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All sessions

Present via telephone from 1:30 p.m. to 2:12 p.m.
GENERAL

The following business pertaining to general or miscellaneous business was transacted on Wednesday, May 20, 1992.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF BOARD MEETINGS, APRIL 15 AND MAY 5, 1992. President Pomerantz asked for corrections, if any, to the Minutes.

Mr. Richey mentioned that revisions to the March 18, 1992, Board of Regents meeting Minutes were sent to the Regents. The revisions concerned a portion of the discussion regarding WOI-TV.

ACTION: President Pomerantz stated the Board approved the Minutes of the April 15 and May 5, 1992, meetings, by general consent.

INTERINSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL COORDINATION. (a) Comparable Fee Study. The Board Office recommended (1) that the Board approve proposals from the Regents Registrars and Admission Officers Committee on specific miscellaneous fees, (2) that the Regent universities be directed to continue to work together to establish common titles and charges for miscellaneous fees to the fullest extent possible, (3) that the Regent universities be requested to grant exemptions to mandatory student health and computer fees based on access to services and not solely on the basis of physical proximity to campus, and (4) that for purposes of granting exemptions from payment of mandatory health and computer fees, the Regent universities be requested to develop similar definitions and criteria for judging access to institutional health and computer facilities.

This docket item deals with two issues related to student fees:

a. A study requested by the Board of Regents conducted by the Regents Registrars and Admission Officers Committee on ways to make miscellaneous student fees and charges more uniform; and

b. A report requested by the Board on institutional policies on assessment of mandatory student health and computer fees, including policies on exemptions from fees for students who are absent from campus during the fee period.
Uniformity in Miscellaneous Fees and Charges

The Regents Registrars and Admissions Officers Committee reviewed miscellaneous fees in response to concerns that similar services and materials were listed under different titles and carried different charges at the three universities. The Registrars Committee agreed to make the following fees uniform at all three institutions as of 1993-94: ID card replacement, late registration fee, returned check charge, transcript instant service charge.

In addition, the Registrars Committee agreed to propose that each institution make changes in the following fees to bring them into greater uniformity: application fee for foreign students, application fee for re-entering students, catalog sales, FAX transcript service, photocopy per page charge, workshop tuition charge, doctoral dissertation fees, and departmental credit by examination.

Some differences in fee titles and charges will remain because of the unique nature of some programs and services at each institution.

Policies on Mandatory Student Health and Computer Fees

Each university has now established formal policies and procedures for assessing mandatory health and computer fees and for granting exemptions.

The Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination concluded that the present system of individual institutional policies is preferable to an overall Regent policy covering all three institutions. Board Office staff feel that the individual institutional policies should be modified to make them more consistent and uniform, but that there is no need for a single overall Regent policy.

Though all three Regent universities grant exemptions to extension and study abroad students, they differ in granting exemptions to other off-campus groups, such as student teachers, co-op students, internship students, and practicum students. Board Office staff feel that the differences among the policies create the undesirable possibility that students in similar circumstances might receive different rulings on exemptions from each institution.

Exemption policies should consider the off-campus students who may still be near enough to have access to on-campus health and computer facilities, and that students located a considerable distance from campus may still be able to access computer facilities via telephone lines and modems.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved ( ) that the Board approve proposals from the Regents Registrars and Admission Officers Committee on specific
miscellaneous fees, (2) that the Regent universities be directed to continue to work together to establish common titles and charges for miscellaneous fees to the fullest extent possible, (3) that the Regent universities be requested to grant exemptions to mandatory student health and computer fees based on access to services and not solely on the basis of physical proximity to campus, and (4) that for purposes of granting exemptions from payment of mandatory health and computer fees, the Regent universities be requested to develop similar definitions and criteria for judging access to institutional health and computer facilities. Regent Berenstein seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

(b) Approval of Concurrent BA/MS in Zoology and Genetics at Iowa State University. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the proposed concurrent B.S./M.S. in Zoology and Genetics at Iowa State University, effectively immediately.

Iowa State University officials requested approval of a concurrent B.S./M.S. in Zoology and Genetics. The program would allow talented undergraduates an opportunity to begin graduate study early and complete the requirements for the M.S. degree in a timely manner.

Both the B.S. and M.S. degrees in Zoology and Genetics are currently offered at the university. What was proposed was the concurrent awarding of undergraduate and graduate degrees to select students.

Both the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office recommended approval.

Provost Marlin noted that this was a very academically sound program.

MOTION: Regent Williams moved to approve the proposed concurrent B.S./M.S. in Zoology and Genetics at Iowa State University, effectively immediately. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

(c) Suspension of the Master of Computing Degree at Iowa State University. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) approve the suspension of enrollment in the Master of Computing degree program with the understanding that if the program is not activated by the university by June 1994, the program will be automatically terminated, and (2) if the program is
reactivated by the university prior to June 1994, notice shall be given to the Board Office.

Iowa State University officials requested that the Master of Computing (an off-campus program) be suspended until June 1994, at which time a decision on its future will be made.

No students have enrolled in the program, which was approved by the Board in 1987.

The stated reason for suspension rather than termination at this time is the expectation that a turn-around in the economy may result in sufficient student interest to activate the program.

The Board Office recommendation was to terminate the program if not reactivated by the university by June 1994. At that date the university would have had seven years to successfully implement this program. Current projections for the economy indicate that by 1994 Iowa should have experienced modest economic growth.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to (1) approve the suspension of enrollment in the Master of Computing degree program with the understanding that if the program is not activated by the university by June 1994, the program will be automatically terminated, and (2) if the program is reactivated by the university prior to June 1994, notice shall be given to the Board Office. Regent Williams seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

(d) Post-Audit of the Master of Fine Arts in Comparative Literature at the University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on the post-audit for the MFA in Comparative Literature at the University of Iowa and approve the continuance of this program.

A post-audit report was prepared on the Master of Fine Arts degree in Comparative Literature at the University of Iowa. The program appears to have successfully met the objectives stated at the time that the program was initially approved by the Board of Regents.

Enrollment in the program is consistent with that projected. Graduates from the program appear to have been successful in obtaining employment in the field. The costs for the program are consistent with those projected at the time that the program was initially approved by the Board.
The Board Office and Interinstitutional Committee recommended approval for the continuation of the program.

MOTION: Regent Hatch moved to receive the report on the post-audit for the MFA in Comparative Literature at the University of Iowa and approve the continuance of this program. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

(e) Bachelor of Arts in Art History at the University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the proposed B.A. degree, Major in Art History at the University of Iowa.

University of Iowa officials proposed a Bachelor of Arts degree, Major in Art History. This is not an entirely new program for several reasons—the university already offers an "emphasis in Art History," most of the courses and faculty are already in place, the university offers a masters and doctoral degree in Art History, and the program is fully accredited.

University officials believe that the lack of a B.A. degree in this field constitutes a significant disadvantage to undergraduate students as they apply to graduate programs in Art History across the country. For many years the school has had a strong graduate program in Art History, but undergraduates were only able to major with an "emphasis in Art History" so that the distinction of being an Art History major has not been specified on their diplomas or transcripts.

The approval of this program essentially involves no increased programmatic costs.

The program was reviewed by the Interinstitutional Committee, the Board Office, and the Iowa Coordinating Council for Post-High School Education and approval was recommended.

Provost Marlin noted that the University of Iowa has distinctive academic strength in art history.

MOTION: Regent Williams moved to approve the proposed B.A. degree, Major in Art History at the University of Iowa. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

(f) Post-Audit Report - Industrial Engineering. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the post-audit report and approve continuation of the Industrial Engineering Ph.D. program at Iowa State University.
May 20, 1992

Iowa State University officials submitted a post-audit report five years after the initiation of the Ph.D. degree in Industrial Engineering. The program appears to have met or exceeded objectives established for the program at the time that it was initially approved by the Board of Regents.

Enrollments in the program have steadily increased, graduates of the program have been finding appropriate employment in the field or in related fields, and there have been no incremental costs as a result of implementing this program.

Both the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office recommended that the program be approved for continuation.

MOTION: Regent Tyrrell moved to receive the post-audit report and approve continuation of the Industrial Engineering Ph.D. program at Iowa State University. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

(g) Post-Audit Report - M.S. Degree In Operations Research. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report of the post-audit for the M.S. degree in Operations Research at Iowa State University and approve continuation of the program.

Iowa State University officials submitted a post-audit report on a program approved by the Board of Regents in 1987. The program appears to have met or exceeded all of the expectations at the time that the program was initially approved by the Board.

Enrollments, costs, success of graduates, and program quality seem to be consistent with that projected at the time the program was initially approved.

The report was reviewed by the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office and both recommended approval.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to receive the report of the post-audit for the M.S. degree in Operations Research at Iowa State University and approve continuation of the program. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

(h) University of Northern Iowa Curriculum Changes. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the proposed program changes including the B.A. degree major in Middle School/Junior High School Education Teaching, the B.A. degree major in Russian, and proposed minors in Geology, Portuguese, and Writing.
May 20, 1992

One hundred new courses were proposed and 57 present courses were proposed to be dropped.

New majors in Russian and Middle/Junior High Education Teaching were proposed. New minors in Geology, Portuguese, and Writing were proposed.

The Board Office and the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination recommended approval.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the proposed program changes including the B.A. degree major in Middle School/Junior High School Education Teaching, the B.A. degree major in Russian, and proposed minors in Geology, Portuguese, and Writing. Regent Williams seconded the motion.

President Pomerantz expressed concern for adding 100 new courses and dropping only 57 courses, if that were done each year. He then asked how the new courses would be staffed.

President Curris said he was awaiting the results of the legislative special session. The results were projected to be positive. The Governor has recommended funding for University of Northern Iowa faculty for enrollment growth.

President Pomerantz asked how often the university goes through a review of curriculum such as this. President Curris responded that curriculum is reviewed every 2 years.

President Pomerantz asked for the backdrop in terms of the number of courses that should be offered. President Curris said the Regents would find that on every campus the number of courses to be offered will be greater than the number of courses to be eliminated. As knowledge expands in every discipline more courses of instruction are necessary. The question of elimination of courses is more difficult. He said there are not necessarily more courses being offered. Courses tend to die and be dropped through that process rather than by formal action.

Provost Marlin said there is always an inherent lag. Some courses are kept in effect for students who entered a degree program with certain course requirements while the new catalog changes the requirements for incoming students. New courses often reflect new majors.

Regent Hatch said she applauded the concept of the B.A. degree major in Middle School/Junior High School Education Teaching. She then asked if students would start the program as freshmen, juniors or seniors.
Provost Marlin said it was her understanding that for students who now begin taking the teacher education program, juniors would have enough time to take the sequence of courses.

Regent Hatch asked about the certification requirements for this program since there is currently a K-8 and a 9-12 certification. President Curris responded that Dean Switzer would be in attendance that afternoon and he would be better able to answer that question.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: The motion carried unanimously.

Later in the day, President Curris stated that a question was raised relative to certification for the major in middle school curriculum. He said the State of Iowa has not yet moved toward middle school curriculum certification and it is still problematic whether they will move to separate certification of the middle school curriculum. He noted, however, that this particular program mandates that a person receive certification in either elementary education or secondary education.

(i) Name Change for the Soviet and Eastern European Studies at the University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the name change for Soviet and Eastern European Studies, effective immediately.

University of Iowa officials recommended a name change in the Soviet and East European Studies Program to the Russia, East European, and Eurasian Studies Program. Events in east-central Europe and the former Soviet Union have rendered the current title inaccurate.

The proposed title is consistent with current and likely events in the area.

The change was recommended by the Board Office and the Interinstitutional Committee.

ACTION: This matter was approved by consent.

(i) Name Change, Department of Family and Consumer Sciences Education at Iowa State University. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the change of the name of the Department of Family and Consumer Sciences Education to the Department of Family and Consumer Sciences Education and Studies at Iowa State University, effective immediately.

The new title is consistent with the Program Efficiencies Plan of the College of Family and Consumer Sciences that includes the merger of the Departments of Family and Consumer Sciences Education and Family and Consumer Studies. There will be greater efficiencies as a result of this change.
The change was recommended by the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office.

ACTION: This matter was approved by consent.

(k) Name Change, Exercise Science at the University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the change in the masters degree in Exercise Science from the Master of Arts to the Master of Science Degree.

This change is a result of the recently approved restructuring in the Division of Physical Education.

The M.S. degree is the degree awarded to masters recipients in the laboratory sciences at the university.

This change will not only recognize that Exercise Science is a laboratory science but will provide advantages to faculty and staff pursuing funding from scientific agencies.

Both the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office recommended approval.

ACTION: This matter was approved by consent.

(l) Name Change, Masters and Ph.D. Degrees in Physical Education at the University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the change in title from the Masters and Ph.D. degrees in Physical Education to the Masters and Ph.D. Degrees in Exercise Science at the University of Iowa, effectively immediately.

The Board of Regents recently approved the restructuring of the Division of Physical Education. The change in title of the Masters and Ph.D. degrees in Physical Education to the Masters and Ph.D. degrees in Exercise Science requested by the University of Iowa accurately reflects the changes recently approved in the division. The department will no longer offer a graduate degree program in "Physical Education."

The Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office recommended approval.

ACTION: This matter was approved by consent.

ACCREDITATION AND FOLLOW-UP REPORTS. (a) Pharmacy Accreditation at the University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the follow-up report on accreditation of Pharmacy at the University of Iowa.

In September 1991, the Board received a report on accreditation of the doctoral and baccalaureate program in Pharmacy at the University of Iowa.
Concerns regarding the Dean search and strengthening the clinical/hospital pharmacy division were raised.

A report was prepared which addressed these two issues as a follow-up to the concerns raised in the initial accreditation report. A new Dean will take over the College of Pharmacy effective June 1, 1992. Progress has been made and ongoing efforts will provide further improvement in the strengthening of the clinical/hospital pharmacy division.

Vice President Nathan stated that one senior clinical pharmacy faculty person has been hired and two junior faculty members will shortly be hired. He noted that Dean Banker has made the strengthening of the clinical pharmacy group one of his highest priorities upon his arrival.

Regent Williams asked for the difference between a clinical pharmacy faculty member and a regular tenured faculty member. Vice President Nathan responded that both faculty are on the tenure track line. There are two divisions -- a basic science division and clinical division. The basic science faculty member primarily do research and teach in the basic science areas. The clinical pharmacy faculty perform functions that did not exist in pharmacy 10 years ago. Those faculty members work on the wards of hospitals with physicians, nurses and patients doing direct patient intervention around drug regimens. It is this group of people whose division weakened a bit due to lack of strong leadership. He said that in the years to come the clinical thrust in pharmacy is predicted to be one of the most important.

Regent Williams referred to the third paragraph on page 2 of the docket memorandum which stated that the college has recommended its first promotion of a clinical pharmacy faculty member to the rank of clinical full professor. Vice President Nathan stated there are clinical pharmacy faculty who are tenure track. There are also clinical pharmacy faculty who are not on tenure track who, for example, work for University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. In this case, it was his understanding that this person is an employee of the hospital who gives a certain proportion of his or her time to teaching pharmacy students on the wards in a mentoring relationship. He said the clinical pharmacy division within the college offers tenure-track positions. However, there are also additional faculty, as in the medical school, who are not full-time employees, who are not on a tenure track line, and whose criteria for promotion are different. They de-emphasize research and emphasize teaching.

Regent Williams asked if this was the first member of that clinical pharmacy faculty that is a full professor. Vice President Nathan responded affirmatively. He noted that this was a relatively new emphasis. There are two full professors in clinical pharmacy who are full-time tenure faculty.
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Regent Hatch asked if major distinctions in salaries are made between the two types of faculty. Vice President Nathan responded negatively.

ACTION: President Pomerantz stated the Board received the follow-up report on accreditation of Pharmacy at the University of Iowa, by general consent.


This renewal was not based on a substantive review of the school. That review takes place every 10 years.

The school is currently preparing for a new North Central Association outcomes-based accreditation which will be the next substantive review of the school.

Superintendent Thurman stated that Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School will begin the outcomes accreditation process over the next several years. The first stage is in place. He will be bringing to the Board an outline of the accreditation process including a new mission statement written in student outcomes and a modification of the school’s goals, also in student outcomes. He hoped to have those available to the Board in November.

ACTION: President Pomerantz stated the Board received the report on the accreditation renewal from the North Central Association on the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School, by general consent.

(c) Accreditation of Predoctoral Internship Psychology Program at Iowa State University. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on accreditation of the Predoctoral Internship Psychology Program at Iowa State University.

The Predoctoral Internship Psychology Program at Iowa State University Student Counseling Service was awarded full accreditation by the Committee on Accreditation of the American Psychological Association. The Committee based its decision on a review of the program’s self-study report submitted during academic 1990-91, the report of the team that visited the site on April 29-30, 1991, and the program’s response to the site visit report. The Committee highlighted areas in need of strengthening:

* Greater availability of supervisors to directly observe interns' performance;
* Ensuring that all supervisory psychology staff are licensed in the state; and

* Encouragement of staff development and professional achievement through involvement in professional organizations.

The Committee scheduled the next accreditation site visit to be held in five years, during academic year 1995-96.

ACTION: President Pomerantz stated the Board received the report on accreditation of the Predoctoral Internship Psychology Program at Iowa State University, by general consent.

(d) Price Laboratory School. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive the report on the Price Laboratory School, (2) encourage the university to continue progress toward goals, and (3) schedule another follow-up report in two years.

This was a follow-up on a report by consultants in 1990 regarding the future direction of the Price Laboratory School at the University of Northern Iowa. The consultants recommended that:

a. The pre-eminent function of the lab school be clinical training for pre-service leaders with a focus on Master Teacher and student interaction.

b. Summer offerings should be focused on continuing education needs of teaching professionals.

c. The school should become a prototype for small schools.

d. The school will place greater emphasis upon being a center for experimentation, research and innovation.

e. Curricular strengths of the university should be reflected in the Price Lab School.

Progress appears to have been made on each of the consultant's recommendations. University officials also reported progress on three changes:

a. Student composition of the school broadened.

b. Emphasis on appointment of Master Teachers.

c. Redeployment of budget.

Dean Tom Switzer stated that the Malcolm Price Laboratory School is located on the campus of the University of Northern Iowa. The school serves students
from nursery school through 12th grade. He said it is far more than just a
school. It serves as a unique entity. Two major administrative changes have
taken place in the last 2 years since the Peat Marwick Main study. Roger
Kueter has been named head of the Department of Teaching. Linda Fernandez has
been named Director of the Laboratory School. Kathy Oakland, who teaches at
the school, has been named the national speech teacher of the year. Those
three individuals then addressed the Board regarding the Laboratory School.

Dr. Fernandez stated that the laboratory school is an exemplary setting for
the best in teaching pedagogy. Completion of the statewide fiber optics
network will further enhance their outreach efforts. Price Laboratory School
will become a prototype to small schools around the state. Laboratory School
officials have developed partnerships with schools in its immediate area.

The Regents then viewed a segment from a documentary made by the Laboratory
School entitled Spread the Word: Teens Talk to Teens About AIDS. The film is
in the majority of schools and Area Education Agencies in Iowa, and has been
viewed in every state in the nation. The 2-minute segment which the Regents
watched was an interview with Duane Courier who recently died of AIDS.
Spread the Word is just one example of the outstanding work done at the
school.

The Laboratory School addresses early childhood education including a
preschool handicap program and assists with the Regents Center for Early
Developmental Education. University child care will also be moved to the
Laboratory school in the near future.

Regent Hatch thanked Price Laboratory School representatives for the excellent
presentation. She then referred to open enrollment and asked if the
Laboratory School is under the same laws as K-12 schools. Does the money go
with the child to the Laboratory School?

Dr. Fernandez responded that no, the money does not go with the child to Price
Laboratory School.

Regent Hatch asked for the per pupil cost. Dr. Fernandez responded that the
cost is just over $3,000/student; however, that figure does not include
overhead expenses. She noted that the school is part of the teacher education
program at University of Northern Iowa.

President Pomerantz thanked Malcolm Price Laboratory School officials for a
very fine presentation.

