The State Board of Regents met at the Board Office, Des Moines, Iowa, on Thursday, January 14, 1971. Those present were:

**Members of the State Board of Regents**
- Mr. Redeker, President
- Mr. Bailey
- Mr. Loss
- Mr. Louden
- Mr. Perrin
- Mrs. Petersen
- Mr. Quarton
- Mr. Shaw
- Mr. Wallace

**Office of the State Board of Regents**
- Executive Secretary Richey
- Mr. Porter
- Mr. McMurray

**State University of Iowa**
- President Boyd
- Provost Heffner
- Vice President Jolliffe
- Vice President Hardin
- Director Strayer

**Iowa State University**
- President Parks
- Vice President Moore
- Vice President Christensen
- Vice President Hamilton

**University of Northern Iowa**
- President Kamerick
- Business Manager Jennings
- Executive Vice President Pendergraft
- Vice President Reninger
- Director Holmes

**Iowa School for the Deaf**
- Superintendent Giangreco

**Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School**
- Superintendent Rocco
- Business Manager Berry
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GENERAL

The following business pertaining to general or miscellaneous items was transacted on Thursday, January 14, 1971.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES. There was one correction noted by the Board office for the Minutes of the December 10-11, 1970, meeting, under the business of the University of Northern Iowa. The correction was in the motion covering the Biological Research and Small Animal Building Project, on page 244, clarifying the $646,750 to be funded from the 63rd G.A. Bonding Authority and supplemental legislative appropriation..." The minutes were approved as corrected.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL COORDINATION. Provost Heffner reported the Special Committee on Environmental Studies, consisting of Professor Buck (ISU), Professor Clausen (UNI) and Dean Spriestersbach (SUI) had met several times and submitted progress reports to the Committee on Educational Coordination; a recent report, covering programs relating to environment had been distributed among the Board and is on file in the Board office. Each of the Universities offers programs relating to environment although none has a specific school of environmental studies. Included in the material presented to the Board was a report from each University covering what is presently being done in the area of environmental studies. Mr. Heffner reported a census would be taken relative to cooperative programs existing among the three Universities and additional programs which might be desirable. It was the feeling that the continued education in environment should be the responsibility of all three Universities. As further developments arise, they will be reported to the Board.
Referring to the curriculum changes proposed and presented to the Board in November 1970 by the University of Northern Iowa covering a Revised General Education Program, two Graduate Majors (Special Education: Administration; Linguistics), five Undergraduate Majors (General Education; Asian Studies; Social Work; Philosophy and Religion; Journalism), Provost Heffner reported that after consultation between the faculty members of UNI and SUI the Committee recommended approval of the Linguistics Program with one modification in name, to avoid the appearance of any duplication with the SUI program in the same field, so that it would be called "Master of Arts in English Linguistics" rather than "Master of Arts in Linguistics". In addition to the above, the Committee recommended approval of all the curriculum changes proposed with the exception of the Major in Journalism; and their recommendation was a strong minor in this field, rather than a Major. To clear a question regarding the Special Education Administration, it was pointed out that the specialization would be in the field of Special Education rather than in the broad area of administration.

MOTION: Mr. Louden moved that the Board accept the recommendation of the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and approve the curriculum changes requested by the University of Northern Iowa (above-mentioned) with the modification in the name of the Linguistics program so that it will be "Master of Arts in English Linguistics" and, rather than a Major in Journalism, a strong Minor be offered. The motion was seconded by Mr. Loss. In the absence of objection, President Redeker declared the motion carried.

MERIT SYSTEM RULES AND REGULATIONS. Prior to the meeting, Chapters V, VI, VII of the Regents Merit System Rules and Regulations with reference to Application and Examination, Certification and Selection, Appointment and Probation had been distributed to the Board for consideration and at this meeting Board
approval was requested. These Rules and Regulations are included as part of the minutes. It was pointed out that these proposals represent a major and important part of the regulations that will be required to govern the Regents Merit System. After they receive Board approval, they will be submitted, as required by the State Merit Employment Act, for approval by the State Merit Commission, and then to the Legislative Rules Committee and the Attorney General's Office in accordance with Chapter 17A of the Iowa Code, Administrative Rules & Regulations. To show progress in the development of the Regents System, it was hoped these proposals could be submitted to the Legislative Rules Committee and the Attorney General's Office immediately after they were reviewed by the State Merit Commission. And to facilitate this, the Board Office requested that, in approving the rules, the Board also permit them the flexibility to make any minor changes that may be required by the Merit Commission (in concurrence with the Interinstitutional Personnel Committee and institutional heads). Executive Secretary Richey presented Donald R. Volm, Regent Merit System Coordinator, to answer any questions the Board might have regarding these proposals. President Redeker announced that also present at this meeting was Mr. Raphe Bramblett, a UNI Physical Plant Committee representative, who had distributed to the Board a list of objectives relative to collective bargaining and the Regent Merit System. Discussion ensued. One questioned the eligibility register (6.3) consisting of five people who have the highest standing on the eligibility list rather than just three, which is the state requirement. It was explained this would provide management with a greater degree of discretion in the selection process, which is more desirable. On question of what difference might exist between these proposals and what is presently practiced by the State along these lines, the main difference pointed out was the decentralized examination and the certification procedures. There would be two kinds of examinations: Original entry exams, serving people who come from the outside, and promotional exams made
to advance existing employees. Under 5.4, the statement is made, "Announcement of examinations will be made publicly..." but no specific mention is made as to how or where the special announcement would be made. Regents felt this should be more explicit. Mr. Volm explained that plans were to include distribution of notices to all the state employment offices of the Iowa Employment Security Commission, and there were other considerations, such as free time and/or free space in news media. Mr. Bramblett commented that inasmuch as this proposal does not apply to the academic staff nor professional and technical people, it does apply to the "lower income group" who feel this type of document denies them individual rights. Employees should have the right to collective bargaining, and he urged the Board to exert effort to encourage passage of such legislation.

MOTION: Mr. Louden moved the Board approve the three chapters of the Regents Merit System Rules concerning employment as proposed above with point 5.4 amended so that the announcement of examinations be made publicly by state employment offices, and permit the flexibility requested by the Board office to make any minor changes that may be required by the Merit Commission prior to submitting the rules to the Legislative Rules Committee and the Attorney General's Office. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen. In the absence of objection, President Redecker declared the motion passed.

FRINGE BENEFITS - REGENTS LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM. Mr. Volm reported on the meeting of the Inter-Agency Committee of Department Heads which both he and Mr. Richey attended. It was recalled that at previous Board meetings the Board had been requested to await this Committee's recommendations regarding fringe benefits before taking any action on its own. As it developed, this long-awaited meeting was a general discussion wherein there was agreement that certain improvements needed to be made in the area of fringe benefits but no definite decision was reached nor resolution adopted. Executive Secretary Richey recommended that the Board request the Governor and Legislators to adopt
a policy at this current session of the Legislature to improve the basic retirement system under IPERS in terms of salary covered and to improve the medical and other insurance benefits for employees in the general state service which benefits presently are not equal to those offered by most employers. The Board was reminded the School for the Deaf and the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School had again expressed interest in being covered by TIAA as they had two years ago when the Board determined it would prefer to effect general improvement of the state retirement program rather than seek special programs for those two schools. The Board office recommendation at this meeting was in effect to follow the same policy adopted by the Board two years ago with respect to that.

In discussion there was agreement that fringe benefits covering Regent institutions and offices should be at least equivalent to those of the majority of other employers in the area, but the question of cost arose. Since the employees of the Universities are covered by another fringe benefit program (TIAA), there are only three Regent institutions covered by IPERS presently: The Iowa School for the Deaf, Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School and the Board office. One member suggested that the Board request these three institutions be included on the same program that currently applies to the three academic institutions.

MOTION: Mrs. Petersen moved that the Board call to the attention of the Legislature the discrepancy between fringe benefits for Iowa State employees and employees of other states and those in the outside private industry, and recommend they incorporate improvements in the program where possible. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin. On roll call, the vote was as follows: AYE: Loss, Louden, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace. NAY: Bailey. ABSENT: None. The motion carried.
COLLECTIVE BARGAINING - LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM. At its December 1970 meeting, the Board approved seven recommendations of the Ad Hoc Committee on Collective Bargaining regarding desirable provisions which any legislation on collective bargaining should contain. The Board also concurred with the action of the Executive Secretary in requesting the committee to develop a formal recommendation regarding the matter of bargaining on a statewide basis. Senate File 1084 contained the provision that "collective bargaining on behalf of state employees covering any terms which require funding shall be conducted on a statewide basis with the governor or his designee representing the state". It was pointed out that under such a provision the Board of Regents could be included in centralized bargaining at the state level and thus lose control of institutional personnel and budget matters as well as flexibility in the matter of program emphasis and the allocation of resources.

Since then, the Committee has met to consider this matter and developed the following recommendation to be added (in first priority) to the seven already approved:

1. Collective Bargaining on a statewide basis by the governor or his designee regarding terms which require funding should be limited to bargaining with units which have wide membership. If a bargaining unit includes state employees employed by more than one department, board, commission or other agency of the state of Iowa, collective bargaining with such a unit concerning any terms which require funding should be conducted by the governor or his designee as the public employer's bargaining representative. If a bargaining unit includes state employees of only one state agency, such bargaining should be conducted by that agency or its designated representatives.

