

The State Board of Regents met at the Sheraton Inn, Des Moines, Iowa on Thursday and Friday, March 11-12, 1976. Those present were:

	<u>March 11</u>	<u>March 12</u>
Members of State Board of Regents:		
Mrs. Petersen, President	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mr. Bailey	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mr. Baldrige	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mr. Barber	Absent	Absent
Mr. Brownlee	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mrs. Collison	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mr. Shaw	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mr. Slife	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mr. Zumbach	All Sessions	All Sessions
Office of State Board of Regents:		
Executive Secretary Richey	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mr. McMurray	All Sessions	All Sessions
Ms. Minerger	All Sessions	All Sessions
Mr. Volm	Exc. 2:30 p.m.	
Mr. Wolf	Exc. 11:30 a.m.	
Pauline K. Van Ryswyk, Secretary	All Sessions	All Sessions
State University of Iowa:		
President Boyd	All Sessions	All Sessions
Vice President Brodbeck	All Sessions	Exc. 10:05 a.m.
Assistant to President Gosseen	Arr. 1:45 p.m.	Exc. 10:05 a.m.
Vice President Jolliffe	All Sessions	Exc. 10:05 a.m.
Assistant Vice President Small	All Sessions	Exc. 10:05 a.m.
Director Tobin	All Sessions	Exc. 10:05 a.m.
Iowa State University:		
President Parks	All Sessions	Exc. 11:40 a.m.
Vice President Hamilton	All Sessions	All Sessions
Vice President Moore	All Sessions	Exc. 11:40 a.m.
Assistant Vice President Madden	All Sessions	Exc. 11:40 a.m.
University of Northern Iowa:		
President Kamerick	All Sessions	Exc. 10:25 a.m.
Provost Martin	All Sessions	Exc. 10:25 a.m.
Vice President Stansbury	All Sessions	Exc. 10:25 a.m.
Assistant to Vice President Walton	Arr. 2:00 p.m.	Exc. 10:25 a.m.
Director Kelly	All Sessions	Exc. 10:25 a.m.
Iowa School for the Deaf:		
Superintendent Giangreco	All Sessions	All Sessions
Business Manager Kuehnhold	All Sessions	All Sessions
Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School:		
Superintendent Woodcock	All Sessions	All Sessions
Business Manager Berry	All Sessions	All Sessions

GENERAL

The following business pertaining to general or miscellaneous items was transacted on Thursday, March 11, 1976.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 12-13, 1976 MEETING. The minutes of the Board of Regents meeting held February 12-13, 1976 were approved as corrected.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL COORDINATION.

A. Long-Range Planning Report. The Board Office reported that the Interinstitutional Educational Coordination Committee is requesting that the planning framework and chronology presented to the board in September of 1974 and revised in January of 1975 be dropped. The committee neither proposes to substitute a conceptual framework for planning or a timetable in which the Regents could reasonably expect to receive long-range plans from the institutions. The Board Office stated that this proposed action appears to nullify one of the primary purposes of the plans; namely, to provide the Regents with information concerning the institutions' long-range plans for use during development of budget requests and as a framework within which proposed new programs would be considered.

The Board Office requested time to review the proposed planning processes with the institutions to see if they incorporate important planning activities, which were included in the previous planning framework. Consultation is also needed with the committee regarding the details of how it intends to proceed before a recommendation can be developed for the board.

Vice President Brodbeck concurred with the action recommended by the Board Office by noting that there are details which need to be spelled out.

Regent Zumbach asked when the board will begin budgeting procedures for the next askings. President Petersen said she assumed committees would begin to meet in April. President Kamerick reported that if committees are unable to meet in late March, they will begin meeting in early April.

Regent Zumbach expressed concern that the budgeting process not become a "mechanical" process. He suggested that the board meet with the administrations from the respective institutions and ask them what their objectives are prior to the time budgeting decisions are made. Vice President Brodbeck said it is the committee's intention to provide that kind of information. President Petersen asked Regent Zumbach if he was referring to information in a seminar-type context. Regent Zumbach noted he recalled seminars two years ago which were helpful when the board was considering general policy. Vice President Brodbeck reported that if the board desired, a seminar could be held before the November board meeting.

Mr. Richey reported that the original intent was that plans would be presented to the board in broad scope and in detail to see what it wanted to do and what the alternatives were. He noted that the long-range planning calendar has slipped badly and added he feels the board is a year behind on it now. He reported that there will be bits and pieces of the budget coming to the board between now and July. In July the comprehensive preliminary budget will be presented to the board for full consideration with the understanding that the final request and final action of the board will take place in September. He recommended that final action take place in September because it was found that the schedule last time was too late in terms of the needs of the Governor and the legislature. He said he didn't see how the board could go by the September board meeting without definitive decisions for the next legislative session.

Mr. Richey said that by stepping up the budgeting process it would mean that at least by July the board should have some comprehensive program presentations concerning the whole institutional outlook.

President Boyd said he felt that Mr. Richey's point was that before the board can decide what special needs askings might be, the institutional objectives have to be known. He interpreted Regent Zumbach's request to be directed toward general budgets year after year. He said he feels that seminars are important and recommended that they be held every two years. For a seminar to make any difference in the upcoming legislative askings, it would need to be held in late August or early September. Mr. Richey clarified his earlier remarks by saying he was referring to long-range planning in a very broad sense and was not thinking only in terms of special needs as had been suggested.

Mr. Richey also suggested a seminar be held near the time of the July board meeting. Regent Baldrige noted that seminars entail going to each institution and he didn't feel that could be done at a single board meeting.

Regent Bailey expressed concern about long-range planning and noted that, while it is related to the budget process, it is certainly a much broader concept and much more academically related than just dollars. He said he does not want that to be forgotten.

Vice President Martin commented that the budgeting system in Iowa doesn't lend itself to dovetailing with the planning system the board has been discussing. He said he is hopeful that in the board's long-range planning it will dwell more on goals and objectives. Therefore, he said he feels that seminars are extremely valuable. He reported that the University of Northern Iowa has an academic master planning committee which revises the university's master plan periodically and reported that the university will have a statement of goals, objectives, and missions presented to the board in the future.

President Parks said he agreed with Regent Bailey in that he felt the board needs to see what the institutions are thinking about even if it is just a substantive responsibility without a budget relationship. In response to Regent Zumbach's earlier remarks, he disagreed with his feeling that the budgeting process is becoming more and more mechanical. He said that what is happening is going to happen when you go to annual budgeting. He noted that the universities spend a long time working on formulas in order to arrive at their budgets. Mr. Richey reported that one of the things the long-range plan might do is tie in the formula to programs. He recommended the universities know what formulas mean in terms of impact on programs.

Vice President Brodbeck commented that the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination is prepared to bring in to the board, possibly next month, a general policy statement with respect to programmatic views and outlooks for the future of the universities.

President Petersen noted that there is no question but that long-range planning in policy terms is a vital concern to the board not only as it relates to individual institutions but also how it relates regentally. She noted that there has been concern expressed concerning the data needed so that policy decisions be based on informed judgments. She said that those decisions need to be worked out interinstitutionally. She stressed that the board wants the opportunity to be informed before it makes its budget decisions both on the preliminary and final budgets and requested that the Committee on Educational Coordination proceed concerning long-range planning, establish compatible data, decide on what kind of information to use and what kinds of models should be used for the long term. Vice President Brodbeck reported that the committee can provide that kind of preliminary information.

President Petersen noted that so far the discussion indicated that there was going to be consultation between the people who have responsibility for long-range planning, the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination, and the Board Office to discuss the concerns expressed this morning.

President Boyd suggested that if the board would like to have seminars, they should be held in June rather than in August or September and that the board should decide on that matter now. He said that this is a very serious matter and cautioned that the board could raise expectations within the institutions beyond the board's ability to satisfy them. Regent Baldrige recommended that the seminars be held in July. President Petersen said she felt the board should spent time apart from regular

board meetings to do justice to the seminars. President Parks agreed. He said the faculty sees the seminars as extremely important and noted that there is nothing more frustrating to a faculty member than to have the board come in haste and just listen rather than give input to suggestions. He noted that once the board turns the faculty loose on long-range planning, they have great hopes. He strongly urged the board to give the faculties enough time to make their cases if the board goes ahead and has seminars. President Boyd concurred.

Regent Bailey agreed with President Parks that the board spend sufficient time on each campus apart from board meeting time but expressed concern that faculties' imaginations may become aroused by same. He noted that the board has to face the values of economics and realize that for every innovation there may have to be a cutback. He said he feels it is the board's obligation to consider that as well as new programs. He noted it is pretty obvious that over the nation funds allocated to higher education are not as great as they have been in the fairly recent past. He noted that long-range planning must consider the negative as well as the affirmative. President Parks said he didn't mean to imply that faculty should be given "free reign."

Regent Slife said he feels that the faculty should be involved in long-range planning to the extent that they are given parameters. He said the board ought to plan with reference to today's realities.

President Petersen said she felt the board would be much better informed in making decisions if it had three options: (1) an examination of the steady state; (2) an examination of growth in regard to the same assumptions that growth had been for the tax base of Iowa; and (3) an examination of the flexibility which is absolutely essential for long-range planning.

Regent Baldrige commented that the time (June or July) may not be opportune to consult with faculty members because of summer vacations. He agreed that parameters should be set for faculty members on the basis of what the board is doing now. He suggested that the board set parameters in a broad sense for long-range planning and give each university one day of undivided attention when all the faculty are on campus, treated as a top priority, for this matter at hand.

Regent Collison noted that the function of the Board of Regents is to help the institutions through faculty planning to realize some goals that faculty reflect to it through their seminars. She noted that the board is to reflect societal changes and seminars can help it make those changes.

Regent Shaw said he would like to explore the "middle ground" on this matter. He noted that educational expenditures have been growing five or six times as much as the Gross National Product. He said that the board can not expect that to continue nor can it expect an increase in students that it has had over the last 20 to 25 years. On the other hand, he said he feels it may be futile to go through planning for the universities with dollars only to maintain stability while prices increase five to eight percent. He said he feels it would be useful to analyze what the position of education is now, the outlook not only from the standpoint of society's limited dollar resources, but realistically.

Regent Shaw noted that there are now situations where, in many disciplines, persons are more abundant than job opportunities. He noted that possibly two to three years from now the situation may be different. He recommended that the board engage in long-range planning and also examine the options available.

President Parks commented that he sees no problems with broad programmatic priorities and said he doesn't feel the economic level must be "precisely" known before those can be explored.

MOTION: Mr. Baldrige moved the board request the Committee on Educational Coordination bring forward an outline to the board incorporating the board's discussion this morning for the type of long-range planning seminars which have been held previously with the expectation that they be held in the time frame recommended by the committee. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion.

President Petersen noted that the committee will be bringing forward that outline to the board at its April meeting.

Regent Zumbach said he would like to have some kind of discussion before the budgeting procedure is foreclosed. He said he would like to have a chance to be a little more policy oriented before the next budgeting session. He said if the Committee on Educational Coordination could address itself to how that could be done, he would be satisfied. Vice President Brodbeck responded that the committee would be prepared to do that.

President Boyd commented that "hard" data is historical data while anything dealing with the future is "soft" data. He noted that one of the problems with extrapolation based on historical occurrences is that it may not really show how things will be because of changing circumstances.

President Kamerick clarified a point made that the seminars be held before the budgeting cycle due to the fact that they are biennial askings and noted that is the reason for having the meetings before the September board meeting.

President Petersen said she, as well as the board, will look forward to the proposal that the Committee on Educational Coordination works out with the help of the Board Office between now and April.

VOTE ON MOTION: The motion passed unanimously.

Vice President Martin reported that the University of Northern Iowa's faculty activity report survey will be delayed in view of the fact that the board postponed the long-range planning report until April. He noted that the university's current timetable is such that the survey would be done the first part of April but since the matter was postponed by the board, he recommended that the University of Northern Iowa's survey be delayed.

In absence of objections, President Petersen concurred for the board.

B. Faculty Activity Report. The board was requested to defer discussion on faculty activity studies until April.

The Board Office reported that the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination endorsed the Committee on Long-Range Planning's request that the present method of reporting faculty work load be discontinued and that, instead, each institution be allowed to report faculty activity in terms of records of assignment. While aspects of this change have merit, there has not been sufficient time to fully explore the implications of this action on other related activities such as the unit cost study and the facilities model. In addition, there needs to be a complete discussion of just how the assignment data would be collected to insure uniformity for the purpose of the unit cost studies and the facilities model.

MOTION:

Mr. Bailey moved the board defer discussion on faculty activity studies until April. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and it passed with Brownlee voting no.

Regent Collison noted that parts of the faculty activity study could be used as a management tool in the budgeting process and President Petersen noted that that was pointed out in earlier discussion today.