ACTION: President Pomerantz stated the Board, by
general consent, (1) received the report on
the Price Laboratory School, (2) encouraged
the university to continue progress toward
goals, and (3) scheduled another follow-up report in two years.

ANNUAL REPORTS ON GRADUATE STUDY CENTERS. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive the reports on the Tri-State Graduate Study Center, the Quad-Cities Graduate Study Center, and the Southwest Iowa Regents Resource Center, and (2) request that the Southwest Iowa Resource Center develop a strategic plan for addressing its goals to include consideration of recommendations for a permanent location.

Tri-State Graduate Study Center

The Tri-State Graduate Study Center has completed its first full academic year of operation--July 1, 1990 to June 30, 1991.

The Tri-State Center has a two-fold mission:

* To develop in the 3-state Siouxland area (Iowa, Nebraska, and South Dakota) coordinated programs of study at the graduate level which are applicable toward degrees from Member Academic Institutions.
* To expand continuing educational opportunities for individuals in the area.

Although the Center was created with a 3-state concept, the majority of the population of greater Siouxland resides in Iowa and approximately 90 percent of all FY 1991 registrants were residents of the State of Iowa.

The first new program offering was announced during the summer of 1990--a Masters degree in Nursing was initiated in Sioux City and offered by South Dakota State University.

In January 1991, Morningside announced a graduate program--a concentration in School Counseling within the existing Masters degree in Education.

In June and July 1991, the University of Northern Iowa agreed to offer a Masters in Educational Administration and Wayne State College started an MBA.

For fiscal year 1991, the Iowa General Assembly appropriated $75,000 through the Board of Regents. However, a fund balance of $28,664 from the previous year came from local community sources.

The Center ended its first full year of operation with a deficit of $4,166.

The Board of Directors and the staff of the Tri-State Center continue to seek general support funding from the states of Nebraska and South Dakota. South Dakota State University has contributed $3,000 for FY 1992 and the University of South Dakota has pledged $5,000 for FY 1992.
Quad-Cities Graduate Study Center

The Quad-Cities Graduate Study Center, formed in 1969, is the oldest of the three centers.

The mission of the Graduate Center is to provide graduate-level educational opportunities to the Quad-Cities area. Its objectives are to:

* Promote and coordinate graduate degree programs, graduate non-degree courses, and postbaccalaureate non-credit continuing professional education that are comparable to member institutions' on-campus programs and courses.

* Promote interinstitutional cooperation/program efficiency by combining members' resources for program delivery.

* Serve as a model for interinstitutional cooperation in the delivery of off-campus degree programs.

The Center offers 16 Masters level programs to residents of Illinois and Iowa by 10 participating public and private colleges and universities.

The Center reported that from FY 1991 to FY 1992, total headcount enrollments increased from 2,403 to 2,647, or 10.2 percent. Total enrollments (by course) increased from 4,361 to 5,169, or 18.5 percent. Enrollments in Education programs increased by 19.8 percent and in non-Education enrollments, by 14.5 percent.

Iowa residents comprised 40 percent (1,058) of the 2,647 headcount enrollments and Illinois residents comprised 60 percent (1,588).

Of the 5,169 total enrollments, 3,900 were enrolled in programs provided by Western Illinois University, 757 in programs from the University of Iowa, 251 in programs from Teikyo Marycrest University, and 115 from Northern Illinois University. Enrollments in programs from the remaining six institutions ranged from 0 to 85.

The Center is supported by appropriations from the states of Iowa and Illinois. Over the years, the states have striven to provide equal levels of support.

On March 31, 1992, $151,000 had been received by the Quad-Cities Center from the state of Illinois and $108,843 had been received from Iowa.
Southwest Iowa Regents Resource Center

Following completion of a feasibility study, the Board of Regents in February 1991 approved the establishment of the Southwest Iowa Regents Resource Center, the first such Center of its kind in the state. In May 1991 the Regents assigned the responsibility for the Resource Center to the State Extension and Continuing Education Council.

The Resource Center, which opened August 1, 1991, in the Administration Building of the Iowa School for the Deaf, is a coordinating office which serves as a liaison between Southwest Iowa residents and Iowa Regent universities.

The Center cooperates with AEA 13, Iowa Western Community College, Drake University, and local school districts.

Graduate programs available through the Center include: General Graduate Studies with Family and Consumer Sciences Specialization, Master of Agriculture, Special Education Behavioral Disorders endorsement, Early Childhood Education endorsement, and coursework in Engineering and Higher Education.

Two undergraduate completion degrees are available--the Regents Bachelor of Liberal Studies degree (SUI, ISU, and UNI) and the Bachelor of Science in Professional Agriculture (ISU).

Approximately 100 students participated in classes at Southwest Iowa locations in Spring 1992. These included classes held face-to-face with instructor, by videotape and audio teleconference.

The Center budget for FY 92 includes income of $35,798 from the state of Iowa and $12,000 from the State Extension and Continuing Education Council. These funds cover the cost of a coordinator (4/5 time) and Center operations. The Center's FY 1993 budget request provides a 5 percent increase over that of FY 1992.

Director Barak stated that Dr. Lou Ross from the Tri-State Graduate Study Center was present to answer questions. Dr. Barak then provided highlights of the individual center's reports outlining accomplishments and goals. With regard to the Tri-State Graduate Study Center he noted that the enrollments are mostly of Iowans. Therefore, there is some reluctance on the part of the other two states to fund offerings primarily for Iowans. He said the Southwest Iowa Regent Resource Center began operations this past August. With regard to the Quad-Cities Graduate Study Center, Dr. Barak said it is the oldest of the three centers. During this past year two changes have taken place at Quad-Cities. The proportion of students from Iowa has reduced to about 40 percent. Iowa funding is approximately in proportion to the number of students.
Regent Williams addressed Dr. Ross. She said she noticed that member academic institutions of the Tri-State Center include 4-year colleges, Regent universities, universities from the other three states, Area Education Agencies and Iowa Western Community College. She said she understood the role the Area Education Agency plays to the practicing teacher but did not understand the role played by the community college.

Dr. Ross responded that the development of the Tri-State Graduate Study Center was a real community-based endeavor. When the various educational "forces" got together to create the Tri-State Center that nucleus was formed and it has stayed. Iowa Western Community College assists by printing in their schedules an advertisement promoting the graduate center. The Graduate Study Center leases office space from the community college. Efforts are coordinated to ensure no duplication of effort. There is no center like Tri-State. In recruiting the full-time employed person in the majority of communities teachers will be impacted directly more than any other group. To have a close working relationship with an Area Education Agency in recruiting those teachers is ideal. It helps in a very direct way in keeping budgeting down. The university provides the flyers and the Center disburses the flyers through the Area Education Agency’s mailing system at no charge.

Regent Williams said she wanted to be clear that Iowa Western Community College does not offer graduate-level courses. Dr. Ross assured her that the community college does not offer graduate-level courses.

Regent Hatch referred to the Southwest Iowa Regent Resource Center. She suggested that prior to looking for a new facility it be determined whether that would be cost effective. They should determine how many classes each student takes and the number of full-time equivalent students.

President Pomerantz noted that the recommended action concerning the Southwest Iowa Regent Resource Center was to develop a strategic plan. He said that plan should address Regent Hatch’s concerns.

Regent Berenstein referred to the Tri-State Graduate Study Center. With a $75,000 appropriation from Iowa the Center will have to continue to rely on the greater Siouxland area for support. However, with 90 percent of the students coming from Iowa that offsets the argument. He then said he was amazed at the amount of goodwill toward this Center because of Lou Ross. Regent Berenstein is very enthusiastic, with one caveat. After the Regents receive the strategic plan of the Southwest Iowa Regent Resource Center they must make sure the Resource Center does not "cut into" the Tri-State Center’s business because neither one would be successful. They must determine whether there is a real need for both Centers in western Iowa.

Regent Williams noted that the Southwest Iowa Regent Resource Center is not restricted to offering only graduate education.
MOTION:
Regent Berenstein moved to (1) receive the reports on the Tri-State Graduate Study Center, the Quad-Cities Graduate Study Center, and the Southwest Iowa Regents Resource Center, and (2) request that the Southwest Iowa Resource Center develop a strategic plan for addressing its goals to include consideration of recommendations for a permanent location. Regent Williams seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

REPORT ON THE IOWA COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR POST-HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on the May meeting of the Iowa Coordinating Council for Post-High School Education.

The Council approved a requirement for institutions proposing new programs to provide the names of the institutions in which articulation agreements are being developed for the proposed program.

The Council approved a draft of suggested changes in the Iowa Postsecondary Options Enrollment Act.


The Council approved new programs proposed for the Regents, independent colleges, and community colleges, and deferred action on one program at the community colleges.

Regent Tyrrell provided a report on the meeting of the Iowa Coordinating Council. He said the Council continued to wrestle with some of the same problems as one year ago. He felt they had made some real strides toward solving the articulation process. The marketing committee is proceeding with its plan to do a survey. One noteworthy item was that the new vice chair of the Coordinating Council will be University of Iowa President Hunter Rawlings.

Regent Furgerson thanked Regent Berenstein for attending the Coordinating Council meeting for her which happened to be the day before the Waterloo Human Rights Commission met and she could not leave town.

President Pomerantz made special note of the fact that all three Regent university presidents were in attendance at the May meeting of the Coordinating Council.

Regent Williams stated that she has served on the Coordinating Council for five years and probably will not continue in that position. She then provided a few summary comments about what she feels has happened to this body. It is as though they make two "steps" forward and one "step" backward. The Council
has made some progress in certain areas. It has a very fine articulation committee. With regard to the new program approval process, she said member institutions will now be required to provide names of institutions with whom articulation agreements are being developed. She then said the program approval area is still her biggest disappointment. There is a tendency to approve "yours" and you approve "mine", and we'll be one happy "family". Regent Williams has been asking some very hard questions and this committee needs to ask itself some very tough questions.

As an example of her concern with the Coordinating Council's program approval process, Regent Williams referred to the request for approval of a program in Medical Laboratory Technology offered by Hawkeye Institute of Technology, which the Coordinating Council deferred at its last meeting. She said the Council does not meet in the summer. Hawkeye Institute wanted to offer the program on additional campuses. This program, like many others, is a multiple entry program with a non-transferable degree attached to it. Two other community colleges offer it as a transferable degree which creates numerous problems. They need to decide how people enter this program. The reaction from the Department of Education was that if there was any objection to the proposal they could not proceed with the program under their rules until coming back to the Coordinating Council. Department of Education representatives expressed some regret. Regent Williams emphasized that the Coordinating Council was to eliminate unnecessary duplication. She does not think the process is working. She hoped that whoever assumes her position on the Coordinating Council will do so with the idea that there are some hard issues to be addressed and much work to be done.

Regent Berenstein stated that the three Regent university presidents were in attendance at this Coordinating Council meeting and only one of the other college presidents was there. He hoped that other college presidents would participate to give full significance to the Council's efforts.

Regent Williams said she has attended all of the Coordinating Council's meetings in the last five years. The times that all the Regent presidents were present could be counted on one hand. The other presidents are almost always there.

President Pomerantz stated that if the Regent presidents attend he thought the others would also once it is established that the Regents will be represented.

ACTION:

President Pomerantz stated the Board received the report on the May meeting of the Iowa Coordinating Council for Post-High School Education, by general consent.

LEGISLATIVE ISSUES. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on legislative activities.
A special session of the legislature was called by the Governor to begin Wednesday, May 20, 1992. The special session will address budget controls, salary policy and certain other issues.

The 74th General Assembly completed its second year of the session on the morning of May 4, 1992. The Governor has 30 days to review legislation passed in the last three days of the session.

The legislature passed a number of bills that impacted Board of Regents’ appropriations, Board of Regents’ policies and institutional policies. The Regent institutions’ appropriations for fiscal year 1993 are $491,290,782 and are contained in HF 2465 (Education Appropriation Bill) and HF 2462 (Economic Development Appropriation Bill). The education appropriation bill as passed reflects the Governor’s recommendations with certain additions. Funding provided above the Governor’s recommendations include: child care ($150,000), IMAGES ($400,000), Institute for Physical Research and Technology ($281,360), the Decision Making Institute and the Applied Technology Center ($679,632).

The Governor’s recommendation had reduced funding for auditing by $370,050, with the understanding that the institutions be allowed to employ private audit firms. The education appropriation bill does not allow the institutions to employ private audit firms nor does it restore the $370,050 audit funding.

The appropriation in fiscal year 1993 for the Regents institutions—excluding tuition replacement, the Board Office, and Graduate Centers—is $467,050,782. This represents an increase of $14.0 million over the fiscal year 1992 appropriation (after all cuts). If compared to the original fiscal year 1992 appropriation, the fiscal year 1993 appropriation represents a reduction of $26.5 million.

The Board Office and institutions identified the following concerns to HF 2465 (Education Appropriation Bill):

* Eliminates the Board Office’s ability to charge back for certain services such as presidential searches and interinstitutional studies.

* Strikes language that allows the Board of Regents to retain outside legal counsel for collective bargaining.

* Includes language that would require the Board of Regents to use the Attorney General’s Office exclusively and requires the Board of Regents to pay for salaries and other expenses for assistant attorneys general without providing an appropriation.
* Includes language that would require State appropriations for the University of Iowa College of Medicine (in addition to University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics) be used as matching funds in the medical assistance program involving the disproportionate share adjustment payment.

* Reduces the Board Office budget $50,000 by eliminating the Associate Director of Business and Finance position.

The concerns identified above are under review and will be communicated to the Governor's Office and the Department of Management as appropriate.

Other items included in HF 2465 (Education Appropriations Bill) are:

* A requirement that the Higher Education Strategic Planning Council conduct a study on dental hygienists in Iowa including 1) the need for dental hygienists, 2) the qualifications needed to perform as a dental hygienist, and 3) cost effective means to provide the education necessary to supply the State with qualified dental hygienists.

* A requirement that the University of Iowa in conjunction with the College Student Aid Commission and the University of Osteopathic Medicine conduct a tracking study of Iowa graduates.

* A section requiring the Regent institutions to implement a uniform budgeting and accounting system by June 30, 1994.

* A requirement that the Department of Education perform a study on dyslexia in conjunction with Regent institutions.

HF 2490 (Fiscal Year 1993 Salary Bill) provides funding for salary increases for State and Regent employees for fiscal years 1992 and 1993. Contract covered employees would receive significantly larger increases in fiscal years 1992 and 1993 compared to non-contract covered employees, contrary to the Board's request.

Under HF 2490, about half of the employees in the State under collective bargaining contracts including Regents' employees, would be eligible for step increases in addition to base salary adjustments of about 5 percent in fiscal year 1992 and fiscal year 1993. Step increases amount to about 4.8 percent per year.

Regents non-contract employees would receive 6 percent for professional and scientific and 7 percent for faculty only in fiscal year 1993.

Faculty at the University of Northern Iowa will receive a 5 percent increase in fiscal year 1992 and a further 5.5 percent increase in fiscal year 1993.
SF 2367 (Fiscal Year 1992 Supplemental Bill) appropriated $916,000 to the Regent institutions for salaries for the last two months of fiscal year 1992 for employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement (excludes Iowa United Faculty at the University of Northern Iowa).

HF 2462 (Economic Development Appropriation Bill) contains the following appropriations for Regent institutions:

* State University of Iowa Advanced Drug Development $ 500,000
* Iowa State University Institute for Physical Research and Technology $3,281,360
* Iowa State University Small Business Development Center $ 991,325

Other bills that passed during the session that have policy implications include:

* HF 2028. Requiring institutions of higher education to establish policies relating to sexual assault.
* HF 2275. Establishes the preference for the purchase of recycled oil if within 5 percent of the cost for virgin products.
* HF 2459. Establishes a centralized collection agency in the Department of Revenue and Finance. To maintain autonomy of current collection operations, the Board of Regents should adopt an umbrella collection policy prior to July 1, 1992.
* HF 2466. Implements ethic requirements for elected and State officials. Requires financial disclosure for State officials, members of the general assembly and candidates for State office.
* SF 84. Implements revised standards pertaining to the purchase of recycled paper products.
* SF 316. Requires policies be adopted prohibiting sexual harassment of a State employee.
* SF 2036. Established requirements for investments of funds by the State including the Board of Regents (concerns raised by the Board of Regents during the legislative session were addressed by the legislature and are included in the final version of the bill).
* SF 2133. Requires notification of adjoining landowners prior to beginning excavation activities.
Mr. Richey stated that the legislature passed all the appropriations bills before adjourning two weeks ago. He noted that the Board Office had pointed out some areas in the appropriations bills for education that constitute significant problems. There is a difference of opinion between the Board Office and Legislative Fiscal Bureau staff with respect to enrollment growth at University of Northern Iowa.

President Curris stated that the Board Office believes the funding for University of Northern Iowa enrollment growth is $2 million. The Legislative Fiscal Bureau staff say it is $1.6 million. University of Northern Iowa officials believe the amount of funding should be $1.8 million. The discrepancy is due to the bill mandating expenditures in given areas such as for child care and IMAGES program without providing additional funding for the programs. Consequently, institutional officials have to provide the money from their own funding. Therefore, he said it depends on how they want to say it. It could be said that the $2 million was provided for it and they have to "eat" the additional funding that has been mandated by the legislature, or they can say a certain amount of money has been designated for these two purposes and what is left over can be used as they see fit. He said it is a classic example of trying to take a given amount of money and say it is for more purposes than the money extends. Realistically, unless there are some changes made, he said the amount is around $1.850 million.

Mr. Richey said the Board Office is continuing to work toward straightening that out. He then stated that the docket memorandum had pointed out the differences in the salary bill between contract-covered employees and those not under contract. The salary bill is under review by the Governor and the General Assembly. He noted that a Regent employee had asked to speak to the Board on the salary issue.

Kathy Highland, representing the Supervisory/Confidential Interinstitutional Advisory Council, stated that the committee had grave concerns. She asked that for fiscal year 1992 and 1993 the Regents approve identical salary increases for the supervisory employees as has been approved for the confidential employees. Their request includes back pay with interest from July 1, 1991, merit step increases and the $400 bonus. She expressed concern about a possible change in precedent by not treating supervisory and confidential employees as a unit; the potential pay disparity between 1) supervisory and organized merit employees, 2) supervisory and confidential employees, and 3) supervisory employees and the Professional and Scientific staff and faculty; and, comparable worth comparisons.

President Pomerantz told Ms. Highland that the Regents have fundamentally the same position as her. They will do whatever they can and are sensitive her concerns.
Ms. Highland said her group would appreciate equitable treatment at least.

Regent Fitzgibbon asked if a motion to do the best they can would be in order.

President Pomerantz stated that Mr. Richey has been sensitive to this issue. The Regents will do the best they can within the resources they have to work with. He said he did not believe a motion was necessary.

ACTION: President Pomerantz stated the Board received the report on legislative activities, by general consent.

BANKING COMMITTEE. The Board Office recommended the Board:

(1) Receive reports on current Banking Committee items.

(2) Adopt A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of $3,900,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series U.N.I. 1992, for the purpose of paying costs of various fire and environmental safety, deferred maintenance, equipment and utility projects on the campus of the University of Northern Iowa.

(3) Adopt A Resolution providing for the sale and award of $3,900,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series U.N.I. 1992, and approving and authorizing the agreement of such sale and award.

(4) Adopt A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of up to $9,940,000 Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1992, for the purpose of refunding the $12,495,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1984, presently outstanding in the principal amount of $8,400,000, heretofore issued by the Board to defray costs of buildings and facilities on the campus of the Iowa State University of Science and Technology.

(5) Adopt A Resolution providing for the sale and award of up to $9,940,000 Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1992, and approving and authorizing the agreement of such sale and award.

(6) Adopt A Resolution authorizing the Iowa State Memorial Union to issue and sell its Promissory Note in a principal amount not to exceed $1,660,000 for the purpose of financing improvements to the Memorial Union Building and refunding the outstanding principal amount of the $1,000,000 Promissory Note dated June 19, 1989.