The proposed wording appears to be an improvement over the language of Senate File 1084 in that it reserves to the Board jurisdiction over matters affecting only Board institutions. It does not, however, have the effect of specifically exempting the Board from statewide negotiations. This matter was called to the attention of the Board at the December meeting because of the
serious effects which statewide negotiations might have on the Regent Merit System and on the Regents' management control over its institutions. This concern was to some degree offset by the following further recommendation of the Committee presented for Board consideration as a continuation of Recommendation #5 approved at the December meeting which stated that "matters which are the legal responsibility of the State Merit System should not be subject to compulsory negotiation".

2. Proposed legislation should not have the effect of undermining the state merit system or other such systems authorized by law. Any reference in such legislation to the merit employment commission should be followed by the words "or other such agency as provided by law".

The Committee presented also for Board consideration a third recommendation, as follows:

3. If persons holding the status of student in any state or local institution are to be excluded from coverage by collective bargaining legislation, then the term "student" should be carefully defined.

Senate File 1084 excluded from the provisions of the Act "all persons holding a status of student in any state or local institution". For clarification, a definition of "student" should be added to the Definitions section of the Act. Of specific concern to the Board would be the question of whether T/A's and R/A's are part-time employees or part-time students. Under one definition they would be covered; under the other, they would not.

The Board was requested to consider the above three recommendations regarding collective bargaining.
In discussing Recommendation #1, the point was raised that most proposals, even those related to non-economic matters would require funding and perhaps the statement should be stronger to insure Board control over bargaining relating to institutional and budget needs as well as flexibility in the matter of program emphasis and the allocation of resources. Question brought out that approximately 40-45% of the state employees are under the Board of Regents.

**MOTION:** Mr. Shaw moved that the Board approve Recommendation #1, above, having to do with statewide negotiation on collective bargaining but it should be changed to include the following: That employees of Regent institutions not be included in bargaining units including any other state employees and that the Board of Regents or its designee be the authorized state representative in dealing with bargaining units comprised of Regent employees. Motion was seconded by Mr. Quarton.

At this point, Mr. Bramblett reiterated his earlier request that the Board do its utmost to encourage legislation that would give employees the right to bargain.

All members voted AYE on the above motion. The motion carried.

Moving to the Ad Hoc Committee Recommendation #2, there was no question or opposition to this.

**MOTION:** Mrs. Petersen moved the above Recommendation #2 be included as part of the Regents Collective Bargaining Program. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and passed unanimously.

With reference to the Ad Hoc Committee Recommendation #3, all were in agreement that the Legislature should be alerted to continue work with the definition of "student".
MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved that the Board include the above recommendation #3 as part of its Legislative Program on Collective Bargaining with one addition: That the word "proposed" be inserted before "Collective bargaining legislation..." so the recommendation would read: "If persons holding the status of student in any state or local institution are to be excluded from coverage by proposed collective bargaining legislation, then the term 'student' should be carefully defined." Motion was seconded by Mr. Louden.

It was suggested that the Ad Hoc Committee should decide among themselves a definite definition of "student" that would be most workable. And further suggestion was that such definition should be discussed and verified with registrars and presidents of the three institutions because of its wide implication.

All members voted AYE on the above motion. The motion carried.

RESOLUTION FOR CHECK SIGNATURES. The following resolution covering depository and authorized signatures was presented to the Board for approval and adoption:

BE IT RESOLVED that the Iowa-Des Moines National Bank, Des Moines, Iowa be and it is hereby designated as a depository in which the funds of the State Board of Regents may from time to time be deposited by its officers, agents and employees; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, until written notice to the contrary is given by the State Board of Regents to the Iowa-Des Moines National Bank, Des Moines, any one of the following, R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary, State Board of Regents; or Paul V. Porter, Director of Research and Information; or Robert G. McMurray, Assistant to the Executive Secretary, be and are hereby authorized to draw and sign checks against the following accounts:

1- "State Board of Regents, as Trustee of Eudora F. McGregor Fund";
2- "R. Wayne Richey, Secretary, State Board of Regents, as Trustee of Iowa Public Employees Retirement System Fund";
3- State Board of Regents as "Federal Insurance Contributions Act Fund" (Social Security); and to endorse for deposit or negotiation all negotiable instruments and orders for the payment of money; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, irrespective of anything herein contained, endorsements for deposit may be made by any one of the officers, agents or employees of the State Board of Regents, and may be by written or stamped endorsement without designation of the person making the endorsement; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution, when adopted, shall supersede all prior resolutions dealing with matters herein set forth.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved the Board adopt the above resolution. Mr. Louden seconded the motion.

Question brought out that the largest amount involved at any one time would be around $2,000. One Regent inquired if the authorized employees were bonded to which the response was negative in view of the fact that up to the present time the Board had not wished to require surety bond. There also was some question regarding the broad term of "negotiation" and what would be involved in it, in the last part of the second paragraph -- "and to endorse for deposit or negotiation...".

AMENDED MOTION: Mr. Shaw moved to amend the above motion by changing the second paragraph of the Resolution to provide that "any two of the following... authorized to draw and sign checks against the following accounts". Motion was seconded by Mr. Bailey. This motion to amend was passed with all members voting AYE.

Affirmative vote on the amended motion was unanimous, and the original motion carried as amended.

The Board office will make inquiry as to the meaning or interpretation of the word "negotiation" as used in the second paragraph of the above Resolution which
was questioned by the Board and will report to the Board. Mr. Richey suggested withholding transmittal to the bank of this Resolution, just adopted, until the Board office had cleared the Board's questions.

BANK REPORTS. One Regent remarked on the lateness of the quarterly bank reports and requested they be furnished nearer the end of the period to which they relate. Another Regent observed the low return on the McGregor Fund which he felt could have been better and suggested that possibly the matter of higher earnings and less costly management of such funds should be investigated.

The following business pertaining to General or Miscellaneous items was transacted on Friday, January 15, 1971.

MEETING WITH STATE BOARD OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION. On prearrangement the Board met jointly with the State Board of Public Instruction to discuss matters of mutual interest. Those guests from the Public Instruction group included the following: Dr. James M. Walters, President, Mrs. Virgil E. Shepard, Vice President, Stanley R. Barber, Robert J. Beecher, Mrs. Richard G. Cole, T. J. Heronimus, Mrs. Earl G. Sievers, John E. van der Linden. The Board of Regents had prepared a list of possible subjects that might be discussed and that was distributed; likewise, the State Board of Public Instruction had a list of 11 goals which they distributed. Some of the items covered in discussion included the implication the defeat of school bond issues throughout the State in 1970 would have in filling the need for all the area schools - the possibility of utilization of private schools along this line - the need for more technical-vocational teachers - the overage of teachers in other areas - the growing need for more medical personnel in Iowa - consideration of the use of more
educational T-V. The consensus was that more joint meetings of the two Boards would be of benefit and it was hoped they could be arranged.

FUTURE DOCKET ITEM. Regent Quarton requested, if agreeable, that the Board docket for a future meeting, possibly in May 1971 (to allow time for the Universities to prepare the material) the matter of faculty discipline and responsibility, reasoning that the faculty can have considerable influence on campus conditions. The Board concurred and the Board Office was requested to prepare a list of questions to serve as a guideline to the University Presidents in gathering their material.

INFORMATION ITEMS. The Board reviewed without comment the following information items prepared for them: a) Senator Metcalf Report on The University and the Corporation; b) Tuition Comparisons Report (Exhibit); c) Fact Sheet on Board Actions.

NEXT MEETINGS:

- February 11-12
- March 11-12
- April 8-9
- May 13
- May 14
- June 24-25
- August 12-13
- September 9-10
- October 7-8
- November 11-12
- December 9-10

State University of Iowa
University of Northern Iowa
Iowa State University
Iowa Braille & Sight Saving School
University of Northern Iowa
State University of Iowa
Iowa State University
State University of Iowa
Iowa School for the Deaf
University of Northern Iowa
Board Office

Iowa City
Cedar Falls
Ames
Vinton
Cedar Falls
Iowa City
Ames
Iowa City
Council Bluffs
Cedar Falls
Des Moines
NAMING CHAIRMAN PRO-TEM.

MOTION: In the absence of President Redeker, motion was made by Mr. Quarton that Mr. Louden assume the Chair. Motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace, and passed unanimously.

EXECUTIVE SESSION. Chairman pro-tem Louden stated he had a request for an Executive Session pertaining to a personnel matter. On the question as to whether the Board should resolve itself into Executive Session, the roll call vote was as follows:

NAY: None.
ABSENT: Redeker.

The Board, having voted in the affirmative by at least a 2/3 majority, resolved itself into Executive Session at 4:10 p.m. and arose at 4:25 p.m.
the University can demonstrate the likelihood of adequate occupancy without requiring that sophomores be required to live in such halls.

3- Authorize the University to have flexibility to adjust rules and regulations in the residence halls to make them more attractive.

4- Indicate that a contribution from non-state funds of approximately $150,000 will be approved, if necessary, for fiscal year 1971-72.

5- Instruct the University to hold in abeyance any further remodeling of Quadrangle dormitory units for at least two years.

6- Instruct the University to continue to review the operation of its entire housing and dining system to reduce costs of operations and to improve efficiency.

On roll call vote the vote was as follows:
AYE: Bailey, Loss, Louden, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: None.
The motion passed unanimously.

Request was made by Regents Perrin and Bailey that they be informed of any adjustments in rules and regulations for the residence halls to make them more attractive.

ACADEMIC REVENUE BONDS - EQUIPMENT FOR NEW ACADEMIC BUILDINGS AND UTILITIES.