Regent Bailey clarified the motion taken on faculty activity reports by stating it does not mean the board is giving up on faculty reports. Regent Collison concurred.

C. Proposed Major in Dance - University of Iowa. The board was requested to approve the University of Iowa's Major in Dance and instruct the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination to comply with the rule adopted by the Board of Regents in June 1975 that requires the committee's recommendations on programs to be in the Board Office at least ten days prior to docket day.

Vice President Brodbeck pointed out that while there are no other majors of this kind in the state of Iowa, Iowa State University has a comparable program which also enrolls a large number of students but added that Iowa State University does not intend to request a major in this area. She noted that demand for the Major in Dance is very great.

In absence of objections, President Petersen approved the University of Iowa's Major in Dance and instructed the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination to comply with the rule adopted by the Board of Regents in June 1975 that requires the committee's recommendations on programs to be in the Board Office at least ten days prior to docket day for the board.

IOWA COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR POST HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION. The board was presented a brief summary of the major items discussed at the March 4th meeting of the Iowa Coordinating Council for Post High School Education.

President Petersen noted that Regents Baldrige and Zumbach attended the meeting and Regent Baldrige then presented the board with additional oral remarks.

Regent Baldrige reported that a motion was passed concerning the matter of advanced level courses at community colleges authorizing the chairman of the Iowa Coordinating Council for Post School Education to appoint a committee to study the matter. Regent Baldrige requested board members' and institutional comments concerning the formation of the committee. He requested that suggestions be made to Superintendent Robert Benton as soon as possible. Regent Baldrige noted that Superintendent Benton made the point very clear that there is no intention of making the community colleges four-year institutions.

The Board Office reported that President Robert D. Looft of the Iowa Western Community College reported that he had received a proposal from Creighton University located in Omaha, about ten miles from the Council Bluffs Center of the Iowa Western Community College, to provide the needed educational services. The Creighton University administrators are supportive of an arrangement in concert with the Iowa Western Community College to develop and operate a senior college in Iowa for Iowa citizens. Following Mr. Looft's presentation, Mr. Looft indicated that he has told Creighton University that any proposal in which the students would be required to pay more than the current charges at the Regents' institutions would be unacceptable. Creighton University indicated that it would attempt to provide services on that basis.

Regent Baldrige added that the point was made that if Creighton University supplies courses at Iowa Western, etc. what does this do to the Iowa Tuition Grant Program? He asked what would be involved if the Regents institutions started supplying advanced level courses to a number of community colleges.

President Boyd recommended that the board consider who it would like to appoint to the committee at today's meeting. President Boyd recommended Vice President for Student Services Philip G. Hubbard from the University of Iowa. President Kamerick recommended Raymond Schlicher, Dean of Continuing Education and Extension Service from the University of Northern Iowa to be a representative on the committee. President Parks suggested

Virgil Lagomarcino, Dean of the College of Education, at Iowa State University to be a representative on the committee. President Petersen reported that she or Mr. Richey will communicate those names to Superintendent Benton.

Mr. Richey commented that President Looft also gave a brief presentation at the council meeting about the need for a senior college in western Iowa in the Council Bluffs area. Mr. Looft wanted to make clear that they did not intend to expand the Western Community College to a four-year institution. Mr. Looft reported that he believed the college adequately serves the needs of southwest Iowa citizens at the two-year level. Mr. Looft also indicated that a senior college offering should be available to southwest Iowans close to home and at a reasonable cost. He also noted that he supported the utilization of the resources of the Iowa Western Community College to enhance the creation of the senior college concept.

Regent Collison commented that President Looft's presentation about the need for a senior college relates to what the board talked about a few months ago when it discussed finding out what the community colleges had in mind for their institutions and how that related to the regental system. She noted that at that time the idea was "shelved" thinking that it would interfere with the Regents mission. She noted, however, that the board felt that community colleges were one facet of statewide education which need to be working in tandem with the Board of Regents so that mutual agreements can be reached. She said that being forced into such cooperative arrangements seems to excite a sense of emergency. She noted that these things have been proceeding for quite some time and she felt that the board's lack of attention to it and the kinds of relationships the board has with the community colleges have been building up the feeling that the board does not care. She regretted having that feeling conveyed to others and requested that administrative-level persons working on the committee set some policies to the benefit of both the private and public sectors.

Regent Baldrige recommended that members of the board read the report prepared concerning the Indiana Higher Education Tele-Communication System. He noted that the report is very interesting. Regent Baldrige noted that the extension people are very aware of Indiana's system and are exploring the possibility of same for Iowa. Regent Baldrige asked President Boyd if he would contact Dean Robert Ray concerning Iowa's relationship to the Indiana system and President Boyd responded affirmatively.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT - 1976 SESSION. The board was requested to accept the legislative report.

The Board Office reported that since the convening of the 1976 legislature on January 12 there have now been some 702 new bills introduced. Of these 702 new bills the Board Office has identified 121 bills as being of some interest to the board or the institutions. The status report presented the board provided summary information on each of those bills, its location, and a suggested Regents' position at this time. The status report was updated from that presented to the board at the February meeting. It was assumed that the position noted would stand unless the board indicated otherwise.

Mr. Richey reported on the appropriations situation. He noted that currently appropriations are, as the Board Office informed the board two or three weeks ago, in the amount of \$176 million. That figure is \$3 million higher than he reported to the board, however, at the February board meeting. The \$176 million includes \$2.5 million for federal fund losses occurring this year and occurring again next year. It does not include \$3 million additional losses expected next year. The \$176 million level is based on an 8% general increase in salary budget but that has not been finally determined. He noted that the Governor had recommended about one-half of what the board requested for enrollment growth. He noted that the enrollment growth formulas produced about 70% for the average cost per student in 1974-75. That figure was cut in half but it wasn't regarded as such a serious circumstance in view of the other budget recommendations made by the Governor.

Mr. Richey commented that the other components of the budget include a 5% increase for general expense. The Board of Regents requested 10% and the Governor recommended 7.5%. Fuel and purchased electricity's budget is as recommended by the Governor with the pass-through approach. Library books are in the \$176 million as requested by the board at 15%. He added that equipment and RR&A are in the legislative proposal at a 9% annual increase just to take care of price increases. This does not fund either formula. Mr. Richey reported that special needs are identical to those reported to the board last month which is \$500,000 in appropriations below what the Governor recommended. He noted there were cuts in student counseling, World Food Institute, etc. The dollar cuts are about \$1 million in general expense, \$1 million in RR&A and \$3 million for federal fund losses for next year.

Mr. Richey said it is expected that the Senate Appropriations Committee will take the matter up this week. Mr. Richey reported that the Board Office has been informed that the \$176 million level will be agreeable to both the House and the Senate. He noted that the \$3 million requested in additional federal fund losses for next year will also be taken up and there seems to be no problems apparent concerning the Senate's approval but noted that the Board Office is not certain how the House will react. He noted that the Board of Regents has been promised a full hearing by the Joint Subcommittee on capital.

Regent Slife asked about the \$3 million federal funds request and asked how that would be made available to the board's institutions. Mr. Richey responded that money would be either in the capital bill or the Comptroller's budget arising out of the state department's subcommittee. He reported that the Board Office would prefer the latter. Mr. Richey reported that the board's original request was for \$196 million. The Governor's was for \$182 million including the \$3 million which was in the Comptroller's budget.

President Parks commented that the 15% for library books includes some of Iowa State's institutional income. He noted that the legislature isn't appropriating all of that money from state funds. He said the legislature

may be appropriating \$12 million to \$13 million for salary increases, \$2.5 million for enrollment growth, and \$2.5 million for federal funding. President Parks said he hopes the legislature doesn't "lock" the Regents institutions into precise percentages. He said it is very important that Iowa State University use 8% for general expense and a little less on RR&A and library books. Mr. Richey said he feels that the institutions within reason could make transfers within non-salary components. President Parks emphasized that he wanted that to be understood.

Regent Baldrige asked how much of the appropriations requested involve salary components. Mr. Richey responded that about \$6 million is involved. Mr. Richey reported that the board asked for 9.5% and got 8% but with the 8% the board is supposed to give a 5% general increase and then fund the merit step increases averaging 3% for non-merit employees and about 4% for merit employees. Added to that would be an additional year's cost for the increase in IPERS and disability insurance. Mr. Richey said that those figures mean that the board has to absorb close to \$1 million in its original estimate in order to carry out that salary policy with the dollars given. He noted that the board has indicated that it is in the realm of possibility.

Mr. Richey reported that an 8% salary increase is being referred to on the average for faculty and professional and scientific personnel and closely 9% on the average for merit employees plus picking up some additional costs for fringe benefits.

President Petersen said she is concerned about what would happen if the federal fund losses are not provided for by the state's appropriation process.

President Petersen then directed board members' attention to the legislative report itself.

Mr. McMurray reported concerning House File 1098. This bill deals with the authority of the Board of Regents to administer trusts. It has passed the Senate and is on the way to the Governor. He noted that that is the first of the board's six bills to have completed action. Three of the Regents bills have been steered to the House Non-Controversial Calendar.

Mr. McMurray reported that last month the Board Office reported to the board concerning a bill to change the procedures for collective bargaining elections. The bill has now passed the House as of last Friday with several other changes in the comprehensive collective bargaining legislation. He noted that the Board Office is analyzing those changes. The bill has been referred to the Senate.

He then noted that this past week there has been a bill introduced, House File 1514, by the House Appropriations Committee which appropriates \$112,000 to the Iowa Mental Health Authority. This compares to the Mental

Health Authority's request for state funds of \$177,630. Regent Collison noted that she was present when that appropriation was voted out of subcommittee and said that the Mental Health Authority feels content with the amount appropriated. She said the amount doesn't sound good in comparison to the \$177,630 but added that since this means new staffing and getting things going, the authority is pleased and also has assurance from the committee that once the mechanism gets going the rest of the appropriations would be forthcoming.

Mr. McMurray commented on the bill concerning the area education agencies corporate status. He reported it passed the House this week and is now in the Senate Education Committee.

Three new bills were then reported on by Mr. McMurray which were introduced within the past few days. He reported that no position was suggested at this point. He said that the Board Office's analysis on them should be completed within the next few days and material will be sent out to the board members early next week. The first bill sets up a legislative performance audit bureau. The second bill makes a number of substantive changes in the administrative rules procedure. A number of bills have been introduced in this session concerning this but this is the comprehensive bill. The major change is that this bill gives both the Governor and the legislative committee veto power over rules as introduced by state agencies.

Mr. Richey expressed some serious concerns about the second bill Mr. McMurray referred to concerning changes in the administrative rules procedure. He noted that a lot of the Regents' rules are related to academic standards for admissions and things of that nature which the people throughout the rules process are not involved in. He said that if the bill would pass it would be another hurdle to cross and would give the legislative committee authority to stop a rule right then and there. He recommended the board express concern on that bill. Regent Baldrige asked what the rationale on the other side is and Mr. Richey responded some felt that the Governor and legislature should oversee administrative rules.

Regent Bailey asked what legislative committee is being referred to above. He asked whether it is the committee as presently set up or whether it would be a new concept of the committee. Mr. McMurray reported it is the existing committee expanded to ten members and upon the vote of two-thirds of its members may prohibit adoption and also the Governor can rescind a rule within 90 days. President Petersen recommended the board oppose the bill.

Regent Bailey disagreed with President Petersen's opposition to the bill by saying that the legislature and Governor set up laws. He said he feels that they are in a better position to know what was intended by the rules. He said he feels it could be a legitimate "throttle" on many instances where a very ambitious state agency tries to become a major factor overnight.

Regent Zumbach asked what would happen if the Governor vetoed a Regents' rule. He asked what the board could do. McMurray reported that the board would be able to do nothing except change the rule. He said that the bill states in very broad terms that the Governor may, by executive order,

rescind a rule within 90 days of its effective date. Regent Zumbach recommended the board oppose the bill.

President Petersen said she sees some dangers in the proposed bill in regard to the Regents' authority under Chapter 262 of the Code. Regent Bailey responded that every time the board makes a rule it is interpreting Chapter 262.

Mr. Richey reported that as the law now reads, the legislature as a whole has the opportunity to overrule a rule by a simple majority. Regent Baldrige noted that the rule is enforced until they do overrule, however.

Regent Baldrige asked what circumstances prompted this legislation. He noted he would prefer to neither oppose nor be for the bill in view of the fact that the legislature wants a way to stop a rule immediately if it is contrary to its thinking rather than to have it in effect first. Mr. Richey commented that he knows of no circumstances which prompted the legislation being discussed.

Regent Brownlee said he was inclined to feel that the present device concerning administrative rules is adequate.

MOTION: Mr. Brownlee moved the board oppose House File 1510. Mr. Slife seconded the motion and it passed with Mr. Bailey voting no and Mrs. Collison abstaining.