MOTION: Regent Fitzgibbon moved to adopt A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of
$3,900,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series U.N.I. 1992, for the purpose of paying costs of various fire and environmental safety, deferred maintenance, equipment and utility projects on the campus of the University of Northern Iowa. Regent Williams seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted: AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams. NAY: None. ABSENT: Dorr.

Regent Fitzgibbon moved to adopt A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of up to $9,940,000 Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1992, for the purpose of refunding the $12,495,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1984, presently outstanding in the principal amount of $8,400,000, heretofore issued by the Board to defray costs of buildings and facilities on the campus of the Iowa State University of Science and Technology. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted: AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams. NAY: None. ABSENT: Dorr.

Regent Fitzgibbon moved to adopt A Resolution authorizing the Iowa State Memorial Union to issue and sell its Promissory Note in a principal amount not to exceed $1,660,000 for the purpose of financing improvements to the Memorial Union Building and refunding the outstanding principal amount of the $1,000,000 Promissory Note dated June 19, 1989. Regent Berenstein seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:
AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: Dorr.

Regent Fitzgibbon stated that the rest of the discussion at that morning’s Banking Committee meeting concerned legislative items.

ACTION: President Pomerantz stated the Board received the report of the Banking Committee, by general consent.

SALE OF $3,900,000 ACADEMIC BUILDING REVENUE BONDS, SERIES U.N.I. 1992 AND SALE OF UP TO $9,940,000 ACADEMIC BUILDING REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES I.S.U. 1992. The Board Office recommended the Board, subject to the receipt of acceptable bids:

University of Northern Iowa
Academic Building Revenue Bonds

(1) Adopt A Resolution providing for the sale and award of $3,900,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series U.N.I. 1992, and approving and authorizing the agreement of such sale and award.

(2) Adopt A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of $3,900,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series U.N.I. 1992, for the purpose of paying costs of various fire and environmental safety, deferred maintenance, equipment and utility projects on the campus of the University of Northern Iowa.

Iowa State University
Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds

(1) Adopt A Resolution providing for the sale and award of up to $9,940,000 Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1992, and approving and authorizing the agreement of such sale and award.

(2) Adopt A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of up to $9,940,000 Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1992, for the purpose of refunding the $12,495,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1984, presently outstanding in the principal amount of $8,400,000, heretofore issued by the Board to defray costs of buildings and facilities on the campus of the Iowa State University of Science and Technology.

The receipt and opening of the bids took place at 11:30 a.m. on Wednesday, May 20, 1992.
University of Northern Iowa
Academic Building Revenue Bonds

The proposed action authorizes the sale of $3,900,000 in Academic Building Revenue Bonds to finance various fire and environmental safety, deferred maintenance, equipment and utility projects on the University of Northern Iowa campus. This issuance consists of funding authorized during the 1991 legislative session. This will be the final issuance of the $20 million authorized.

Bond Specifics
Average Maturity: 16.81 Years
Bonds Dated: June 1, 1992
Interest Due: July 1 and January 1 commencing January 1, 1993
Optional Call: Bonds maturing on or after July 1, 2004, are callable, commencing July 1, 2003
Denomination: $5,000 and integral multiples thereof

Iowa State University
Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds

The proposed action authorizes the sale of up to $9,940,000 in Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds for Iowa State University.

The proceeds from the sale of these bonds will be used for the purpose of refunding $12,495,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1984, presently outstanding in the principal amount of $8,400,000.

The refunding is being done for interest rate savings and principal restructurings.

The issue amount will be variable up to the acceptance of the winning bid because the amount of the bond proceeds required to fund the escrow account for the 1984 bonds will be dependent on the actual yield on the bonds.

Bond Specifics
Average Maturity: 7.47 Years
Bonds Dated: June 1, 1992
Interest Due: July 1 and January 1 commencing January 1, 1993
Optional Call: Bonds maturing on or after July 1, 2003, are callable, commencing July 1, 2002
Denomination: $5,000 and integral multiples thereof

Mr. Anderson discussed the sale of $3,900,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series U.N.I. 1992. Three bids were received. Merrill Lynch bid a true interest rate of 5.9527 percent. Chiles Heider Division bid a true interest rate of 5.9136 percent. Piper Jaffray and Hopwood bid a true interest rate of 5.9873 percent. He said the best of the three bids was from Chiles Heider. Their rate of 5.9136 percent was a very excellent rate for a 23-year bond issue. He heartily recommended the Board accept the bid.

MOTION: Regent Berenstein moved to (1) adopt A Resolution providing for the sale and award of $3,900,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series U.N.I. 1992, and approving and authorizing the agreement of such sale and award; and (2) adopt A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of $3,900,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series U.N.I. 1992, for the purpose of paying costs of various fire and environmental safety, deferred maintenance, equipment and utility projects on the campus of the University of Northern Iowa. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: Dorr.

With regard to the sale and award of up to $9,940,000 Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1992, Mr. Anderson stated that three bids were received from the same three parties. He noted that these bonds have a much shorter maturity than the ones previously sold. Merrill Lynch bid a true interest rate of 5.3128 percent. Chiles Heider bid a true interest rate of 5.13172 percent. Piper Jaffray and Hopwood bid a true interest rate of 5.3270 percent. Once again, the best bid was that of Chiles Heider. He then stated that with adjustments based on actual interest rates bid, the final amount of the issue is $9,425,000. Since this was a refunding issue to save interest costs, he stated that the total present value of the savings is just over $533,000. He recommended the Regents accept the bid.
MOTION: Regent Fitzgibbon moved to (1) adopt a Resolution providing for the sale and award of $9,425,000 Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1992, and approving and authorizing the agreement of such sale and award, and (2) adopt a Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of $9,425,000 Academic Building Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1992, for the purpose of refunding the $12,495,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1984, presently outstanding in the principal amount of $8,400,000, heretofore issued by the Board to defray costs of buildings and facilities on the campus of the Iowa State University of Science and Technology. Regent Williams seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: Dorr.

Regent Fitzgibbon stated that Mr. Anderson creates an environment for the universities' bond sales that makes the sales very attractive. He said the Board appreciates Mr. Anderson's efforts.

POLICY ON HARASSMENT AND VIOLENCE. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the proposed policy on Harassment and Violence and direct Regent institutions to develop policies and procedures to carry out the proposed policy.

The proposed policy on harassment and violence attempts to remove all forms of discriminatory harassment and violent conduct at Regent institutions.

This issue has been before the Priority Study Group on Affirmative Action on two separate occasions. Adjustments have been made in the policy to reflect the group's concerns. The study group discussed this issue prior to the Board meeting. A report was presented by the group's chair, Regent Furgerson.

The proposed policy follows closely the University of Iowa's Policy on Violence.
This policy has been endorsed by Regent institutions. This policy is consistent with the provisions of H.F. 2025 (stalking) as signed recently by the Governor.

The policy has been reviewed and approved by the Attorney General's Office. The policy would go into effect immediately.

Regent Furgerson stated that the Priority Study Committee on Affirmative Action agreed to bring this policy to the full Board. There was some concern raised by several Affirmative Action Officers that the responsibility for enforcing the policy really should be university-wide and not something the Affirmative Action Officers have sole responsibility for.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the proposed policy on Harassment and Violence and direct Regent institutions to develop policies and procedures to carry out the proposed policy. Regent Hatch seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

Allocation of Student Activity Fees and Designated Tuition. (a) University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended that the Board approve the university's proposed distribution of the portion of tuition designated for student activities, student services, and building fees for the 1992-93 academic year, including summer session 1992.

A portion of the tuition paid by students is allocated to student services and activities as well as for the costs of buildings supporting these services and activities. The exact amount and the distribution among student activities is determined in consultation with the student government association.

University officials proposed that the allocation be increased 1.9 percent for fall and spring semesters and .9 percent during the summer session. Overall tuition rates for fall and spring are up 7 percent.

The total amount of designated tuition projected for the entire fiscal year is $3.25 million. Because of more conservative enrollment projections, this represents a decline over the 1991/92 allocation of 2.5 percent.

In terms of total dollars the largest categories are the Arena/Recreation Project ($645,036), the Iowa Memorial Union ($580,709), Student Health ($503,012), the Cambus/Bionic Bus ($462,389), and the University of Iowa Student Association ($408,039).

The biggest percentage increase in rate and in projected dollars (29.2 percent in rate and 18 percent in total dollars) goes for the United
Students of Iowa. The University of Iowa has increased its allocation to make it more comparable with that of the other Regent institutions.

Student Publishers Inc., the group which publishes the Daily Iowan, has experienced increased operational costs. Thus, it is projected to receive a 16.4 percent increase in the allocation rate and a 3.4 percent increase in total dollars.

The allocation rate to the student government association, University of Iowa Student Association, will increase 6.2 percent and the increase in dollars will be 1.4 percent. The additional funds will cover greater administrative and oversight costs and contribute toward elimination of a program deficit.

New for 1992-93 is a separate contribution to the Rape Victim Advocacy Program, formerly funded out of the student government association fee.

The Student Committee on Programming and Events (SCOPE) is expected to receive the largest decrease in rate (-57.1 percent) and in projected funds (61.3 percent). In 1991-92 the contribution to SCOPE was projected to be eliminated totally but this was not possible. Savings from reduced funding will be used in overall deficit reduction.

The University of Iowa does not have an athletic fee.

President Pomerantz questioned why these fees were before the Board at this meeting and not in the fall. Interim Vice President True responded that the action the Board takes in the fall establishes a rate. At that time university officials start working with the students on how the funds will be allocated.

Mr. Richey stated that there were two parts to the tuition increase: the general university portion and the special portion.

Regent Fitzgibbon asked when the allocation becomes effective. Interim Vice President True responded that the allocations become effective the coming summer session.

MOTION:

Regent Hatch moved to approve the University of Iowa’s proposed distribution of the portion of tuition designated for student activities, student services, and building fees for the 1992-93 academic year, including summer session 1992. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

(b) Iowa State University. The Board Office recommended that the Board approve the university’s proposed distribution of the portion of tuition
designated for student activities, student services, and building fees for the 1992-93 academic year, including summer session 1992.

A portion of the tuition paid by students is allocated to student services and activities as well as building maintenance and debt service. The exact amount and distribution among student activities is determined in consultation with student government association representatives.

Unlike the other two Regent universities, Iowa State University sets the same rates for designated tuition for the summer session as it does for fall and spring semesters.

University officials proposed an increase in the allocation rate of 5 percent for all semesters. Overall tuition will increase 7 percent.

The total amount of designated tuition projected for the entire fiscal year is approximately $5.5 million. With optimistic enrollment projections, this represents an increase of 6.6 percent over the 1991-92 fiscal year.

The largest allocation categories are Memorial Union Operation ($1,144,644), Student Body Government ($1,061,022), Recreation Facility Debt ($828,075), City University Transit ($673,320), Intercollegiate Athletics ($529,425), Hilton Coliseum Debt ($515,850), and Recreation ($422,997).

The biggest percentage increase in rate ($1.73, 28.5 percent) and in total dollars ($98,787, 30.5 percent) is for Recreation. Student government is slated for the next highest percentage increase ($1.13, 6.1 percent in rate and $76,087, 7.7 percent in dollars). Increased demand for recreation and student programs as well as inflationary cost increases necessitate these changes.

The rate for Memorial Union operation is proposed to increase by $0.95 (4.7 percent) and the dollars to grow by $67,689 (6.3 percent). The fee increase is predicated on greater accessibility.

City bus service funding will experience a modest rate increase of $0.35 (2.9 percent) and increase in dollar allocation ($28,645, 4.4 percent) just in order to maintain current levels of operation.

University officials proposed to create a new reserve for student organization deficits which is projected to bring in $35,295. Commitment of funds from this account will require administrative approval.

Intercollegiate Athletics funding has remained stable over the last five years.
Currently, part-time students taking less than three credits pay no special fees. The university is considering alternatives to designated tuition to be paid by these students.

Vice President Madden stated that an Iowa State University student had asked to address the Board. His concern is really a matter within student government. University administration turns over to student government the allocation of its fees, within separate policies.

President Pomerantz noted that university administration needs to concur in the allocation of fees. Vice President Madden stated that Iowa State University officials do concur with the students’ allocation of fees.

Frank Sebestyen stated that he is chapter chairman at Iowa State University for the national group Young Americans for Freedom. His organization challenges the legality of student fees funding NORML. They also challenge the funding of gender-based, race-based, political and homosexual organizations. If the Board of Regents fails to stop funding of such groups it is the intention of Young Americans for Freedom to file suit against Iowa State University.

MOTION: Regent Williams moved to approve Iowa State University’s proposed distribution of the portion of tuition designated for student activities, student services, and building fees for the 1992-93 academic year, including summer session 1992. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion.

Regent Tyrrell asked if the funds were allotted within university guidelines. President Pomerantz responded affirmatively, stating that the fees were allocated through the university’s student government process.

Greg Fetterman, National Director for Young Americans for Freedom and also the State Chairman in Iowa, stated that the Supreme Court, in the Beck case involving the appropriation of union fees and dues, decided that people cannot be mandated to pay union fees or dues and then those dues be used for political purposes or moral causes that they do not personally agree with. His organization is challenging the university mandating students to pay fees, and then for those funds to be used in a manner that goes against religious and political beliefs of the students.

Eric Hamilton, President of Government of the Student Body at Iowa State University, stated that NORML is not a political organization. It is the National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws. It is a lobbying group which Government of the Student Body classifies as a socio-political action group. The group does not advocate the use of marijuana, rather the reform of the laws and the education about the possible alternative uses of
hemp. As for the legal ramifications, he said it was determined in States
versus Regents of the University of California, the use of mandatory student
fees to fund groups advocating controversial positions does not violate
students' free speech and association rights. It seemed clear to Mr. Hamilton
that the reasons the concerns have been brought up is because the groups that
the Government of the Student Body has decided to fund may be controversial.
They may not be in the mainstream of Iowa State University but the Government
of the Student Body sees itself as providing a setting for diversity -- a
setting for a variety of popular and unpopular opinions of the university.

President Jischke said he believed Mr. Hamilton was absolutely correct.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: The motion carried unanimously.

(c) University of Northern Iowa. The Board Office recommended that the Board
(1) approve the university's proposed distribution of the portion of tuition
designated for student activities, student services, and building fees for the
1992-93 academic year, including summer session 1992, with the exception of
the item dealing with the Building Fund for Student Activity; and (2) require
further study of the rate increase for the Building Fund for Student Activity.

A portion of the tuition paid by students is allocated to student services and
activities as well as for buildings that support those services and
activities. The Northern Iowa Student Government Association provides
recommendations regarding the allocation of student activity fees.

The fee rate for fall and spring semesters will decline by .1 percent while
the summer rate will remain unchanged.

The total amount of dollars projected for 1992-93 is expected to be
approximately $1.7 million. This projection is based on the assumption that
enrollment will remain stable.

Categories of expenditure with large dollar amounts are Intercollegiate
Athletics ($499,100), Fieldhouse Fee ($305,800), Building Fund for Student
Activity ($232,600), and the Student Union ($205,400).

The greatest rate increase is planned for Campus Recreation (17.6 percent).
Dollar amounts are expected to increase by $15,700 (18.7 percent). The
proposed additional support is needed to fund officials and program support
staff such as referees and lifeguards who are all student workers.

The Building Fund for Student Activity needs a rate increase of $1.30 (16.5
percent) and a total dollar amount increase of $33,300 (16.7 percent).
Additional monies will support development of a wellness/recreation facility.
This facility is a priority of Northern Iowa Student Government.
A rate increase of $.60 (8.2 percent) and a dollar amount increase of $15,700 (8.3 percent) will support renovation and repair of the Maucker University Union. This repair and renovation is part of a long range development and maintenance plan established by the Facilities Planning Office.

A rate increase of 5 percent and a dollar increase of 4.4 percent is planned for Intercollegiate Athletics. This fee will fund athletic scholarships supported by student government.

The Student Health fee of $3.50 has been totally eliminated. This elimination was made possible by the establishment by the Board of Regents of a mandatory health fee. The university states that health care costs are fully covered by this mandatory fee.

Vice President Follon requested the Board approve the university’s recommendation.

Michael Dawson, President, Northern Iowa Student Government, elaborated on the funding procedures of the University of Northern Iowa Student Government. He emphasized the student-centered philosophy of University of Northern Iowa. This philosophy is generated by the university’s commitment to the wants, needs and concerns of the very diverse student population. The student activities recommendations before the Regents at this meeting reflect the student-centered philosophy. He described the process for approving student activities fees allocations. The student activities fees are not an additional cost to students. The fees enhance student activities and student services. University of Northern Iowa students are very proud of their procedure. There are approximately 11 students participating in the fees approval process and only two administrators. What was before the Board at this meeting, especially the building line item, is what the students want. He said the building line item was established in 1968. It was a line item with a vision to enhance student services and student activities. Mr. Dawson’s administration wants to spend those dollars now, up to $1.5 million, for planning for the Wellness Center. Student government has also passed a resolution to spend up to one-third of the total cost of the new Performing Arts Center. He then distributed copies of the resolutions to the Regents.

Mr. Richey stated that the grounds for the recommendation to defer the decision until June when the university preliminary budget comes in is because the appropriations are uncertain at this time. Since this is a building fee as opposed to an operating fee, it was Mr. Richey’s judgment that they should wait until they know what flexibility the university has. He stressed that he was not opposed to the building because the building is one of the Board’s highest building priorities.

Mr. Dawson encouraged the Regents to pass the university’s recommendations at this meeting instead of waiting until June.
Mr. Richey said the recommendation is intended to make sure the funds are used in the best interest of the university.

Regent Williams asked why this was being questioned. President Pomerantz responded that this was the only capital issue before the Regents of all the universities' requests.

Regent Johnson said she agreed that the Regents should support the university's recommendation.

MOTION: Regent Fitzgibbon moved to approve the university's proposed distribution of the portion of tuition designated for student activities, student services, and building fees for the 1992-93 academic year, including summer session 1992, and also including the rate increase for the Building Fund for Student Activity. Regent Williams seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

ADOPTION LEAVE POLICY. The Board Office recommended that the Board approve the following policy for non-organized faculty, professional and scientific staff and merit system employees:

A newly adoptive parent is entitled to five days paid leave chargeable to accrued sick leave.

Adoption leave not to exceed five days chargeable to sick leave is currently provided in the collective bargaining agreement covering Regent blue collar, security, technical and clerical employees. The recommended action would provide a like benefit for faculty, professional and scientific and merit system employees who are not covered under a collective bargaining agreement.

The annual cost of the recommended benefit at all institutions and the Board Office is estimated to be under $30,000.

The proposed adoption leave provision is not intended to be a complete parenting leave policy. Parenting leave policies will be proposed for consideration by the Board at a later date.

MOTION: Regent Hatch moved to approve the policy for non-organized faculty, professional and scientific staff and merit system employees, as presented. Regent Berenstein seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.
ANNUAL REPORT ON CHILD CARE. The Board Office recommended that the Board:

(1) receive the annual reports on the child care programs at the University of Iowa, Iowa State University, and the University of Northern Iowa;

(2) encourage Regent universities to continue to assist students and employees to gain access to child care services including such activities as obtaining work-study funds, establishing or expanding child care referral services and participating in community efforts to recruit child care providers;

(3) encourage the child care committees of the three universities to continue to cooperate by sharing ideas and innovations in the delivery of child care services; and

(4) require the universities to continue to provide the Board with annual progress reports on child care programs as well as update five-year plans.

This is the third annual report on the child care programs at the three Regent universities. The 1989 appropriations bill for the Board of Regents included a stipulation to update the 1988 study of child care needs at each institution and develop mechanisms for improving access to child care at the universities.

The first annual reports from the universities included five-year plans for implementing programs and improving access to child care services on the campuses. Subsequent reports included progress reports and updates to the five-year plans.

As in the past the universities have been very successful in cooperating with many different organizations in the private as well as public sectors to improve student and employee access to child services.

Each university offers a flexible benefits program which allows nonbargaining unit employees to establish a spending account to be used for child care. Employees covered under the agreement with AFSCME may elect to take a pre-tax reduction in wages to be paid to an account for allowable dependent care expenses.