The Board took up for consideration the matter of authorizing and issuing $7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970.

MOTION:
Whereupon Member Louden introduced and caused to be read a resolution entitled "A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of $7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970, for the purpose of defraying the cost of purchasing and installing a boiler and equipping certain academic buildings on the campus of the State University of Iowa", and moved that said resolution be adopted. Member Loss seconded the motion and after due consideration by the Board the President put the question on the motion and upon the roll being called the following voted:
AYE: Redeker, Bailey, Loss, Louden, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Shaw, Wallace.
NAY: None.
Whereupon the President declared the motion duly carried and said resolution adopted.
The following business pertaining to the State University of Iowa was transacted on Friday, January 15, 1971.

RESIDENCE HALLS. Following the action taken at the November 1970 Board meeting which were reviewed for the Board members in a green memo, and exercising the flexibility authorized to adjust rules and regulations in residence halls to make them more attractive, the University presented the following recommendations for Board action:

1. Adoption of a resolution (below) setting forth detailed parietal rules affecting freshmen and sophomores.

RESOLUTION

Whereas, the General Assembly of the State of Iowa has heretofore found that the security and welfare of the State requires that this and future generations of Iowans are assured of ample opportunity for the fullest development of their intellectual capabilities and that this opportunity would be jeopardized unless State institutions of higher learning accommodate the growing numbers of youth who aspire to a higher education; and

Whereas, the State Board of Regents has heretofore determined that these needs were so great as to require the acquisition and construction of essential housing, dining, student life and academic building facilities for institutions of higher learning under its control; and

Whereas, in the past decade the growth of such academic and non-academic facilities on the campus of the State University of Iowa has been rapidly extended and improved to meet the increasing enrollment; and

Whereas, it is the philosophy that public higher education in the State of Iowa should consist of and include in addition to the basic and primary academic pursuits the additional enrichment afforded by student life facilities and programs as, for example, lectures by guest speakers, social events, musical and theatrical performances, and student organization activities; and

Whereas, it is the philosophy of higher education in the State of Iowa that all such activities and functions form a part of the total higher educational experience and education of students, that the acquisition and construction of dining, residence halls and student life facilities is an integral part of the activities and functions of the State University of Iowa; that residence hall life on the campus of the State University of Iowa is an important part of the total education of each student, providing an opportunity for an independence that develops...
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responsibility, consideration for others, and self-discipline; that the "give and take" environment of the residence halls provides an enrichment which promotes the personal, social and academic development of students, that residence hall life affords students an opportunity to further develop their interests, abilities and skills; that opportunity of association of older students with the younger or less experienced is an educational asset; that providing dining facilities assures a balanced and healthful diet at an economic cost to students essential to successful studies and healthy mental and physical being; and that student life facilities provide a means of organization and financing through which many important activities, services and facilities could not otherwise be made available to students at the University of Iowa; and

Whereas, it is further the philosophy of higher education in the State of Iowa as interpreted by this Board that while residence hall life is a valuable experience and an integral part of the educational program, it is not necessarily essential over the full span of every student's college life; and

Whereas, consistent with this philosophy and because of a need to provide in an efficient and economic manner basic housing, dining and student life facilities, the State of Iowa, acting through the State Board of Regents, has entered into agreements for the financing of housing, dining and student life facilities by the issuance, sale and delivery of revenue bonds and other forms of indebtedness which are secured by incoming revenues derived from the utilization of such facilities to an optimum advantage of the student body and that this Board has covenanted and agreed to continue such use in the future by the establishment of such "parietal rules" as may be necessary to ensure the financial integrity of said bonds; and

Whereas, this Board now desires to adopt a resolution further implementing its purposes and philosophy in the utilization of housing, dining and other student life facilities provided for the use and benefit of the students of the State University of Iowa through the establishment of a "parietal rule" having for its essential intent and purpose the carrying out of the objectives of higher education of the State of Iowa as contemplated herein;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa that the rules for admission to the State University of Iowa be amended by the addition of a "parietal rule" as follows:

PARIENTAL RULE. All unmarried freshman and sophomore students who have not attained the age of twenty-one years on or before the first day of classes of a semester or session are required, as a condition of registration at the State University of Iowa for the semester or session, to reside in university residence halls, except as hereinafter provided. Failure of a student to comply with this condition of registration is cause for denial or cancellation of registration.
EXEMPTIONS. Students subject to the parietal rule may request an exemption for the following reasons:

a) Actual local residence with parent, legal guardian, grandparent, adult sister or brother, or adult aunt or uncle.

b) Medical necessity certified in writing by a licensed physician, subject to the approval of the University which shall establish appropriate standards of general application for the determination of medical necessity.

c) Mandatory religious obligations impossible of performance in the residence halls which the student attests in writing that he in fact regularly observes and which a clergyman of the student's religious faith certifies in writing are mandatory.

d) Actual local residence in a place of bona fide employment certified in writing by the employer as a necessary condition of employment and in exchange for which the student receives at least one-half of the rent normally charged.

e) Actual local residence in a social fraternity or sorority chapter house or other residential living unit operated and maintained by a recognized student organization exclusively for its members, which residential living unit has been approved by the University as providing those housing, dining, and student life facilities which are essential to carrying out the philosophy of higher education contemplated by the establishment of the parietal rule.

ENFORCEMENT. Failure of a student subject to the parietal rule to comply with this condition of registration is cause for denial or cancellation of registration. If, upon registration or at any time thereafter, a student subject to the parietal rule is found not to be in compliance therewith, including the failure of a student who has been granted an exemption to comply with the conditions thereof, a written notice shall be sent to the student affording him a reasonable opportunity to submit proof of compliance or otherwise to show cause why his registration should not be denied or cancelled or his exemption revoked. If the student fails to submit proof or show cause satisfactory to the University, his registration shall forthwith be denied or cancelled or his exemption revoked, as the case may be. Upon subsequent application and proof of compliance satisfactory to the University and upon payment of all required fees, the student shall be registered or reinstated in accordance with established procedures.

REVIEW. A student aggrieved by any adverse decision with respect to the administration of the parietal rule may request an administrative review of the decision by the University. Such request shall be made in writing and shall state with particularity the reasons therefor. Pending administrative review the student's registration shall not be denied or cancelled. After review, the decision of the University is final, subject to the student's right to request a review by the State Board of Regents in accordance with procedures established by the Board. Unless otherwise ordered by the Board, a student must be in compliance with the parietal rule as a condition of continued registration at the University pending Board action on the request for review.
DEFINITIONS. As used herein, the following words shall mean:
1) "University" means the State University of Iowa or the appropriate university administrator to whom any particular duty or function prescribed herein is delegated.
2) "Parietal rule" means the condition of registration at the University established by these rules.
3) "Freshman" student means any undergraduate student registered for nine or more semester hours who has not previously earned twenty-eight or more semester hours of credit toward a baccalaureate degree at the University.
4) "Sophomore" student means any undergraduate student registered for nine or more semester hours who has not previously earned fifty-six or more semester hours of credit toward a baccalaureate degree at the University.

Resolved Further, that the parietal rule established by this resolution shall become effective beginning with the fall semester 1971, provided that for the academic year 1971-72 the rule shall apply only (i) to freshman students and (ii) to sophomore transfer students who have not previously completed at least thirteen semester hours while in residence at the University.

Resolved Further, that the Executive Secretary of the Board is authorized and directed to file four copies of this proposed rule with the attorney general and six copies with the chairman of the departmental rules review commission of the Iowa General Assembly as required by Chapter 17A, Code of Iowa, 1971.
2- Adoption of dormitory and dining services rates for 1971-72 with option of one of the following plans:

a) $56 across the board increase.
b) No increase for triple rooms; $90 increase for doubles and singles.
c) $30 increase for triple; $74 increase for doubles and singles.
d) No rate increase. Assume additional subsidy of $270,000 (total subsidy, $420,000) unless occupancy reaches 5,200.
e) Recommendation of the Board office: No rate increase for triple rooms; $60 increase for doubles and singles. While this might require an additional subsidy of $35,000, it is conceivable the majority of this amount could be saved through additional efficiencies in operations.

3- Approve a transfer of the $575,000 unencumbered balance of the unspecified building fee fund to a Dormitory Residents Loan Fund; eliminate the $3.50 unspecified building fee effective July 1, 1971, and add this amount to the general tuition for educational purposes using this amount for student aid grants. Under this procedure the University would establish two methods of aiding needy students residing in the dormitories:

a) A loan fund with a 4% interest rate, assessed after graduation or departure from the University, would be available to all students residing in the dormitories.
b) An annual amount of $133,000 (gained through elimination of the Building Fee Fund and adding the amount into general funds) would be available in the form of grants to students who are required to live in the dormitories under parietal rules.

Point was made that the University will need to inform all members of the 1971 freshman class and incoming sophomore transfer students affected of the passage of the parietal rules. It was noted also that the Board should make it clear the adoption of the new parietal rule involves repeal of the present University rule on approved housing for students under 21 years of age, and accordingly must be submitted to the State Legislative Rules Committee.
President Boyd mentioned that some of the University staff were on hand to elaborate on different areas of the above points (Mr. Larson, Mr. Chambers, also Mr. Hubbard). Mr. Boyd remarked also that there were several student representatives present at this meeting, two (Patrick A. Martin and Emmanuel N. Lillios) with a specific proposal regarding rate rebate at the Quadrangle, and he asked that the Quadrangle matter be discussed as a continuation of this Residence Hall matter. Among other student representatives were C. Douglass Cuoto, president of the Associated Residence Halls, who had distributed to the Board a statement from the Directorate of ARH covering their views on dormitory rates and parietal rules; Steve Baker of the Student Senate Commission on Parietal Rules, who also distributed to the Board a memo concerning their views on parietal rules in dormitories; Leon Speas, representing the Pan Hellenic Council and Inter Fraternity Council; Bo Beller, president of the Student Senate.

