now concurs, as well as an additional \$600,000 to the proposed Pharmacy Building Addition at the University of Iowa in fiscal year 1991.

The Board Office recommended a revised capital program of \$58,025,000 in fiscal year 1990 and \$50,150,000 in fiscal year 1991. In developing the recommendation, the Board Office reviewed the institutions' requests presented at the October 19 and 20 Board meeting.

In reevaluating the capital program for fiscal years 1990 and 1991 a major shift was recommended from one fiscal year to the other to ensure the Regent priorities receive maximum funding and to balance the request between the two years.

The following projects were recommended to shift from fiscal year 1991 to fiscal year 1990:

1. Business/Classroom Building construction at the University of Iowa in the amount of \$22,000,000;
2. Sweeney Hall Construction at Iowa State University in the amount of \$4,000,000; and,
3. Library Addition and Wright Hall construction at the University of Northern Iowa in the amount of \$6,000,000 and \$2,500,000, respectively.

The Board Office recommendation by institution is as follows:

Board Office Recommendation
(000s omitted)

<u>Institution</u>	<u>1990</u>	<u>1991</u>	<u>Total</u>
University of Iowa	\$29,025	\$20,400	\$ 49,425
Iowa State University	16,800*	28,800*	45,600*
University of Northern Iowa	11,900	850	12,750*
Iowa School for the Deaf	300	100	400
Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School	0	0	0
TOTAL	\$58,025*	\$50,150*	\$108,175*

* Net increase will be \$102,175 after use of \$3 million each year from Iowa State University base operating budget for Agronomy Equipment and Livestock Units for Swine and Cattle Research.

The only additional dollars recommended in this revised budget are for Wright Hall Remodeling at the University of Northern Iowa in the amount of

GENERAL
November 5, 1988

\$2,500,000, and an additional \$600,000 for the proposed Pharmacy Building Addition construction at the University of Iowa in 1991. All other changes are in priorities.

STATE BOARD OF REGENTS
PRIORITY OF CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR THE UNIVERSITIES
FISCAL YEARS 1990 AND 1991
(000s Omitted)

Priorities	Instit.	Project	Fiscal Year 1990	Fiscal Year 1991	Total Project
Orig.	Rev.				
1	1	All Fire and Environmental Safety	\$1,250	\$1,250	\$2,500
2	2	All Deferred Maintenance	2,500	2,500	\$5,000
3	3	UNI Completion of Power Plant Replacement (Utilities)	1,100		\$1,100
4	4	UNI Classroom/Office Building (Equipment)	1,000		\$1,000
5	5	SUI Academic Building (Planning & New Construction)	23,100		\$23,100
--	6	ISU Livestock Units--Swine and Cattle	2,000 *	3,550 *	\$5,550 *
8	7	ISU Gilman Hall (Remodeling)	6,500		\$6,500
--	8	ISU Laboratory Animal Facilities (Remodeling)	1,000	1,000	\$2,000
10	9	UNI Library Addition (New Construction)	6,350		\$6,350
13	10	UNI Wright Hall (Remodeling)	2,700		\$2,700
11	11	SUI Maclean Hall (Remodeling)	1,275		\$1,275
9	12	ISU Intensive Livestock Research--Planning	500	18,000	\$18,500
17	13	SUI Water Plant Chlorine Contact Basin (Utilities)	250		\$250
18	14	SUI East Campus Electrical Loop J (Utilities)	1,000		\$1,000
19	15	SUI Water Treatment Plant (Utilities)		2,500	\$2,500
14	16	ISU Sweeney Hall (Planning & New Construction)	4,300		\$4,300
--	17	ISU Agronomy Equipment	1,000 *		\$1,000 *
15	18	SUI Pharmacy Building Addition (Planning & New Construction)	600	10,600	\$11,200
--	19	ISU Utilities--Electric Distribution System		2,000	\$2,000
12	20	SUI Schaeffer Hall (Planning and Remodeling)	300	4,800	\$5,100
6	21	ISU Meat Laboratory Addition		2,000	\$2,000
20	22	SUI Remodel International/Continuing Education Center (Old Law Building)	1,000	1,000	\$2,000
21	23	UNI Health, Physical Education, Wellness		100	\$100
--	24	ISU Advanced Teaching and Research--Engineering (Planning and Construction)		750	\$750
TOTAL			\$57,725*	\$50,050*	\$107,775*

* Net increase will be \$102,775,000 after use of \$3 million each year from Iowa State University base operating budget for Agronomy Equipment and Livestock Units for Swine and Cattle Research.