Mr. McMurray then mentioned Senate File 1243. He said he understands it has a pretty good chance of passage. It appropriates \$100,000 to Iowa State University for research for pseudo rabies. He said that Iowa State University at this time is analyzing what that amount of money would be able to do for research in that respect and is reviewing what research is currently going on in that area. He noted that the Board Office will be reporting back to the board on this matter.

President Parks expressed gratitude for Iowa State University to the legislature for any funding it appropriates to the university. He commented, however, that sometimes it is more beneficial to the university when lump appropriations are made so that the university, with the board's supervision, can mold a more well-rounded and useful research program.

Regent Collison discussed House File 1384 pertaining to the Professional Standards Board. The Board Office reported that this bill establishes a Professional Standards Board for governing approval of professional education preparation programs and for certification of professional educators in elementary and secondary schools, area vocational schools and community colleges. The board would consist of 13 members to be appointed by the Governor, including five members who are appropriately certificated professional educators actively engaged in classroom teaching, four members employed on the education faculties of private and public four-year colleges who provide special education programs, one school administrator,

two members representing the general public and one member who is actually enrolled in an approved education preparation program in a four-year college or university in the state.

The Board Office opposed the concept as set forth in the bill in that it appears that teacher education in Iowa could be governed by a group which has a vested interest. This bill is currently in the House Education Committee and it is doubtful that any action will occur this session since the date for action on bills which do not contain appropriation or tax measures has now passed.

Regent Collison said she sees occupational licensing moving into some general areas that might be not as restrictive. She said she feels that setting up a council on occupational licensing could work as a kind of clearinghouse idea on advising the legislature and be a non-vested interest type of council. She suggested that this area be explored as to what is done in other states.

President Boyd said he opposed the Board Office's philosophy that the board oppose the concepts of this because it appears that teacher education in Iowa could be governed by a group which has a vested interest. He noted that while it is a vested group, it is a professional group familiar with the area. He dissented from the notion that professions can not participate effectively in their own regulations.

Mr. Richey noted that the State Board of Public Instruction has a broad responsibility for elementary and secondary education in the state and now sets the basic rules and administers the program with professionals in the field of education. He said the Board Office's feeling concerning House File 1384 is that a broad scope board as the Department of Public Instruction's would be much more responsive to the concerns the board might have rather than a "special interest" board.

President Parks said he felt House File 1384 should be opposed due to the fact that the matter is being done well now by a group very well qualified to do it. He said he assumes the Department of Public Instruction is opposing this bill. He said he would be more comfortable if the board position would be that professional education preparation programs and certification of professional educators in elementary and secondary schools, area vocational schools and community colleges are currently being handled very well.

Regent Shaw said he feels the bill would place unwarranted intrusion on the board's responsibility.

Regent Bailey agreed with Regent Collison and said he feels the board's attitude should be neutral on this matter rather than it taking a position of opposition on what seems to him to be in general keeping with the trend for professional groups to be more supervised in the direction, for example, of requiring continuing education in the respective professions.

Vice President Brodbeck reported that the Committee on Educational Coordination has House File 1384 under study with the education deans. She noted that the committee does not oppose it in principle but added there are some aspects which the Regents' authority might be infringed on by the bill such as requirements for admission, etc.

Regent Shaw reported he was satisfied with the Board Office's stand on the bill.

MOTION: Mr. Zumbach moved the board oppose House File 1384. Mr. Shaw seconded the motion and it passed with Mr. Bailey voting no.

Regent Baldrige commented on House File 1313 which has passed the House and is now out in Senate committee and which abolishes the position of the State Medical Examiner. The action was a relatively close vote in the House. The University of Iowa has reported that the Head of Pathology at University Hospitals feels that it would be a mistake to do away with the possibility of this office. He believes that the state should fund this office to be located at the College of Medicine where there would be a better chance of attracting a top-level forensic pathologist with a faculty position and where pertinent equipment is already at hand and a training program could be carried out. The position has been on the books for a number of years but has never had funds attached to its statutory authorization. The Board Office has this bill under study. Regent Baldrige said he concurs with the university's position.

Regent Baldrige encouraged the board recommend that a new bill be proposed. He said he feels that the Attorney General and law enforcement persons would agree that the position of the State Medical Examiner is essential. He also recommended that funding be made available for same. He said possibly the bill as proposed could be amended to provide what the university is suggesting.

Mr. Richey said he felt the consensus of the board was that it was opposed to abolishing the function of the State Medical Examiner with the understanding that it is preferable to amend the bill to make the position effective and functional with funds to make it so.

Discussion then turned to House File 1213 and Senate File 1181. The Board Office reported that this bill provides for a maximum six-year statute of limitations for any action against an architect, contractor or other person who improved real property measured from the day of the act or omission alleged to be the cause of the harm which is the basis of the action. The bill was amended in the House committee to a ten-year statute of limitations. This longer period is somewhat satisfactory although the starting time to measure the statute of limitations is somewhat vague. The Board Office is currently drafting an amendment to change this starting time to the equivalent of the completion of the project. This bill is listed as being under study for that reason.

Mr. Richey commented pertaining to House File 1213 and Senate File 1181 by saying the board is not necessarily "favoring" any limitations. The amendment makes the statute of limitations workable.

Regent Bailey commented on Senate File 1095 which provides that institutions of higher education which are neither accredited nor are candidates for accreditation by an officially recognized accrediting agency shall not participate in the state tuition grant program. Current law provides that an institution can participate if it is making reasonable progress toward accreditation. This bill is sponsored by Senators DeKoster and Shaw and is currently on the Senate calendar. Regent Bailey commented regarding an additional provision which wasn't referred to in the material presented the

board by the Board Office which permits a school having received three letters from three different colleges that are accredited to be able to claim that they should be covered in the tuition grant program. He said he feels the bill is eliminating that three letter proviso as a means of qualifying schools for the tuition grant program.

Regent Bailey brought up discussion pertaining to House File 1204. The Board Office reported that this bill is sponsored by Representative Cusack and six others. It requires the State Comptroller to inform the chairpersons of the House and Senate Standing Committees on Appropriations and the chairpersons of subcommittees of such committees of proposed transfers of funds between state departments, institutions and agencies. Chairpersons so notified shall be given at least two weeks to review and comment on the proposed transfer before the transfer of funds is made. The Board Office listed this bill as being under study. It was noted that this procedure could burden state agencies excessively with providing a detailed statement of all programs and activities. This would occur unless the statements were done in a broad manner and in effect the same type of budgeting procedure as is now used would be affected if such statements were put into a broad picture. The Board of Regents institutions now provide for internal review of the base of the institutions continuously throughout each fiscal year.

Regent Bailey recommended the board take a neutral position in regard to House File 1204. He said it is really a conflict between the legislative and executive branches of government and recommended the board take no position. Mr. Richey commented that many times transfers occur at the last minute and questioned whether those transfers could be rescheduled to occur in time for sufficient notices. Regent Bailey said the time limit concerns him to some extent and recommended the board not become involved in this bill.

Regent Bailey raised discussion concerning House File 1421 which provides for review of each board, commission and council of state government every three years. If the General Assembly does not approve the board's continued existence, it would cease to exist at the end of the fiscal year and the Code Editor would automatically remove all references to it from the Code. The Board Office opposed the bill. While the periodic review provided in the bill is probably salutary, the automatic abolition of an agency if the legislative committee does not approve it could be highly disruptive to continuation of government services provided the citizens of Iowa. Regent Bailey noted that while a review of each board, commission and council of state government every three years may be satisfactory, he disagreed from a psychological standpoint that if the General Assembly does not approve the board's continued existence, it would cease to exist at the end of the fiscal year. Regent Bailey stated that he had no objection to the suggested position if the position emphasized the faults in the bill rather than attacking the concept of the bill itself.

President Petersen reminded members of the board that the positions recommended by the Board Office on legislative matters would stand unless the board took action otherwise.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FRINGE BENEFITS. The board was presented an annual report on fringe benefits. Only the costs of the retirement and insurance programs were incorporated in the report; the costs associated with providing other benefits such as sick leave, holidays and vacations were not included.

Mr. Volm noted that the report presented is an update of a report presented to the board a year ago.

Mr. Volm outlined several significant points for members of the board:

1. Employer contribution for fringe benefits for the Regents system is about 14% of non-student payroll and has changed only slightly in 1974-75 from the prior year.
2. Employer contribution is higher for the universities (approximately 14%) than for Iowa School for the Deaf, Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School and the Board Office because of availability of TIAA/CREF to a portion of university personnel.
3. Employer contribution for health insurance is lower for university personnel than for Iowa School for the Deaf, Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School and the Board Office.
4. Most of the employer contribution (about 86% for the overall Regents system) supports retirement benefit programs with the remaining 14% for life and health insurance programs.
5. Employee benefit programs vary among the universities with respect to both program provisions and employer contributions, which is in accord with Regents policy.
6. Employer contribution is a greater percent of payroll and of individual employee program costs (employer plus employee contribution) for higher salaried employees which is appropriate when income tax regulations are considered.

Regent Baldrige commented regarding a table which showed differences between the institutions in the percent of employer contributions to fringe benefits. He asked if those figures reflected payment by the federal government of some costs for certain employees. Mr. Volm responded that it did in part, and in part the differences were caused by differences in experience under various programs.

Regent Shaw expressed concern about the summary and conclusion which was drawn from a table showing that employer contributions are a greater percent of the salary of higher paid employees. He observed, however, that the percentage figures should change next year when the full cost of recent improvements in IPERS would be reflected in the report.

Regent Shaw commented that the IPERS program is probably going to cost a good deal more than what the board has got in for this particular year. He noted that as short a period as four years a person could walk away with the entire employer contribution and wondered whether the board should consider that.

Mr. Richey said there is no question but that next year will show a higher contribution for lower salaried employees. The succeeding year will be the first full year under the new rates. He noted that costs have to be absorbed within the salary budgets.

Regent Bailey commended Mr. Volm for his report and the fact that he investigated the different aspects of fringe benefits with other agencies. Mr. Volm also noted that the Interinstitutional Fringe Benefit Committee worked with him, chaired by Assistant Vice President Madden.

Assistant Vice President Madden said the committee's feeling is as long as total contribution on each campus is consistent, each institution should be allowed to design particular programs to meet employees' needs. He noted that each institution has some kind of a "benefits committee" representative of the kinds of employees on campus.

In absence of objections, President Petersen accepted the annual report on fringe benefits for the board.

AMENDMENTS TO THE BOARD OF REGENTS ADMINISTRATIVE RULES REGARDING ADMISSIONS. The board was requested to approve for submission as emergency amendments to the Iowa Administrative Code amendments to Chapters 1 and 2 of the Board of Regents Administrative Rules.

The Board Office reported that amendments were proposed (1) in order that Regents and University of Iowa rules regarding admissions comply with existing state law as it protects against discrimination due to age; and (2) in order that these rules reflect already existing changes in titles of university officials and admission procedures. The amendments were recommended for submission as emergency changes either because notice of intended action is unnecessary due to the nature of the changes, or because they are necessary to comply with existing state law and thus confer a benefit upon the public.

MOTION: Mr. Slife moved the board approve for submission as emergency amendments to the Iowa Administrative Code amendments to Chapters 1 and 2 of the Board of Regents Administrative Rules. Mr. Baldrige seconded the motion.

The amendments are on file at the Board Office. President Petersen noted that the amendments comply with state laws as they exist today.

VOTE ON MOTION: The motion passed unanimously.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY AGRICULTURAL FOUNDATION. The board was requested to accept the annual report of the Agricultural Foundation.

The Board Office noted that the report discussed the operations of the four farms that the foundation owns and manages. A major accomplishment during 1975 was the restocking of the farm located in Poweshiek County and the commencement of a long-term program to rebuild the soil resources of the farm. In addition, major

improvements were made to the 446 acre farm in Crawford County. For the year, the Iowa State University Agricultural Foundation showed a net operating loss of \$8,906.27.

Regent Bailey asked if the net operating loss included farm operations as well as research expenses, etc. President Parks said that to his knowledge it includes only farm operational losses.

Regent Collison noted that there has been quite an interest in marketing and how much research has been done in marketing methods for the small farmer. President Parks responded by saying he can't think of any segment in agriculture more handsomely supported than marketing.

In absence of objections, President Petersen accepted the report of the Agricultural Foundation and encouraged it and commended it for its good work.

BOARD OFFICE PERSONNEL REGISTER. The following actions were presented to the board for approval:

Donald R. Volm, from Acting Director of Regents Merit System to Director of Regents Merit System, effective immediately.

Appointment:

Cathy Bennett, graduate assistant, effective February 18, 1976 at \$4.00 per hour, 20 hours per week with the Regents Merit Division.

In absence of objections, President Petersen approved the Board Office Personnel Register for the month of February 1976.