There was considerable discussion covering the various points brought out in the resolution and, particularly, with regard to the fifth exemption to parietal rules (e) exempting those affected students from living in residence housing who live in sorority or fraternity houses or in other university-approved residential living units. The equitability of this exemption was questioned because it would not be applicable to all students required to live in residence halls, in view of the fact that some would not believe in or be interested in the fraternity-sorority system. Upon question for clarification of the University requirements for approved residence units, it was explained the University would need to develop specific guidelines or standards which probably would include such items as adequate size, sufficient programs and facilities with the sort of educational benefits found in the residence halls which it was
felt sororities and fraternities do meet. The attempt would be to establish a broad set of rules into which all the exceptions in Exemption (e) would fit. The Student Senate Committee on Parietal Rules suggested the Board modify this particular exemption to require "special parental permission".

General consensus of the student groups was that inasmuch as dormitory living is intended to be an educational experience in group living, to help the students develop independence and responsibility, parietal rules would not permit them liberty to set their own guidelines. They asked that the Board postpone parietal rules for at least one year to see how present experiences are working out, and suggested the facilities be put to other uses, such as discussion lounges.

There was agreement that the parietal rules are intended to assure the survival of the dormitories as housing units (a bonding requisite agreed to some time ago, as all were aware) by keeping dormitory occupancy at an acceptable level.

A suggestion proffered by a member, to possibly encourage greater voluntary dormitory occupancy and less need for rate increase, was that the rooms be rated and rented separately, excluding meals, for those who might wish to make other meal arrangements, and then operate the food facility as a competitive enterprise. Statement was made, however, that within the dormitory food service it was necessary to operate at a certain level to cover the usual depreciation, etc. It was brought out, too, that the food service contributes to the overall operation.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved the Board approve the resolution above, as it is written, with one exception: Under
Exemptions - paragraph (e), after the word "operated", INSERT "as of January 15, 1971."
The motion was seconded by Mr. Shaw.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION: Mr. Bailey made a substitute motion that the Board direct the University to explore the financial results of pricing all dormitory rooms available on the basis not only of triples, doubles, singles, but also on the basis of the quality of the dormitories in which they exist, and pricing them at rates averaged out so that the bond requirements and maintenance upkeep requirements would be met with full occupancy. Food service would be on a competitive basis, not included in the rate. The idea was to see if the rates would compare favorably with private sectors and if they would be attractive enough to students. This would constitute a deferral on the above-moved action until facts are obtained. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace.

There were those who felt this motion related to an administrative option; others felt it was not assurance the dormitories would be filled and greater dormitory occupancy is necessary to fulfill financial obligation. One Board member reasoned that programs to improve the appeal and attractiveness of dormitories could be just as effective with the parietal rules in effect as without them.

Roll call vote on the Substitute Motion, above, resulted as follows:
AYE: Bailey, Wallace.
NAY: Loss, Louden, Perrin, Petersen, Redeker, Quarton, Shaw.
ABSENT: None.
The motion was lost.

AMENDED MOTION: Mr. Shaw moved to amend the original motion made by Mr. Perrin, as follows: Under Exemptions in the Resolution, at the end of Paragraph (e), ADD "The number of student residences and any such fraternity, sorority, or other residence living unit exempt under this provision shall not exceed the maximum number that would have qualified for such exemption during the calendar year 1970." This motion died for lack of a second.
SUBSTITUTE MOTION: Mr. Quarton moved the Board approve adoption of the above Resolution as recommended, with no changes whatever. This would permit other units to develop. Motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace.

It was agreed that the Board was attempting to maintain the dormitory system in the best possible manner with the least amount of interruption.

On roll call, the vote on Mr. Quarton’s substitute motion was as follows:
AYE: Bailey, Loss, Petersen, Quarton, Wallace.
NAY: Louden, Perrin, Redeker, Shaw.
ABSENT: None.
The motion carried.

Reference was made to the difference existing between the three Universities’ admission rules and practices, including parietal and other rules. It was felt the reasons for these differences should be set out but there was a question as to whether or not these should be filed so all would be kept abreast of the full situation.

MOTION: Mr. Quarton moved that the question of the institutions filing admission, parietal, and other rules which they are currently practicing be referred to the Inter-institutional Committee for recommendation. The motion was seconded by Mr. Louden and carried, with Mr. Bailey voting NO.

The discussion moved to Point 2, above, covering dormitory and dining services rates for the 1971-72 school year. Board members reviewed various proposed rate schedules as listed above and in detail as presented by the University, noting that each basic service plan included room and full board (20 meals per week) with the full board optional in the South Quad, only. In their statement, ARH suggested the adoption of a variable rate scale - reduction of the price of the triple room by $50 which would raise the cost of the doubles and singles by $23; and they encouraged the Board to investigate possible alternative methods of financing the residence halls, such as using part of the
available space for academic endeavor. The Student Senate Commission concurred with this suggestion. One Board member questioned why the University priced every single or every double or every triple accommodation the same, even when there were differences between the buildings. This is not the usual business practice. The University countered they felt there was no substantial difference in the quality of the accommodations. On question, it was brought out that approximately one-third of the beds are located in triple rooms. The University recommended Plan C. The Board accepted the University figures and concurred with its recommendation.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved the Board approve Plan C for the academic year 1971-72 as proposed by the University - increase dormitory and dining services rates for triple rooms by $30 and for double and single rooms by $74. The motion was seconded by Mr. Shaw.

Question brought out the information that with this plan invoked the indebtedness would require a contribution from non-state funds for utilities of about $95,000.

On roll call, the vote on the above motion was as follows:
AYE: Bailey, Loss, Louden, Perrin, Quarton, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw.
NAY: Petersen, Wallace.
ABSENT: None.
The motion carried.
# THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
# DORMITORIES AND DINING SERVICES
# RATE SCHEDULE
# EFFECTIVE FOR THE 1971-72 ACADEMIC YEAR

## BASIC SERVICE PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1970-71 Present</th>
<th>1971-72 Proposed</th>
<th>Amount of Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room &amp; Full Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20 meals per week)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrangle, Hillcrest, Currier Burge, Daum, Stanley, Rienow I, Rienow II, South Quad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triples</td>
<td>$1,040</td>
<td>$1,070</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triples (airconditioned)</td>
<td>1,046</td>
<td>1,076</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>1,114</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles (airconditioned)</td>
<td>1,046</td>
<td>1,120</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles with Bath</td>
<td>1,148</td>
<td>1,220</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles with Bath -</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>1,226</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(airconditioned)</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>1,226</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>1,246</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles (airconditioned)</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ROOM WITH FULL BOARD OPTIONAL (20 meals per week)
South Quad only

| Doubles (1)          | 426            | 518              | 92                |
| Room Only            | 426            | 518              | 92                |
| Room & Board*        | 966            | 1,114            | 148               |

(1) Quadruple rooms have been changed to double rooms

**BOARD ONLY - (20 meals per week)**

| Men & Women not living in Residence Halls | 540 | 596 | 56 |

*See attached table for partial board (less than 20 meals per week).

## OPTIONAL ROOM SERVICES PLAN

Provides room cleaning and bedmaking:
one day per week including bedding.

| ADD TO BASIC SERVICE RATES | 46 | 46 | 0 |
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## Room and Board Rates

### Academic Year 1971-72

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Description</th>
<th>Men's</th>
<th>Women's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic Service Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room &amp; Partial Board (Less than 20 meals per week)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrangle, Hillcrest, Currier, Burge, Daum, Stanley, Rienow I, Rienow II, South Quad</td>
<td>$1,046</td>
<td>$1,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triples</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>1,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triples (airconditioned)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>1,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles (airconditioned)</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>1,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles with Bath</td>
<td>1,196</td>
<td>1,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles with Bath (airconditioned)</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>1,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles</td>
<td>1,222</td>
<td>1,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles (airconditioned)</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>1,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partial Board Only</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men &amp; Women not living in Residence Halls</td>
<td>$572</td>
<td>$554</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change of Board Plan:

During the 1971-72 academic year a board plan may be changed without charge until October 5 inclusive for the first semester, and until February 15 inclusive for the second semester. A charge of $10.00 will be made for each additional change after the above dates.

### Optional Room Services Plan:

Provides room cleaning and bedmaking one day per week including bedding.

**ADD TO BASIC SERVICE RATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$46</td>
<td>$46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
DORMITORIES AND DINING SERVICES
RATE SCHEDULE

EFFECTIVE FOR THE 1971 SUMMER SESSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BASIC SERVICE PLAN</th>
<th>1970 Present</th>
<th>1971 Proposed</th>
<th>Amount of Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOARDING DORMITORIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles &amp; Triples</td>
<td>$228</td>
<td>$244</td>
<td>$16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles &amp; Triples - (airconditioned)</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles with Bath</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles with Bath - (airconditioned)</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles - (airconditioned)</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON BOARDING DORMITORIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles &amp; Multiples</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles &amp; Multiples - (airconditioned)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles with Bath</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubles with Bath - (airconditioned)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles - (airconditioned)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPTIONAL ROOM SERVICES PLAN
Provides room cleaning and bedmaking one day per week including bedding.
ADD TO BASIC SERVICES RATES $-0- $ 11 $ 11
Discussion moved next to Point 3, above, with reference to provision for loans and grants, and the Board reviewed substantiating information supplied by the University covering the recommendation. Mr. Chambers explained this was an attempt to increase the level of financial assistance to students from 70% to 100% and provide one-half grant and one-half loan, to avoid over-burdening the student, the first year. The total cost of the year's education is taken into consideration. This consideration would be given on an individual basis to the amount of grant and loan and usually would average a 50-50 split; it was explained that such loans and grants would not be automatic. When the low rate of interest (4%), assessed after graduation, was questioned, response was that it is in line with the National Defense Education Act.