The annual reports address progress in the following areas:

University of Iowa

1. Ongoing relationship with the Community Coordinated Child Care (4Cs) referral agency.

2. Continuing efforts to assist the University of Iowa Student Association day care centers to achieve financial stability. With fiscal year 1992
appropriations, the salaries of the directors of the centers were increased to fit the university's salary schedule.

3. Efforts are under way to provide child care facilities for sick children.

4. Ongoing efforts to provide support services to child care providers living in university apartments.

Iowa State University

1. A child care facility has been opened in a Pammel Court unit which serves up to 25 children.

2. Renovation of another Pammel Court unit will be completed this summer to house a center for mildly ill children. Medical assistance to the center will be provided by the ISU Student Health Services and Mary Greeley Hospital.

3. A before- and after-school program was initiated at an Ames elementary school. This program has been operating at near capacity. Other sites are being investigated for additional programs.

4. College work-study funds are made available to employee students at nonprofit community child care centers.

5. The university has continued to collaborate with the community resource and referral agency which was relocated to the university campus last year.

University of Northern Iowa

1. Renovation of portion of the Price Lab School elementary school cafeteria will be completed in the fall. The additional space will increase the capacity of the child care center from 25 to 48 children. The new space will also include the addition of an infant/toddler room.

2. The Department of Residence continues to offer free supervised recreation programs for children who reside in the apartments.

3. The university continues to work with the Child Care Coordination Referral Service of the Exceptional Persons, Inc.

4. Both University of Northern Iowa and EPI continue to offer low-cost or no-cost training/continuing education for child care providers.

Associate Vice President Small highlighted what the University of Iowa is doing and what it plans to do.
Provost Marlin reiterated information provided earlier in the meeting during the presentation on Price Laboratory School that the University of Northern Iowa child care facility is being moved to the Laboratory School where more children can be accommodated. They will start accepting children at the age of two weeks instead of 2 years of age.

Regent Furgerson said she was very happy about that move and change. There is an increasing need for infant care. There is very little opportunity for training people for developmental care of infants. She was glad to see that the University of Northern Iowa is doing that.

Interim Provost Swan stated that Iowa State University is renovating facilities for a child care program for mildly ill children.

Regent Williams stated that child care is one area where she has seen some real progress over the last 5 to 6 years. It is a very significant area of need. She said they should all be complimented.

ACTION:
President Pomerantz stated the Board, by general consent, (1) received the annual reports on the child care programs at the University of Iowa, Iowa State University, and the University of Northern Iowa; (2) encouraged Regent universities to continue to assist students and employees to gain access to child care services including such activities as obtaining work-study funds, establishing or expanding child care referral services and participating in community efforts to recruit child care providers; (3) encouraged the child care committees of the three universities to continue to cooperate by sharing ideas and innovations in the delivery of child care services; and (4) required the universities to continue to provide the Board with annual progress reports on child care programs as well as update five-year plans.

BOARD OFFICE PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the Board Office Register of Personnel Transactions which included the following:

RESIGNATION: SUSAN NEYLON, Associate Director of Business and Finance, effective March 13, 1992; and

RECLASSIFICATION: ANN SLOAN-POWELL from Clerk I to Clerk II effective April 10, 1992.
MOTION:

Regent Berenstein moved to approve the Board Office Register of Personnel Transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

VENDOR WITH CONFLICT OF INTEREST. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the request of the University of Northern Iowa to add the name of Lynn Allen to the standing list of approved vendors with a conflict of interest.

The university wishes to purchase physical conditioning equipment from Lynn Allen, a dealer for the product line of Universal Gym Equipment Company, Inc. in the State of Iowa.

Purchases from university employees must be approved by either the Director of Purchasing or the Purchasing Agents.

MOTION:

Regent Fitzgibbon moved to approve the request of the University of Northern Iowa to add the name of Lynn Allen to the standing list of approved vendors with a conflict of interest. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: Dorr.

CONSENT ITEMS.

Regent Hatch questioned the approval of the non-fee related changes in motor vehicle and bicycle regulations at the universities. Mr. Richey stated that the fee-related changes would come back to the Board in a month. At that time it will not be a consent item because the Board will be establishing a fee.

MOTION:

Regent Berenstein moved, seconded by Regent Furgerson, to approve the consent docket, as follows:

Approve the name change for Soviet and Eastern European Studies at the University of Iowa, effective immediately;

Approve the change in name of the Department of Family and Consumer Sciences Education to the Department of Family and Consumer
Sciences Education and Studies at Iowa State University, effective immediately;

Approve the change in the masters degree in Exercise Science from the Master of Arts to the Master of Science degree at the University of Iowa;

Approve the change in title from the Masters and Ph.D. degrees in Physical Education to the Master and Ph.D. degrees in Exercise Science at the University of Iowa, effective immediately;

Receive the report on the Board Office budget;

Receive the Next Meetings Schedule;

Refer the University of Iowa proposed changes in the listing of approved courses to the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and the Board Office for review and recommendation;

Refer the University of Iowa request to change the name of the merged Departments of Biology and Botany from Department of Biology to the Department of Biological Sciences to the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and the Board Office for review and recommendation;

Refer the Iowa State University request to offer an interdepartmental graduate minor in Linguistics to the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and the Board Office for review and recommendation;

Receive the Iowa State University proposals for changes in Student Residence Halls and University Student Apartments parking permit fees and schedule final action at the June Board meeting, and approve non-fee related changes in traffic and parking regulations for 1992-93 at Iowa State University;
Refer the University of Northern Iowa proposal to establish a Department of Theatre to the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and the Board Office for review and recommendation;

Refer the University of Northern Iowa proposed name change from Department of Communication and Theatre Arts to the Department of Communication Studies to the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and the Board Office for review and recommendation; and

Receive the University of Northern Iowa proposals for changes in parking permit fees and schedule final action at the June Board meeting, and approve the non-fee related change in Motor Vehicle and Bicycle Regulations for 1992-93 at the University of Northern Iowa.

The motion carried unanimously.

REPORT ON THE BOARD OFFICE BUDGET. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report.

Expenditures for the year-to-date and projected expenditures through June 30, 1992, are within the approved budget. Savings are expected in travel for Board Office staff. Those savings are projected to be needed for communications expense.

ACTION: This report was received by consent.

NEXT MEETINGS SCHEDULE. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the Next Meetings Schedule, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 17-18, 1992</td>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 15-16</td>
<td>Lakeside Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 16-17</td>
<td>Iowa State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 21-22</td>
<td>University of Northern Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 18-19</td>
<td>University of Iowa/Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16-17</td>
<td>Iowa State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 20, 1993</td>
<td>Telephonic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 17-18</td>
<td>University of Northern Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 17-18</td>
<td>To be determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 21-22</td>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
President Pomerantz then asked Board members and institutional executives if there were additional general or miscellaneous items for discussion.

Regent Fitzgibbon noted that the Regents are meeting at Okoboji in July. He asked about the progress of the committee that is working on the Lakeside Laboratory facility at Okoboji.

President Pomerantz said there has been a series of meetings. Regent representation has included Hunter Rawlings, Tom Dorr and himself. The committee is continuing to work and would have a report for the Regents meeting in July.

Regent Fitzgibbon asked whether the Regents would receive any information prior to the July meeting. President Pomerantz asked that the Board Office ensure that materials are provided to the Regents prior to the July meeting.

President Pomerantz capsulized the work of the committee. There have been a lot of ideas about various things that can be done with the cooperation of the universities. The Regents' position has been that the ideas are fine but if they are looking for the State of Iowa to fund it, then it is a problem.

Regent Fitzgibbon then asked about the status of the work of the Iowa Higher Education Strategic Planning Council. President Pomerantz stated that Doug Gross' reappointment as Chairman of the Iowa Higher Education Strategic Planning Council was not affirmed by the Senate. Therefore, the Governor needs to make an appointment which he has not yet done.

Regent Fitzgibbon said he felt there were still some areas of vulnerability as far as the Board of Regents is concerned.

President Pomerantz questioned whether the Council could progress any further without someone being appointed to fill the vacancy left by Doug Gross.

Regent Fitzgibbon stated that when this Council began, there was not to be staff or funding for the Council. Now there are consultants involved. He questioned how much is being done that would eventually take away from the Board of Regents.
President Pomerantz said there is still no staff. The Council is not any different than when it started out. It was always contemplated that if there were need for a consultant they would be retained for the purpose and funded by the various segments. When that purpose was accomplished they would be finished with the services of the consultant.

Regent Fitzgibbon asked about the Council's budget. President Pomerantz responded that there is no budget. The participants fund their own participation. Consultants are funded by the various segments.

Regent Fitzgibbon said he thought it was important that some time the Regents analyze the work of the Council for the benefit of the Board members who will still be on the Board of Regents so that they know what is coming.

Regent Williams said that as soon as the Council has a chairperson it would be an good time to have a report.
STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

The following business pertaining to the State University of Iowa was transacted on Wednesday, May 20, 1992.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board ratify personnel transactions, as follows:


MOTION: Regent Berenstein moved to ratify personnel transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

Regent Furgerson said she wished to make a motion in light of the fact that the Board of Regents also serves as trustees of University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved that three Regents, one of whom is the Board President who will appoint two other Regents, be added to the University of Iowa search committee for the Vice President for Health Sciences. Regent Hatch seconded the motion.

Regent Furgerson said she felt that the Regents need to be closer allied to this search. It is a very important appointment and deals with the whole area of health care. Having Regents on the search committee would be very helpful in terms of keeping the Regents up to date on the progress of the search.

Regent Berenstein asked for the total size of the search committee. President Rawlings responded that the committee currently consists of 11 members.

President Pomerantz stated this was a fundamental issue. The Board of Regents is a policy-making group. He noted that this appointment concerned more than University Hospitals. This position oversees the hospital and the whole medical education area. He said the question of micromanagement and what role the Regents should play is what is being brought to bear here, which is inherent in this motion. For a long time he has resisted the Regents becoming too involved in the activities of the universities. The motion on the floor certainly was an expression of concern about the search and selection process. If questions continue to linger the Regents will be more intrusive than they might otherwise be.
President Rawlings said he certainly sympathized with the intent and motivation of the Board. He said the Regents should feel they are very closely aligned with the process. This is a critical position. He then stated that the search committee has been operating for 4 to 5 months. It has hired an executive search firm which is identifying candidates. The search firm is seeking very capable individuals. President Rawlings is pleased with the progress and thinks that should be sufficient.

Regent Fitzgibbon said he felt that the concern was that appointments are announced publicly before university officials have obtained the Board’s approval to hire a particular individual. He suggested that if university officials reviewed candidates with the Regents prior to announcing appointments that might take care of the concerns.

Regent Berenstein said he had the same feeling as Regent Fitzgibbon. He noted that they are also looking for a new dean of the medical school. Should the Board have members on the search committee for the medical school?

President Pomerantz said the fact is that at a provost level position, which covers every aspect of the university campus, the Regents do not seek representation on the search committee. Therefore, why do they seek representation at the medical health science level?

Regent Williams stated that what Regent Furgerson had brought up was the role the Board of Regents plays as the governing body for University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. If they are truly the governing body for University Hospitals, then they should be involved in the search and selection of the person who would be in a supervisory capacity to the director of University Hospitals. This will have an impact on the Regents’ role as trustees to University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics.

Regent Hatch said she felt that the Regents simply have not been kept well enough informed.

Regent Berenstein said the only time the Regents have received information is when they have requested it.

President Pomerantz suggested that this issue is a personnel matter, that the Regents table it for this meeting, hold an executive session next month regarding this personnel matter, and take any appropriate action after an in-depth discussion.

MOTION: Regent Berenstein moved to table this matter. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

CURRICULUM CHANGES. The Board Office recommended the Board refer the university’s proposed curriculum changes to the Interinstitutional Committee.
on Educational Coordination and the Board Office for review and recommendation.

University of Iowa officials submitted its proposed changes in the listing of approved courses. The changes represent a continuing response of the university to the changing educational needs of the students, changing disciplinary emphases, and the vitality of its faculty.

The data indicate that during the period since the last report in the spring of 1991, 359 courses were added to the curriculum and 425 were eliminated for a net decrease of 66 courses.

The number of courses offered increased in six of the nine colleges.

Course additions, deletions, and changes in numbering, titles, and credits result from the regular process of curricular review in all university programs.

A total of 1,282 changes in course listings occurred since the last report. The large number reflects departmental restructuring as recommended by the strategic plan and adjustments made in connection with the biennial preparation of the University Catalog.

ACTION: This matter was referred by consent.

DEVELOPMENTAL ASSIGNMENTS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve two additional Faculty Developmental Assignments for the University of Iowa for the 1992-93 academic year.

In February the Board approved requests from the University of Iowa for developmental assignments for 121 faculty members. This month university officials requested two additional faculty developmental assignments for which no replacement funds will be required.

MOTION: Regent Tyrrell moved to approve two additional Faculty Developmental Assignments for the University of Iowa for the 1992-93 academic year. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

NAME CHANGE - FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES. The Board Office recommended the Board refer the university’s request to the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office for review and recommendation.

In December 1991, the Board of Regents approved the merger of the Departments of Biology and Botany into a Department of Biological Sciences, effective July 1, 1992. Last month university officials received approval to change the
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Title of the merged department to the Department of Biology. This month university officials requested that the name be changed back to the Department of Biological Sciences.

Faculty of the two departments and the College of Liberal Arts prefer the name "Biological Sciences" instead of "Department of Biology" because it implies a broader diversity of interests and approaches to the study of biology.

**ACTION:**

This matter was referred by consent.

**REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS.** The Board Office recommended the Board approve the capital register for the University of Iowa.

**PERMISSION TO PROCEED WITH PROJECT PLANNING**

Remodel for Transgenic Mouse Facility--Oakdale Campus

This project will result in the construction of a facility to produce transgenic mice and rats. Among the many benefits of transgenic animal research is included the ability to perform gene expression and regulation studies and to create animal model simulating human diseases. The availability of such animal models facilitates a detailed analysis of disease etiology, pathophysiology, and potential therapies in an experimentally-manipulatable system. The transgenic mouse facility, which will be operated by the College of Medicine, will serve as a core facility for the entire university.

The facility will consist of 7,330 square feet of space remodeled to animal quarters specifications. This includes completely water-impervious surfaces, waterproof wiring, stainless steel doors and frames, air locks and frequent air changes with close temperature and humidity control. The facility will be located in one of several existing buildings on the Oakdale Campus. The location will be selected as part of the planning process. The project is expected to cost approximately $1.6 million. An application for a grant for 50 percent of the project cost has been submitted to the National Institutes of Health.

Preliminary architectural services will be performed by university Architectural/Engineering Services. University officials may request Board approval to retain a consultant architect or engineer at a future date to assist with the completion of construction documents.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS AND BUDGETS**

University officials submitted one new project for approval by the Board. This project was included in the university's quarterly report of anticipated capital projects.
Dental Science Building--Replace Roof
Source of Funds: 1992 Academic Building Revenue Bonds

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultants</th>
<th>$20,900</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architectural/Engineering Services</td>
<td>17,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>308,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>30,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$376,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This project will replace 45,000 square feet of roofing at the Dental Science Building. The roof is 22 years old and is in need of replacement to prevent further deterioration caused by leaks in the roof. This has resulted in saturation of the roofing insulation and the loss of thermal insulating capacity. The roof replacement is also necessary to avoid potential damage to the facility and its equipment from water penetration. Routine patching and repairs are no longer practical solutions to stop the leaks due to the serious nature of the deterioration.

This project will provide for the removal of the existing built-up roof and insulation down to the structural deck, the abatement of 2,100 linear feet of asbestos flashing material, and the installation of a new bitumen roof system with tapered insulation, associated flashing and walk pads.

This project is part of the deferred maintenance/utilities improvement bond issue authorized in 1991.

* * * * * * *

University officials presented revised budgets on the following projects.

University Hospitals and Clinics--Development of an Eye Institute and Shell Space for the Final Phase of the UIHC's Capital Replacement Program
Source of Funds: University Hospitals Building Usage Funds
Original Budget $18,225,000 Revised Budget $34,531,293
Project Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov. 1991</td>
<td>May 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>$28,420,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural/Engineering</td>
<td>975,000</td>
<td>1,847,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Supervision</td>
<td>750,000</td>
<td>1,421,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,842,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$18,225,000</td>
<td>$34,531,293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A presentation on this project was presented by the following University Hospitals representatives:

John W. Colloton
Director, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics and Assistant to the University President for Statewide Health Services

Thomas A. Weingeist
M.D., Ph.D.
Professor and Head, Department of Ophthalmology

University officials requested approval of a revised budget in the amount of $34,531,293 to reflect the addition of a mechanical and electrical subbasement and construction of shell space for the Final Phase facility.

The Eye Institute project will replace the outmoded ambulatory care clinic, treatment rooms, special function laboratories, faculty and support staff offices and conference rooms, and teaching facilities for the Department of Ophthalmology. The project will also provide for a Family Care Clinic and Ambulatory Renal Dialysis Suite. The plans for replacing these services principally involve the construction of two levels, with the Eye Institute developed on the first level and the Family Care Clinic and Ambulatory Patient Renal Dialysis Suite to be located on the lower level.

Construction of the Eye Institute is the initial project in the final phase of the University Hospitals Capital Replacement Program. This project will establish a base for the future development of most of the other projects included in the final phase of the program.

In the course of detailed planning and space programming, it became apparent that a mechanical/electrical subbasement would be needed for the Eye Institute to provide adequate space for accommodating all equipment necessary for supporting the Final Phase facility without compromising space for the Family...
Care Clinic and Renal Dialysis Suite. For this reason, a mechanical subbasement of approximately 72,000 gross square feet with a connecting link to the John Pappajohn Pavilion was included in the project to house all essential building support equipment and preclude the need for the additional expense of constructing mechanical penthouses in the future.

As presented to the Board in December 1991, the upper floors of the Final Phase facility will be developed to accommodate an Ear, Nose and Throat and Dental Institute, the Iowa Women’s Health Center, a Geriatrics Clinic, Internal Medicine clinics, and facilities for essential support services. As the university develops plans for future clinical and support units which will be developed on the upper levels of the Final Phase facility, it is obvious that shelling-in the upper floors as part of the Eye Institute project will yield very significant savings in construction costs, as well as provide a number of important functional benefits.

The base bid for the Eye Institute project will consist of the construction of shell space on three floors, completion of the Eye Institute on the first level, and finishing of space on the subbasement level for mechanical and electrical equipment. The project bids will also include add alternates to construct shell space on levels two, three, four and five to take advantage of the substantial construction cost savings and functional benefits that will result from completing this shell space in the initial construction. The finishing of each of these future elements of the Final Phase facility will be submitted to the Board for approval as funding becomes available.

Mr. Colloton introduced Richard Hansen and Brad Beavers of the architectural firm of Hansen Lind Meyer, Dr. Weingeist and John Staley.

Mr. Hansen thanked the Regents for allowing his firm to be part of this capital replacement program over the last 20 years. He then gave a slide presentation.

Dr. Weingeist reviewed the booklet the Regents had been provided with.

MOTION: Regent Berenstein moved to approve the revised budget for University Hospitals and Clinics—Development of an Eye Institute and Shell Space for the Final Phase of the UIHC’s Capital Replacement Program. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

Art Building—Fire Safety Deficiencies Correction
Source of Funds: Academic Building Revenue Bonds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$272,725</td>
<td>$322,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Project Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>June 1991</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>May 1992</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td>$216,100</td>
<td>$254,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design, Inspection and Administration</strong></td>
<td>$35,025</td>
<td>$58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contingency</strong></td>
<td>$21,600</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$272,725</strong></td>
<td><strong>$322,500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University officials requested approval of a revised budget in the amount of $322,500 to accommodate scope changes necessary for the relocation of a set of basement exit stairs. This stair relocation has resulted in additional mechanical and electrical costs and additional design effort.

In addition, the administrative costs for this project were higher than expected due to the necessity to inspect the three separate components of the project (Physical Plant, general contract, fire alarm). These three components also required work in multiple wings of the Art Building necessitating multiple time schedules and testing of alarm systems.