INFORMATION ITEMS.

A. Informational Report on Special Education Matters. The board was presented a report on special education matters. It was reported that interagency progress since the Special Education Workshop held at the Iowa State University Scheman Center has been slow. Representatives of the three state agencies met on several occasions to prepare the proceedings of the meeting and determine what future activities were needed. The proceedings were developed although final copy was not available at the time of the board meeting. Part of the delay in developing the proceedings was a difference of opinion as to how the various recommendations would be reported. A meeting was set up for February 24 at which time Mr. Richey was to meet with Superintendent Benton and Commissioner Burns to discuss further activities but was cancelled due to the hospitalization of Commissioner Burns.

B. Commencement Exercises. The board was requested to indicate its interest in attending the spring commencement exercises.

The Board Office reported that the dates of spring commencement exercises at the institutions are:

State University of Iowa	May 15
Iowa State University	May 29
University of Northern Iowa	May 15
Iowa School for the Deaf	May 22
Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School	May 28

Board members were requested to report to Ms. Mininger which commencements they would attend. She also recommended that board members inform the respective institutions if they plan to attend.

President Petersen asked board members and institutional executives if there were additional matters to be discussed under the general portion of the docket.

HIGHER EDUCATION FACILITIES COMMISSION. Regent Bailey reminded members of the board that he would appreciate board members' and institutional suggestions on the subject or area for a higher education planning study by the Higher Education Facilities Commission in fiscal 1977.

EXECUTIVE SESSION. President Petersen reported that there were several matters to be discussed in executive session: (1) personnel matter at the State University of Iowa, (2) personnel matter at the University of Northern Iowa, (3) property matter at Iowa State University, (4) property matter of the Board Office, (5) personnel matter from the Board Office.

On roll call as to whether to enter executive session, the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Baldrige, Brownlee, Collison, Shaw, Slife, Zumbach, Petersen.

NAY: None.

ABSENT: Barber.

The board, having voted in the affirmative by at least a two-thirds majority, resolved to meet in executive session beginning at 2:50 p.m. and arose therefrom at 7:30 p.m., to reconvene Friday morning at 8:30 a.m.

The following business pertaining to general or miscellaneous items was transacted on Friday, March 12, 1976.

EXECUTIVE SESSION. The executive session reconvened at 8:30 a.m. with all members present except Mr. Barber. Public session of the board began at 9:45 a.m.

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING ISSUES. Mr. Richey reported that he had docketed the subject of collective bargaining with the expectation that there would be something to report in terms of the court suit relating to the decision of the Public Employment Relations Board that the State is the employer. The board is aware that PERB has postponed all actions until the court case is settled. Mr. Richey indicated that he had nothing further to report at this time.

INTRODUCTION. Regent Baldrige introduced the executive director of the Educational Radio and Television Facility Board, Mr. Thomas M. Ditzel, who was present at the board meeting.

EXECUTIVE SESSION. President Petersen reported that there was a request for executive session pertaining to a property matter. On roll call vote as to whether to enter into executive session, the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Baldrige, Brownlee, Collison, Shaw, Slife, Zumbach,
Petersen.

NAY: None.

ABSENT: Barber.

The board having voted in the affirmative by at least a two-thirds majority, resolved to meet in executive session beginning at 12:00 noon and arose therefrom at 12:45 p.m.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

The following business pertaining to the State University of Iowa was transacted on Thursday, March 11, 1976.

PROPOSED DORMITORY-DINING RATES FOR 1976-77. The board was requested to increase the resident system rates as recommended by the University of Iowa. The increase in a double occupancy room with full board for an unmarried student would be \$86.00, making the rate \$1,304 for the academic year.

The Board Office reported that an analysis of figures indicated that the estimated income and expenses for 1975-76 seems reasonable based on actual second quarter figures and past experience as reflected in the comparable 1974-75 figures. The reliability of the 1975-76 estimates is important because these figures are used as a base to determine the 1976-77 estimated budget. In an effort to further increase the reliability of this base, the State University of Iowa has made numerous minor adjustments to this base. While the net result of these base adjustments was a base change of only a few thousand dollars, over \$250,000 in individual changes went into the calculation of this revised base. The magnitude of the estimated increase in expenses for 1976-77 over those estimated for 1975-76 was noted. The 1975-76 budget estimated a 14.2% increase in expenses over those experienced in 1974-75. The State University of Iowa is estimating that their 1976-77 expenses will be 9.2% above this year's. This estimate of next year's expenses agrees rather well with those presented to the board by Iowa State University and the University of Northern Iowa.

President Boyd introduced Mr. Alan Skelley, Business Manager of Residence Service at the University of Iowa and Mr. Mitchel D. Livingston, Director of Residence Services at the university who were present for discussion.

Mr. Richey commended the university on its superb job in holding the dormitory-dining rates down. Regent Collison commented that the university, in not setting rates too far in advance, is able to respond to varying kinds of pressures it faces in the housing picture. She noted that the University of Iowa has quite a wide range of rates tailored to the particular situation the student finds himself in.

President Boyd said he wants it made clear to students what the situation is at Iowa City with respect to housing. He noted that a state institution should be prepared to accept students up to the opening of school but added that the university is not anticipating a lot of over-occupancy. He noted that there will, of course, be differences of opinion as to what is "over-occupancy" but the university has made it quite clear that it does not want to get into that as a long-range point of view. He reported that as much as possible the university would like to get temporary housing cleared out after the opening of school. Mr. Livingston added that the university expects to have at least as many students in temporary housing this coming year as last year. President Boyd added the university does not want to get into the temporary housing business on a permanent basis.

President Boyd said it is made very clear in a student's orientation at the University of Iowa what the housing situation is and what kind of living conditions there are. He stressed that the university is not trying to "stuff the halls" in any sense. He noted that the university tries to get persons in the community to aid in the housing problem.

President Parks noted that Iowa State University also has a very close working relationship with the townspeople of Ames. It even has a close working relationship with persons in neighboring towns to help find housing for students. He noted, however, that students are informed of the housing options before entering school so they may know what can be expected.

President Kamerick said the University of Northern Iowa has virtually the same policies in regard to the other universities' housing techniques. He noted that there is a great housing shortage in the Cedar Falls/Waterloo area.

MOTION:

Mrs. Collison moved the board increase the resident system rates as recommended by the University of Iowa. The increase in a double occupancy room with full board for an unmarried student would be \$86.00, making the rate \$1,304 for the academic year. Mr. Shaw seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

The following business pertaining to the State University of Iowa was transacted on Friday, March 12, 1976.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The following items were brought to the board's attention from the February Register of Personnel Changes for the State University of Iowa:

Resignations:

Philip Latessa, Director, USPHS Reg. Medical Program, effective January 30, 1976.

James Gallagher, Director of Education and Information, USPHS Reg. Medical Program, effective February 13, 1976.

In absence of objections, President Petersen approved the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of February 1976.

B.A. DEGREE IN DANCE. This item's discussion is found under the general portion of the minutes.

OFFICIAL CALENDARS FOR 1976-77 and 1977-78. The board was requested to approve the University of Iowa's official calendars for 1976-77 and 1977-78.

The Board Office reported that the University of Iowa's calendars presented for approval did not represent any major changes. The calendar for 1976-77 has been changed slightly primarily in the summer session from that presented to the Regents a year ago.

MOTION: Mr. Zumbach moved the board approve the university's official calendars for 1976-77 and 1977-78. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Collison and it passed unanimously.

SPECIAL SECURITY OFFICER. The board was requested to approve the commissioning of Mary Jo Lessmeier as permanent special security officer.

The university reported that Ms. Lessmeier has been a full-time member of university security since January 20, 1975. She completed the Iowa Law Enforcement Academy six-week recruit course on February 13, 1976. She has a B.A. Degree from the University of Iowa.

MOTION: Mr. Brownlee moved the board approve the commissioning of Mary Jo Lessmeier as permanent special security officer for the State University of Iowa. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

APPOINTMENTS. The board was requested to approve the following appointments:

Kenneth Starck, Acting Director, to become Director of the School of Journalism, effective July 1, 1976. Salary to be budgeted for 1976-77.

Richard Lloyd-Jones, to become Chairman of the Department of English for a three-year term, effective August 23, 1976. Salary to be as budgeted for 1976-77.

MOTION: Mr. Baldrige moved the board approve the appointments as shown above. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

NAME CHANGE - DEPARTMENT OF PERIODONTOLOGY. The board was requested to approve a change in name of the Department of Periodontology to the Department of Periodontics.

The Board Office reported that this name change will be in keeping with the present nomenclature being used in the College of Dentistry and throughout dental schools in the United States.

MOTION: Mrs. Collison moved the board approve a change in name of the Department of Periodontology to the Department of Periodontics. Mr. Shaw seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

NAME CHANGE - DIVISION OF EXTENSION. The board was requested to approve a change in name of the Division of Extension and University Services to the Division of Continuing Education.

The Board Office reported that this change would reflect more clearly the mission of the division. The name change is consistent with the adoption of this title throughout the United States.

MOTION: Mr. Brownlee moved the board approve a change in name of the Division of Extension and University Services to the Division of Continuing Education. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period of February 2 through February 27, 1976 had been received by him, was in order, and recommended approval.

The following construction contracts were recommended for approval:

<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>AWARDEE</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTRACT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
University Hospitals North Tower Project -- Dermatology Inpatient Core	Burger Construction Co., Iowa City, Iowa	General	\$50,880.00

(The Board Office reported that Alternate #1 would be provided at no additional cost to provide liquidated damages at the rate of \$200 per day if the project is not completed by June 30, 1976.)

Hillcrest Dormitory and Currier Hall Dormitory Roof Repairs	Cedar Service Company, Cedar Rapids, Iowa	General	\$30,717.00
---	--	---------	-------------

The following new projects were recommended for approval:

<u>Hillcrest/Currier Dormitory Roof Repairs</u>			
Source of Funds: Dormitory Improvement Reserve			<u>\$44,200.00</u>
<u>Old Upper Nine Finkbine Recreational Play Fields</u>			
Source of Funds: 1975-76 RR&A			\$40,300.00
1976-77 RR&A			3,300.00
Total Project Cost			<u>\$43,600.00</u>
<u>Replace Well Water Piping</u>			
Source of Funds: Dormitory Improvement Reserve			<u>\$29,600.00</u>
<u>Oakdale Hospital - Air Conditioning Revisions - First Floor - Area A</u>			
Source of Funds: State Sanatorium RR&A			<u>\$30,850.00</u>
<u>Jefferson Building Fire Escapes</u>			
Source of Funds: University RR&A			<u>\$53,705.00</u>
<u>Surgery Transcription Center</u>			
Source of Funds: University Hospitals RR&A			<u>\$18,480.00</u>

MOTION:

Mr. Brownlee moved the board approve the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period of February 2 through February 27, 1976; the construction contracts be awarded; the new projects be approved; the executive secretary be authorized to sign all necessary documents. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS ACCESS ROADWAYS. The board was requested to approve the project, preliminary plans and budget, noting that the \$90,100.00 funding from institutional road funds may have to be supported from other institutional funds and approve the assignment of the Physical Plant Department as inspection supervisor.

The Board Office noted that the project totals \$545,300 for the access roadway network to the expanded University Hospital facilities. Sole source of funds is shown as institutional road funds.

The Board Office added that the current five-year program provides for \$415,000 funding for the project. The project is actually to be designed and bid in 1976 and completed in 1977. It was noted in a December memorandum that any costs beyond the programmed amount of \$415,000 would have to come from other institutional funds. The university indicated that the project will now cost an additional \$90,100 and asked for temporary approval to seek such funds from the institutional road fund.

It was reported that it is highly unlikely that these funds would be available from the institutional road fund during either 1977 or 1978. The only way these funds could be made available out of the Board of Regents' share of that fund would be for the University of Northern Iowa or Iowa State University to drop one of their projects scheduled during either one of those years. This matter will not be resolved until such time as the Institutional Roads Committee prepares its recommended five-year program during the coming fall. If the \$90,100 does not come from institutional road funds, the university has assured the Board Office that these funds would be made available from other institutional sources. The University of Iowa has agreed with the principle that the institutional road fund should support this project to the extent possible without interfering with the institutional road needs of either the University of Northern Iowa or Iowa State University.

Regent Shaw asked whether the university is getting as much out of patient revenue as is proper and appropriate. He noted that \$415,000 is provided in the current five-year program and wanted to make sure whether or not some of this could be charged to hospital patients. Vice President Jolliffe reported that patient revenue is not now included. He added he would check the legality of including patient revenue and report back to the board.