One member expressed doubt as to the practicality of supplying grants in view of the deficit created in the dormitory system. However, all felt it was a worthwhile project.

MOTION: Mr. Shaw moved for Board approval of transfer of the $575,000 unencumbered balance of the unspecified building fee fund to a Dormitory Residents Loan Fund at the State University of Iowa; eliminate the $3.50 unspecified building fee effective July 1, 1971, and add this amount to the general tuition for educational purposes using this amount for student aid grants, at the same time approving Methods a and b of the University recommendation. Motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace.
AMENDED MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved to amend the above motion by deleting all reference to grants. This motion died for lack of a second.

AMENDED MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved that the rate on the loan portion of the funds be raised from 4% to 6%, to be assessed those students after graduation. Motion was seconded by Mr. Quarton.

On roll call, the vote on this last amendment to the motion of Mr. Shaw was as follows:
AYE: Bailey, Quarton, Perrin.
NAY: Loss, Louden, Petersen, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace.
ABSENT: None.
Amendment to the motion did not pass.

On roll call, the vote on the original motion made by Mr. Shaw was as follows:
AYE: Bailey, Loss, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace.
NAY: Louden.
ABSENT: None.
The motion carried.

MORE ATTRACTIVE HALLS. Before the meeting, an ARH Housing Committee report of December 16, 1970, had been distributed to the Board members covering suggestions
or changes recommended by this committee (with the assistance of Gerald Burke and Dean Phillip Hubbard) to make dormitory residence more desirable to more students. Dean Hubbard covered the various points, commenting that in reaching these recommendations many students, primarily those residing in the halls, and members of the student senate were consulted on several occasions. Following are the recommendations:

1- a) That a residence hall be specifically designated as for students who have attained the age of 21 by September 1 or will have within the current academic year (as is the system approved housing has used).
   b) That a residence hall should be co-ed on an equal basis, as nearly as possible.
   c) That the advisory staff should be eliminated with the possible exception of a part-time head resident to handle necessary paper work and such.
   d) That there be open visitation policy with any restrictions being set by the residents.
   e) That any other regulations having to do with procedural operations or discipline shall be established and enforced by the government which will be formed by the residents.

2- That the possession of alcoholic beverages shall be restricted to those residents who can fulfill the legal requirement of said possession according to the State of Iowa Criminal Code. The present rule pertaining to alcohol shall be maintained for those residents who do not meet the legal requirement.

3- That the current practice of coeducational living, which has proved successful, be extended to the east side of the river.

4- a) That the present hours restrictions on freshmen coeds be abolished due to the difficulty of enforcing a policy governing so few students.
   b) That the majority of the residents of each section of all dormitories be allowed to set any intervisitation restrictions (or lack of them) for their own living unit.

5- That a differential rate system be adopted according to room occupancy. This would mean that a triple in any dorm would be less expensive than a double or single.

6- That a number of options be made available to students on their contracts, such as a) maid service or no maid service; b) choice of three board plans - BLD, BD, LD; c) choice of a section with no intervisitation or one where occupants decide on the intervisitation policy.

These options, as well as the priority procedures that are presently in use should be used in assigning students to rooms or living units. The current
procedure of listing numbered choice preference as to roommate/building should include intervisitation, should not all be honored.

7- That the return of the lot north of Hillcrest and Quadrangle (Area 13) or as has been previously suggested the opening of the parking lots by Gilmore Hall or the Union to be shared by the faculty with the students, be arranged. Also, that the times of meter enforcement be shortened from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. when most classes end. There exists a definite need to clarify and unify the rules for parking around the residence halls.

8- That since the University, through its enactment of parietal rules, is forcing students to live in residence halls, it also takes upon itself the responsibility of providing financial aid for those who cannot afford dormitory living. The University can provide this help through the Office of Financial Aid and in these three types of assistance:
   a) Grants or gift aid for those who have no way to repay a loan.
   b) Half-grant low or no interest loan for those who are able to at least partially repay a loan.
   c) Low or no interest loan for those who need help but are capable of repaying in full.

This aid to be determined by the financial aids office (Parents' Confidential Statement) would apply to all prospective dormitory residents.

9- That the proposed exemption of Greek affiliated students from the parietal rules for the upcoming year be stricken before initiated.

Such an exemption would put the University in an unfavorable light (showing a preference for one type of living unit over another) which would not only be an inadvisable stance, but would create a surge toward Greek living in order to escape the imposition of the University on incoming students. The feeling is that such an exemption would only frustrate the cause for which parietal rules were imposed (to fill the bond-holders' dormitories). Such an action might also infer that living in a fraternity or sorority is less expensive than a residence hall. Needless to say, the proposed increase in rates for next year will hurt the dormitory system enough without the added burden of students choosing to live in the Greek system because it appears to be the only other way out of the parietal rules.

10- The Housing Committee reaffirms its prior feelings that the Regents' institution of parietal rules is not only detrimental to the atmosphere of residence hall living, but unnecessary and reprehensible.

Dean Hubbard's comments on the recommendations were as follows:

1- The University would like to implement this recommendation.

2- The University would propose this recommendation for areas occupied exclusively by students over 21.
3- The University would propose establishing coeducational living in two halls on the east side of the river.

4- The University feels, in view of the minimal response from students and parents this year, this restriction could be removed. However, the University would propose to give parents and students an opportunity to exercise an option of three alternatives: a) that areas in which their sons or daughters would live would not be open to intervisitation (by members of the opposite sex); b) select intervisitation on a limited basis in accordance with the present dormitory, except starting hour might be 9:00 and ending hour might be 12:00 a.m., Sunday through Friday, and 2:00 a.m. on Saturdays; c) students would select their own rules on this without institutional restriction. The above choices would be included in the contract that would be signed by parents and students.

5- The University would be in favor of adoption of a differential rate system.

6- The University propose to accept and supply the options on meals and maid service.

7- No comment.

8- The Board already has acted on the financial assistance matter.

9- The Board already has acted on this matter in the resolution on parietal rules.

In discussing the availability of alcohol, it was pointed out that a) about 75% of the students do not live in dormitories and are subject only to civil law in this regard, and b) the proposed change applies only to that part of the remaining 25% who are over 21 years of age. Presently there is no restriction on alcohol in the married student housing. There were mixed feelings concerning the matter of intervisitation. One questioned whether this would encourage non-paying occupants of rooms for long periods of time. This was
not a new problem, according to the University and there is a provision for people who wish to have guests stay overnight, where linen and other things necessary are provided for the guest at a daily rate. One member was concerned for the public image and felt the Board was responsible to students in providing guidance in this area. Comment was they are very aware of public sentiment, try to concur with it, but the decision should be what is right at the time. There was concern as to what impact unrestricted intervisitation might have on University enrollment - whether it would encourage more of the undesirable element. In conclusion it was agreed that intervisitation was a matter that was being left to the choice of the parent and student under 21; and once their option was determined, that would control those particular conditions under which the student would live in the dormitory.

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved that the University be directed not to increase intervisitation hours at the University dormitories at this time. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, but it failed, due to the fact that only Mr. Bailey and Mr. Perrin voted AYE; all others voted NAY.

"OLD QUAD". Messrs. Martin and Lillios, above-mentioned, submitted to the Board their proposal or request for a rate reduction at "Old Quad" because of what they referred to as "sub-standard" housing, enumerating such things as inefficient plumbing and heating, insect infestation, and so forth. It was noted this particular dormitory is one that is scheduled to be closed. On question, it was reported that the closing could be accelerated with some reshuffling. Mr. Chambers stated that any student who desires may move from the unremodeled section of the Quadrangle to another dormitory. The students admitted they were reluctant to leave because of firm friendships formed there. The Board needed no convincing of the inadequacy of the Quadrangle, but questioned how rate reduction would satisfy the students when there would be no
improvement in living conditions.

MOTION: Mr. Louden moved that in view of conditions in this "Old Quad" section of the resident housing, the system be closed the earliest possible moment and occupants be relocated. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin and carried by unanimous vote.

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved that the students living in that old Quadrangle section at SUI be allowed a rebate of $50 for the current semester on the basis of type of accommodations they have had. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace.

Further discussion brought out that this situation has been developing over a period of years, it is not something newly developed and the Board expressed confidence in the administration in operating the dormitories.

Roll call vote on Mr. Bailey's motion resulted as follows:
AYE: Bailey and Wallace.
NAY: Loss, Louden, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw.
The motion was lost.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for December 1970 were approved.

APPOINTMENT. Upon the recommendation of President Boyd, the Board approved the following appointment:

Frank X. Lauterbur as Head Football Coach for one year, guaranteed renewable for an additional four years, effective January 1, 1971, at a salary of $25,000, plus fringe benefits, payable on a 12-month basis.