## Library—Replace Roof

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Budget $750,000</th>
<th>Amended Budget $750,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>April 1991</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>May 1992</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td>$624,000</td>
<td>$624,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design, Inspection and Administration</strong></td>
<td>$63,600</td>
<td>$63,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contingencies</strong></td>
<td>$62,400</td>
<td>$62,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$750,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$750,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source of Funds:**
- Building Renewal Funds or Income from Treasurer’s Temporary Investments $750,000
- Academic Building Revenue Bonds or Treasurer’s Temporary Investments $750,000

**TOTAL** $750,000 $750,000
University officials requested approval of an amended budget to reflect a change in the source of funds to include Academic Building Revenue Bonds. This project is part of the deferred maintenance/utilities improvement bond issue authorized in 1991. The budget was being amended to reflect the availability of these funds.

* * * * * *

University officials reported three new projects with budgets of less than $250,000 which were included in the university's quarterly report of anticipated capital projects. The titles, source of funds and estimated budgets for the projects were listed in the register prepared by the university.

* * * * * *

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER AGREEMENTS

Main Power Plant Capacity Study $59,800
Stanley Consultants, Inc., Muscatine, Iowa

University officials requested approval of an agreement with Stanley Consultants to provide engineering services for this project, which consists of a study to review mechanical equipment and piping systems in existing Main Power Plant. The study will determine improvements which will enable the plant to operate reliably and efficiently and will fully utilize the maximum-rated boiler capacity.

The agreement provides for fixed fee of $59,800, including reimbursables.

University Hospitals and Clinics--Overhead Connecting Link Between the UIHC Main Entrance and Parking Ramp #2 $40,910
Hansen Lind Meyer, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa

This project will develop a weather-protected, temperature-controlled overhead pedestrian walkway linking the second level of Parking Ramp #2 to the second level of University Hospitals at the new main entrance canopy. The walkway will enable patients and visitors to avoid inclement weather and vehicular traffic and will allow improved handicapped accessibility.

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Hansen Lind Meyer to provide design services for this project. The agreement provides for a fixed fee of $40,910, including reimbursables.

University Hospitals and Clinics--Main Entrance Site Development and Road Reconfiguration $37,890
Hansen Lind Meyer, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa
This project involves final site development of land on the west side of the University Hospitals new main entrance.

University officials requested approval of an agreement with Hansen Lind Meyer to provide design services for this project. The agreement provides for a fixed fee of $37,890, including reimbursables.

Dental Science Building--Replace Roof
Howard R. Green Company, Cedar Rapids, Iowa

University officials requested approval of an agreement with Howard R. Green Company to provide engineering services on this project, which will replace 45,000 square feet of the Dental Science Building roof. The agreement provides for a fixed fee of $17,400, including reimbursables.

Utility and Infrastructure Support Planning
Shive-Hattery Engineers and Architects, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa

For the past several months university officials have been performing a comprehensive examination of utility and other support infrastructure needs, in particular, those needs on the west campus. The study involves an assessment of current capacities, service requirements and replacement needs, future requirements for the University Hospitals and Clinics capital program, academic facility improvements and expansion needs, and requirements of the Department of Athletics and other west campus auxiliary facilities.

The study, scheduled for completion by June 30, 1992, will result in plans for providing utilities and infrastructure support including steam, electricity, chilled water, domestic water, sanitary and storm sewers, parking, vehicular roadways and pedestrian pathways. Although the plan is not complete, portions of the work have been sufficiently completed to bring to the Board several specific utility expansion projects which represent immediate needs, such as the West Campus Chilled Water Plant Expansion project.

University officials requested approval to authorize technical assistance in the completion of the comprehensive plan to determine the west campus distribution system expansion needs by studying the routing of distribution systems for chilled water, domestic water, steam and electricity, as well as routing for storm and sanitary sewer lines. The utility expansion will require close coordination between Physical Plant staff, University Hospitals staff and the City of Iowa City, which can best be accomplished by a local engineering firm.

University officials proposed to negotiate an agreement with Shive-Hattery Engineers and Architects of Iowa City for these services. The firm has provided project engineering for many utilities in the area of the University Hospitals expansion. The firm is knowledgeable of existing utilities and has

890
experience working with the Physical Plant Utility Department. This agreement would be funded by Utility Enterprise funds.

Amendments:

Bowen Science Building--Replace Roof
Howard R. Green Company, Cedar Rapids, Iowa
$22,120

University officials requested approval of an amendment in the amount of $22,120 to the agreement with Howard R. Green Company. The amendment will provide for construction observation and administrative services during the construction phase of the project.

Amendment No. 1 will not result in an increase in the total project budget.

Main Power Plant--Coal Silo No. 3 Repair/Reconstruction--Phase II
Stanley Consultants, Inc., Muscatine, Iowa
$18,150

University Hospitals and Clinics--Two West HVAC Modifications and Structural Fireproofing
Wehner Pattschull and Pfiffner, Iowa City, Iowa
$7,000

Medical Laboratories--Animal Care Remodeling
Wehner Pattschull and Pfiffner, Iowa City, Iowa
$3,344

University Hospitals and Clinics--Surgery Faculty Office Consolidation
Hansen Lind Meyer, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa
$1,630

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

Art Building--Fire Safety Deficiencies Corrections
McComas-Lacina Construction Company, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa
$139,825

Four bids were received for this project on April 22, 1992. The low bid in the amount of $139,825 exceeded the engineering estimate by approximately 17.7 percent. The range of the four bids was approximately 3.7 percent. Given the nature of the bids, university officials requested approval to award the contract to the low bidder.

University Hospitals and Clinics--Pappajohn Pavilion Atrium and Exit Corridor Completion
Award to: Mid-America Construction Company of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa
$517,100
(2 bids received)

Bowen Science Building--Replace Roof
Award to: D. C. Taylor Company, Cedar Rapids, Iowa
$494,730
(3 bids received)
University Hospitals and Clinics--Neurology Clinic Expansion and Renovation
Award to: Aanestad Construction, Coralville, Iowa
(5 bids received)

West Campus Chilled Water Plant Expansion--Ceramic Cooling Tower
Award to: Tower Engineering, Inc., Fort Worth, TX
(2 bids received)

University Hospitals and Clinics--Boyd Tower Water Pipe Replacement
Award to: Bowker Mechanical Contractors, Inc., Cedar Rapids, Iowa
(7 bids received)

Rienow Hall--Replace Piping
Award to: AAA Mechanical Contractors, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa
(5 bids received)

Rienow Hall--Replace Piping--Asbestos Abatement
Award to: Curry Environmental Services, Inc., Milan, IL
(3 bids received)

Bowen Science Building--Replace Roof--Asbestos Abatement
Award to: D. C. Taylor Company, Cedar Rapids, Iowa
(6 bids received)

CHANGE ORDERS TO CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

University Hospitals and Clinics--A Clinical Cancer Center and Topping Out of the John Pappajohn Pavilion
Mid-America Construction Company of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa

University officials requested approval of Change Order 25 in the amount of $45,087 which involves increasing lead shielding from 1/16 inch to 1/2 inch in rooms 3778, 3775 and 3774 of the Clinical Cancer Center. These rooms will be used for the administration of radioactive sources for the treatment of certain types of cancers. The University of Iowa Health Protection Office and the University Hospitals Radiology Department conducted field tests and evaluations to assure that the lead shielding would provide adequate protection during these radiation therapy treatments. These tests and calculations determined that additional lead protection would be required to meet radiation safety requirements.

ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Laser Laboratory Building (Iowa Advanced Technology Laboratories)
Seedorff Masonry, Inc., Strawberry Point, Iowa
MOTION: Regent Williams moved to approve the capital register for the University of Iowa, as presented. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

LEASE OF PROPERTIES AND TENANT PROPERTY RATES. The Board Office recommended the Board approve leases and agreements, as follows:

With Duke Development Corporation, Sigourney, Iowa, for the university’s use of approximately 1,609 square feet of office space in Sigourney, Iowa, for use by the College of Medicine’s Institute of Agricultural Medicine and Occupational Health, at an annual base rental of $8,400 ($700 per month, $5.22 per square foot), plus annual payments of $5,400 for leasehold improvements equal to $1.73 per square foot per year, for an initial three-year period with renewal options for two five-year periods, for a total 13-year period.

With Dennis M. Henderson for 286 square feet of business incubator space in the Technology Innovation Center on the Oakdale Campus at an annual rental of $1,716 ($143 per month, $6 per square foot), for a one-year period.

With Breakthrough, Inc., for 1,078 square feet of business incubator space in the Technology Innovation Center on the Oakdale Campus at an annual rental of $9,162.96 ($763.58 per month, $8.50 per square foot), for a six-month period.

WITH various tenants in the university-owned apartments, rooms, duplexes and houses for 101 units ranging in rent from $85 to $720, for a term established by the university not to exceed one year and not beyond the date of June 30, 1993.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve leases and agreements, as presented. Regent Berenstein seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:
AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: Dorr.

President Pomerantz then asked Board members and institutional executives if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to the University of Iowa.

Regent Williams stated that last month the Regents discussed a couple of items that were previously raised relating to the number of deans, associate deans...
and assistant deans at the University of Iowa. She asked if they could set a
time for a report to be presented to the Board on that issue.

Vice President Nathan stated that University of Iowa officials had sent a
report to Dr. Barak prepared by the College of Dentistry. Dr. Barak noted
that he had not seen the report which Vice President Nathan referred to. It
was his understanding that the report was mailed yesterday or the day before;
therefore, it should be in the Board Office soon.

Regent Williams said it was her understanding that the report was to be more
than just a College of Dentistry report. There seems to be a differential
among the different colleges and she thought the request was made of all the
colleges.

Vice President Nathan said it was his understanding that the request was
confined to the deans and associate deans of the College of Dentistry.

Regent Furgerson said she recalled that the discussion was about the College
of Dentistry having seven deans and the ratio of deans per students in
comparison with the other colleges on campus.

President Pomerantz said what was being requested was a comparative analysis
from all the colleges including the College of Dentistry and an explanation
for any substantial variations.

Vice President Nathan said the College of Dentistry has done that. It points
out that the comparison is apt between the University of Iowa College of
Dentistry and other colleges of Dentistry. Perhaps after the Regents receive
the report they could indicate whether or not the information provided was
satisfactory.

President Pomerantz said the Board Office would review the report which had
been submitted. If further information was needed Mr. Richey would contact
University of Iowa officials so that, perhaps, by the next meeting the
information could be brought to the Board.
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

The following business pertaining to Iowa State University was transacted on Wednesday, May 20, 1992.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve personnel transactions, as follows:

Register of Personnel Changes for March 1992 which included:

(a) early retirement approvals as follows:

JACOB BOON, Systems Control Technician, retiring June 5, 1992;

JANICE A. BERAN, Professor of Physical Education and Leisure Studies, retiring May 20, 1992;

JOHN T. MC CONNELL, Manager of Engineering Research Institute Machine Shop, retiring June 30, 1992; and

PHYLLIS G. MILLER, Associate Director of the Student Counseling Service, retiring June 30, 1992;

(b) phased retirement plans as follows:

PAUL R. BOND, Associate Professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Engineering, retiring May 20, 1997;

DALE D. GROSVENOR, Associate Professor of Computer Science and Computation Center, retiring May 31, 1997; and

HARRINGTON C. BREARLEY, JR., Professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, retiring May 21, 1995.

(c) request for approval of the appointment of JOHN J. KOZAK, Provost and Professor of Chemistry, effective July 1, 1992, at an annual salary of $140,000; and

Presented for the Board’s information was the appointment of JANET S. PADGITT, Interim Affirmative Action Officer, effective April 20, 1992, through December 31, 1992, at a fiscal year 1992 salary of $53,835.

MOTION: Regent Berenstein moved to approve the university’s personnel transactions, as
presented. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF FACULTY SENATE BASIC DOCUMENT CHANGES. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the requested change in the "Basic Document" of the Iowa State University Faculty Senate.

The Faculty Senate of Iowa State University has approved and the university administration recommended a change in its Basic Document. The change would remove the list of specific central administrative titles from the Basic Document and put them in the Faculty Senate Rules and Bylaws. The change would eliminate the need for frequent changes in the Basic Document of the Faculty Senate due to periodic changes in administrative titles.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the requested change in the "Basic Document" of the Iowa State University Faculty Senate. Regent Berenstein seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF INTERDEPARTMENTAL GRADUATE MINOR IN LINGUISTICS. The Board Office recommended the Board refer the university's request to the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office for review and recommendation.

University officials indicated that in response to requests from graduate students in several disciplines, Linguistics faculty members were proposing an interdepartmental graduate minor in Linguistics. The minor will be composed of Linguistics courses currently offered in Anthropology, Computer Science, English, Foreign Languages and Literatures, Psychology, and Speech Communication.

Nine semester credits will be required for the Master's degree minor and twelve credits for the Ph.D. minor.

University officials reported that the minor is designed:

- To provide an opportunity for graduate students to receive systematic training in linguistic research that will complement work in their major disciplines.

- To assure the quality of background preparation of graduate students writing theses or dissertation using language materials or linguistic methods.

- To provide formal recognition of student achievement and expertise in linguistics.
The request was approved by the Graduate College, the Faculty Senate, and the university administration.

**ACTION:** This matter was referred by consent.

**REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS.** The Board Office recommended that the capital register for Iowa State University be approved.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS AND BUDGETS**

University officials submitted seven new projects for approval by the Board. These projects were included in the university's quarterly report of anticipated capital projects.

**Armory--Replace Tile Roof**

*Source of Funds: 1992 Building Repair Funds*  
*$350,000*

**Preliminary Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>36,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>8,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Services</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>22,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$350,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The roof of the Armory has leaked in various places for several years. The leakage has damaged some areas of the structural gypsum roof deck and threatens to create a condition that is beyond repair. Repeated attempts to repair the roof have only succeeded in temporarily reducing the amount of leakage. It has been concluded that the only solution is a complete replacement of the roofing material.

This project will replace the clay tile roof of the Armory with materials having a longer life and requiring minimal maintenance. This project will also repair water damage to the structural roof deck.

**Gilman Hall--North Wing--Replace HVAC System**  
*$1,100,000*

*Source of Funds: 1992 Building Repair Funds*
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
May 20, 1992

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$ 850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>106,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>27,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Services</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Automation</td>
<td>12,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>83,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,100,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This project will address problems with the HVAC system which have existed for many years in the north wing of Gilman Hall (Gilman Addition). The current system consists of two-pipe, through-wall unit ventilators which are essentially inoperable. The system is in such a condition that creates a very high probability of a building-closing winter freeze-up.

**Parks Library--Replace Roof Sections A Through E and H**

Source of Funds: 1992 Building Repair Funds

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$ 195,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>26,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>6,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Services</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>24,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$255,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The roof of the Parks Library has leaked in various places for several years. The leaks are a threat to cause serious damage to the library collections. This project will replace all areas of the roof except those over the newest addition and the mechanical penthouse.

**Knapp and Maple Halls--Elevator Modernization**

Source of Funds: Dormitory System Surplus Funds

$500,000
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
May 20, 1992

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$454,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The geared-traction elevators at Knapp and Maple Halls are part of the original equipment for the buildings, which were constructed in 1967 and 1968. This project will upgrade and modernize the complete vertical transportation system at each facility (two elevators in each building). Completion of this project will provide the student residents of Knapp and Maple Halls with dependable and maintainable vertical building transportation.

The project scope of work will include the replacement of the elevator cabs with stainless steel removable panels and other vandal-resistant accessory items. New solid state elevator controllers and drives will be installed to improve floor-to-floor speeds and response time to hall calls. These programmable features will provide each facility with state-of-the-art vertical transportation equipment and improved building security.

Willow and Wilson Halls--Facade Improvements

Source of Funds: Dormitory System Surplus Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preliminary Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Admin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This project will renovate the exterior facade of Willow and Wilson Halls in order to eliminate maintenance problems associated with air and water migration into the interior of these buildings. The project scope of work will include the replacement of caulking joints around the perimeters of all pre-cast and cast concrete panels, painting of all steel window and door frames, plaster repairs on the underside of the cantilevered portions of the building, reglazing of all windows, tuckpointing and brick replacement as necessary.

Americans with Disabilities Act--Self-Evaluation Study

Source of Funds: General University Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preliminary Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Americans with Disabilities Act--Self-Evaluation Study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminary Budget

Design Services $150,000

TOTAL $150,000

The Americans with Disabilities Act is landmark federal legislation that gives civil rights protection to individuals with disabilities similar to those provided to individuals on the basis of race, sex, national origin, age and religion. The Act guarantees equal opportunity for individuals with disabilities in public accommodations, employment, transportation, state and local government services, and telecommunications.

The university falls under the provisions of Title II of the Americans With Disabilities Act for state and local government services. Under these provisions the university is required to prepare a self-evaluation study identifying existing barriers to disabled individuals in obtaining employment and public services provided by the institution. This study is required to be completed before January 26, 1993. The university is also required to prepare a transition plan outlining how it intends to remove the barriers identified in the study. All barriers are required to be removed by January 26, 1995.

This project points out a possible conflict with Board policy with respect to the advertising, selecting, negotiating with and employing consultants prior to Board approval. Capital policies and procedures will be reviewed and, where necessary, modified to ensure adequate review of such projects.

Ross Hall--Rooms 15 and 115--Remodel Computer Laboratories $261,500

Source of Funds: General University Funds

Preliminary Budget

Design Services $8,000
University Services 78,000
Movable Equipment 167,500
Project Reserve 8,000

TOTAL $261,500

This project will remodel two existing classrooms into computer writing laboratories to accompany 24 and 26 students each. These rooms will complement almost identical facilities that were created in Room 137 in 1988 and Room 37 in 1991.

Since this remodeling project will be addressing a critical need, design and construction must be expedited in order to have the facilities operational by 900
August 1992. Consequently, design and construction services will be provided by university personnel.

University officials presented revised budgets on the following projects.

**Iowa State University Campus Master Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$210,000</td>
<td>$227,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 1990</td>
<td>May 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>$199,000</td>
<td>$219,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Admin.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Services</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$210,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$227,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: Overhead Reimbursement for Use of Facilities, Income from Treasurer's Temporary Investments, or Building Repair Fund

University officials requested approval of a revised budget in the amount of $227,000, which is an increase of $17,000 from the original project budget approved in September 1990. This increase is due to the university approving additional services and reimbursable expenses to the consultant's original agreement.
Campanile Renovation

Original Budget $350,000
Revised Budget $500,000

### Project Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Category</th>
<th>Original Budget Feb. 1992</th>
<th>Revised Budget May 1992</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$389,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>$52,750</td>
<td>$52,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>$7,978</td>
<td>$9,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Services</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>$31,272</td>
<td>$39,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Original Budget Feb. 1992</th>
<th>Revised Budget May 1992</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISU Foundation</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General University Funds or</td>
<td></td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from Treasurer’s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Investments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In February 1992 the Board approved an agreement with Rietz Engineering Consultants to conduct a feasibility study to determine the detailed scope and cost estimate of the building repairs. This study has determined that the structural deterioration of the building is much greater than originally estimated.

The Rietz report recommends reconstruction of the top 20 feet of the 110 feet tall structure. It is anticipated that some existing materials can be reused, but a substantial portion of the terra cotta trim and all of the copper roof will be replaced with new materials.

University officials requested approval of a revised budget based on the findings of the Rietz study. This revised budget in the amount of $500,000 includes only the work necessary to maintain the integrity of the building and does not include renovation of the carillon and clock mechanism. Renovation of the carillon, including the bells, playing mechanism and clockworks will proceed after the building reconstruction project as funds become available. Funding for the carillon and clock portion of the project will be provided primarily through private fund raising and the income from a $750,000 endowment that has been given to the ISU Foundation. These costs are estimated to total an additional $250,000.
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September 1989 Budget $1,098,700
Revised Budget $1,100,855

**Project Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Approved Sept. 1989</th>
<th>Revised Budget May 1992</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Contracts</td>
<td>$785,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Order Contract</td>
<td>1,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>76,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>27,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Services</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>4,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Extensions</td>
<td>30,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Equipment</td>
<td>20,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Work</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Automation</td>
<td>52,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>87,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$1,098,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget Approved 1989</th>
<th>Revised Budget 1992</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Building Revenue Bonds</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry Contributions or Income from Treasurer's Temporary Investments</td>
<td>236,600</td>
<td>24,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Grant Funds</td>
<td>62,100</td>
<td>276,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Repair Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$1,098,700</td>
<td>$1,100,855</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University officials requested approval of a revised budget in the amount of $1,100,855, which is an increase of $2,155 from the last approved budget of $1,098,700 in September 1989. The delay in construction completion of eleven months beyond the scheduled completion time has resulted in the budget overrun. Building Repair funds have been added as a fund source.