STATE BOARD OF REGENTS
PRIORITY OF CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR THE SPECIAL SCHOOLS
FISCAL YEARS 1990 AND 1991
(000s Omitted)

Priority	Instit.	Project	Project Amount	Cumulative Total
1	ISD	Visual Warning System	\$50	
2	ISD	Recreation Building (Planning)	75	\$125
3	ISD	Dormitory Remodeling	100	\$225
4	ISD	Water/Sewer/Steam Line Replacement (Planning)	50	\$275
5	ISD	Roof Replacement	75	\$350
6	ISD	Telephone System	50	\$400
TOTAL			\$400 *	

* Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School has requested no capitals at this time.

Eighty-four percent of the Board Office recommendation consists of projects that will construct or remodel undergraduate classroom and research laboratory space.

<u>Board Office Recommendation</u> (000s omitted)		
<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>% of Total</u>
Undergraduate Education	\$ 52,175	48%
Research	39,250	36%
Fire and Environmental Safety	2,500	2%
Deferred Maintenance	5,000	5%
Utilities	6,850	7%
Continuing Education	2,000	2%
Special Schools (ISD)	<u>400</u>	<u>--</u>
 TOTAL	 \$108,175 *	 100%

* Net increase will be \$102,175 after use of \$3 million each year from Iowa State University base operating budget for Agronomy Equipment and Livestock Units for Swine and Cattle Research.

MOTION:

Regent Tyler moved to (1) approve the revised capital recommendations prepared by the Board Office totaling \$58,025,000 in fiscal year 1990 and \$50,150,000 in fiscal year 1991 and (2) approve the revised priority listing of capital requests for fiscal years 1990 and 1991. Regent Harris seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

President Pomerantz stated that the Governor's budget hearing is scheduled for December 14 from 9:00 to 11:00 a.m., which is the first day of the Board meeting in Des Moines. He said he assumed they will all be in the budget hearing.

VISITATION SCHEDULES OF EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report.

The Education Appropriations Subcommittee has scheduled its annual visit to some of the Regents institutions. The first visit is at 9:30 a.m. on November 10 at Iowa State University in Room 209 of Beardshear Hall. The subcommittee plans to hold a public hearing in the afternoon.

A visit has been scheduled to the University of Iowa at 9:30 a.m. on November 17 (the second day of the November Board meeting). The meeting will begin

GENERAL
November 5, 1988

in the Clasen Board Room of University Hospitals and Clinics. A public hearing is scheduled later in the afternoon at about 3:30 p.m.

Some Board members normally attend these meetings. Sometimes it is appropriate for a Regent to field a question that is inappropriate for university representatives to address.

Regent Williams noted that the visitation at Iowa State University falls on the first day of the Way Up Conference. She said they may have to designate people to attend.

ACTION: President Pomerantz stated the Board received the report by general consent.

President Pomerantz asked Board members and institutional executives if there were additional general or miscellaneous items for discussion.

Regent Duchen referred to correspondence he received from University of Iowa graduate students concerning a reduction in the library hours by 20 percent. He asked university officials to respond.

Vice President Phillips stated that the library hours were reduced for budget reasons. University officials are reviewing that with the hope that they can open part of it in the second semester. She noted that the hours reduced were from 1:00 to 2:00 a.m., Saturday and Sunday morning. The hours chosen for reduction were based on a survey of the usage. She said they will plan to give a report on the status of the review.

Regent Duchen asked university officials to respond to the students.

University of Iowa student Chris Anderson stated that in addition to the main library departmental libraries across campus have also had their hours cut. The College of Business library now closes at 9:00 p.m. instead of 10:00 p.m.

Mr. Anderson stated that two weeks ago he met with Mark Warner. Mr. Warner indicated that there are not enough work study positions on campus to use up the work study money. He suggested they could pay work study students to staff the libraries. He stated that students want lengthened library hours this semester prior to finals.

Vice President Phillips said she would look into it.

Regent VanGilst questioned the judgment of university officials who talk about not having enough funds to build up the libraries and then they cut the hours.

GENERAL
November 5, 1988

ADJOURNMENT. The meeting of the State Board of Regents adjourned at 9:51 a.m., on Saturday, November 5, 1988.



R. Wayne Richey
Executive Secretary