RESEARCH ASSIGNMENTS. The Board reviewed and approved the list of University Research Assignments for 1969-70 as presented by the University. This list is made up of faculty members and is on file in the Board office.
REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions at the State University of Iowa for the period November 12 through December 31, 1970, had been filed with him; the Register was in order. The following construction construction contracts were recommended:

**PROJECT: UTILITIES - BOILER NO. 9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Awardee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 - Steam Generation Unit</td>
<td>Combustion Engineering, Inc. Windsor, Conn.</td>
<td>$368,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 - Boiler Feed Pump</td>
<td>INPRO, Inc. Rock Island, Ill.</td>
<td>$6,932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 - Demolition</td>
<td>AAA Mechanical Contractors, Inc. Iowa City</td>
<td>$229,987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was recommended that all bids be rejected on the Enclose Ground Floor of Hospital School Project and the project be restudied. Low bid exceeded estimates by $19,000.

**New Projects**

The following new projects, descriptions and budgets were presented:
SPEECH & HEARING CENTER - EXTERIOR WATERPROOFING

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excavation and backfill</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterproofing</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading, sodding and cone replacement</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of funds: University RR&A

Project Description

The project includes excavation of earth adjacent to the building, application of waterproofing mastic and Vul-clay panels, backfill, regrading, resodding and replanting of landscape materials.

Since no part of the work is over $10,000, it is proposed that the work be done by Physical Plant forces.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.

A public hearing will be held on the project.

REMODEL BARRON BUILDING

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General construction</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical construction</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of funds: University RR&A

Project Description

The project includes construction of new office areas, installation of vehicle wash racks, hoists and bus inspection pit, new heating system and new lighting.

Since no part of the work is over $10,000, it is proposed that the work be done by Physical Plant forces.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.

A public hearing will be held on the project.
WEST SIDE BOTANY LABORATORY - REPLACE STEAM AND RETURN WATER SYSTEM

Preliminary Budget

- Engineering & supervision: $1,000
- Plumbing construction: 18,500
- Pipe covering: 2,000
- Contingencies: 2,500

Total: $24,000

Source of funds: University RR&A

Project Description

The project involves removal of the existing steam and return systems, replacement of the steam and return water piping and control system and new pipe covering. Work will be accomplished by the Physical Plant work force since laboratory work must continue during the construction period and coordination of the laboratory work with the remodeling work will be greatly facilitated by use of the Physical Plant staff with the result that lost research effort will be minimized and the work done most economically.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.

A public hearing will be held on the project.

FIELDHOUSE - REPLACE EAST HALF NORTH GYM FLOOR

Preliminary Budget

- Planning & supervision: $3,000
- Demolition & concrete preparation: 8,000
- Synthetic surfacing: 24,000
- Contingencies: 3,500

Total: $38,500

Source of funds: University RR&A

Project Description

The project involves tearing out and removal of the existing wood floor and sleepers, installation of concrete leveling course over the existing concrete sub-structure in preparation for installation of Pro-Turf synthetic floor surfacing and installation of the Pro-Turf including court markings for various activities.

It is proposed that the removal of the existing flooring and surface preparation be done by Physical Plant forces and the installation of the synthetic surfacing be contracted to the Professional Products Company, Pittsburg, Kansas, the franchised dealer for Pro-Turf, through the process of quotation and purchase order.
The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.

A public hearing will be held on the project.

AIR CONDITION ROOM 122 - SCHAEFFER HALL

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; supervision</td>
<td>$700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical construction</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheet metal</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General construction</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of funds: University RR&A

Project Description

The project is necessary to provide controlled environment for students using the laboratory equipment effectively due to excessive noise outside when the windows are open. The project involves the installation of a year-round air conditioning system. It is proposed that the air conditioning and sheet metal work be contracted to outside contractors through the process of quotations and purchase orders and that the electrical hook-up be done by Physical Plant personnel.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.

A public hearing will be held on the project.

REMODEL ROOMS 203-205 MACBRIDE HALL

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; supervision</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry (labor &amp; materials)</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastering (labor &amp; materials)</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpentry (labor &amp; materials)</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locks &amp; miscellaneous hardware</td>
<td>$1,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials (metal stair &amp; door frames)</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheet metal</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical construction</td>
<td>$9,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painting</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor covering</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of funds: University RR&A
Project Description

The project involves remodeling existing space into office areas for the departmental offices of the Sociology Department including new walls, floor covering, acoustic ceilings, new lighting, year-round air conditioning, painting and construction of a new interior fire exit stairway from second to first floor which will serve the entire north wing.

Inasmuch as no single portion of the work exceeds $10,000, it is proposed that the work be done by the Physical Plant work forces or by quotation and purchase order or a combination of these.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.

A public hearing will be held on the project.

OAKDALE HOSPITAL - AIR CONDITION REHABILITATION AND PHYSICAL THERAPY AREAS

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; supervision</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General construction</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$37,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of funds: State Sanatorium RR&A

Project Description

The project includes installation of an 80-ton chiller unit, air-cooled condensing unit, chilled water pump, installation of all piping, accessories and controls necessary and installation of a new electrical power feeder and system controls.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.

MOTION: Mr. Louden moved the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions of the University of Iowa for the period November 12 through December 31, 1970, be approved, the construction contracts recommended above be awarded; all bids received for the project of Enclosing the Ground Floor of the Hospital School be rejected and the project re-studied; that the seven new RR&A projects and project budgets recommended above be approved, and the Executive Secretary be authorized to sign the necessary documents.
The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and passed unanimously.

UNIVERSITY AND HOSPITAL BUDGET AND BIENNIAL CEILING INCREASE. The University presented for Board approval an increased operating budget in the amount of $672,700 which will re-establish the biennial ceiling at $45,969,442, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University Hospitals 1969-71 Statutory Biennial Ceiling</th>
<th>$42,242,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Summary of prior adjustments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Expenditures 1969-70</th>
<th>$21,523,600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1) Adjustment (approved 3/13/70) 386,000 (included above) $42,242,000
2) Original budget 1970-71 (approved 6/12/70)
3) Adjustment (approved 10/9/70) 173,642 23,773,142 3,054,742 45,296,742
4) Adjustment (approval requested 1/15/71) 672,700 24,445,842 672,700 45,969,442

$1,232,342 $45,969,442 $3,727,442 $45,969,442

Need for Budget and/or Ceiling Increase: To cover salary and general expense needs.

Source of funds: Additional income from private and clinical pay patients.

**RECAPITULATION**

---

**Increased Earnings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private Inpatients (Account D903)</th>
<th>$185,600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Outpatients (Account D913)</td>
<td>61,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Pay Inpatients (Account D904)</td>
<td>333,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Pay Outpatients (Account D914)</td>
<td>93,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$672,700

---

**Increased Expense**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit for Estimated Lapsed Salaries Account D800</th>
<th>$385,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Laboratory:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Pathology Salary Account D552</th>
<th>$11,200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anatomic Pathology Salary Account D553</td>
<td>20,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Pathology Supply Account D565</td>
<td>58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Laboratory</td>
<td>89,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical and Surgical Supply Account D515</td>
<td>48,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy Department General Expense Account D525</td>
<td>46,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brace Shop Supply Account D599</td>
<td>37,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Purchased Service Account D240</td>
<td>66,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$672,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
One Regent queried, since this ceiling had changed several times in the past year, why there was such a ceiling. Dr. Hardin responded that the biennial ceiling is one required by statute. He explained further that as services in the hospital change, such as new forms of treatment, not available in other areas, which result in greater demands for the service, there are increased expenses incurred in the increased services.

MOTION: Mr. Louden moved for Board approval of the University and Hospital Budget and Biennial Ceiling Increase as presented above. The motion was seconded by Mr. Loss, and was carried by unanimous approval.

EQUIPMENT AND MOVING EXPENSE - LIBRARY ADDITION. In the bids of December 5, 1969, for construction of the Library Addition at SUI was included an Alternate No. 4 for carpeting four reading rooms in the old portion of the building, enlarged by the addition. Because of budget limitations at that time the award of the general construction contract to the low bidder (Vawter & Walter, Inc., West Des Moines) on December 12, 1969, did not include Alternate No. 4 in the amount of $61,000. Inasmuch as the base bid included carpeting in the new portion of these rooms, this left the enlarged rooms only partially carpeted. The budget approved October 9, 1970, for equipment and moving expense for the Library Addition includes an item of $50,000 for carpeting. This amount plus $11,000 available from contingency fund balances in the Library construction budget will provide the amount required to accept Alternate No. 4 no. The University recommended that the Vice President for Business and Finance at the University of Iowa be authorized to approve a change order to
the Vawter & Walter, Inc. contract to accept Alternate No. 4 for the above amount and purpose.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved the Board approve and authorize the University Vice President for Business and Finance to approve a change order to the firm of Vawter & Walter, Inc., West Des Moines, Iowa, contract to accept Alternate No. 4 in the amount of $61,000 to completely carpet the four remodeled reading rooms of the Library Addition. The motion was seconded by Mr. Loss and passed unanimously.

JOHN F. MURRAY ENDOWMENT FUND. The Board reviewed the list presented by the University covering changes in the investment portfolio of October 1 through December 31, 1970, for the John F. Murray Endowment Fund. This list is on file in the Board office.

MOTION: Mr. Quarton moved that the Board ratify the changes in the Investment Portfolio as presented for the John F. Murray Endowment Fund from October 1 through December 31, 1970. Motion was seconded by Mr. Louden. In the absence of objection, President Redeker declared the motion passed.
The following business pertaining to Iowa State University was transacted on Friday, January 15, 1971.