* * * * * *

University officials reported 33 new projects with budgets of less than $250,000 which were included in the university's quarterly report of anticipated capital projects. The titles, source of funds and estimated
budgets for the projects were listed in the register prepared by the university.

* * * * * *

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER AGREEMENTS

Utilities--City of Ames Tie Line
Brown Engineering Company, Des Moines, Iowa
(Engineering Services)

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Brown Engineering Company to provide engineering services for this project. These services will include the design and installation of 13.8 KV power wiring, instrument and control circuits and associated conduits for the interconnection of electrical equipment at the new substation and between the substation and the heating plant.

The agreement provides for a fixed fee of $20,750, including reimbursables.

Campanile Renovation
Rietz Engineering Consultants, Ames, Iowa
(Architectural/Engineering Services)

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Rietz Engineering Consultants to provide design services for this project. The agreement provides for a fixed fee of $42,000, including reimbursables.

Parks Library--Replace Roof Sections A Through E and H
Rietz Engineering Consultants, Ames, Iowa
(Architectural/Engineering Services)

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Rietz Engineering Consultants to provide design services for this project, which will replace sections of the Parks Library roof.

The agreement provides for a fixed fee of $25,500, including reimbursables.

Americans with Disabilities Act--Self-Evaluation Study
FORESITE RDG, Des Moines, Iowa
(Architectural Services)

University officials requested approval of a negotiated agreement with FORESITE RDG to assist with Phase I of the self-evaluation study. This phase will survey seven representative campus buildings and one campus area and will serve as a prototype to develop procedures and methods for surveying, organizing and analyzing data, and standardizing design solutions to barrier removal, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act.
The agreement for Phase I provides for a fixed fee of $86,000, including reimbursables.

Amendments:

Sweeney Hall--Addition and Renovation
Brown Healey Stone and Sauer, P.C., Cedar Rapids, Iowa
$4,600

Iowa State University Campus Master Plan
Sasaki Associates, Inc., Watertown, MA
$20,000

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

1992 Institutional Roads Projects--South Fourth Street Reconstruction

University officials requested Board approval to waive Chapter 9.13.D. of the Board of Regents Procedural Guide, which requires Board approval of construction contracts for Institutional Roads projects. They requested that the Executive Director be authorized to award the contract, subject to the receipt of acceptable bids.

Bids will be received for the construction contract for this project on May 19, 1992. Chapter 9.13.D. of the Board of Regents Procedural Guide would require the university to request Board approval of the contract award at the June meeting. However, university officials were anxious to begin the project and wished to avoid a delay until June. They requested that the Executive Director be authorized to award this contract, subject to the receipt of acceptable bids, rather than delaying the award for Board approval at the June meeting. The university would report the award on the June register for Board ratification.

ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Molecular Biology Building--Bid Package No. 61
Montgomery Elevator Company, West Des Moines, Iowa

Molecular Biology Building--Bid Package No. 93C
Environmental Growth Chambers, Chagrin Falls, OH

Molecular Biology Building--Bid Package No. 44
Downing Construction, Inc., Indianola, Iowa

Molecular Biology Building--Bid Package No. 92A
Gibbco Scientific, Inc., Coon Rapids, MN

Marston Hall--Remodel Classrooms 204 and 205--Phase III
Pratt Audio-Visual Corporation, Cedar Rapids, Iowa
Utilities--Increased Chilled Water Capacity--Phase I--Mechanical Package No. 2
Cunningham, Inc., Oskaloosa, Iowa

Utilities--Increased Chilled Water Capacity--Phase I--Mechanical Package No. 6
Manning-Seivert Mechanical Contractors, Inc., Granger, Iowa

Utilities--Increased Chilled Water Capacity--Phase I--Mechanical Package No. 4
Proctor Mechanical Corporation, Des Moines, Iowa

Molecular Biology Building--Bid Package No. 91
Kewaunee Scientific Corporation, Statesville, NC

Utilities--Increased Chilled Water Capacity--Phase I--Mechanical Package No. 3
Speck Plumbing, Inc., Ames, Iowa

FINAL REPORTS
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MOTION: Regent Williams moved to approve the capital register for Iowa State University, as presented. Regent Hatch seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF LEASES. The Board Office recommended the Board approve leases, as follows:

With Espeland Marketing and Research, Inc., for 165 square feet of business incubator space in the Iowa State Innovation System at the ISU Research Park at an annual rental of $3,000 ($250 per month, $18.18 per square foot), for a six-month period.

With AdapTech for 100 square feet of business incubator space in the Iowa State Innovation System at the ISU Research Park at an annual rental of $1,200 ($100 per month, $12 per square foot), for a three-month period.

WITH Sherman Associates for two additional blocks of space located in the Sherman Place Office Building in Ames, Iowa, at an annual rental of $13,068 for Block A ($1,089 per month, $9.50 per square foot) and $11,184 for Block B ($932 per month, $9.50 per square foot).

With the Iowa State University Book Store, the Administrative Data Processing Department, and the University Copy Center, for increases in the annual rental rates ranging from $.10 to $.25 per square foot for each of these tenants.
MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve leases, as presented. Regent Berenstein seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:
AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: Dorr.

TRAFFIC AND PARKING REGULATIONS FOR 1992-93. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive Iowa State University’s proposals for changes in Student Residence Halls and University Student Apartments parking permit fees and schedule final action at the June Board meeting and (2) approve non-fee related changes in traffic and parking regulations for 1992-93 at Iowa State University.

Fee Related Changes Requiring 30 Days Notification to Students:

Iowa State University officials proposed to increase parking permit fees at Student Residence Halls and University Student Apartments as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Residence Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Semester</td>
<td>$24</td>
<td>$32</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Semester</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$16</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Session</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Student Apartments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Car</td>
<td>No Charge</td>
<td>No Charge</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Car</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 262.9(18) of the Code of Iowa and section 2.09 of the Procedural Guide require notification to students 30 days prior to action by the Board to increase tuition, charges, or fees at the Regent institutions.

Per the Procedural Guide, written notification of the amount of the proposed increases and a copy of the docket memorandum were mailed to the student government president of Iowa State University at the student government office as listed in the university directory.

To allow for the required 30-day notification period, final action on the changes in fees can not take place until the June Board meeting.

The cost of operating the traffic system and the construction and maintenance of parking lots comes from permit fees, fines, and penalties.

Planned major improvements in the residence hall parking lots are continuing.
The Residence Department recommended the fee increases and the recommendations were approved by the university's Transportation Advisory Council.

Other Changes That Do Not Require Student Notification:

The following proposed new provision would be added to section 4.31(262) - "Department of Residence Parking 681-4.30" - of the University's Traffic and Parking Rules and Regulations:

"Residence Hall and University Student Apartment parking permits are valid only as long as the permit holder is a resident of the residence hall or university student apartment for which the permit was originally issued. Residence Hall parking permits and University Student Apartment parking permits can not be transferred between individuals. The permit holder, upon moving from the residence hall or university student apartment, should contact the Parking System Office to determine eligibility for refund of the unused portion of the parking permit."

A paragraph dealing with the prorated purchase of parking permits by faculty and staff were deleted, and its language incorporated in another paragraph. There are no changes in fees for faculty and staff permits.

ACTION: This matter was approved by consent.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY BROADCASTING REVIEW AND REASSESSMENT OF WOI-TV. The Board Office recommended that the Board:

(1) receive the Iowa State University report which evaluates the four proposals for purchase of WOI-TV;

(2) reject all bids;

(3) give consideration to President Jischke's recommendation to reject all bids and authorize development and implementation of a strategic plan to improve the financial performance of the station;

(4) give consideration to the offer submitted by Citadel Communications, Inc. dated May 14, 1992, or any other revised, or new, proposals that may be forthcoming; and

(5) if the station is to be retained by the university, direct the university to initiate by the beginning of the fall 1992 semester a system which charges fair market value for all WOI-TV services provided to operating units of the university.

The Board Office reported that in September of 1991 the Board of Regents directed Iowa State University through the Iowa State University Equities Corporation to invite proposals for the purchase of the station and to
submit in February of 1992 the best proposal received for consideration by
the Board.

The Board directed Iowa State University through the Iowa State University
Equities Corporation to establish a trust fund creating an endowment. The
proceeds from any sale of the station would be directed to certain specified
programs and university strategic planning initiatives.

The report to the Board in September 1991 noted continued concern about the
financial performance of the television station. Cash flow was less than
projected and the profit margin significantly below the average of network­
affiliate stations in similar markets.

In February 1992 the Iowa State University administration and the Board
Office recommended the sale of WOI-TV to the Iowa Television Group, Inc. for
$14 million. These recommendations were based on two appraisals of WOI-TV:

Bortz and Company $13.5 - $15.5 million
Kagan Media Appraisals, Inc. $12.3 million.

The Board Office reported that the calculated present value of continued
operation of WOI-TV is $12.2 million.

Four organizations submitted proposals for purchase of the station under the
revised request for bids: Benedek Broadcasting Corporation, Channel 31
Management, Citadel Communications, and Federal Broadcasting Corporation.
Dollar amounts of each bid were:

Benedek Broadcasting Corporation $13 million (with promissory note);
Channel 31 Management $200;
Citadel Communications, Inc. $12.7 million (with promissory note);
Federal Broadcasting Company $9.5 million (cash) or
$11 million (with promissory note).

The Board Office reported that none of the proposals met all the
specifications in the university's request for proposals.

University officials, Duff and Phelps (a financial consulting firm), and
legal counsel evaluated all of the submitted proposals. Duff and Phelps
concluded that all of the offers involving promissory notes were highly
leveraged and were below investment grade securities.

The one cash offer from Federal Broadcasting Company is estimated to be
worth approximately $10.8 million. The lowest appraisal of the station's
value is $12.2 million with university retention of accounts receivable valued at $1.3 million.

The Board Office reported that President Jischke recommended the Board reject all bids and direct the university to develop and implement a long-term strategic plan for continued ownership and operation of WOI-TV.

Considerable public comments, letters, and telephone calls to the university and the Board Office indicate substantial community opposition to the sale and its belief that continued operation of the station has considerable educational benefits.

The Board Office reported that sale of WOI-TV at this time may be economically unwise in light of the offers received.

If the station is retained by the university, efforts should be made to improve the financial performance of the station including development of a system which charges operating units fair market value for services received.

On Friday, May 15, Citadel Communications, Inc. submitted a revised, or new, proposal to purchase the station for $12.7 million cash and $1.3 million in accounts receivable. The other bidders have been notified of the Citadel bid and that it will be considered by the Board on May 20 along with President Jischke’s recommendation.

Legal counsel was consulted regarding the Citadel bid of May 15.

This ended the Board Office report. President Pomerantz recognized President Jischke.

President Jischke said the issue of the future of WOI-TV has generated an enormous amount of discussion and controversy over the past 6 months. It involves tough choices of how to best use limited resources. There are difficult questions of economics, educational impact, constituent interests, and the policy implications of public enterprises operating commercial ventures. He said the Regents would hear arguments today both for and against the sale. They will hear from students, faculty, staff and others who are opposed to the university selling the station. He asked that the Board keep in mind that the important issue is not the question of whether Iowa State University should retain or sell a commercial television station, but rather what course of action best contributes to Iowa State University’s educational mission as a land-grant university, and its ability to serve Iowa.

President Jischke then provided background information on how this has progressed since September 1991 when the Board received a report from the university on the performance of WOI-TV over the last 4 years. In March,
university officials were directed to enter into a second round of bidding with a more structured process that included strict deadlines and required more specific financial information from the bidders. All bidders were informed of the new procedures and bids were accepted until 12:00 noon on April 10. The university received 4 bids from companies and individuals interested in acquiring WOI-TV. Those bids were evaluated by both legal and financial counsel, and their analyses were delivered to the Board and to the university. The evaluations included discussions with each of the bidders to clarify the details of their bids. In particular, those offering promissory notes were asked if they were prepared to improve the security of their offers. The answer was "no".

President Jischke said two of the bids submitted would require the university to accept a promissory note for a portion of the purchase price. In both cases Duff and Phelps deemed the promissory notes to be "below investment grade". The conclusion of Duff and Phelps was that the proposals did not provide adequate security. All of the other offers were found by Duff and Phelps to represent an inadequate purchase price or to entail inadequate security, except for the cash offer submitted by the Federal Broadcasting Company. That offer, $9.5 million in cash plus accounts receivable valued at $1.3 million, was found by Duff and Phelps to represent a fair value for the station of approximately $10.8 million. However, the offer was significantly below the earlier appraisals for the station of between $12.3 million and $15.5 million. In addition, the $10.8 million cash offer was substantially less than the best estimate of $12.2 million for the present value of continued ownership and operation. The $12.2 million estimate of the value of continued ownership exceeds the best cash offer received on April 10 by $1.4 million.

President Jischke reached the conclusion on purely economic grounds that none of the offers submitted on April 10 were acceptable. He said the economic analysis argues to retain ownership. In addition to purely economic issues, he said attention must be paid to the arguments of the constituents who have expressed opposition to the sale. These constituents include students, faculty, members of the Ames community, alumni, and friends of Iowa State University all over Iowa. Their opposition to the sale has little to do with economics. He said there appear to be three different kinds of reasons why so many constituent groups are opposed to selling WOI-TV. The reasons include sustaining a traditional and distinctive feature of the university, expressions of economic self interest, and concerns regarding the educational value of retained ownership, particularly as it affects outreach programs and certain degree programs.

President Jischke said the first two arguments do not form the basis for a decision to retain ownership. To be opposed to a sale simply because it represents change is not a persuasive argument. The economic self interest, while understandable, does not compel Iowa State University to own and
operate a commercial television station. The primary concern must be with the educational impact on the university. He said the justification for Iowa State University to operate a commercial television station must ultimately derive from the station's contribution to the educational mission as a land-grant university.

With regard to the educational purposes of retained ownership of WOI-TV, President Jischke said it underlies much of the serious opposition to a sale and is deserving of a careful analysis. He then commented on the nature of land-grant universities and Iowa State University's aspiration to be the best land-grant university in the country, and the extent to which the ownership of WOI-TV will contribute to that aspiration. Today, the university is Iowa State University of Science and Technology. The emphasis is on both science and technology. This mix of college and farm, science and technology, theory and practice, is deeply rooted at Iowa State University.

Iowa State University continues to operate farms for educational purposes, President Jischke stated. Students majoring in agricultural operations manage a commercial farm in order to gain practical hands-on experience with the operations of a commercial agricultural enterprise. This farm operation does not always meet competitive market standards of financial performance. Nonetheless, President Jischke believes that operating this business is essential to the educational experience of agricultural students. Hotel, restaurant and institutional management in the College of Family and Consumer Sciences operates a small restaurant called the Tea Room. The restaurant is managed by students under the supervision of faculty. Students operate kitchens, prepare menus and sell meals in order to gain practical experience in restaurant management. The Tea Room does not generate a profit but provides a valuable educational experience for the students. The Iowa State University College of Veterinary Medicine operates a diagnostic clinic and a hospital that not only provides services to the owners of animals but also provides educational opportunities for students. Not unlike many university hospitals, the Veterinary Medicine Diagnostic Lab and Hospital are operated as commercial enterprises but do not always attain a profit performance that is comparable to private hospitals and private laboratories. The activities are for educational purposes.

President Jischke noted that opponents of the sale of WOI-TV argue that owning a commercial television station is consistent with the land-grant tradition and philosophy, provided the station is used to educate students. The more persuasive arguments to keep WOI-TV come from students who work at the station and believe they have learned a great deal about commercial television through that work. Television station owners employing Iowa State University graduates comment favorably that they "hit the ground running". President Jischke believes WOI-TV contributes to that good preparation. WOI-TV typically provides 45 to 50 part-time jobs for students each year as well as one to 4 graduate assistantships for a total value of about $160,000
per year. In addition, there are 12 to 15 unpaid internships at the station and 2 to 3 laboratory courses taught each semester to students of electronic media studies and meteorology, both of which are important degree programs at Iowa State University.

President Jischke stated that a second relative aspect of a land-grant mission that can be used to argue for keeping WOI-TV is that of outreach. Telecommunications has become a powerful tool in fulfilling Iowa State University's outreach mission. While the university does have a media resources center to pursue distant learning through telecommunications, many of those arguing for retention of WOI-TV believe a commercial television station could be a useful tool to create additional outreach opportunities. Last year roughly 30 teleconferences in agriculture and extension used the studio and uplink capabilities of the station. Without the station, one-time replacement costs for these facilities, assuming the university retains the uplink and downlink capabilities, would be about $700,000, and the annual operating cost for those facilities would be about $300,000/year. While there are alternative means of pursuing these educational objectives, a commercial television station like WOI can be a distinctive asset.

President Jischke said supporters of retaining ownership of WOI have expressed concern that the educational purpose has not been adequately valued in the public debate on WOI-TV. While internships at other stations are a possible alternative to provide practical experiences for broadcast journalism students, opponents of the sale argue that such internships are less convenient and less students would be served. If this alternative approach to providing practical learning experiences were extended to university programs and agricultural operations, hotel.restaurant and institutional management and veterinary medicine, traditional land-grant educational practices at Iowa State University would suffer.

President Jischke said he concluded that while economic analysis alone argues to retain ownership of WOI-TV, educational arguments are also supportive of this position. If the university retains ownership of the station, the university should explicitly acknowledge that the station has an educational purpose that contributes to the mission of the university. He believes this educational purpose can be made part of the station's mission. He also believes that there has been relatively little systematic effort over the past 40 years devoted to effectively integrating appropriate educational purposes into the station’s mission. It is possible that WOI-TV can become more relevant to the university’s mission. There are alternative means of fulfilling this educational training purpose. WOI-TV does provide a readily available tool to do so. If done well, President Jischke said this could create a distinctiveness to Iowa State University's broadcast journalism programs. If this educational purpose is to be pursued, new procedures and new organizational structures will be needed to implement a revised mission, and there will almost certainly be a cost to the university to do so. It may be a cost worth bearing.
President Jischke recommended that the Regents reject all of the bids that were received on April 10, 1992. He recommended that the Board direct university officials to develop and implement a long-term strategic plan for the continued ownership and operation of WOI-TV. The plan should clarify the mission of the station, restructure its operations, and establish and improve its financial performance. His third recommendation was that the Board reaffirm that any earnings from the station's operations continue to be directed toward completing the biotechnology endowment and then be used to implement strategic planning initiatives on campus.

President Jischke stated that subsequent to April 10 Mr. Phillip Lombardo of Citadel Communications Corporation wrote to President Pomerantz seeking to revise the offer of Citadel to purchase the assets of WOI-TV. Citadel revised its bid to offer $12.7 million in cash at closing plus $1.3 million in accounts receivable for a total offer of $14 million in cash. He said the revised offer would appear to substantially comply with the other conditions that the university placed in its original notice of solicitation of proposals.

With regard to the latest offer from Citadel, President Jischke said it was clearly economically superior to the other offers that were received. However, given the history of this bidding process and Citadel's earlier reluctance to enhance the security of its April 10 offer, a bit of skepticism regarding this offer may be appropriate. Second, as a public institution operating in the light of public scrutiny, the process by which they operate is at least as important as the result. President Jischke was troubled by this last minute offer which has been made outside the protocol established by this Board. Third, the arguments regarding the potential educational purposes of the station in Iowa State University's land-grant mission remain. Even if economics alone argue for sale, there are educational concerns that can be used to argue for retention of ownership. For these reasons, President Jischke said he had not changed his recommendation to the Board, and continued to recommend retaining ownership.