MOTION:

Mrs. Collison moved the board approve the project, preliminary plans and budget, noting that the \$90,100.00 funding from institutional road funds may have to be supported from other institutional funds and approve the assignment of the Physical Plant Department as inspection supervisor. Mr. Baldrige seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT AND REDEVELOPMENT PROJECTS. The board was requested to accept the University Hospital Capital Development and Redevelopment Projects Report as submitted by the institution.

The institutional report submitted to the board contained a list of capital projects for the next three years. The list was based on present needs and studies to date. The Board Office reported that new demands may cause revisions in the project list as the hospital program develops during the immediate future. The projects would be supported by a combination of RR&A, building depreciation, and gift and grant funds.

The Board Office noted that it felt the list of projects as submitted by the university for study and future consideration by the board was appropriate and that the procedure for submission to the Office of Planning and Programming is appropriate. (The projects would continue to be approved by the Office of Planning and Programming either in advance of or following approval of individual projects by the Board of Regents. The purpose of the approval by the Office of Planning and Programming is to prevent duplication of services of other health facilities.) The Board Office added it will expect to review each project as it is submitted for approval by the Board of Regents. It was suggested that an update be prepared every six months and that hereafter such lists be prepared with preliminary estimate of costs by project or appropriate group of projects.

Mr. McMurray noted that on the list for other potential capital development projects presented the board it was reported that at the present time fund raising efforts are underway to underwrite the cost of the three additional floors to the southwest wing which are being bid as an add alternate to the Cornea Center project. Mr. Richey noted that the board may be receiving requests for bid approval on the top three floors to the Cornea Center coming in at the time the main project comes in. He noted he has informed the institution that it is perfectly appropriate to go ahead and get bids if funds are available.

President Petersen noted that from time to time the institution has prepared project lists so that the board can see the planning that is going on in the hospital and continuing additions to the building. She added that it is very helpful for the board to see the continuation going on because when projects are brought in piece by piece it is a little difficult to figure out where those changes are being made. Vice President Jolliffe reported that the list prepared for the board was not complete in the sense that there could be minor projects coming up from time to time which are not now anticipated.

Regent Collison said it is good to know that special things are going forward for patient care as well as lounges for staff, etc. She noted that the voluntary program includes some plans to help patients' families become more comfortable, also. President Boyd added that March 20 will be the second anniversary of the volunteer program.

MOTION:

Mrs. Collison moved the board accept the University Hospital Capital Development and Redevelopment Projects Report as submitted by the institution. Mr. Zumbach seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

PURCHASE OF PROPERTY. The board was requested to approve purchase of two parcels at a total purchase price of \$264,450.

The university recommended the board approve purchase of two urban renewal parcels. Both parcels were listed in the review of the university's land acquisition policy as updated for the September 1974 board meeting. At that time it was indicated that \$245,580 was being reserved for the purchase of these two parcels. The university now indicated that the purchase price is somewhat in excess of that, which is due to the fact that the highest priced parcel has recently been appraised. Funds for these purchases are available from unexpended balances of income from Treasurer's Temporary Investments.

The Board Office reported that the acquisition of Parcel 92-1 will enable the siting of the second phase of the Lindquist Center. Parcel 95-2 will be developed in a way to complement the south approach to the university library.

Regent Baldrige asked about who made the appraisal for the parcels. The university reported that the purchase price of Parcel 92-1 is \$205,960 which is the value established by reuse appraisals secured in accordance with federal statutes governing the City-University Urban Renewal Project I, Iowa R-14 and is payable in full upon approval of the Board of Regents and the Executive Council and on possession and delivery of warranty deeds and abstracts of good merchantable title. The purchase price of Parcel 95-2 is \$58,500 which is based on an agreement dated November 18, 1971 between the city and the university, subject to the approval of the Board of Regents and the Executive Council. The agreement was supported by appraisals acquired at that time in accordance with federal statutes governing the City-University Urban Renewal Project I, Iowa R-14.

MOTION:

Mr. Shaw moved the board approve purchase of two parcels at a total purchase price of \$264,460. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and on roll call the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Baldrige, Brownlee, Collison, Shaw, Slife, Zumbach, Petersen.

NAY: None.

ABSENT: Barber.

The motion carried.

President Petersen asked board members and institutional executives if there were additional matters to be brought up for discussion concerning the State University of Iowa. There were no other matters brought up for discussion.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

The following business pertaining to Iowa State University was transacted on Thursday, March 11, 1976.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The following item was brought to the board's attention:

Resignation:

Kenneth F. Trickey, head basketball coach, effective January 31, 1976.

In absence of objections, President Petersen ratified the Register of Personnel Changes for Iowa State University for the month of February 1976.

APPOINTMENT. The board was requested to approve the following appointment:

Gordon E. Bivens as Professor and Head of the Department of Family Environment, effective July 1, 1976. Salary \$32,000 per year, 12 months' basis, plus fringe benefits.

MOTION:

Mr. Bailey moved the board approve the appointment of Gordon E. Bivens as shown above. Mr. Brownlee seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING UNIVERSITY-SPONSORED EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS. The board was requested to approve policies of Iowa State University regarding university-sponsored educational materials.

The Board Office reported that traditionally faculty members at universities have satisfied their normal employment commitments with the university through teaching, research and service activities. The traditional research product has been the written article or book. However, Iowa State University has recently seen an increase in a less traditional form of research which they have entitled "educational materials." Educational materials are defined as, among other things, audio visual aids, films, film strips, slides, video recordings, and programmed instructional materials. Iowa State University feels that if a faculty member chooses to engage in research and production of this kind, the university will find itself providing facilities, equipment, and monetary support above and beyond what is normally required for the traditional type of research. Therefore, Iowa State University felt that the university and the producer should share in the monetary rewards resulting from the production of such materials. The policy presented the board was designed to handle such a situation.

The Board Office reported that the proposed policy specifically excluded from its terms the traditional type of research which results in written articles or books. The agreement, which would be entered into between the producer of the educational material on one hand, and the university on the other hand, is a voluntary agreement. The producer of the educational material is not required to enter into an agreement with the university for the sharing of profits resulting from sale or rental of the new materials. If such an agreement, however, is not reached between the producer and the university, the production of such materials must be undertaken entirely on the producer's own time and at his or her own expense.

Both the University of Northern Iowa and the University of Iowa indicated that they do not now have, nor do they contemplate developing in the future, a policy similar to this one. Neither of these institutions has experienced development of these non-traditional research products to the extent that Iowa State University appears to have.

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved the board approve the policies of Iowa State University regarding university-sponsored educational materials. Mr. Zumbach seconded the motion.

Regent Bailey said noted that he had three "mechanical" modifications in the policy which he would call to the university's attention.

President Parks reported that Mr. Dan Griffen, executive secretary of the Iowa State University Research Foundation, would be present at tomorrow's board meeting and requested that action be deferred on this matter until Mr. Griffen could be available to answer questions.

In absence of objections, President Petersen recommended action on this matter be deferred until tomorrow's meeting.

SECURITY PERSONNEL - DESIGNATION AS PERMANENT OR TEMPORARY SPECIAL SECURITY OFFICERS. The board was requested to commission Larry Wayne Jones and Carroll Ann Wright as permanent special security officers and designate Darryl Misel, Johnny Mueller and Edward Collins as temporary special security officers.

The Board Office reported that with the recommended action the 17 member Iowa State University security force will consist of 12 commissioned special security officers and five designated as temporary special security officers. The temporary designation would not extend longer than one year after such designation by the board or would expire earlier upon successful completion of the course of training at the Law Enforcement Academy.

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved the board approve the commissioning of Larry Wayne Jones and Carroll Ann Wright as permanent special security officers and the designation of Darryl Misel, Johnny Mueller and Edward Collins as temporary special security officers. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion.

Regent Collison asked whether Iowa State University currently has two women security officers and Vice President Moore responded affirmatively.

VOTE ON MOTION: The motion passed unanimously.

ARCHITECTURAL AGREEMENT FOR FACILITIES STUDY. The board was requested to approve an agreement for architectural services with Brooks Borg and Skiles of Des Moines.

The university proposed to enter into the contract on an hourly rate basis with maximum compensation not to exceed \$7,000. The compensation would be paid from non-state appropriated funds.

The Board Office reported that in December of 1975 the board authorized Iowa State University to contract for a study of horticulture facilities requirements. The request for architectural services was subsequently filed with the Iowa Chapter of AIA and the request was circulated to membership of that organization.

The horticultural project which is not currently part of the board's capital askings before the 1976 legislature has a cost estimate of \$2,185,000. This would enable remodeling of the existing main building and greenhouse, demolition of the old horticultural laboratory, construction of an 8,200 gross square foot addition for research and construction of additional greenhouse space. The purpose of the facilities study is to determine what portion of the funds request should be for remodeling existing facilities and what portion should go toward constructing new horticultural facilities.

The Board Office requested the university respond to the following questions and Vice President Moore provided responses which follow:

1. How many architectural firms requested consideration to be selected for this facilities study? 11.
2. What reasons were there for selection of the Brooks Borg and Skiles firm? When a selection is made out of a list such as that, there are a number of factors that have to be considered. In this case, however, the principle factor considered was the talent available in a timely manner. He noted that this firm was also associated with the original structure.
3. What is the time schedule leading to the completion of the feasibility study? Thirty days or less.

Mr. Richey noted that the university reported that employees' time will be reimbursed at a multiple of 2.5 times the wages on salary actually paid to the employees. The multiplier factor covers all overhead costs to the architect. He asked whether the multiplier factor was higher than usual. Mr. McMurray noted that at one time the 2.0 level was normal but recent agreements indicate 2.5 as average.

Regent Bailey noted that the estimated cost for the project is \$2,185,000. He asked how that figure came about in view of the fact that the architects have not yet been in the picture. Vice President Moore said that amount is the best preliminary estimate in-house staff could make.

MOTION: Mrs. Collison moved the board approve an agreement for architectural services with Brooks Borg and Skiles of Des Moines. Mr. Bailey seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

AMENDMENTS TO TRAFFIC RULES. The board was requested to approve amendments to Chapter 4 of the Board of Regents Rules appearing in the Iowa Administrative Code relating to Iowa State University.

The university reported that Item 1 rescinds 4.29(5), paragraph c and reletters the old paragraphs "d", "e", and "f" as "c", "d", and "e" respectively.

Item 2 allows for the issuance of multiple violation citations.

Item 3 allows the university to charge a fee for residence halls and university married community parking permits.

Item 4 changes the fine for one violation and adds a fine for a second violation.

Item 5 specifically excludes violations for overtime parking meters from a 50% reduction in penalty, if paid at the end of the business day following issuance of the ticket.

Mr. McMurray reported that the board is required to approve traffic rule amendments from each of the universities before the amendments go into effect. He reported that the University of Northern Iowa and the State University of Iowa will need to come before the board on the matter in the next two to three months.

Vice President Moore commented regarding Item 1 and said that the reason for its proposed deletion is because it is redundant of another section of the rules.

Regent Collison raised a question regarding Item 3 and Vice President Moore responded that residence hall parking lots are used exclusively for that purpose as there is no interchange in usage. Regent Collison asked if there is some guest parking at each residence hall and Vice President Moore responded affirmatively.

Vice President Moore commented that services for the parking lots and dormitories such as snow removal and surveillance is charged to the dormitory system. These fees would be used to pay those services. Assistant Vice President Madden commented that additional lighting in the parking lot areas is now wanted. Fees will be used to offset those costs, also.

MOTION:

Mr. Bailey moved the board approve amendments to Chapter 4 of the Board of Regents Rules appearing in the Iowa Administrative Code relating to Iowa State University. Mr. Slife seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

SCHILLETTER VILLAGE ADDITION, PHASE II - DORMITORY REVENUE BOND ISSUE - 1976. The board was requested to authorize Iowa State University to proceed to pick up the option on the additional 32 housing units after the sale of bonds proposed under the docket heading "Dormitory Revenue Bond Issue - 1976" and to proceed to take bids on site development and general construction for these 32 additional units.

The Board Office reported that Phase I of Schilletter Village consisted of purchase of seven four-plex buildings at a unit cost of \$47,916. Bids for site development and general construction on Phase I were taken on March 9. Cost per unit was estimated to be approximately \$95,000. It was reported that the university has an option to purchase an additional 32 units at the same unit price and this option is available to the university until December 18, 1976.

The Board Office reported that Phase II project cost is estimated to be \$3,040,000. Three million dollars of that cost would come from revenue bonds. Cost per unit of the additional 32 units is \$95,000 per unit.

The university hoped that the majority of the 32 additional units could be ready for occupancy as of September 1, 1977. All units ready for occupancy at that time would be used for single student housing. Units which did not meet the September 1 date would at least temporarily be used for married student housing. The intent of the university is to house single students in these units until such time as the housing problem with single students is alleviated. At that time the units would be transferred for usage as married student housing units.