PHYSICAL PLANT SHOPS AND CENTRAL STORES BUILDING. The University requested Board approval of the schematic design and authorization to proceed through working drawings, specifications and bidding for the Physical Plant and Central Stores Building which is No. 1 on the University list of capital requests for new structures to the 64th G.A. Sufficient funds are available in the balances of the 62nd General Assembly capital appropriation to permit completion of planning. It was pointed out that bidding will not be undertaken until funds for the project have been approved; also, architectural fees through the bidding stage shall not exceed $45,000. Vice President Moore introduced a representative from the architect firm of Woodburn and O'Neil, who gave to the Board a quick presentation of the plans for the Physical Plant Shops and Store, and also introduced William W. Whitman, director of the University Physical Plant and Charles W. Dekovich, assistant director of the Physical Plant, who were present to help in the presentation and answer any questions that might arise. Following is the Project Description and Estimated Budget:

The proposed building will house all Physical Plant Shops and Central Stores Warehouse facilities and Central Receiving, as well as Physical Plant and Purchasing Department administrative offices. It will replace eleven miscellaneous outdated and inefficient buildings.

New construction will consist of a "U" shaped one-story structure with full ground floor in the shops wing and partial ground floor in the warehouse wing. This building will abut the existing Central Stores and Physical Plant office building which will be renovated to accommodate all administrative office functions of the Physical Plant and Purchasing Departments. The new structure will be of steel framing with a composite steel and concrete floor system and exterior walls of precast concrete and metal siding.

New construction will comprise approximately 97,700 net square feet of which 49,700 square feet will be assigned to shops, 42,700
square feet to Receiving, Warehousing and Stores, and 5,300 square feet to auxiliary functions. The existing building has approximately 16,000 square feet net assignable, which will be renovated and shared equally by the administrative offices of the two departments.

The project has been designed to permit all functional units of both departments to operate with a minimum of lost time during the construction period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Project Budget</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$1,850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architects' Fees</td>
<td>89,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping and Site Work</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Builders Risk Insurance</td>
<td>1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spur Track Relocation</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,070,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less estimated sales tax refund</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,046,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of funds:

- 64th G.A. Capital Request: $1,997,000
- 62nd G.A. Capital Appropriation Balance: 49,000
  
  **$2,046,000**

Architectural services for this project received Board approval in September 1968. Subsequently, advance planning funds were allocated to this project so that it could proceed through the bidding phase of architectural services. However, it became necessary to revert most of these funds to the 63rd G.A. Capital Appropriation for other projects and the architects were instructed to postpone their work.

In discussion of costs, it was brought out that although not pertaining to cost of the building itself, included in the cost of construction was expense for removing 11 different buildings. Another thing pointed out was that construction of the building is to be sequenced in order to maintain operation of the Physical Plant while the building is going on, which makes the cost somewhat higher. On question of why the building could not be constructed at another location to avoid tearing down serviceable buildings, it was explained the railroad siding, which is necessary to the operation of the
central storage building, was already located at the planned site; that there was no railroad siding convenient to any other portion of the campus, and to construct a new siding would be expensive. It was explained, too, that due to present space limitations it was not possible to operate efficiently which made operating costs high. The University explained that this particular project had been held off for 15 years due to the fact that it was not an academic building. There was general agreement that there is a definite need for this project.

**MOTION:** Mr. Louden moved for Board approval of the schematic design and estimated project budget for the Physical Plant and Central Stores Building at Iowa State University and approve authorization for architects to proceed with final plans and specifications. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace, and was approved unanimously.

**REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES.** The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for December 1970 were approved.

**FACULTY IMPROVEMENT LEAVE REPORTS.** The University presented summaries of 15 faculty improvement leave reports covering the 1969-70 academic year. This was in compliance with a standing request voted by the Board in March 1968. The cost of the 1969-70 program was less than $50,000 because of lower salaries paid to temporary replacements and the assumption of additional duties by colleagues. This report, approved by the Board, is on file in the Board office.

**HIGHWAY COMMISSION REQUEST FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY.** In June 1970 the University had reported to the Board that the Iowa State Highway Commission had been in contact with them regarding the acquisition of University property needed for relocation of U. S. Highway #30, south of Ames. The University had arranged
for appraisals of the property. At this meeting, Vice President Moore reported that there had been a negotiation meeting between University representatives and a group of engineers from the Right-of-Way Division of the Highway Commission, which resulted in the letter of December 17, 1970, from the Right-of-Way Director of the Iowa State Highway Commission (copy of which had been distributed to each Board member) wherein they propose that a jurisdictional transfer be granted the Highway Commission at once covering the needed right of way so the Highway Commission could take possession in order to continue their construction project as scheduled. The Highway Commission suggestion was that negotiation relative to compensation could continue until its ultimate resolution. They offered to make available to the University the amount of their present appraisal ($35,000) with any additional compensation that may be agreed upon paid at the time of final agreement and assured that sincere effort would be made to arrive at a mutually satisfactory settlement. In discussion it was pointed out that once the jurisdictional transfer was completed, the University would lose the use of the land involved. The University reported that the loss to the University of research resources which are very intangible would not be claimed, but value of the land to be given up should be considered along with cost of improvements on the land at the present time and cost involved to reestablish elsewhere the same type of facility that will be lost by releasing the property. The University feel their claim would be somewhere in the neighborhood of $300,000.

MOTION: Mr. Louden moved the matter of deciding on the right-of-way requested by the Highway Commission through Iowa State University property be deferred until the February meeting to allow time to determine the amount of "damages" or loss to the University involved in land, facilities, etc., and develop plans for reestablishing facilities now located on the property involved. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin and passed unanimously.
CONSTRUCTION CHANGE ORDERS - SCIENCE ADDITION NO. 2. The University requested Board approval of four construction change orders for Science Addition No. 2, approved by the Board in October 1969, each in excess of $25,000, which are:

- General Contract: $42,709.00
- Electrical Contract: $19,710.00
- Mechanical Contract: $96,049.00
- Fixed Equipment Contract: $54,512.45
- Total: $212,980.45

The change orders would provide approximately 9,000 net assignable square feet which would be converted to laboratory space, plus 2,650 assignable square feet of space, formerly storage and mechanical areas. The space would house Fish and Wildlife Biology, an instructional group not previously planned for the structure.

The housing of Fish and Wildlife Biology along with the Departments of Zoology and Entomology in Science Addition No. 2 will allow release of greater amounts of space in the existing Science Building. Some of this released space in existing Science Building provides the opportunity to house psychology animal laboratories presently located in a wood frame house built in 1888. The Psychology Department lost all space in Science Addition No. 2 when one floor and a southeast wing had to be deleted because of cost inflation.

The cost of the construction change orders would be financed by shifting funds from the movable equipment and contingency budget items which are now in the present Science Addition No. 2 project budget. Efforts will be made to relocate and repair existing equipment to the extent possible. An increase in the project budget will not be required.

In order to provide for the above four proposed change orders, the approved project budget will be changed internally, as follows:

- Movable equipment: from $209,700 to $39,700
- Contingencies: from 82,968 to 252,968

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved for Board approval of the above four construction change orders for Science Addition No. 2 at Iowa State University. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen, and carried unanimously.

One point made in this regard was that the amount of equipment purchases for the project would be cut down; existing equipment would be utilized more.
TEACHING AGREEMENTS. The Board was asked to approve student teaching contracts for the 1970-71 school year under the same terms as approved in the July Board meeting, with the following school districts:

- Buffalo Center
- Dike
- Griswold
- Swea City
- Villisca
- Williamsburg

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved the Board approve the Iowa State University Teaching Agreements with the above-named school districts and authorize the Executive Secretary to sign the agreements. The motion was seconded by Mr. Loss and passed unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Board office reported that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions at Iowa State University for the period December 12, 1970 through January 13, 1971 had been filed with Executive Secretary Richey, that the Register was in order, and recommended for approval the following utility contract award on the Veterinary Medicine Facilities, funded by the 62nd G.A. Capital Appropriation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewer</td>
<td>H &amp; F Builders, Inc., Ames, Iowa</td>
<td>$14,340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following project budget was also presented:

**VETERINARY MEDICINE FACILITIES -- SANITARY SEWER**

**Project Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H &amp; F Builders, Inc., base bid contracts (Ames, Iowa)</td>
<td>$14,340</td>
<td>PJ-1212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>PJ-1209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Inspection</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>PJ-1210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies and Miscellaneous</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>PJ-1211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Project Budget</strong></td>
<td>$16,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Estimated Tax Refund</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>PJ-1299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Project Budget</strong></td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MOTION: Mrs. Petersen moved that the Board approve the Register of Capital Improvement Transactions at Iowa State University for the period December 12, 1970 through January 13, 1971, and the contract award and Project Budget for the sanitary sewer for the Veterinary Medicine Facilities and authorize the Executive Secretary to sign the necessary documents. Motion was seconded by Mr. Quarton and passed unanimously.

DESIGNATION OF IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY SECURITY PERSONNEL AS SPECIAL COMMISSIONED SECURITY OFFICERS. The University requested that the Board designate the following personnel as Special Security Officers at Iowa State University, each of whom has been employed by the University for periods of time from 18 months to 4-1/2 years: John C. Akins, Eldon L. Frye, Henry L. Hobson, Lewis F. Jones, Ronald L. Jones, Roy A. Lebo, Emlyn E. Platter.

MOTION: Mr. Quarton moved that the Board approve designation of the above-named gentlemen as Special Security Officers at Iowa State University. Motion was seconded by Mr. Louden.

Observing that no mention was made as to the training of these gentlemen qualifying them for the status of Special Security Officers, the Board office pointed out that Board policy requires adequate training at the Iowa law enforcement Academy or its equivalent for eligibility as special security officer. Discussion followed. The University reported that none of the above-named gentlemen had attended the Academy. Some were over the age limitation specified by the Academy. Heretofore, these particular security officers had been deputized under the County Sheriff. The office of the Sheriff is interested in being relieved of this responsibility since the activity is confined to the University. The University offered to delve into the matter further and withdrew their request for this meeting. The motion also was withdrawn.
The following business pertaining to the University of Northern Iowa was transacted on Friday, January 15, 1971.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for December 1970 were approved.

CHANGE IN APPOINTMENT. The University recommended the following change in appointment:

Dr. Robert L. Ross from Associate Professor of Political Science to Associate Professor of Political Science and Head of the Department of Political Science, effective September 1, 1971, at a salary to be determined when the 1971-72 budget is prepared.

Dr. Ross, 43, received his bachelor's degree from Iowa State Teachers College, his master's degree from Columbia University, and his doctor of Philosophy degree from Michigan State University. During his graduate study he was the beneficiary of a Lydia Roberts Fellowship, a Merchant Scholarship, a Falk Fellowship, and the Congressional Fellowship of the American Political Science Association. He taught five years in Iowa secondary schools at Humeston, Algona, and Davenport before joining the staff of UNI in 1962. Dr. Ross had authored an article, "Relations among National Interest Groups" which appeared in The Journal of Politics, February 1970.

MOTION: Mrs. Petersen moved the Board approve the above recommended change in appointment of Dr. Robert L. Ross from Associate Professor of Political Science to Associate Professor of Political Science and Head of the Department of Political Science. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace, and passed unanimously.

AUDITOR'S REPORT - 1969-70. At the December 1970 Board meeting Board members requested that the University of Northern Iowa furnish them comments relative to the State Auditor's report of June 30, 1970, and his remarks covering UNI operations. These comments were presented to the Board and are on file in the Board office. In the light of relatively few recommendations by the auditor, they considered the report to be commendable of their business operations. The Board had no further comment on this.
EXTENSION OF NOTE. The University requested and recommended that the note with the Cedar Falls Trust and Savings Bank which provided for seating on the east side of the football field be renegotiated. In January 1965 the Board had approved funding of this project through borrowing $82,000 from the bank at 3% simple interest payable semi-annually. The loan term was for five years with an option to renew and extend the unpaid balance upon due date. Later, in April 1965, the Board approved an increase in this note to $87,000. This is the only non-bonded indebtedness remaining unpaid at UNI. The actual note was signed March 1, 1966 with an expiration date of February 28, 1971. The note balance has been reduced to $49,000 and the above-named bank is offering the following terms for the next five years: 1) 4.25% simple interest payable semi-annually; 2) option to renew at the end of the five-year expiration date of February 29, 1976. The University pointed out that while this new interest rate is more than 1% higher than that on the original note, it is a favorable rate currently and demonstrates the bank's civic interest.

MOTION: Mr. Quarton moved the Board approve renegotiation of the balance of the note ($49,000) borrowed for the purpose of providing seating on the east side of the UNI football field at the simple interest rate of 4.25%, payable semi-annually for a term of 5 years with option to renew or extend the unpaid balance on expiration. Motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace. In the absence of objection, Chairman pro tem Louden declared the motion carried.

STUDENT TEACHING CONTRACTS. The University requested Board approval of student teaching contracts for the spring semester 1971 with Marshall-Poweshiek County School System and Milford Community Schools.

MOTION: Mrs. Petersen moved the Board approve the University of Northern Iowa Teaching Agreements with Marshall-
Poweshiek County School System and Milford Community Schools, as recommended, and authorize the Executive Secretary to sign the agreements. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin and passed unanimously.

REDUCED BUDGET - RENOVATION OF PRESIDENT'S HOUSE. In May 1970 the Board had approved a project budget of $54,500 to renovate the president's home on the UNI campus. At this meeting, the University recommended modification of the remodeling project with a reduced budget of $19,530, explained as follows:

On review of the anticipated development of this area of the UNI campus it has been determined that the extensive renovation originally requested would not be prudent at this time. The work requested below recognizes a limited use of approximately 5 to 8 years for this facility as a President's Residence. Rather than extensive new systems for heating, cooling, plumbing and electric power, the new work would include the renovation of one bathroom and the installation of a separate cooling system for the bedroom level. Other work of interior and exterior painting and new furnishings have partially been completed and would be continued.

REvised PROJECT BUDGET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heating and Cooling</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Painting</td>
<td>3,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Service</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>2,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Total</td>
<td>$12,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior Decoration</td>
<td>2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Furnishings</td>
<td>4,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$19,530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of funds:
- Capital Improvement 62nd G.A. $14,720
- General Operations - Equipment 4,810 $19,530

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved the Board approve the revised project budget for the renovation of the President's House on the UNI campus as presented above. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and passed unanimously.

It was noted that should it develop the plumbing or heating would not perform satisfactorily the University would return to the Board for further action.
LEASING OFFICE SPACE TO CREDIT UNION. The University requested and recom-
mended Board approval of leasing approximately 425 square feet of space not
being used by the University in Baker Hall to the UNI Credit Union for office
use at a monthly rental of $45 retroactive to October 1, 1970, with heat,
light, and custodial services furnished by the University to the same ex-
tent as furnished in the rest of Baker Hall. The lease would be a continu-
ing one with a 30-day termination clause. In discussion it was recognized
the credit union performs a helpful service and is, in effect, a "fringe
benefit" at the University. It is common practice among institutions of
all types to cooperate with the credit union wherever possible in furnishing
working space on a reasonable basis.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved the Board approve the leasing
of space in Baker Hall at the University of
Northern Iowa as recommended by the University
to the UNI Credit Union at a monthly rental of
$45. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin. On roll
call, the vote was as follows:
AYE: Bailey, Loss, Louden, Perrin, Petersen,
        Quarton, Shaw, Wallace.
NAY: None.
ABSENT: Redeker.
The motion carried.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Board office re-
ported that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions at the
University of Northern Iowa for the month of December 1970 had been filed
with Executive Secretary Richey, that the Register was in order and was
recommended for approval. The Register contained no contract awards nor
revised budgets. Approval of the following project and project budget was
requested:
The following business pertaining to the Iowa School for the Deaf was transacted on Friday, January 15, 1971.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of December 1970 were approved.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions at the Iowa School for the Deaf for the month of December 1970 had been filed with him; that it appeared to be in order; and that no contracts had been recommended.

MOTION: Mr. Louden moved that the action reported in the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the month of December 1970 at the Iowa School for the Deaf be approved. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen and passed unanimously.

LAND SALE. The School reported they had received an offer from the Evangel Temple Assembly of God to purchase 10.03 acres of land from the School for the Deaf for $35,100 which offer was accompanied by a $500 check. The parcel of land involved is the northwest quadrant formed by the intersection of Highways 375 and 92 located west of Highway 375 and north of Highway 92 extension. At their meeting in October of 1970 the Board had authorized the School for the Deaf to offer this same land to the highest bidder and the School had advertised the sale with sealed bids to be opened November 10, 1970. There were no bids received at that time. The above offer was received December 2, 1970. It was the School's recommendation that this offer be accepted and that they be authorized to proceed with necessary steps to complete the sale.
MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved the Board approve the sale of the land as described above to the Evangel Temple Assembly of God for $35,100 and instructed the School for the Deaf to proceed with necessary steps to complete the sale and that Executive Secretary Richey be authorized to sign the necessary documents. Motion was seconded by Mr. Louden. On roll call, the vote was as follows: AYE: Bailey, Loss, Louden, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace. NAY: None. ABSENT: None. The motion carried.
Project Description and Budget

Estimate to carpet corridors of five dormitories (Rider, Shull, Hagemann, Campbell and Lawther) wall to wall with direct cement to floor carpet without padding. Carpet to be nylon with anti-static control equal to Perspective II as sold by Jorges Carpet Mills. Completion date: September 1, 1971.

Contract work $55,600
Source of funds: Dormitory Improvement Fund

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions of the University of Northern Iowa for the month of December 1970 be approved along with the Project and Project Budget for wall to wall carpeting in the five dormitories listed. The motion was seconded by Mr. Loss and passed unanimously.

SPECIAL PEACE OFFICERS. The University recommended Board approval for assigning Special Security Officer status to two members of the security department; namely Fred Leibundguth and Paul Retterath, both of whom have successfully completed the four-week Officer Recruit Training Program conducted by the Iowa Law Enforcement Academy.

MOTION: Mr. Loss moved the Board approve the Special Security Officer Status to the two above-named gentlemen at the University of Northern Iowa. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin and passed unanimously.

ARCHITECT INTEREST. Business Manager Jennings reported, in reference to the Biological Research Building and the first phase of the University Library, interest had been evidenced by 35 architect firms. A recommendation will be made on each project at the February Board meeting.
IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL

The following business pertaining to the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School was transacted on Friday, January 15, 1971.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of December 1970 were approved.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Register for December 1970 contained no items requiring Board action.

ADJOURNMENT. President pro tem Louden declared the meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m.