President Pomerantz stated that the next portion of the meeting would be for people wishing to speak to the Regents about WOI-TV. He said the last 15 minutes of the 2-hour allotted time period would be reserved for those speaking in favor of selling the station, including Mr. Lombardo. He then recognized Jack Shelley, Emeritus Professor of Journalism and Mass Communications, Iowa State University, who had requested in writing that he and a number of other persons be placed on the agenda to give their views.

Mr. Shelley introduced the individuals that he had scheduled to speak.

Arthur Neu, former member of the Board of Regents, was the first of the speakers in Professor Shelley's group. He gave his reasons for opposing the sale of WOI-TV. He believes the sale of WOI-TV would be a tragic mistake
for the state and for the university. Although a number of reasons were given for selling the station, he felt that some of those reasons bordered on being ludicrous. The Governor and legislature agree the funds would be earmarked for Iowa State University; however, a future legislature and Governor could change that. Some say the university should not operate a commercial television station; however, other operations of the university could also be considered commercial. Iowa State University had the innovative quality years ago to start a television when central and western Iowa did not even have access to television. He did not think they should be punished now for that innovation.

Mr. Neu said there were a number of excellent reasons to keep the station, some of which President Jischke had listed. He believes that WOI-Radio benefits greatly from WOI-TV. A number of pieces of equipment are used jointly. WOI-TV provides great training for students.

Mr. Neu stated that the on-again off-again bidding process that has been engaged has so discredited the entire process with the public that any sale at this time, even if the price were fair, would be treated with great skepticism. Speaking as a former Board member, he said that if the Regents vote to sell the station contrary to the recommendations of the university president, they would be undermining his administration.

Fred Miller, President, Ames Chamber of Commerce, spoke of the opposition of the Ames business community to the sale of WOI-TV. He said that in early-April the Chamber’s Executive Director forwarded to the Regents the Chamber board’s position statement on the sale. It was a unanimous and very strong position in favor of retention. Their position addresses the educational issues for Iowa State University. One concern which he identified was that WOI-TV has been a tremendous asset for the Ames community. WOI-TV has provided an excellent profile for Ames simply because of the name identity associated with the station.

With regard to the proceeds from the sale of WOI-TV, Mr. Miller said politics and budget seem to have created an environment in which the best intentions of the Governor, legislature and Regents simply are not enough to guarantee the funds will stay at Iowa State University. Reductions in future appropriations is the subtle way it will happen. He felt there must be a contractual process to preserve the funds for Iowa State University which does not penalize future appropriations.

Weldon Walsh, former assistant to the President of Iowa State University, spoke to the Board regarding transition and change at Iowa State University. He noted that the limitation of public testimony to 2 hours on WOI-TV was a bit cavalier. Many people have interrupted their schedules to testify. He then discussed the history of attempts to take over ownership of WOI-TV. As a former member of the Finance Committee of the Board of Regents and as legislative liaison for a quarter-century, he witnessed several attempts to
close WOI-TV and transfer the Channel 5 commercial license to other special interests.

Mr. Walsh stated that irregularities occurred in the first of this recent bidding process so bids were taken a second time. The second round of bids were financially questionable; therefore, President Jischke recommended the station be retained and restructured. One of the bidders responded by offering cash. He said the record shows that there are special and selfish interests who want to sell WOI no matter what. WOI-TV is one of Iowa State University's singular achievements. The station is and has been a self-supporting enterprise which financed its operation, equipment and physical facilities plus contributed to other university functions and activities. WOI-TV constitutes one of the finest telecommunications laboratories located in a major university in this country. He said the station is an important arm of the university’s public relations arsenal. Sale of WOI-TV would undermine the financial stability of WOI-Radio. The trustees and the university should be in agreement on a major issue of this type. Mr. Walsh said the station should not be sold without the consent of the university community that created it.

Don Payer, an Ames attorney and ISU alumna, spoke regarding the question of legality and risk involved in the latest bid to purchase WOI-TV by Citadel Communications Corporation. He stated, as a citizen of the state of Iowa, that the sale of WOI-TV is a mistake. He has earnestly sought out opinions throughout the state and was convinced that the majority of the Iowa citizenry are opposed to this sale. He then spoke against the new offer of Citadel. He said it was unthinkable that the Regents would consider or allow a previous offerer who previously made an offer under stringent guidelines to now come in and make a change in their offer in terms of payment. Even if they would not be subject to a lawsuit, certainly the Board of Regents would be suspect to the citizens of Iowa who would just not consider this to be fair play. The reputation and status of the Board of Regents would not be what the citizens of Iowa have come to expect from their past actions.

August Ralston, Professor of Finance in the College of Business Administration, Iowa State University, provided a financial analysis of the latest bid of Citadel Communications and of the income to Iowa State University derived by continued ownership of WOI-TV. He said the Faculty Senate in March appointed ad hoc committee to study the proposed sale of WOI-TV. One of the tasks of the committee was to assess the economic impact of station retention. Professor Ralston was a member of the ad hoc committee which undertook an analysis of the possible effects on revenues and on expenses for the university if WOI-TV should be sold as compared to retained. The ad hoc committee’s assumptions were very conservative especially the cash contributions to the university from WOI-TV. Bids in the area of $10 million to $14 million indicate that net profits per year to the university could be in the range of $1 million to $2 million. If such
returns could be realized by Iowa State University then the present value of keeping WOI-TV would be increased.

Neil Harl, Distinguished Professor in Agriculture and Professor of Economics at Iowa State University, spoke regarding the educational benefits to Iowa State University from ownership of WOI-TV. He stated that the most important factor is the impact on educational programs of broadcast journalism, meteorology, satellite education, and video production. He elaborated on the satellite and video aspects. He said the contribution of WOI-TV as an educational resource should be examined very carefully. WOI-TV has provided the university with educational opportunities that most other universities do not have. It would be extremely difficult to replace the opportunities student now have by owning a television station. He suggested that WOI-TV become an integral part of Iowa State University rather than just the television station located at Iowa State University. The educational side of the station is not seen by the public. He discussed three recent satellite programs which he said illustrated the flexibility and potential for effective educational programming.

President Pomerantz asked Professor Harl about the bookkeeping that was used in terms of distant learning production resources of WOI-TV that were utilized by different departments of the university.

Professor Harl responded that some records were kept but there was no billing back to the departments. Each department worked out arrangements with the television station.

President Pomerantz asked if, to Professor Harl’s knowledge, there has ever been any private use of the television station’s resources. Professor Harl responded that there was no private use of the station that he was aware of.

Doug Yarger, Professor of Meteorology, Iowa State University, spoke regarding the educational benefits to Iowa State University from ownership of WOI-TV and the losses that would be incurred if it were sold, including WOI-TV’s key role in the education of meteorologists. Professor Yarger has been at Iowa State University almost 25 years. During that period of time WOI-TV has played a very critical role in the university’s meteorology program. The support from WOI-TV in the early years involved assistance with data and participation in some of the teaching programs. More recently, there has been a very broad intern program with WOI-TV. WOI-TV is one of two commercial stations in the country that are on university campuses. This setting provides a strategic advantage over other universities because students get a chance to learn in a professional atmosphere. Students are uniquely well educated.

Professor Yarger then spoke to emerging technologies. He said the ability to forecast on a county-by-county basis will be very practical in about 10 years. Consequences of not having WOI-TV would be terrible. Even if they
had additional faculty and equivalent equipment, it would not replace the professional atmosphere that WOI-TV provides students.

Jack Shelley spoke to the Board regarding WOI-TV's historic contribution to the education of broadcast students and its potential for the future if retained by the university. He said he felt that WOI-TV offers enormous educational opportunities for Iowa State University students and faculty and can be expanded if allowed to do so. When he came to the university in 1965 after leaving WOI to take over the program in broadcast journalism, there was not adequate laboratory space for a program that needs lots of horizontal space, electronic gear, and room generally. The space was not provided in the Journalism Building because they had access to WOI-TV. The result was when accrediting teams came to visit the journalism program at Iowa State University, they saw the meager facilities in the journalism building and could not believe that was what they had for a broadcast journalism program. Then they saw students over at Channel 5 in a real-world laboratory experience with the kinds of pressures and deadlines that can only be learned in a commercial station. They reacted with admiration and with envy. Iowa State University became one of only a handful of universities with ACEJ accreditation in broadcast journalism. Industry surveys rated Iowa State University's reputation among the top 10 in the country. WOI-TV has assisted in every part of the university at one time or another. If the Regents sell WOI-TV and the station leaves Ames, they are signing a "death warrant" for the eventual demise of broadcast education at Iowa State University.

Professor Shelley did not believe that the money that would be obtained from the sale of the station would even come close to replacing what has been obtained through the connection with WOI-TV. The short-term windfall will quickly evaporate.

Dallas McGuiness and Ray Benneck made brief comments and provided petitions containing nearly 5,000 signatures in opposition to the sale of WOI-TV.

Beverly Everett, Iowa State University alumna and holder of the Distinguished Achievement Citation from Iowa State University, spoke regarding WOI-TV's importance to the university's alumni and the rural community. She said rural areas are becoming more rural all the time. With regard to morale, she said the proposed sale of the station was an unfair attack on Iowa State University itself. She questioned why a university-owned television station has to make a large monetary profit.

President Pomerantz then recognized the next group of speakers including several students.

Robert Vandergast, an Iowa State University student majoring in telecommunications, gave his reasons for opposing the sale of WOI-TV. He said his primary objections concerned high-definition television,
television industry and Iowa State University will lose this technology if the station is sold. He said no amount of money is sufficient enough to take away the communications privilege. If WOI-TV is sold university programs including telecommunications, electronic media studies, and journalism would suffer. Practical experience associated with theory would be lost.

Jason Fredregill, an Iowa State University Journalism student, discussed his opposition to the sale of WOI-TV. As a journalism student, he said it has been very difficult to sit by and watch the way in which this has been handled. The station is one of the greatest resources any of the universities has ever had possession of. Once the station is gone the learning experience and everything that goes along with it is gone forever. He said there are a lot of excuses for why the station should be sold. WOI-TV has built a remarkable tradition and reputation. WOI-TV draws students to Iowa State University. WOI-TV is vital to students who are learning to become professional journalists. If the primary motivation for selling WOI-TV is financial, the Regents should discontinue the journalism program at University of Iowa and spend more time building the program at Iowa State University.

Larry Bednar, an Iowa State University non-traditional student and representative of the University Student Apartment Community, gave his reasons for opposing the sale of WOI-TV. He has worked and paid taxes in Iowa and feels he has a say in the sale of WOI-TV. He expressed concern that the money resulting from the sale of the station will not stay at Iowa State University as is intended. He felt that the amount of money received from the sale would be deducted from future revenues. He then said there are approximately 200 students in the program that will be affected by the sale. This area of education will be lost. The students and faculty all know that. He asked that the Regents look at WOI-TV as a valuable asset and not just an expendable commodity.

David Martin, President of the Iowa State University Faculty Senate, provided the Regents with his reasons for opposing the sale of WOI-TV. He said the Faculty Senate commissioned a study of the proposed sale of WOI-TV. This Blue Ribbon Study Group gathered substantial data and opinion. Their report and its recommendation was adopted by the Faculty Senate and delivered to President Jischke who forwarded the material to the Regents. This was an excellent example of how faculty, administration and Board of Regents can productively interact even though they might not all agree. The faculty’s recommendations were that the station not be sold, that the station be kept on campus and kept profitable in order to maintain efficient, on-campus service to the students and academic programs. The faculty recommended that the mission of the station be redefined to explicitly include research and educational functions.

Brian Lemberger, an Iowa State University student and representative of the Government of the Student Body, gave students’ reasons for opposing the sale of WOI-TV. Students support retaining the station for many reasons, most
important being to retain the educational value to the university. WOI-TV proves to be a tremendous aid for students enrolled in broadcast journalism and electronic media studies programs.

Stephen Toothman, an Iowa State University student, gave his reasons for opposing the sale of WOI-TV. He said Iowa State University is one of 2 universities that operate a television station. When he heard the proposal to sell WOI-TV, he was deeply concerned. He said that about 3 years ago there was a plan to merge TCA with the Journalism Department. Students were told there would be more money for programs and program would not be hurt. Now there is no more funding, no new faculty, and pitifully little new equipment. TCA has been obliterated. Students have to consider leaving Iowa to find the quality of education that was once available at Iowa State University.

President Pomerantz recognized Bill Kunerth, Emeritus Professor of Journalism and Mass Communications, Iowa State University.

Professor Kunerth said he would defer his remarks concerning the proposed sale of WOI-TV because so many before him had so eloquently addressed the issue. He said he was disappointed, however, that the Regents had limited the discussion today. In reviewing the Minutes from the April Board of Regents meeting, Professor Kunerth read that everyone would have as much time as they needed to discuss this and that the Regents would hear from all people. He said he realized it was exhausting to listen to a parade of witnesses but that the Regents should have given as many people as much opportunity as they wanted to address the Board.

Tom Emmerson, Chairman, Department of Journalism and Mass Communications at Iowa State University, gave his reasons for opposing the sale of WOI-TV. He showed a 3-minute videotape that was made on campus before telecommunicative arts was merged into journalism. It illustrated the relationship between the television station and students. He said he could not imagine that anyone would think it would make any sense to sell off University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics because the price was right, or to padlock the Writer's Workshop because it does not turn a profit. He said he believed that WOI-TV fell into the same category. The station may not be nationally or internationally renowned, but it is an extremely valuable asset whose worth to higher education in Iowa cannot and should not be measured solely in dollars.

Harley Wilhelm, Emeritus Professor of Chemistry and Emeritus Professor of Materials Science and Engineering, Iowa State University, discussed his involvement with Iowa State University. He was at the university when the television project began. During the Great Depression, university employees solved the financial problems by reducing salaries. Nothing was sold and no one was laid off.

Regent Dorr joined the meeting via telephone at this point in the meeting (1:30 p.m.).
Mr. Lombardo, President and managing general partner of Citadel Communications Company, read a prepared statement. He said the thrust of his company’s new offer to purchase WOI-TV eliminates any of the lingering financial, business and analytical questions which have plagued much of the past efforts to sell WOI-TV. The offer is designed to meet each and every objective which was articulated by Iowa State University. They were offering all cash to moot any question of creditworthiness. They were offering a price which exceeds that suggested by all of the university’s consultants as fair. They have tailored the offer to include all of the ancillary benefits that Iowa State University wants, from free rent for WOI-FM of the television tower, to a fair market rental paid by the television station for studio space, to continued university ownership of the satellite uplink facilities. He has tried to put an offer on the table which ends all financial debate about the wisdom of the sale.

Last week President Jischke said, “the station should be sold only if the funds from the sale would generate more money for the university than the continued ownership of WOI”. Mr. Lombardo said his latest offer, without question, meets and exceeds President Jischke’s test.

Mr. Lombardo said he was well aware of the long-running debate about whether WOI-TV should be sold. There were many in attendance at this meeting who opposed the sale. He said that as the Board of Regents faces this philosophical question once again, it was important to separate the facts from the rhetoric. The real questions are to what extent does WOI-TV really provide educational benefits to Iowa State University today and how will a sale of the station to Citadel change things? He said WOI-TV tries to balance its commercial goals with Iowa State University’s educational demands. WOI-TV maintains internship programs in its news, weather, production, advertising, and promotion departments. Citadel can and will continue that program. WOI-TV serves as a classroom laboratory with students actually present in the newsroom to observe and learn first-hand from the real-world experience of news gathering and presentation. He can and will continue that program. WOI-TV employs graduate assistants from the university on a part-time basis. He can and will continue that program. WOI-TV participates actively in the production of satellite-distributed programming including lecture series, teleconferences and industrial videos. The Iowa State University Extension Service utilized some 294 hours of production time in 1991. Citadel officials were told that it is not uncommon for WOI-TV to have 10 or 11 employees working on these programs at any given time.

Under the latest Citadel bid, the satellite uplink facilities would be retained by Iowa State University, and the ability to disseminate this outstanding programming would not be affected. However, Mr. Lombardo said the current levels of production support could not be viably maintained by a commercial enterprise. Whether WOI-TV is sold or kept it cannot afford to sustain the production costs of the educational programming.
Mr. Lombardo said Professor Neil Harl had made powerful arguments that WOI-TV should not be sold. Mr. Lombardo said the reality is that the resources to fund Professor Harl's vision are simply not there under university ownership of WOI-TV. The best chance to achieve Professor Harl's vision might well be through a sale of the station. A sale would provide Iowa State University with enough funds to create and staff the sophisticated, innovative production facility that Professor Harl desires. It would also remove the tension between the often incompatible goals of education and profit.

Mr. Lombardo asserted that Citadel is in the television business. His company would be taking a financial risk with this purchase, and would seek to achieve a fair return on their investment. However, they are committed to support Iowa State University in all the ways he had discussed and in every way possible, consistent with that objective.

Mr. Lombardo concluded by stating that for many years, the Board has carefully weighed the competing views about a sale of WOI-TV. They have always concluded that if a sale on the right terms were available, that would be the best result for the people of this state. He said Citadel's offer finally puts that sale opportunity before them. He hoped they would accept it.

President Pomerantz pointed out that Iowa State University does not have an airplane manufacturing facility but still trains aeronautical engineers. He said that if the station is sold the proceeds will be used for educational and other important priorities of Iowa State University. Iowa State University can have its own television station but it need not be a commercial station. The cooperative programs that serve the university well in many other areas can also serve the university well in the area of journalism.

With regard to the issue of whether the funds from a sale of WOI-TV will stay on the campus, President Pomerantz said the Board has a commitment from the Governor that the funds will stay on the campus. Those funds would be immediately put into a trust and would not be reachable by any other agency of state government.

With regard to the process of the negotiation, President Pomerantz said the terms and conditions were put forward in the form of a protocol. The offers in response to that protocol were not satisfactory.

In terms of the management of WOI-TV, President Pomerantz suggested that after forty years it has only been marginal. He was not convinced, in a convoluted structure where education and commercial profit making are combined, that the station's management will function any more efficiently than it has over the last forty years.
President Pomerantz then discussed the cash offer of Citadel. He said it was a continuum of a negotiation. Offers can be changed. The Board has the authority to accept or reject the offer according to legal counsel.

President Pomerantz said that what seemed to be overlooked in the name of keeping WOI-TV is the fact that, if they persist in the present trend line of the cash flows, it is very likely that the university will be subsidizing the station with funds that could be used for other educational purposes. The Regents are on a mission to focus their resources in recognition of the fact that they cannot be all things to all people. If Iowa State University is going to be the best land-grant university in the United States, they are going to have to put their resources where their priorities are.

With regard to the argument that the station assists in the training of meteorologists, President Pomerantz stated that almost every commercial television station in the nation has a meteorologist, and not very many of them have been trained at Iowa State University.

President Pomerantz said the basic problem he has is that when the public sector tries to operate a private business it almost always does not do so in a competitive fashion. The sale is an opportunity to take the proceeds from the sale of the station, reinvest in the university and focus those proceeds in a way that would help the university. He questioned whether the Regents were prepared to walk away from that opportunity.

Regent Fitzgibbon asked to be recognized for a motion.

MOTION: Regent Fitzgibbon moved to reject all bids and approve President Jischke’s recommendation to retain WOI-TV and authorize development and implementation of a strategic plan to improve the educational and financial performance of the station. Regent Williams seconded the motion.

President Pomerantx asked for discussion on the motion.

Regent Williams stated that the people who came to the Board today came with very well-formed concerns. Her concern was with the process that the Board of Regents has used. At the very beginning of this process she was for selling the station. She still is for selling the station; however, the process that has been undertaken by the Board has been flawed. She acknowledged that the Regents need to look at the bottom line. However, as important as the bottom line is, so is the importance of the process used to reach their decisions. She felt very uncomfortable with the way things have unfolded since initially looking at this issue in February. In good conscience, she said she could not support something that might be good for
the bottom line but over the long haul will be bad for the reputation of the institutions and for the reputation of the Regents.