It is the current intent to house four students in the two bedroom units. Rate for single students per unit would be about \$220. This would be a charge of \$55 per student per month, which compares to the current dormitory rate of \$50 per month. These units would have more space than found in a typical dormitory room and would be furnished somewhat differently.

When the units would no longer be needed for single students, they would be used for married students. The university currently has 504 units in Pammel Court. The board has already granted the university authority to decommission the East Pammel Court units. The Schilletter Village Addition is seen in the long run as providing replacement housing, and not as an addition to the university dormitory system. When Schilletter Village Addition is completed, there will be 156 new housing units which would be used as an initial offset against the 508 obsolete units in Pammel Court.

The Board Office noted that the major issue involved in this particular Schilletter Village Addition is whether or not the university has need for the additional housing units.

The board was also requested to approve the issuance of \$3,000,000 dormitory revenue bonds for Schilletter Village Addition -- Phase II; approve a 13-year maturity schedule which was presented to the board, and authorize preparation of the bond resolution and the sale resolution leading to a sale of bonds on May 13, 1976.

The Board Office reported that the university proposes to issue \$3,000,000 in dormitory revenue bonds as the major source of funding for the 32 units in Schilletter Village. The board's bond consultant prepared two schedules. Schedule #1 proposes an 18-year maturity while Schedule #2 proposes a 13-year maturity. There are two major differences between the two schedules. One, the shorter maturity would save approximately \$800,000 in interest. Secondly, the longer maturity schedule proposes and indicates a likely higher interest rate of approximately one-half percent. Schedule 1's interest rate of 7% is the current maximum rate and calls somewhat into question as to whether or not the bonds could be sold, while Schedule \$2 at 6.50% is well within the maximum limit. The board's bond consultant strongly recommended utilization of the shorter schedule.

The average annual debt service beginning in 1976-77 would be approximately \$350,000 per year under the short schedule. The source of funds for repayment of the bonds would be from additional operating revenues from the new units and from an anticipated rate increase to go into effect in the fall of 1977. It was noted to be unlikely that existing surplus funds and income from the additional units would be sufficient to pay debt service on this issue. In addition, the philosophy of Iowa State University has always been that debts are seen as a system debt. This is particularly appropriate in this case in that the units will over time have usage as both single and married student housing units.

The Board Office reported that the schedule set forth by the board's bond consultant would have the board adopting the resolutions on this issue at its April meeting, and the sale of bonds at its May meeting.

President Petersen reported that the proposal for the addition to married student housing will be used as single student housing for a period of time until the pressure is reduced. She noted that in regard to the financing of the addition she has received a request from Mr. Roberto Rey, member of the Revolutionary Student Brigade, to speak before the board. She then recognized Mr. Rey in accordance with board procedures.

Mr. Rey demanded that additional dormitory space be built to alleviate the overcrowded housing situation at Iowa State University. He said that the Revolutionary Student Brigade does not feel that the housing being proposed today even "makes a dent" in the present situations. His second point was that the Revolutionary Student Brigade feels that the present method of financing the dormitories has led to increases in students' room and board rates.

Mr. Rey said dormitories are built using money that is raised through the sale of revenue bonds to banks, insurance companies, and very wealthy individuals. The university "floats" a revenue bond for the required amount and sells it to an investment banker who then resells it to other investors. You can't buy less than \$5,000 worth of these bonds and this helps rule out any potential low income investors. He reported that the bonds are attractive investments because they are tax-free. As such, they are one of a variety of loopholes open to the very wealthy and provide a lucrative source of profit. Over one-third of the total cost of Iowa State University dormitories goes into the pockets of these investors in the form of interest.

Mr. Rey said he feels that university policy is geared toward the interests of investors making a profit at the expense of students and the working and middle income people of Iowa. He said two social interests are in conflict.

Mr. Rey asked that the board openly state that it does not plan to raise tuition for next year and does not plan to limit enrollment. He also asked that there not be any new taxes imposed against the lower and middle income people. He requested board response. President Petersen thanked Mr. Rey for his presentation and noted that the Board of Regents has always been anxious to have an opportunity to hear from students both informally and formally. She expressed appreciation for both his written and oral remarks.

Regent Shaw said he feels that Mr. Rey's arguments basically centered around the relationship of the tax exempt matter and the fact that interest has to be paid doesn't seem to be a disputable matter, he added. He said that a lot of bonds are owned by "ordinary" people. He said he didn't know where money would come from if it wasn't raised from such bond issues.

President Petersen noted that the supporting of educational programs requires a tremendous investment by the state and said she feels there is some justifiable rationale that the auxiliary functions of the institution, the housing of students, recreation, etc. have been financed in a self-liquidating method.

Regent Baldrige noted that he has no big "hang up" about tax free bonds but inevitably when they are used they are financed by revenue tax free bonds. He noted that there have to be higher interest rates in the dormitories if you want taxable bonds.

President Petersen reported that it is public record that the board established its tuition policy last year and it has not been changed by any action of the board.

Pertaining to the dormitory situation, Regent Collison requested Mr. Rey look at it more as a mortgage. The board takes out a mortgage and pays off at the lowest kind of interest.

Discussion then turned to the project of the Schilletter Village Addition, Phase II. In response to a question from Regent Zumbach, Vice President Moore commented that the addition would house 600 single students. Vice President Moore reported that Schilletter Village would provide kitchen furnishings, living room furniture, bedroom furniture. Vice President Moore noted that the cost for equipping Schilletter Village would amount to approximately \$32,000 which is not included in the \$95,000 figure.

MOTION: Mr. Baldrige moved the board authorize Iowa State University to proceed to pick up the option on the additional 32 housing units after the sale of bonds proposed under the docket heading "Dormitory Revenue Bond Issue - 1976" and to proceed to take bids on site development and general construction for these 32 additional units. Mr. Slife seconded the motion.

Regent Collison said she would be happy to vote for the proposal before the board with the understanding that the board is not approving any specific rate for the Schilletter Village Addition. Vice President Moore reported that the university hasn't proposed a rate yet because it is considering whether 12-month bases or 9-month bases should be used.

VOTE ON MOTION: The motion passed unanimously.

Board members' attention was then turned to the dormitory revenue bond issue and the recommended actions in that regard.

MOTION: Mr. Slife moved the board approve the issuance of \$3,000,000 dormitory revenue bonds for Schilletter Village Addition - Phase II; approve a 13-year maturity schedule which was shown as Option 2 (as presented to the board); authorize preparation of the bond resolution and the sale resolution leading to a sale of bonds on May 13, 1976. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Regent Brownlee asked how the university could shift from 18-year bonds to 13-year bonds to get a better rate so easily. President Petersen responded that the entire system is paying off the revenue bonds and the effectiveness of revenue is minor.

President Petersen asked board members and institutional executives if there were additional matters to be brought up for discussion. There were no additional matters brought up for discussion.

The following business pertaining to Iowa State University was transacted on Friday, March 12, 1976.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING UNIVERSITY-SPONSORED EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS (continued).

Regent Collison asked Mr. Dan Griffen, executive secretary of the Iowa State University Research Foundation, whether the proposed policy would have any effect on the creativity of graduate students. She asked if graduate students would be able to freely use materials that they had created while at the university. Mr. Griffen answered that in general

this would be the case; however, if the university contribution had been significant, then the university might want some control over the use of these materials. Mr. Griffen said he doesn't see the policy as a profit-making one but rather, as a cost return type of project.

Mr. Griffen noted that some of the projects are developed on an individual's own time and the participation of the university in terms of any revenues is next to nothing.

VOTE ON MOTION: The motion passed unanimously.

REZONING -- STATE OWNED PROPERTY ADJACENT TO MORTENSEN ROAD. Vice President Moore reported that the matter of rezoning has been a matter of concern in the Ames community. He brought the issue to the board so that it could take appropriate action. He introduced Mr. Dan Griffen, executive secretary of the Iowa State University Research Foundation and Mr. James Sinatra, associate professor of Landscape Architecture, Iowa State University. Mr. Don Payer, Ames attorney, was also present, representing a concerned group of citizens.

Mr. Griffen initiated discussion by summarizing the following resolution brought before the board:

RESOLUTION
1976-3-2

WHEREAS, the Iowa State University Research Foundation, Inc. has, in the past, acquired property for the purpose of supporting university objectives, beginning with the purchase of the horticulture farm, and

WHEREAS, in the Fall of 1970, the Ames Golf and Country Club contacted the Research Foundation and the Research Foundation entered into preliminary negotiations with the Country Club for the sale of the Wirtz farm to them, provided the Country Club would enter into an agreement with the Research Foundation for a three-year option to purchase the Country Club property, and

WHEREAS, the Country Club did not accept the Research Foundation's offer but decided to purchase 218 acres southeast of Ames and move its club operations there, and

WHEREAS, negotiations with the Country Club were discontinued until activated again by request of the Country Club, and at a meeting held on June 2, 1971, the Research Foundation Board members noted that the 218 acre property, now owned by the Country Club, adjacent to 80 acres owned by the Iowa State University Achievement Fund, which was being held for future needs of the College of Veterinary Medicine and that the adjacent Country Club property would be useful to the university for that same purpose, and that if the Country Club property were purchased, (1) the north portion of the old Golf Course could be used for residential dwellings; (2) the flood plain area could be

dedicated for a green belt area (approximately 20 acres); (3) the south and east portions of the old Golf Course adjacent to Beach Estates, could be joined with that development for residential purposes; and (4) the clubhouse and adjacent areas including a swimming pool could be a possible location for a faculty club with outdoor recreational facilities, and

WHEREAS, on December 20, 1971, the Research Foundation membership, at a special meeting, authorized the purchase of the Ames Golf and Country Club Golf Course property to further the best interest of Iowa State University and the community of Ames to control its use, and the purchase of the 218 acre tract southeast of Ames to further the best interest of Iowa State University, and

WHEREAS, the Iowa State University Research Foundation, Inc. entered into a contract to exchange real estate on the 15th day of March, 1972, with the Ames Golf and Country Club, and contained within that contract was the following clause:

"WHEREAS, because of the location of the Second Party's (Ames Golf and Country Club) real estate, First Party (Iowa State University Research Foundation, Inc.) believes it is in the best interests of Iowa State University and the community of Ames, Iowa, for First Party to acquire Second Party's real estate, and

"WHEREAS, Second Party would like to develop First Party's real estate as a golf and country club...", and

WHEREAS, the Research Foundation, at that time, concluded that property should be developed under controlled circumstances compatible with the surrounding areas, and

WHEREAS, in 1972, the Research Foundation had prepared, by John Harrod, landscape architect, a preliminary land use study and presentation, and

WHEREAS, the Research Foundation, in cooperation with the Alumni Association, on November 10, 1973, authorized a land use study by Wilkins, Bussard and Dikis, architectural firm, and

WHEREAS, the architectural firm presented a plan on January 24, 1974, which supports a residential type of development of the property and also recommended the acquisition of an adjacent 25 acres of university property, acquired many years ago by purchase from funds donated to the university, and

WHEREAS, the city has requested a major arterial street through the state-owned property to alleviate traffic problems in the southwestern portion of Ames, and

WHEREAS, the city has indicated its interest in accepting 19 acres of park land, and

Ames Golf and Country Club

March 11-12, 1976

- WHEREAS, the Parks and Recreation Commission of the city of Ames has indicated interest in the acquisition of the old Ames Golf and Country Club clubhouse and pool, and
- WHEREAS, the Research Foundation Board of Trustees and management have met repeatedly with the city of Ames, and the adjacent landowners, both formally and informally, and
- WHEREAS, there is a need for additional housing in Ames, and
- WHEREAS, the Research Foundation management, with the knowledge and consent of the Research Foundation Board of Trustees and the administration of Iowa State University has submitted a request for the appropriate rezoning of the Golf Course property and the adjacent university state-owned property (25 acres), and
- WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the city of Ames has recommended the zoning request by a unanimous vote of those present; and
- WHEREAS, the Research Foundation has offered to purchase the 25 acres now state-owned from the university at a fair market value, and the university administration has indicated that it will recommend this transaction to the Iowa State Board of Regents;
- NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Regents ratify Iowa State University's authorization for the Iowa State University Research Foundation, Inc. to request the "R-2" (Planned Unit Development) zoning of the state-owned 25 acres adjacent to Mortensen Road, now zoned "R-1".

Because of the complexity of this issue and the short time the resolution was made available to the Board Office, the Board Office analysis which is generally customary was not provided to the board. Board members' attention was called, however, to a letter received by members of the board from the private homeowners in the area objecting to the proposal in stating that the plan is undesirable to the neighborhood.

Mr. Griffen reported that an attempt has been made to meet with all the interested parties on this matter. He reported that planning on this has been going on since September of 1974.

Regent Bailey asked if the type of construction that is contemplated for the area in question is for multiple dwellings. Mr. Griffen responded affirmatively and noted housing would be constructed for single family residents, town houses, and apartments. He noted that about 20 acres of parking was also being proposed.