Regent Fitzgibbon said he believed they had faulted the process. Two things are involved. He was not so concerned about the finances. Projections are that the income for this particular station is $800,000 to $1 million. Educational values can be brought to the front by retaining the station. Furthermore, he was not willing to give up the station for a million dollars, and jeopardize the position of the president of this state university. President Jischke has taken a position and Regent Fitzgibbon was going to support him.

Regent Hatch called for the question.

President Pomerantz asked for those in favor of calling the question. Several Regents responded affirmatively.

Regent Dorr asked if the Regents were voting on calling the question or on Regent Fitzgibbon's motion. President Pomerantz responded that they were voting on Regent Fitzgibbon's motion.

After some discussion it was agreed that the issue before the Board was the move to call for the question. President Pomerantz then asked for those in favor of calling the question.

VOTE ON CALLING THE QUESTION:

- **AYE:** Dorr, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Pomerantz, Williams.
- **NAY:** Berenstein, Johnson, Tyrrell.
- **ABSENT:** None.

The motion carried.

President Pomerantz then called for a vote on the original motion.

VOTE ON THE ORIGINAL MOTION:

- **AYE:** Fitzgibbon, Johnson, Williams.
- **NAY:** Berenstein, Dorr, Furgerson, Hatch, Pomerantz, Tyrrell.
- **ABSENT:** None.

The motion failed.

Regent Berenstein stated that this had been an arduous, tedious process for everyone. He said he appreciated everyone who had made comments that morning.
He had some problems with the process but he also wanted to get on with the issue. They should either keep the station or sell the station. If the Board accepts the Citadel offer, he wanted to make sure there is $14 million cash for the station on the day of closing. He would like to know that all the educational programs that have been alluded to would be accepted by the buyer. He would like to know that the funds will stay at Iowa State University in an escrow account and that be part of the contract. He would like to know that on June 17, the day of the next Regents meeting, that this matter is over. If the Regents accept an offer by Mr. Lombardo it should include a Letter of Credit that will ensure cash payment, will include an agreement to accept all of the educational programs that are presently part of Iowa State University and that all the funds will remain on campus. He stressed that all of this must be done within the next 30 days. The Regents have to decide the economic issue as well as the education issue. He would like to get this matter resolved on that basis to satisfy those issues that he deems to be important as well as the esoteric and theoretical issues raised.

President Pomerantz asked Mr. Lombardo to clarify the context of his comments regarding educational programming should he be successful in acquiring the station.

Mr. Lombardo said that he specifically mentioned that there are internship programs right now at the station. He would continue those. There are some classroom participations at the station. He would continue those. There are paid internships which would also be continued. The only thing he has questioned is the 294 hours of production time that has been used to produce programming for some of the satellite uplink productions. He could not accommodate that and run a commercial television station. He would be very agreeable to work on some of the things that do not interfere with the commercial operation of the station. He added that nowhere in any of his proposals has there been mention that he was committed to moving the television station out of Ames.

President Pomerantz asked if the language of the definitive agreement would embody that kind of philosophy. Mr. Lombardo said he had no difficulty incorporating that into the definitive agreement.

With regard to the Regents having a comfort level about the amount of cash that had been proposed for purchase of WOI-TV by Citadel, Mr. Lombardo said he had indicated his partner would be the Lynch Corporation which is a public corporation. Their annual report showed that they had $50 million in working capital.

Regent Berenstein asked if the representations that he had asked for were acceptable to Mr. Lombardo. Mr. Lombardo responded that they were acceptable.
Regent Fitzgibbon asked for clarification of Regent Berenstein's comments about retention of the funds. Regent Berenstein responded that he would want a representation by Mr. Lombardo and Iowa State University in the definitive agreement that the funds would be retained on campus.

President Pomerantz stated that a trust would be created. Regent Fitzgibbon said the problem was in creating the trust. He did not think it could be done. President Pomerantz noted that the Regents had counsel present to handle that kind of a question.

Regent Berenstein said he believed they could create the trust. The real question is whether or not the legislators are going to take $14 million out of the university's appropriations.

Regent Tyrrell expressed concern about the academic quality of the programs that Mr. Lombardo proposes. He proposed to replace some of the current educational programs with programs at a commercial station. What assurance would the university have that the academic quality of those programs would be under the control of Iowa State University?

Mr. Lombardo responded that most internship programs are designed by the university. All of Citadel's television stations have internships with colleges or universities in their communities. Their station in Sioux City has internship programs with Morningside College. There is a letter of understanding that usually transpires between the university or the department in the university and the television station which spells out what the student is going to do, the university's responsibility with regard to their education, and what the television station's responsibility is with regard to the student. He said he would not want students to come to a television station and just "sit on their hands" or become "gophers". He feels an affirmative responsibility to teach them in line with the programs that are established within the department that assigns the student to the station. He stressed that it is a partnership arrangement.

Regent Furgerson reminded everyone that a fiber optics system is being developed that will serve the Regent institutions, private colleges, merged area schools, and K-12 systems.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to reject each and all proposals for the purchase of assets of WOI-TV received pursuant to Notice of Solicitation of Proposals, and to declare the bid process predicated on procedures, terms and conditions prescribed in the said notice to be hereby concluded. Regent Hatch seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.
MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved that the Board conditionally accept the new terms offered for the purchase of the assets of WOI-TV by Citadel Communications Company, Limited, subject to the negotiation of a definitive agreement acceptable to both Citadel and this Board. Regent Dorr seconded the motion.

Regent Fitzgibbon said it seemed to him that they should reject all the bids. They should then open it up to all bidders again, not just to continue to negotiate. The process is wrong in his opinion. They should open it up and start all over. It is just not a continuation of a negotiation. He believed they were subject to criticism and he did not want to be a part of that.

Regent Hatch said she believed that in the protocol the Board of Regents had a right to accept a bid, to reject all bids, or to continue with future negotiations. She knew that at least one of the parties that submitted one of the three bids, in addition to Citadel, was talked to about "upping" its offer. Therefore, she did not consider that they had in any way not been in conformity with the proposal.

President Pomerantz stated that legal counsel had been asked for an opinion as to the legality of the offer in terms of the protocol which the Regents were following. He said counsel has confirmed that it is a legal offer. The Regents have the right to do what they are doing, in counsel’s opinion. As to the nature of the negotiation, he suggested that it is clearly an art and not a science. Mr. Lombardo contacted the university and was willing to do some collateralizing of the credit offer. University officials referred Mr. Lombardo to him. President Pomerantz discussed the offer over a week’s period of time. The offer turned into all cash and $14 million. It met the criteria that the brokers established. The Regents should either sell the station or keep it, but not keep extending the time frame. The idea of just delaying is a great strategy if the Regents want to keep it.

Regent Berenstein questioned whether the offer by Mr. Lombardo was made known to Benedek, and that they have not offered to meet or beat that offer. President Pomerantz responded affirmatively. He said all the bidders were notified of the offer and chose to do nothing. They were verbally advised and it was confirmed in writing. President Pomerantz said all of the bidders were asked to raise their bid and change their terms. Citadel, while it took them a couple weeks to respond, did, in fact, respond with a revised offer.

Regent Berenstein asked counsel if the other bidders have been notified and elected not to participate, does that subject the Regents to any criticism? Mr. Allbee stated that he has advised the Board through questions presented by Mr. Richey that the Citadel new offer or amendment cannot be accepted as an amendment to its initial bid or its proposal filed April 10, 1992. But it can be accepted today if reoffered as a new offer. It is merely Board policy
whether the Board wants to conditionally accept the offer pursuant to the current motion on the floor or, of course, the Board could vote to open it up to anyone else who wanted to present a bid. That is strictly a policy matter. At this juncture the bidding and the notice of solicitation has been closed and the Regents are free to accept, consider, negotiate, or reject Citadel’s offer or any other offers they wish to entertain.

Regent Dorr stated that he was going to have to leave the meeting.

President Pomerantz asked Regent Dorr if he wished to record his vote on the motion before the Board.

Regent Dorr stated that he voted in support of the motion (2:12 p.m.).

Regent Fitzgibbon questioned whether the Regents had to take the opportunity to submit new bids available to others by giving notice of some kind because they have rejected all bids and now have opened it up.

Mr. Allbee said it would depend on the action they take now. The motion before the Board would commit the Board to negotiating and concluding a sale with Citadel. It would commit the Board to good faith negotiations with Citadel and, in turn, Citadel to good faith negotiations with the Board. It would not open it up to a range of other bidders.

Regent Berenstein asked if the offer has to be agreeable to the Board that owns the station, not necessarily to the Board of Regents. Will the party to the contract be the operating board that runs the station?

Mr. Allbee said the Regents have the final say.

Regent Berenstein asked if the parties to the contract are the Board of Regents. Mr. Allbee responded that the Board of Regents set the initial protocol.

Regent Berenstein said the station is owned by the Broadcasting Corporation.

Mr. Allbee said that although that was true, the Regents approved the protocol.

President Pomerantz said the Board of Regents would be a contracting party in addition to the Broadcasting Corporation.

Mr. Allbee said the definitive agreement would be negotiated with Iowa State Broadcasting or Iowa State Equities and would be subject to approval by the Board of Regents.
Regent Berenstein stated that Mr. Lombardo had agreed to include in the Letter of Intent a guarantee of cash payment. He asked if Mr. Allbee found that to be acceptable as part of the definitive agreement.

Mr. Allbee responded that that certainly could be embodied within the definitive agreement.

President Pomerantz stated that the terms "Letter of Intent" and "definitive agreement" had been used interchangeably. What they will have is a signed contract in 30 days or by the next Board meeting.

Regent Berenstein clarified that was what he had asked for but he did not make the motion.

President Pomerantz said that would be the Regents' goal. All of the conditions to the sale will be in the contract.

Mr. Allbee said it was his understanding that what Regent Berenstein was asking was if those statements made by Mr. Lombardo along with the Letter of Intent would be a part of the definitive contract. It was Mr. Allbee's opinion that they should be embodied in the contract.

President Pomerantz stated that the Regents expect to have a satisfactory definitive contract within 30 days. It seems as though Mr. Lombardo was agreeable to that type of time frame.

Regent Williams said she was satisfied with Mr. Lombardo's bid. However, the bidding process closed with President Jischke's announcement, then it somehow reopened itself through an offer from a previous bidder. She had an ethical problem with that sequence of events.

Regent Johnson stated that she agreed with Regent Williams.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: Upon the roll being called, the following voted:
AYE: Berenstein, Dorr, Furgerson, Hatch, Pomerantz, Tyrrell.
NAY: Fitzgibbon, Johnson, Williams.
ABSENT: None.

President Pomerantz then asked Board members and institutional officials if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to Iowa State University. There were none.
RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the university's Register of Personnel Changes for March and April 1992.

MOTION: Regent Berenstein moved to ratify the university's personnel transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF ESTABLISHMENT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THEATRE. The Board Office recommended the Board refer the university's request to the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office for review and recommendation.

University officials proposed to separate Theatre Arts from the Department of Communication and Theatre Arts.

The university indicates that the study of theatre has been an established curricular offering at the University of Northern Iowa since 1878. The theatre program was originally housed within the Department of Language and Literature. In 1964, it became part of the Department of Speech, which was in 1981 renamed the Department of Communication and Theatre Arts.

Separate departmental status for the discipline is part of the college's strategic plan and has been endorsed by the two previous external program reviewers' reports to enhance the vision, direction, and focus specific to theatre and to provide a more attractive environment for the recruitment of faculty and students.

Anticipated costs include salary for the department head and a departmental secretary.

University officials stated that additional costs will be relatively low as there will be an internal search for the department head who will replace the existing position of Director of Theatre, and the current part-time theatre secretary will be made full-time.
The proposal was initiated and approved unanimously by the Department of Communication and Theatre Arts and was approved by the College of Humanities and Fine Arts Faculty Senate, the University Faculty Senate, and the University Administration.

ACTION: This matter was referred by consent.

APPROVAL OF NAME CHANGE OF DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATION AND THEATRE ARTS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATION STUDIES. The Board Office recommended the Board refer the university's request to the Interinstitutional Committee and the Board Office for review and recommendation.

University officials proposed to separate Theatre Arts from the Department of Communication and Theatre Arts. University officials indicated that if Theatre Arts is removed from the Department, the name Department of Communication Studies would more accurately reflect the remaining discipline.

The proposal was approved by the College of Humanities and Fine Arts Faculty Senate, the University Faculty Senate, and the University Administration.

ACTION: This matter was referred by consent.

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR HOLIDAYS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the holiday schedule proposed by the University of Northern Iowa for calendar year 1994.

Full-time Regent employees and other state employees receive 11 state holidays annually. Consistent with current collective bargaining contracts, the proposed holiday schedule designates nine days. Two additional days are accrued by employees as extra vacation time.

Revision in the proposed schedule will be necessary if contractual provisions are changed by the collective bargaining process for the 1993-95 period.

MOTION: Regent Williams moved to approve the holiday schedule proposed by the University of Northern Iowa for calendar year 1994. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions as submitted by the University of Northern Iowa.

PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS AND BUDGETS
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University officials reported two new projects with budgets of less than $250,000 which were included in the university's quarterly report of anticipated capital projects. The titles, source of funds and estimated budgets for the projects were listed in the register prepared by the university.

* * * * * *

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER AGREEMENTS

Amendments:

**Bartlett Hall--HVAC System and Windows**

Brooks Borg and Skiles, Des Moines, Iowa

$64,000

University officials requested approval of an amendment to the agreement with Brooks Borg and Skiles in the amount of $64,000 which will provide for part-time construction inspection services. The university currently has several similar projects in the construction phase with a limited in-house construction inspection staff. The university has determined that full-time inspection is necessary for the 1992 and 1993 construction period.

Amendment No. 1 will not result in an increase in the total project budget.

**Towers--East Parking Lot Construction**

Brice Petrides-Donohue, Waterloo, Iowa

$681.15

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

**Electrical Distribution System--Electrical Equipment**

Reject Bids--Alternates 3 and 4

Bids were received on four alternates for the above-referenced project on April 21, 1992. The Executive Director approved the university's request to reject Alternates 3 and 4 on May 5, 1992, based on the irregularities indicated below. The bid rejections were presented for Board ratification.

The university received one bid for Alternate 3 which exceeded the engineering estimate by approximately 29 percent. University officials requested approval to reject the bid and re-bid Alternate 3 at a later date.

The university received one bid for Alternate 4 which was within the engineering estimate but was bid as a package with Alternate 3. Since the bid for Alternate 3 was rejected, university officials requested approval to reject the bid for Alternate 4. Rather than re-bid Alternate 4, the university will purchase the equipment according to Chapter 8.04 K.1. of the Board of Regents Procedural Guide, which provides for the purchase of equipment funded by
Academic Revenue Bonds with an estimated cost of less than $25,000. The estimated cost for Alternate 4 is $17,000.

**Campbell Dining Center--HVAC System**
Award to: J & L Plumbing and Heating, Inc., Waterloo, Iowa
(4 bids received)

**Campbell Dining Center--HVAC System--Asbestos Abatement**
Award to: Advanced Technologies Corporation, Cedar Falls, Iowa
(7 bids received)

**Electrical Distribution System--Electrical Equipment Alternate 1, Enclosed Switchgear**
Award to: Harold K. Scholz Company, Ralston, Nebraska
(2 bids received)

**Sabin Hall--Renovate Room 102--Seating**
Award to: J. S. Latta Company, Cedar Falls, Iowa
(3 bids received)

**Strayer-Wood Theatre--Ventilation Improvements**
Award to: Lockard Construction, Inc., Waterloo, Iowa
(4 bids received)

**Wright Hall Equipment--Computer Projectors**
Award to: Electronic Communication Systems, Davenport, Iowa
(2 bids received)

**ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS**

**Wright Hall Renovation**
Prairie Construction Company, Inc., Waterloo, Iowa

**FINAL REPORTS**

**Classroom-Office Building--Equipment**

**MOTION:**
Regent Fitzgibbon moved to approve the university's capital register, as presented. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

**MOTOR VEHICLE AND BICYCLE REGULATIONS, 1992-93.** The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive the University of Northern Iowa's proposals for changes in parking permit fees as shown below and schedule final action at the June Board meeting and (2) approve the non-fee related change in Motor Vehicle and Bicycle Regulations for 1992-93 at the University of Northern Iowa.
Fee Related Changes Requiring 30 Days Notification to Students:

University of Northern Iowa officials proposed to increase parking permit fees in most categories as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Type</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G Permit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$96.50</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$48.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$24.15</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A Permit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$62.30</td>
<td>$64.00</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$31.15</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$15.60</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ag Permit (Graduate Asst.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$46.00</td>
<td>$47.00</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
<td>$23.50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
<td>$11.75</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B Permit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$38.40</td>
<td>$39.40</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$19.20</td>
<td>$19.70</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$9.60</td>
<td>$9.85</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C Permit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$24.60</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>$12.30</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$6.15</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M Permit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$24.60</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>$12.30</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$6.15</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M Permit (Additional Vehicle)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>$12.30</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$6.15</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.10</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R Permit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L Permit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Permit (per week)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Year</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$13.30</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Semester</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
<td>$6.65</td>
<td>-11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Only</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td>$3.30</td>
<td>-12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool/Second Vehicle</td>
<td>$2.75</td>
<td>$2.75</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 262.9(18) of the Code of Iowa and section 2.09 of the Procedural Guide require notification to students 30 days prior to action by the Board to increase tuition, charges, or fees at the Regent institutions. Per the Procedural Guide, written notification of the amount of the proposed increases and a copy of the docket memorandum were mailed to the student government president of the University of Northern Iowa at the student government office as listed in the university directory.

To allow for the required 30-day notification period, final action on the changes in fees can not take place until the June Board meeting.

Other Changes That Do Not Require Student Notification:

Section 10.5 under "Penalties and Appeals" currently allows seven days for an oral appeal and thirty days for a written appeal. A proposed revision allows ten days for all appeals to eliminate a tracking/billing problem.

ACTION: This matter was approved by consent.

TENANT PROPERTY RENTALS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve tenant property rates for fiscal year 1993.

The university owns two houses adjacent to the campus on 23rd Street which it has under long-term lease to a sorority and a fraternity. The lease ends July 31, 1993, and provides for increases in the rent during the term of the lease. University officials wished to increase the rent on each house from $615 to $675 per month. This rental increase of 9.8 percent takes into consideration approved increases in residence hall rates for next year, the market for local rentals, and the costs of operation for these properties.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve tenant property rates for fiscal year 1993. Regent Berenstein seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Berenstein, Fitzgibbon, Furgerson, Hatch, Johnson, Pomerantz, Tyrrell, Williams.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: Dorr.

President Pomerantz then asked Board members and institutional executives if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to the University of Northern Iowa. There were none.
The following business pertaining to Iowa School for the Deaf was transacted on Wednesday, May 20, 1992.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board ratify personnel transactions, as follows:

Register of Personnel Changes For April, 1992.

MOTION: Regent Berenstein moved to ratify personnel transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

President Pomerantz then asked Board members and institutional executives if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to the Iowa School for the Deaf. There were none.
IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL

The following business pertaining to Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School was transacted on Wednesday, May 20, 1992.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve personnel transactions, as follows:


MOTION: Regent Berenstein moved to approve personnel transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF SCHOOL CALENDAR 1992/93. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the calendar proposed by the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School for the 1992-93 school year.

Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School officials requested approval for its 1992-93 school calendar, which was identical to the one approved by the Board in May 1991.

The proposed calendar has 189 faculty days of which 180 are scheduled as teaching days. This policy is specified in the IBSSS Employee Handbook, which was approved by the Board in July 1989. The calendar adheres closely to that of Vinton Community Schools.

The calendar was developed in accordance with the plan approved by the Board in December 1991 to bus students home every weekend beginning with the 1992-93 school year.

The school year will begin August 31, 1992, and end on May 28, 1993.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the calendar proposed by the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School for the 1992-93 school year. Regent Johnson seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.
President Pomerantz then asked Board members and institutional executives if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School. There were none.

ADJOURNMENT. The meeting of the State Board of Regents adjourned at 5:20 p.m., on Wednesday, May 20, 1992.

R. Wayne Richey
Executive Director