Mr. Payer expressed appreciation to Mr. Griffen and Vice President Moore who were cooperative in enabling him to meet with the Board of Regents. He noted that this matter is of great concern to a number of people in Ames who are in opposition to the proposal at hand. He said that approximately 100% of the adjacent private property owners of Ames are in opposition to the proposal.

In further clarification to Regent Bailey's question concerning construction, he stated that there are 258 proposed apartments, 163

townhouses proposed, and 20 single family residences proposed. That totals 441 units in this particular area under debate. He noted that is the largest development proposed by anyone at one time in the city of Ames.

Mr. Payer said he has talked with contractors and two serious questions and conflicts of interest have arisen. There is a very serious question whether the city of Ames can support the proposal. He reported it would certainly create some problems in the city as far as the availability of apartments is concerned. He said he feels that the Iowa State University Research Foundation is competing with private industry. While there is nothing in the law that says they can't compete, he felt it is contrary to the best interests of other organizations.

Mr. Payer said that from what he understands, the Iowa State University Research Foundation plans to get the area zoned and will perhaps turn it over to someone else to develop. He said he doesn't feel that the foundation has enough experience in developing land and said he felt if it would develop the land it would be competing with private industry.

Mr. Payer noted that the Iowa State University Research Foundation plans on having this matter appear before the Ames city council on Tuesday, March 16 for the first reading on the rezoning. Mr. Payer said that if the board would ratify the resolution now, it would not necessarily mean that the city council would approve it. He noted he has talked with members of the council and they have indicated concern about the proposal because all of the property that adjoins the 25 acres is single family development area. Those persons in the single family development area don't have any objection for a comparable type of development, but are opposed to the concept of putting a large number of apartments and townhouses in an area where there are no townhouses and apartments located.

Mr. Payer commented that if the board were to ratify the resolution, he understood that Iowa State University would have to come back to the board within the next month or two to ask the board to approve recommendation to the council that this land be sold to the Iowa State University Research Foundation. He said that if the board would ratify the rezoning proposed, it would be taking the first step of the sale of this land. He said he doesn't know whether the board wants to sell the land and whether the Executive Council, in turn, would approve the sale. He noted that the Ames city council is very concerned that this matter of rezoning not go ahead unless the state of Iowa is 100% behind it.

Mr. Payer stressed that there is a very strong feeling in Ames that the 25 acres should not be sold. It is a unique piece of land in that it is located within a large residential area. It adjoins the tower dormitories and if there were a substantial number of housing units put on that area, it appears to a number of people that the dairy farm located to the west would have to move because of its proximity. He asked whether the 25 acres and its effect on the dairy farm would be in the best interest of the Ames public.

It was noted that the area in question is a dense area and if the units as proposed were constructed, it would become even more densely populated. He noted that traffic problems would also be increased.

In reading the resolution, Mr. Payer read the following: "WHEREAS, the Research Foundation, at that time, determined the property should be developed under controlled circumstances, and" and stated that he feels the circumstances are not controlled. He said he feels, as representative of the Ames community, that the Iowa State University Research Foundation will probably be moving out of this.

Mr. Payer noted that the resolution states that the city of Ames has interest in the old Golf and Country Club. He noted that most of that land, as indicated in the proposal, would not be used for the development. Most of that land is park-related. The question, then, would be whether the city of Ames eventually would be interested in that particular piece of land. He said that to his knowledge the city does not have any funds to purchase that property. He said he felt it would be premature to rezone the property in question and felt that more should be done to determine whether the land ultimately would be sold.

President Petersen asked what the specific concern of single property owners in the surrounding area was. Mr. Payer reported there is a concern regarding a deterioration of property value. He noted that there is also a concern that "green space" will be lost by the proposal.

Regent Shaw asked Mr. Payer how his clients would react if the land would be put on the market. Mr. Payer said he feels that the old Golf and Country Club would have liked to have purchased the 25 acres many years ago but the state of Iowa through Iowa State University did not really feel it wanted to sell it. He said he feels that persons in the Ames community feel that if the land is going to be put up for sale, it is entirely possible that someone else may come in and buy it other than the Iowa State University Research Foundation.

Regent Slife said the question about the size of the development and whether it is too big for the area should not really be the Board of Regents' decision to make. He said he feels that the city council of Ames should make that decision.

Regent Slife said he didn't feel the state of Iowa should continue to own the property for Mr. Payers' clients "green space." Mr. Payer said he fully recognizes that but noted that the question of the proposed development being compatible with the existing neighborhood is the biggest concern.

Regent Baldrige commented that when land is declared "surplus" for university or state use, the Board of Regents, depending on the circumstances, sells it either through negotiated sale or open bidding. Mr. Payer said he feels that the board in a sense is saying if it sells, it will ultimately sell it to the Iowa State University Research Foundation.

President Petersen said she feels that the land would be more valuable if it is rezoned. Mr. Payer said he feels that would depend upon how the developer feels he can utilize it.

Regent Bailey asked if this matter has been before the Planning and Zoning Commission. Mr. Payer responded affirmatively and noted that the

Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval. He noted that he appeared before the Planning and Zoning Commission and presented his case, also.

Regent Bailey asked whether the city council generally concurs with the actions taken by the Planning and Zoning Commission. Mr. Payer said that percentage-wise, the city council probably does concur but on very controversial issues like this, the council does not necessarily go along with the commission's action.

Regent Bailey asked Mr. Payer whether the group he is representing would be interested in buying the property in question to preserve it for "green space" and Mr. Payer noted that they have considered it as has the city of Ames. He noted that the city does not have any funds to purchase it. He noted that the group he represents, however, has no definite plans regarding purchase.

Regent Bailey asked several questions concerning where the idea came for development of the area and who is really behind it. Mr. Griffen reported that the Iowa State University Research Foundation has developed a plan which has been worked out over a several-year period of time. He said part of the plan is to meet community needs. He added that the foundation is not attempting to make maximum profits to the exclusion of community interests. He said he feels the policy is one which would involve a reasonable return on the investment.

Regent Zumbach said he feels that ancillary organizations at Iowa State University have served the university and community very well in controlling pieces of property. He said he feels that the result of that has been one of the most beautiful university communities in this country. He said he does not feel that the Board of Regents has the expertise on these technical matters as to density of the population, etc. to deny the request by the research foundation.

MOTION: Mr. Zumbach moved the board approve the resolution as shown above regarding rezoning of state-owned property. Mr. Slife seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period of February 12 through March 12, 1976 had been received by him, was in order, and recommended approval.

Action was required on four issues before the board. The first project discussed was the Design Center.

Mr. McMurray reported that the university received excellent bids on the Design Center - Phase II Project. The bids were well within the project budget as that budget is in part supplemented by 1975 capital appropriations. This project is financed by a \$6,490,000 line item appropriation and \$920,000 tentatively allocated of 1975 capital appropriations. Mr. McMurray distributed a revised project budget for the Design Center and noted that the new budget will use tentatively

<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>AWARDEE</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTRACT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Schilletter Village Addition, Phase I	Allen Construction Co., Ames, Iowa	Site Development and General Construction	\$330,200

A project budget for Phase I of Schilletter Village was recommended in the amount of \$705,612. Mr. McMurray noted that that amount is \$40,000 over the original estimate of the university and the explanation given by the university is that several of the items which were bid could be more properly accounted for in the Phase II portion of the project. Also, additional parking is provided because it would be used by single students rather than married students.

Mr. McMurray reported on a third project, Morrill Hall Air Conditioning.

The following construction project was recommended for approval:

<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>AWARDEE</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTRACT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Morrill Hall Air Conditioning	Glass & Sons Electric, Inc., Des Moines, Iowa	Electrical Modifications	\$ 9,900

Mr. McMurray noted that this bid was well within the project budget. The project budget approved for this project amounted to \$75,000. The university recommended the project budget be reduced to \$50,000 funded by RR&A.

The following new project was recommended for approval:

<u>Pearson Hall and Biomedical Engineering Roof Replacements</u>	<u>\$42,000</u>
Source of Funds: RR&A	

MOTION:

Mrs. Collison moved the board approve the rest of the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period of February 12 through March 12, 1976; the construction contracts be awarded; the project budgets be approved; the new project be approved; the executive secretary be authorized to sign any necessary documents. Mr. Brownlee seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

President Petersen asked board members and institutional executives if there were additional matters to be discussed under the Iowa State University portion of the docket.

MEATS LABORATORY. Vice President Moore reported that the architect for the Meats Laboratory claims a large overrun on the project. He noted

that the board, however, has no legal obligation to pay any more. He reported that the university will present the board with a docket item regarding this matter soon.

OVERHEAD RATES ON RESEARCH CONTRACTS. Vice President Moore recommended that Mr. Caldwell of the Board Office consult with the three universities to prepare a document for next month's general docket for charging overhead rates on research contracts. Vice President Moore noted that today Iowa State University delivered a letter to the Department of Public Instruction because it refuses to pay the university's standard overhead rate for research contracts. The Department of Public Instruction offered to pay a lower rate. The university reviewed that rate and presented the Department of Public Instruction with a compromise proposal.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN IOWA

The following business pertaining to the University of Northern Iowa was transacted on Friday, March 12, 1976.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The following item was brought to the attention of the board:

Resignation:

James W. Shaffer, Sports Information Director,
effective February 1, 1976.

In absence of objections, President Petersen ratified the actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of February 1976.

FACULTY DEVELOPMENT ASSIGNMENTS FOR 1974-75. The board was requested to accept a report of the University of Northern Iowa on faculty development assignments for 1974-75.

The Board Office reported that the board, at its March 1974 meeting, approved a request for 12 faculty development assignments at an anticipated replacement cost of \$35,000. The actual replacement cost was \$45,000.

Regent Slife asked about how faculty members are paid while on leave. President Kamerick responded that faculty members are paid the same salary as if they would be teaching.

MOTION: Mr. Slife moved the board accept a report of the University of Northern Iowa on faculty development assignments for 1974-75. Mr. Zumbach seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period of February 5 to March 4, 1976, was received, was in order, and recommended approval.

Three items required specific board attention. The following new project was presented for board approval:

A & I Building Remodeling for Graduate Deans Office and Alumni Office
Source of Funds: University RR&A - \$54,000

The following design agreements were recommended for approval:

Wisconsin Street Reconstruction

The reconstruction of Wisconsin Street is a \$60,000 1976 institutional roads construction project. The university has submitted two agreements regarding this project:

- a. Design agreement with the Department of Transportation to have the university hire consultants to do the design of the project with design costs to be reimbursed from the 1976 Institutional Road Fund.
- b. An agreement with Brice, Petrides & Associates, Inc. - Waterloo, to do the design work. The basic fee would be on an hourly rate scale previously approved by the board for this firm with a maximum of \$12,150 plus reimbursables.

The Board Office reported that both agreements are subject to Department of Transportation approval. The Board of Regents will later approve a specific project budget and award a contract subject to Department of Transportation concurrence.

The board was requested to approve Phase II of the West Campus Storm Sewer Project. The Board Office reported that this is a \$100,000 project requested of the legislature in the board's current capital askings. The project would serve the new Speech/Art Complex. This sewer has to be constructed this summer to coincide with building progress or else it will be extremely difficult and much more expensive to put it in the storm sewer at a later date. Until this sewer is installed, the runoff will go into an open ditch beside a state highway. This project is one of "must" funding by this session of the legislature.

The University of Northern Iowa proposed that a design agreement be approved with Brice, Petrides & Associates, Inc. - Waterloo with compensation on the same hourly rate basis as previously approved with a maximum compensation of \$7,960. The university would use interest income on treasurer's temporary investments to fund the design charges with costs expended to be recaptured from the 1976 capital appropriation. The \$100,000 request for West Campus Storm Sewers is a complete project cost and includes the design costs associated with the project.

MOTION:

Mr. Slife moved the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period of February 5 to March 4, 1976, be approved; the new project be approved; the design agreement for Wisconsin Street be approved; the West Campus Storm Sewer Phase II design agreement be approved; the executive secretary be authorized to sign any necessary documents. Mr. Zumbach seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

CONTRACT FOR MASTER PLAN FOR MARRIED STUDENT HOUSING. The board was requested to approve a contract between the university and Stenson, Warm, Grimes Architects, Waterloo, Iowa for a master plan for married student housing at the university.

The contract calls for furnishing a site determination, and a site development plan for 300 units of married student housing including grading, utilities, walks, drives, parking, playgrounds, and schematic design plans for residence units and a service building. The architect firm has agreed to study all types of housing ranging from mobile homes to permanent housing, and to give the university comparative cost estimates as to construction and operations, including energy conservation. The contract provides for a charge for the service on a per hour basis with the maximum fee not to exceed \$31,500. The contract also provides that if Stenson, Warm, and Grimes are ultimately selected to proceed with specifications and bid documents that their fee be altered by the fee paid for the master plan.

The timetable for the project is that the master plan would be completed sometime in the fall of 1976 based on approval of specific project budgets by the board. The university would plan to arrange for specifications and bidding documents during the winter months and would begin construction during 1977.

The Board Office reported that the immediate need at the university is to replace the 99 trailer units which are now listed as South Courts. The university currently has 425 married student housing units of three types; 278 units are in the relatively recent Hillside Court; 48 units are in the College Courts; and 99 units are in trailers in the aforementioned South Courts. The master plan would provide site planning for 300 units. The university can currently accommodate about 20% of its married students in its married student housing units. This percentage would increase to almost 30%, were an additional 200 units to be constructed and the replacement of the South Court trailers to occur.

Vice President Stansbury commented that the firm of Stenson, Warm, Grimes will provide the board with a full analysis regarding married student housing units. He noted that the contract is just a master plan and does not involve specific design. President Petersen asked if specific design as an option is open and Vice President Stansbury responded affirmatively. Vice President Stansbury commented in response to questions that the firm will be quite involved in producing objective data concerning the need for student housing and economic factors.

Vice President Stansbury noted that the "300" figure mentioned in the master plan is a "down the road" figure. He said that while that figure will be kept in mind in initial planning, it is not the university's thinking to provide 300 units at the present time. He added that there is no intention of committing construction money until economic trends are explored. He reported that a specific proposal in this regard will be coming to the board. Mr. Richey asked whether the answers to housing need questions and economic factors should be answered before the contract for the master plan is approved.

Regent Baldrige said he feels the question is whether the university has the in-house capability of making the economic study initially. He noted that over \$30,000 is being considered here and noted that after a planning study it may be shown that the housing arrangements are almost sufficient. Vice President Stansbury noted that the university's

master plan will be based on detailed estimates of the needs for married student housing as affected by enrollment trends, economic factors, and estimated changes in student housing preferences; the condition of the local housing market; and the effects on rates and financial conditions of the entire residence system. He noted that the university has 99 trailers presently in one of its married student housing areas which have outlived their life expectancy. He added they can not be repaired economically. He said the university's first concern would be to replace those units.

Mr. Richey amended the Board Office's recommended action by recommending that the master plan be approved providing, however, that the questions and information requested last fall concerning economic and demand factors for housing be provided prior to putting this firm to work on the physical aspect of the master planning. Mr. Richey said he feels it would be hard for the firm to do any meaningful work without knowing the scope of the project.

Vice President Stansbury reported that the university made sure that the master plan requested would not require the firm's entering into the plans and specifications of units to be built. Vice President Stansbury concurred with Mr. Richey's revised recommendation.

MOTION:

Mr. Slife moved the board approve a contract between the university and Stenson, Warm, Grimes Architects, Waterloo, Iowa for a master plan for married student housing at the University of Northern Iowa providing, however, that the questions and information requested last fall concerning economic and demand factors for housing be provided prior to putting this firm to work on the physical aspect of the master planning. Mr. Baldrige seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

FARM LEASES. The board was requested to approve three farm leases:

Farm Leases to Robert L. Christensen

40 acre parcel at a rate of \$60 per acre
63.5 acre parcel at a rate of \$22 per acre

Farm Lease to Garnold Hoskins

6.5 acres of land at \$60 per acre

The Board Office reported that the proposed farm leases for 1976 contain the same terms as the 1975 leases. The rent per acre on the smaller parcel with Mr. Christensen was increased by \$25 per acre in 1975. The other two parcels remain at their original rental rate of \$22 per acre and \$60 per acre respectively.

MOTION:

Mr. Baldridge moved the board approve the three farm leases described above to Robert L. Christensen and Garnold Hoskins. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and on roll call the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Baldridge, Brownlee, Collison, Shaw, Slife, Zumbach, Petersen.

NAY: None.

ABSENT: Barber

The motion carried.

President Petersen asked board members and institutional executives if there were additional items to be discussed under the University of Northern Iowa's portion of the docket. There were no additional matters brought up for discussion.

IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

The following business pertaining to the Iowa School for the Deaf was transacted on Friday, March 12, 1976.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of February 1976 were ratified by the board.

AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYROLL DEDUCTION OF MONTHLY UNION DUES FOR EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF SIEA/AFSCME LOCAL UNION NO. 363. The board was requested to authorize Iowa School for the Deaf to make payroll deductions of dues for membership in SIEA/AFSCME, Local No. 363, when requested by 25 or more employees.

The Board Office reported that the recommendation is in accordance with Section 7.14 of the Procedural Guide. The board has previously approved payroll deductions for membership in the Iowa School for the Deaf Association when requested by 25 or more employees. While the executive director of SIEA/AFSCME, Mr. Thomas J. Cannon, has asked that deductions be authorized at Iowa School for the Deaf and Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School when requested by a minimum of ten or 15 employees, it was noted that he has already submitted authorization cards for more than 25 employees of Iowa School for the Deaf.

MOTION:

Mr. Bailey moved the board authorize Iowa School for the Deaf to make payroll deductions of dues for membership in SIEA/AFSCME, Local No. 363, when requested by 25 or more employees. Mrs. Collison seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. There were no items on the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the month of February 1976.

President Petersen asked board members and institutional executives if there were additional matters to be discussed on the Iowa School for the Deaf portion of the docket.

SCHOOL ACCREDITATION. Superintendent Giangreco reported that the national accreditation team was on campus last week and the report will be presented to the board next month. He noted that he sent a booklet out to members of the board involving the school's self-evaluation. He noted that recommendations in that regard will be coming out later.

IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL

The following business pertaining to the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School was transacted on Friday, March 12, 1976.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of February 1976 were ratified by the board.

SCHOOL CALENDAR, 1976-77. The board was requested to approve the proposed Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School calendar for 1976-77.

The Board Office reported that the proposed calendar represents no major changes over those previously approved by the Regents.

MOTION: Mrs. Collison moved the board approve the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School's proposed calendar for 1976-77. Mr. Zumbach seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

TEACHER CONTRACT PROPOSAL AND SALARY INFORMATION. The following actions were recommended: (1) that board consideration of the teacher contract proposal and salary information be deferred; (2) that teacher contracts be completed and signed after funds have been appropriated for 1976-77 and the board has acted on salary schedules; (3) that notifications of resignations by the teachers and notification of termination by the superintendents be carried out in compliance with the teachers' contracts currently in effect.

The Board Office reported that the superintendents of the Iowa School for the Deaf and the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School have begun analyzing the salary schedules for revision for 1976-77. Common salary schedules for the two schools were accomplished for 1975-76 with minor differences that reflect only differences in operation of the two schools. Inasmuch as funds for 1976-77 have not been appropriated and the superintendents have not met with the Board Office to review all needed information in detail, it was decided by both the Board Office and Superintendent Woodcock that it would be premature to consider the matter.

The Board Office noted that although it would be desirable to complete the teachers' contracts as early as possible for the convenience of the teachers, it is believed that the teachers will appreciate the impossibility of completing contracts before funds are appropriated. Current budget studies should enable completion of salary schedules soon after the legislature has appropriated funds so that the salary schedules may be submitted to the Board of Regents for approval and contracts prepared.

Superintendent Woodcock concurred with the actions recommended by the Board Office. He noted he would be meeting with Mr. Caldwell of the Board Office next Wednesday to resolve the differences.

Mr. Richey noted that there may be a difference of opinion between the Board Office and the institution on the contract provisions between the Iowa School for the Deaf and the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School because the local school districts are different. Superintendent Woodcock said he feels he has an obligation to point out that the teachers at Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School should be compensated equal to the local community schools.

MOTION:

Mr. Bailey moved the board: (1) defer consideration of the teacher contract proposal and salary information; (2) authorize that teacher contracts be completed and signed after funds have been appropriated for 1976-77 and the board has acted on salary schedules; and (3) carry out in compliance with the teachers' contracts currently in effect notifications of resignations by the teachers and notification of termination by the superintendents. Mr. Slife seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. There were no items on the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the month of February 1976.

CONTRACT FOR ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES -- SENSORY STIMULATION CENTER. The board was requested to: (1) approve a contract to provide planning services with Criteria, Minneapolis, Minnesota, subject to review of the terms of the contract by the executive secretary and execution by the executive secretary prior to initiation of the work if a satisfactory showing of detailed financing for the entire project was provided by the time of the board meeting; and (2) direct the institution to bring forward a contract at the April meeting for architectural services of a continuing nature as the contract formally entered into with the firm of Brown, Healey, Bock, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, expired June 30, 1976.

The Board Office reported that the purpose of the contract would be to develop a model for a special learning area for developmentally delayed students. Some modeling has previously been done by staff members at Iowa State University at no cost to the school. The contract would not include the development of construction documents for bidding purposes. The school indicated that the cost of the model development would be approximately \$4,000. This amount is assumed to be the maximum fee for the firm's work and would be included in the contract with the firm.

The project is already included in the capital list of the school as project no. 3 under the Dormitory and Recreation Areas, Renovations and Alterations, Phase I, which is listed at \$145,000. This project is not on the capital askings list before this session of the legislature. The estimated cost of project no. 3 at this time is approximately \$55,000 of the \$145,000 total.

An addendum was distributed to members of the board concerning the Sensory Stimulation Center by Superintendent Woodcock which stated that the school

now proposed that the project be funded entirely from Trust Funds. He expressed his feeling that the development center is not likely to be funded in the future from state funds regardless of the priority given by the school.

Superintendent Woodcock noted that the center is now and has been of high priority when one considers the advantages it would provide as a tactile aid in the education of lower elementary blind and deaf-blind children with multiple impairments of the present and future enrollments. The Trust Funds recommended have accumulated over the past several years and represent the original gift plus earned interest. None had restrictions as to how they were to be expended and Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School felt that this project would fulfill the intent of the donators in that the project would provide a benefit and service for the advancement of education for the visually impaired.

Superintendent Woodcock said he feels that the firm of Criteria has expertise to handle the project in ways some other firms might not have. He said he has some question in his mind whether the project can be bid as opposed to a project where the school's carpenter could do the work. He noted that the school has enough material now to go ahead and construct the center. President Petersen assumed that to use in-house labor, the project pieces would be under \$10,000. Superintendent Woodcock responded affirmatively.

The Board Office reported that the school currently has no "contract" for continuing architectural and engineering services. Whether such services should be provided on a project by project basis or on a continuing basis would to a large extent depend upon the amount of capital projects planned at the school during the next two years.

The Board Office reported that it is considered likely that the school will receive capital funds of \$50,000 for replacement of the feed water heater in the power plant. It is somewhat questionable whether the firm of Brown, Healey, Bock is the best firm to provide engineering services of this type. It was recommended that the school indicate what other architectural services it needs during the next two years, if it chooses to bring in a new contract for architectural services at the April meeting.

It was suggested by the Board Office that until such time as the school brings in such a contract, any work orders to the firm of Brown, Healey, Bock cease except as might be necessary to finish that firm's responsibility for 65th G.A. projects.

Mr. Richey raised a comment about architectural services with Brown, Healey, Bock and asked whether the school has used the firm since the contract expired. Superintendent Woodcock responded affirmatively but noted that the firm hasn't been used on any capital or large projects. Mr. Richey urged Superintendent Woodcock to use extreme care in that matter.

IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL
March 11-12, 1976

MOTION:

Mrs. Collison moved the board: (1) approve a contract to provide planning services with Criteria, Minneapolis, Minnesota, subject to review of the terms of the contract by the executive secretary and execution by the executive secretary prior to initiation of the work and (2) direct the institution to bring forward a contract at the April meeting for architectural services of a continuing nature as the contract formally entered into with the Brown, Healey, Bock, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, expired June 30, 1975. Mr. Zumbach seconded the motion.

Superintendent Woodcock reported that the money proposed for expenditure amounts to approximately the amount of money expected from the Bruesewitz Estate.

Superintendent Woodcock reported that he sent some material of the Criteria firm to Mr. Jim Sinatra of Iowa State University and the firm of Brown, Healey, Bock and the professional opinions received indicated Criteria would be able to do the project very well.

Mr. McMurray pointed out that Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School has furnished the Board Office with copies of the proposed contract with Criteria. He noted that the Board Office will be reviewing those prior to execution of the contract. He noted that the contract contains the schedule for this project which would have the work done by the architect by the time of the May board meeting with the presentation to the board probably at that time, also.

VOTE ON MOTION: The motion passed unanimously.

President Petersen asked board members and institutional executives if there were additional matters to be brought up for discussion concerning the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School. There were no additional matters brought up for discussion.

ADJOURNMENT. Public session of the Board of Regents meeting adjourned at 12:00 noon, Friday, March 12, 1976.


R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary