Des Moines, Iowa
December 14-15, 1967

The State Board of Regents met in the Central Office of the State Board of Regents, State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa, on December 14-15, 1967.

Present:

Members of the State Board of Regents
Mr. Redeker, President
Mr. Loss
Mr. Louden
Mr. Perrin
Mr. Quarton
Mr. Richards
Mrs. Rosenfield
Mr. Wallace
Mr. Wolf

Office of the State Board of Regents
Executive Secretary Richey
Assistant Executive Secretary Dancer
Secretary Rohn

State University of Iowa
President Bowen
Vice President Boyd
Vice President Jolliffe
Vice President Hardin
Director Strayer

Iowa State University
President Parks
Vice President Christensen
Vice President Moore
Vice President Hamilton

University of Northern Iowa
President Maucker
Vice President Lang
Business Manager Jennings
Director Holmes

Iowa School for the Deaf
Superintendent Giangreco
Business Manager Geasland

Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School
Superintendent Hansen
Business Manager Berry
The Board met at 9:25 a.m., December 14, 1967, with President Redeker in the chair and Mr. Richey secretary of the meeting.

GENERAL OR MISCELLANEOUS

The following business relating to general or miscellaneous matters was transacted on December 14, 1967:

CORRECTION AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES. The minutes of the meeting the State Board of Regents held on November 8-9-10, 1967, were corrected and approved.

(Executive Secretary Richey asked that corrections be sent to the Board Office prior to Board meetings.)

CENTERS FOR GRADUATE EDUCATION. Mr. Dancer reported that at a meeting held at Augustana College, Rock Island, Illinois, on December 4, 1967, the "Quad-Cities Program Planning Task Force" made up of staff members of Iowa State University, State University of Iowa, Southern Illinois University, University of Illinois and Augustana College submitted a plan for substantial expansion of graduate educational opportunities in the Quad-Cities area in the subject areas of education, commerce and business administration, and engineering, and systems.

The meeting was furnished with several plans of organizational possibilities whereby the institutions from the two states would cooperate in the expansion of graduate level courses applicable toward Master Degree programs.

Mr. Dancer reported that a committee was organized, composed of eight members; two representatives from the Iowa universities (Vice President Christensen and Dean Ray), two from the Illinois universities, two from the Quad-Cities area, plus Dr. Browne of the Illinois Commission on Higher
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Education, and David A. Dancer. This committee was charged with the responsibility of studying the various organization "models" which had been submitted and to suggest, if agreement can be reached, a "model" which might be acceptable and workable. Mr. Dancer assured the Board that its approval will be sought before any plan is finally agreed to by the Iowa representatives.

ILLEGAL OR DISRUPTIVE ACTIONS ON CAMPUSES. President Redeker stated that the Board should consider President Bowen's statement delivered in an address to the State University of Iowa faculty on December 12, 1967, copies of which were sent to Board members, regarding the position of the University concerning recent student demonstrations and disturbances on the University campus. (A copy of the statement is attached.)

Mr. Wolf stated, in view of the enunciation of the firm policy by President Bowen regarding disruptive actions, the following questions: Should the Board consider voicing a policy of dealing firmly with these disruptive situations? Should the Board take a position of encouraging and instructing the establishment of working plans of law enforcement with local authorities based upon the possibility that disturbances might arise at other Regent institutions? Mr. Wolf stated that these topics were suggested only for purposes of discussion.

Mr. Louden said he felt President Bowen had met the student problem satisfactorily, but that the Board of Regents had an obligation to their other institutions to issue a Board policy statement, such policy to include faculty and staff members.
Mr. Richards agreed with Mr. Wolf and Mr. Louden that the Board should make clear its position but in light of many statements already made felt an additional statement might be redundant, preferring instead to endorse the statement by President Bowen as that of the Board regarding all its institutions.

Mr. Wolf stated that he would like to see a statement made that indicated to all students that they were on notice and that being placed on probation did not necessarily follow a breach of the peace; rather, that following due process, they could be suspended or dismissed on the first offense, adding that it should be kept in mind and made clear, however, that the State Board of Regents does not and will not mandate the specific disciplinary action.

President Parks said Iowa State University had taken the position that the Bowen statement coincided with the policy of their institution. President Maucker said he found nothing in the statement that would prove limiting to the University of Northern Iowa.

Mrs. Rosenfield expressed the thought that the adoption of a Board policy in this area would imply that the Board was without a policy. Mr. Louden replied that the public does not know such a policy exists, and that this fact should be emphasized.

Mr. Richards called attention to the section in President Bowen's statement in which it was stated, "This does not mean that our placement activities will never change". Mr. Richards said he hoped the language used would not indicate to some a degree of softness, stating that students could not be permitted to dictate who or what organizations would be permitted to come on
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the campuses. President Bowen commented that it could not be said that any policy was fixed forever, because of many situations that may be unforeseen.

Mr. Wolf moved that the Board restate its policy in regard to disturbances taking place on Regents campuses and the disciplinary measures that are available, and that the President of the Board arrange for a written draft of said policy to be prepared and presented to the Board for later discussion at the meeting.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Loss.

Mr. Perrin questioned whether the Board policy in this regard would be definable, preferring to use the Bowen statement and indicating that it properly reflects the policy of the Board.

Mrs. Rosenfield said she agreed with Mr. Perrin, that she would like to see the Board state that the Bowen statement properly reflected Board policy, and suggested that the entire statement be included in the Board minutes.

Mr. Louden stated that the Board must indicate to students and faculty that actions recently displayed at the State University of Iowa will not be permitted on Regents campuses.

Mr. Wallace asked what was wrong with endorsing the statement by President Bowen, and saying it reflected the feeling of the Board?

Mr. Loss reported that he had had more people talk to him about the recent demonstrations at the State University of Iowa than had approached him in any regard over his past 26 years in public life; that the statement by President Bowen was well spoken and appreciated by the public; that we owed it to the large majority of students and staff not involved in the disturbances and the public to make the position of the Board itself known by
adoption of a policy that would cover all three Regents institutions of higher education; and that members of the Legislature were looking for a well-defined statement from the Board.

Mr. Richards agreed with Mr. Loss but said with the exception of the placement services section, how could the Board policy be better stated?

Mr. Wolf stated that in view of opinions expressed in the discussion, it was evident that there would be Board members with reason to vote against his motion, and because a direct vote could be misunderstood, he proposed that with the agreement of all other Board members, he preferred to withdraw his motion, suggesting that President Redeker proceed informally to handle the drafting of a statement regarding the Board's position in regard to student demonstrations, disturbances and related situations, for presentation later in the meeting.

Mr. Loss, having seconded the motion, consented to the withdrawal, and on unanimous agreement, the President declared the motion withdrawn.

Mr. Perrin said he wished it understood that he did not intend to infer in any of his opinions, the by-passing or shortening of the due process segment in determining the guilty or the innocent.

President Redeker asked Mr. Louden and Mr. Wolf to prepare the proposed Board position statement.

Later in the meeting, Mr. Louden read the proposed Board statement which contained endorsement of the statement by President Bowen and in essence, the same views as expressed therein, with the exception of the inclusion of faculty and staff members, as well as students.

Following more discussion of both the Bowen statement and the proposed Board statement, Mr. Richards suggested that the following statement be
issued to the public: "The address given by Howard Bowen is a scholarly and accurate delineation of Board feeling, and the Board of Regents in cooperation with the University presidents assures the citizens of the state that the policy will be carried forward", and then add from the text in Bowen's statement on bottom of page 3, beginning "Implied in the rules of the University . . . " to the end of that paragraph.

President Redeker said President Bowen had made it very clear in his statement that the University will not tolerate abusive, disruptive or illegal actions, and that he was in favor of having the Board endorse this statement as written, and adding "The Board would like to express their confidence in President Bowen's handling of the situation."

Mr. Richards disagreed with the suggested addition regarding confidence, saying it might wrongly indicate lack of prior confidence; mentioning also that Presidents Maucker and Parks deserved to be applauded for their recent handling of disturbing situations on their campuses.

President Redeker said he had had a previous opportunity to comment publicly on President Maucker's situation and was now inviting the Board to do the same for President Bowen.

Mrs. Rosenfield moved that the following position be adopted by the State Board of Regents:

"The State Board of Regents emphatically endorses the statement made to the faculty at the State University of Iowa on December 12, 1967, by President Howard R. Bowen, which statement reaffirms that the University will not tolerate disruptive or illegal actions on that campus; further, the statement properly reflects the policy of the Board of Regents at all Regents institutions."

The motion was seconded by Mr. Quarton.
Mr. Louden said that members of the State University of Iowa faculty should have been considered in the Board statement, and that the statement did not specifically emphasize the Board feeling or policy regarding that group.

Mr. Richards moved to amend the motion of Mrs. Rosenfield by adding the following:

"The State Board of Regents in cooperation with its Universities assures the citizens of Iowa that this policy will be carried out in its relations with all members of the University communities."

The Chairman put the motion to amend on roll call, and the vote was as follows:


None: None.

Absent: None.

The Chairman declared the motion to amend passed.

The Chairman asked for a vote on the motion as amended, and, on roll call, the vote was as follows:


Nay: None.

Absent: None.

The Chairman declared the motion as amended passed.

MEETINGS - AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION. Mr. Wolf moved that President Redeker and Executive Secretary Richey be encouraged to attend the meeting in Dallas, Texas, January 25-27, 1968, of the American Council on Education,
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Institute for College and University Administrators, being sponsored by the Carnegie Foundation, and concerning the financing of higher education, all expenses to be paid by the Institute except travel; and that a President or Vice President of one of the Regents institutions, as well as any Board member, also be encouraged to attend.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin and passed.

Executive Secretary Richey announced another meeting of the American Council, Discussion of Council Programs and Problems of Higher Education, to be held in Washington, D. C., on March 22, 1968. No action was taken regarding this meeting.

MERIT SYSTEM - STATE BOARD OF REGENTS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the following persons had been designated as members of the Inter-Institutional Committee on Non-Academic Personnel:

BOARD OFFICE
R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
Jess Cole, Personnel Officer
George Christensen, Vice President, Academic Affairs

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
Dewey B. Stuit, Dean, College of Liberal Arts
Fred H. Doderer, Director of Personnel

UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN IOWA
Daryl Pendergraft, Assistant to President
Donald Walton, Director of Personnel

IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT-SAVING SCHOOL
Robert A. Hansen, Superintendent
M. D. Berry, Business Manager

IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF
C. E. Geasland, Business Manager
Lawrence Propst, Personnel Officer
The committee was established to make studies and recommendations concerning non-academic personnel policies and procedures of institutions and the Board Office under the State Board of Regents Merit System. The first meeting of the committee will be held in the Board Office on December 20, 1967. The purpose of the meeting is to propose any necessary or desirable revisions in the Board of Regents Merit System Policy Statement for consideration of chief executive officers of each institution and submission to the Board.

COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR POST HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION. The following Board members were named as alternates for present Board members serving on the Coordinating Council for Post High School Education:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present members</th>
<th>Alternates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Redeker</td>
<td>Mr. Louden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Rosenfield</td>
<td>Mr. Richards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Wolf</td>
<td>Mr. Perrin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WESTERN IOWA INSTITUTION. Prior to the Board meeting, Mr. Richey sent a copy of the proposed contract with Cresap, McCormick and Paget, Management Consultants, of New York, the firm selected to do the study on the proposed institution of higher education in western Iowa, to all Board members for their consideration and any suggested changes.

After discussion of the terms under the contract, Mr. Louden moved that the proposed contract with Cresap, McCormick and Paget, as presented by Mr. Richey, be accepted and authorization granted to execute the contract as revised in Mr. Richey's memo of December 7, 1967.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace and passed.
NEXT MEETINGS. The next meetings of the State Board of Regents were scheduled as follows:

January 11-12, 1968  Board Office  Des Moines
February 8-9, 1968  Board Office*  Des Moines
March 14-15, 1968  University of Northern Iowa  Cedar Falls
April 11-12, 1968  State University of Iowa  Iowa City
May 9-10, 1968  Iowa School for the Deaf  Council Bluffs
June 13-14, 1968  Iowa State University  Ames, Iowa

* Executive Secretary Richey announced that there was a possibility of the Board Office being moved to the James W. Grimes Office Building, now under construction and scheduled for completion around the end of February or the first of March, 1968.

EXECUTIVE SESSION. President Redeker stated that a recommendation had been made for an executive session and asked if there were any objections. There were none and the Board resolved itself into executive session at 3:50 p.m. The Board rose from executive session at 5:00 p.m.

December 15, 1967

The following business relating to general or miscellaneous matters was transacted on December 15, 1967:

WESTERN IOWA INSTITUTION - PROFESSIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE. In a memo dated November 29, 1967, sent to Board members prior to the meeting, Mr. Richey outlined the suggested membership and duties of the Professional Advisory Committee for the Western Iowa Study. It was suggested by Mr. Louden and agreed that the duties and responsibilities of this committee be shown in the Board minutes as follows:

The duties and responsibilities of the Professional Advisory Committee should be delineated so that the Board, the Consultants, and the Committee know the role of the Committee during the study. The proposed contract with Cresap, McCormick and Paget provides that the
Consultants shall, early in the study, meet with and present to the Professional Advisory Committee their proposed outline and procedures for the study. The purpose of this provision is to give the Professional Advisory Committee an opportunity to review the proposed procedures to insure that the study covers all pertinent matters and that the methodology is designed to produce a comprehensive, factual and objective study. At the same time, the members of the Committee would act as a resource group in furnishing valuable leads as to sources of information and data.

The proposed contract further states that when a phase of the study has been completed by the Consultants, all pertinent information, findings, conclusions and tentative recommendations shall be presented to the Professional Advisory Committee for its review before presentation to the Board of Regents for its consideration. The purpose of this section is to have the Committee review the study to insure that the data and findings are accurate and sufficiently complete before the recommendations are presented to the Board for action. The Committee might raise relevant questions overlooked by the Consultants. The purpose is not to have the Committee "screen" the recommendations or to insulate the Board from the Consultants. Rather, its purpose is to assure the Board that the basic study leading to the recommendation is sound. The wisdom or acceptability of the recommendations would be left to the Board to determine.

Mrs. Rosenfield commented that the Advisory Committee would be another good check on the many facets involved in the proposed study.

Mr. Wolf asked if the committee would also be used in connection with site selection of the proposed institution and the answer was "yes".

Mr. Richey said he planned to attend all the meetings of the committee and would furnish Board members with minutes of the proceedings.

Mr. Quarton moved that the members of the Professional Advisory Committee be reimbursed for actual expenses only and receive no per diem or honoraria since all the proposed members were full-time paid employees of other institutions; and that such reimbursement be paid from Western Iowa Study funds appropriated by the 62nd G. A.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Louden and passed.

Mr. Richey was asked to make a progress report on the Western Iowa Study to the Governor, Lt. Governor, members of the Legislature, and all
towns and people who have indicated an interest in the project; and that
as soon as the membership of the Professional Advisory Committee is
decided, an announcement of the names will be made from the Board Office.

(The announcement of the names of members of the Professional
Advisory Committee was made by Mr. Richey on December 20.)

The names of the members appointed by the Board are as follows:

1. Marvin Anderson, Dean of Extension, Iowa State University
2. Marshall R. Beard, Registrar and Admissions, University of
   Northern Iowa
3. Robert D. Benton, Superintendent of Schools, 207 Scott, Council
   Bluffs
4. C. Edward Dyer, Associate Dean of the Graduate Division,
   Department of Education, Drake University, Des Moines
5. Russell Eidsmoe, Chairman, Department of Education, Morningside
   College, Sioux City
6. Robert Haveman, Department of Economics, Grinnell College, Grinnell
7. William T. Higdon, President of Graceland College, Lamoni
8. George C. Hoyt, Associate Professor of Business Administration,
   University of Iowa
9. Carl Kasten, Vice President of Business and Finance, Drake
   University, Des Moines
10. William C. Lang, Vice President
    University of Northern Iowa
11. Fred Lott, Professor of Mathematics, University of Northern Iowa
12. John Pace, Head of Office of Space Utilization, Iowa State University
13. William Pierce, Superintendent of Southwestern Community College,
    Creston
14. Chalmer J. Roy, Dean of Science and Humanities, Iowa State University
15. Alvin H. Scaff, Associate Dean of the Graduate College,
    University of Iowa
16. Dean Zenor, Director of the Institute of Public Affairs, University
    of Iowa
HOUSING POLICIES - DISCUSSION. President Bowen reported that his memo of December 14, 1967 on Student Housing at the University of Iowa was not presented as a final document; that the basic concept of the entire report was the desire to give greater attention to developing living units at the University which would carry more educational and cultural impact, particularly for freshmen and sophomore students; and that consideration should be given to housing for older single students.

President Redeker suggested that a study be done for a financing arrangement whereby the students would not have to bear the costs within their student fees of improving education in the dormitories, rather such a study approached from the premise of the cost being borne out of educational operating funds. President Bowen said this approach was already under consideration and would be kept in mind.

Mr. Wolf pointed out that one of the unfair aspects of using student fees in educational improvement of dormitories is that commuting students do not realize any of the benefit of the added fee.

President Redeker said he agreed with President Bowen that fraternity men and sorority women should live in the dormitories as freshmen, but questioned that the University could successfully move into a closer alliance with the fraternity-sorority system, and wondered if the University would be better advised to divorce themselves from such an effort.

President Bowen replied that it would be better if all freshmen would live in dormitories (women do now), but that older fraternity men tend to move out of fraternity houses and if fraternity freshmen were required to live in dormitories, fraternity houses might be rather empty.
Mr. Perrin said he felt the administrators of Regents institutions should determine the hours to be observed by students.

Mr. Louden mentioned the Regents' responsibility to bond holders in guaranteeing sufficient occupancy of dormitories to meet debt obligations, and asked if this obligation required the Board to set parietal rules?

President Bowen stated that the agreements with bond holders would be maintained, and that he planned to begin a supply and demand study for housing, assuring the Board that there was no need for concern regarding the September 1968 enrollments,

Mr. Richards remarked that he was sorry to see in President Bowen's Housing Report of December 14 that the University planned the retention of approved housing; that he felt all freshmen and sophomores should live in University housing, and beyond that, the selection of housing is not a proper function for a University - that in making a free choice decision on where to live is a more normal process of education.

Mr. Perrin pointed out that in off-campus housing, certain undesirable conditions may exist, and if not under University supervision, any trouble would reflect on the University; that, in opposition to President Bowen's statements in December 14 report, why not require parental approval from students under 21 desiring to live off campus?

Mr. Wolf said he felt the University should think about removing restrictions to upper class males living in apartments off campus.

Mrs. Rosenfield commented that we are treating students differently who live in approved housing and those who live in dormitories, as well as between sororities and fraternities; that in approved housing there could not be much supervision of the occupants' social living; that
there was no apparent justification for these differences; and in recognizing adulthood in students, the treatment should be the same to those living in dormitories as to those not living in dormitories.

President Maucker stated that both freshmen women and men were required to live in the residence halls at the University of Northern Iowa, and in recognition of the bond agreements this rule probably should be retained; that women are required to live in residence halls up to age 21; that the University is aware of laxity or inability to closely supervise approved housing - and that in this context there is an inclination to discontinue the category of approved housing; that if a parent gives permission for the student to live off campus, why should the University say otherwise; however, if a change in the rule results in lack of occupancy of dormitories, the rule would have to be reinstated; that he questioned how far the Regents' universities could go in using operational funds to improve education in the residence halls when the benefits would not be applicable to all students.

In response to questions regarding student hours, the following information was given:

**STUDENT HOURS**

(These are the standard regulation hours and do not include special hours for special occasions.)

Iowa State University

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Res. Halls - Sororities</th>
<th>Off-Campus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshmen</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomores</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniors</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 21</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fri. and Sat. only - 1 a.m.

**Need parental consent to live off campus if under 21.

No student living off-campus observes hours.
December 14-15, 1967 General or miscellaneous

State University of Iowa

| Res. Halls - Sororities - Off-Campus |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Men                           | Women             |
| Freshmen                      | None              | 12 p.m.** |
| Sophomores                    | "                 | Under 21, self-limiting |
| Juniors                       | "                 | hours with parental consent |
| Seniors                       | "                 |                  |
| Over 21                       | "                 | Self-limiting hours |

**Fri. and Sat. only - 1 a.m.

If student, man or woman, has completed 56 semester hours and is 21 or over, they are in Privileged Hours Program and assume self-limiting hours.

If student, man or woman, is under 21, they must live in approved off-campus housing.

University of Northern Iowa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Res. Halls - Off Campus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshmen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University of Northern Iowa does not have sorority houses.

President Parks reported that Iowa State's study on student housing is progressing - that he hoped to make a presentation soon in this regard; that in instances where parental consent to live off campus is required, it is usually given. Vice President Christensen reported that a questionnaire had been sent out to every fifth parent of students enrolled at Iowa State, and that 85% of those parents preferred freshmen women to observe hours, but that there was a large distinction of preferences of parents between freshmen and other classes.
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President Parks commented further that Iowa State does not require that students live in approved housing, but that the rules do contain a clause reserving the right to require; that in regard to hours, it had been the experience of the University that when self-limiting hours were authorized, the public mistakenly visualized undesirable situations and that this was not true.

Mr. Richards stated that he did not like to see differences between Regents institutions in the rules regarding student housing and urged that in the near future some attempt be made to establish uniform regulations.

Mrs. Rosenfield called attention to the second paragraph of page three of the Report of the University Housing Committee, dated August 11, 1967, which states "... Certain important areas of student housing - notably the value and future role of fraternities and sororities in undergraduate housing were decided at the beginning of the study to involve too many other matters for consideration in the short time the Committee had available. Review of them has had to be left to later Housing Committees ... The most pressing subjects have been the future of the dormitory program (both the operation of the present dormitories and the decision the Committee has faced on the construction of future ones) and housing provisions for married students and older undergraduates and graduates...." Mrs. Rosenfield urged that consideration along the lines suggested by President Bowen above be carried over into any future discussions. Mr. Quarton agreed.

Mr. Richards asked if specific items on student housing could be docketed for discussion.
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Mr. Wallace suggested the three presidents keep the Board advised on any trends, conclusions, recommendations, etc., in the area of student housing.

Mr. Perrin said it was his feeling that rules regarding students on all three Regents campuses should be different; that localities were different and students were different.

Mrs. Rosenfield commented that one of the main interests revolving around rules, regulations, plans, innovations, etc., regarding student housing was how does all this affect the educational process of the student, regardless of public opinion and individual Board members' opinions?

President Redeker asked Board members to be thinking about what specifics in the student housing area they wished to have discussed at future meetings.

INSTITUTIONAL BUDGETS - MEETING SCHEDULE. President Bowen as Chairman of the Interinstitutional Committee on Budgets suggested that the preparation of institutional budgets and legislative askings might be better developed through the formation of additional committees with specific responsibilities, such as:

Committee of Registrars
Business Officers
Public Relations
Legislative representatives
Librarians
Planning Officers
Academic Vice Presidents

- enrollment
- 3rd place salary computations
- presentation to Legislature
- analyses of library needs
- Capital needs
- "Quality and Progress"

It was agreed that the first meeting of the Interinstitutional Committee on Budgets would be held on January 10, 1968, 4:00 p.m. through
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dinner, Hotel Fort Des Moines, Des Moines, Iowa; that the three
presidents, President Redeker, and Mr. Richey would attend; and that
all Board members may attend if they wish.

The next meeting of the committee was tentatively set for February 7,
1968, at wherever the Board meeting is being held.
THE GOOD ORDER OF THE UNIVERSITY

By Howard R. Bowen
President
The University of Iowa

* * * * *

(An address delivered to the Faculty of The University of Iowa at 3 p.m., Tuesday, December 12, 1967, in Macbride Auditorium.)

* * * * *

From time to time during the past several years, I have reported to the faculty on the state of the University and on future plans for its development. My report today is in a different vein. Recent events have breached the good order of the University. More than that, they have been contrary to the fundamental concept of a university as a center of learning. They have posed a serious threat to the integrity and the independence of this institution. Indeed, they have invited outside political pressure and reprisals. I have been deeply concerned, and I know that virtually the whole faculty and student body have shared this concern. The people of Iowa and their leaders, as well as alumni of the University, quite understandably have been troubled. I intend that my report to you today will become at the same time a report to our students, to our alumni, and to the people of our state.

I have delayed this report until some of the sensational publicity and emotion surrounding last week's events might clear away, so that the matter could be viewed in perspective, and in the light of fact rather than rumor.

Beginning last year, some students tried to block access to the Business and Industrial Placement Office when representatives of the CIA and the Marines were here to recruit students. Their purpose was to dramatize their opposition to the Vietnam war. At that time the University made clear that while peaceful picketing was in order, University operations must not be interrupted and all students must have equal access to career opportunities of their choice through our placement offices. And, in fact, these conditions were maintained without the use of police.

This year efforts were made to block access when the Marine representatives were here on November 1-3 and when the Dow Chemical representatives came on December 4-5. These two later protest efforts involved the use or threat of force and resulted in police action. On both these occasions, the operations of the Placement Office were maintained and students wishing to see the employer representatives were able to do so.

The confrontation occurred precisely because the University was firm in maintaining the uninterrupted operation of the institution and in insisting that no group could by intimidation or force prevent other students from access to its services. When the civil law was broken the offenders were arrested; when the University rules were broken the offenders were penalized--or are to be penalized, if investigation reveals guilt. Basic principles have been stoutly defended.

Various allegations have been made about the two recent disorders in the press and by various groups. I should like to comment briefly on these allegations.
It has been alleged, or implied, especially in the press, that the two disturbances this fall were major "riots". The fact is that a negligible percentage of our students were involved as active participants in either case. It is true that for short periods of time the demonstrations drew crowds of onlookers and a few hecklers, but by no stretch of the imagination can it be said that great numbers or "masses" of students were involved. Although conditions were volatile, the situation was at no time out of control. There were no serious injuries. There was no significant property damage, except a broken window and a few signs painted on buildings, which signs were quickly removed.

It has also been widely believed that the entire University was in an uproar and that operations were generally disrupted. The fact is that life went on normally during both demonstrations except for the relatively few demonstrators, some administrative officials, and the police. The only disruptions were a few sporadic forays of the demonstrators into academic buildings. For the overwhelming majority of students and faculty, work went on as usual.

It has also been alleged that the University was indifferent to the offenses of the demonstrators or that it was too lenient or too slow in the penalties imposed. The facts are that over 100 persons--students and others--were arrested by the civil authorities, that over 70 students were placed on probation by the University as a result of the first incident, that 18 were arrested as a result of the second incident and that investigations by the University are being carried out in many cases. I hope it has not gone unnoticed that a large fraction of the offenders were not students at all. There are many who claim that the students involved should be summarily dismissed from the University--presumably without investigation and hearing. Such persons ignore the obligations of a university to use due process in its disciplinary actions and its even greater obligation as an educational institution to deal with its students, as young persons, with care and concern. Probation is a very serious academic penalty which means in this case that one more transgression is likely to bring dismissal from the University with permanent damage to future careers. Today when a student is dismissed from a university, he is virtually ruled out from admission to another institution. Though investigations are not yet complete, it seems likely that some students will be suspended or dismissed as a result of serious offenses. But until investigations are completed and due process has been observed, I cannot properly comment on what action will be taken with respect to any particular student. I would point out, however, that the necessary procedures will be carried out as promptly as fairness and thoroughness will allow. There should no longer be a place here for students who disrupt the operations of the University or who engage in behavior that violates the basic principles of University life. In the future the penalty for a first offense involving the wilful disruption of University operations might well be suspension or dismissal rather than probation. By this time, ample warning has been given to all students as to the seriousness of this type of offense, and no one can claim to be surprised if the University takes seriously the wilful disruption of its operations. I would add that we shall not be tolerant of subterfuges that may be within the letter of the law but not within its spirit.

From the point of view of some of the students involved, it has been alleged that students are being punished by the University for their beliefs or that free expression of political views is being suppressed. Words like "police state" are being bandied about. These allegations are obviously false as anyone acquainted with the University knows. The University of Iowa has an unsullied record of
freedom of thought and speech. It is not necessary at this institution to use illegal or obstructive means to express one's views.

It has also been alleged that the activities of the police in the two recent incidents have been improper. It has been said that they were not needed, or that they came in too late, or that they came too early, or that they were unnecessarily rough, or that they initiated the disturbances. The facts deny all these allegations. On both occasions, serious offenses were being committed prior to the entry of the police. The question of the timing of their entry and the appropriateness of their activity are matters of judgment. There is little evidence that their entry was badly timed—all things considered. As I indicated, no one was seriously injured on either occasion. I can only conclude from the record that the various police units conducted themselves creditably in what is to them an arduous assignment. I should like to express my appreciation to the police force of Iowa City, the County Sheriff and his staff, the officers from nearby counties, and the Iowa Highway Patrol. I am very grateful for their help under trying circumstances. These two occasions were the first in my entire academic career when it was necessary to bring police in any numbers to the campus, and I fervently hope that this need never recurs.

One can mention two possible difficulties that were encountered in the use of the police. One is that on both occasions the University leaned over backward to deal with the problem without the use of outside force. We were naturally very reluctant to have the police involved in a student demonstration. The other difficulty arose from the problems of coordinating the various police units. This is understandable since they had had little or no experience in working together on student demonstrations.

One point I want to make clear. Although our Campus Security Unit has always maintained friendly contact with police units, and has tried to keep them informed about potential problems involving civil law, the University does not choose whether the police are to be employed on any occasion. When the law is being broken or when violence is threatened, the police are obliged to come in as soon as disorder or law-breaking is perceived. They do not necessarily wait for a call from the University.

So much for recent events. Let me now turn to the future.

The policy of the University on matters recently in controversy has been consistent and it has been clear. This policy is in three parts:

1. To assure free and equal access to University services for all students

2. To maintain orderly operation of the University tolerating no obstructions of programs

3. To protect and foster free expression of thought and opinion including the traditional American rights to assemble peaceably and to petition authorities.

The policy leaves no place for some of the behavior exhibited here and on many other campuses during the past several years, for example, forcible entry, sit-downs, blockage of access, coercion, intimidation, disrespect to visiting speakers,
or any other use of force or breach of good taste. Implied in the rules of the University, if not explicitly stated, is the idea that each student is obliged as a condition of remaining in an academic community to conduct himself as becomes a scholar. No appeal to higher morality will justify conduct unbecoming a scholar. The highest morality of the academic community is the thoughtful search for truth, free expression, tolerance toward differing opinions, and rational discourse. Anyone who is unwilling to accept this moral code, or who insists on substituting some other moral code, has no place as a member of the University—whether student or faculty.

The policy I have stated applies to the placement services of the University. These services, of which the University has several, are educationally valuable because of the information and advice they offer. They are convenient for students because they help them to marshal their dossiers and to get in touch with employers. The overwhelming majority of our students and alumni are deeply appreciative of our placement offices. Wherever I go among our alumni, I hear expressions of appreciation for the splendid services of our various placement agencies. I wish to make it clear that these offices are to continue their operation as in the past. This means that all employers engaged in legal operations and actually having jobs to offer may, after following well-established procedures, interview students in our placement offices. The University does not undertake to choose among those employers who meet the basic qualifications and does not limit the free choice of students who are seeking employment. This does not mean that our placement activities will never change. All our policies and services are subject to review. From time to time, changes may be made, but if so, they will be made through regular procedures, not through pressure or threats or intimidation.

Meanwhile, our present policy regarding our placement service has recently been affirmed by unanimous action of the Faculty Senate. The resolution of the Senate (dated November 17, 1967) is as follows:

Statement Concerning

THE RIGHTS OF STUDENTS TO EQUAL ACCESS TO UNIVERSITY SERVICES AND FACILITIES

It has long been the position of the University of Iowa that all students must be assured equal access to all of its services and facilities. This position has recently been generally affirmed in a "Student Bill of Rights" drafted by representatives of the American Association of University Professors and nine other national organizations of students and faculty. That document provides in part that a college's facilities and services should be open to all its enrolled students.

Conspicuous among the many and varied services offered by the University of Iowa to its students are placement assistance and information concerning future careers in both governmental and private employment.

While the University recognizes the rights of students to assemble peacefully and lawfully for the purpose of expressing their views on any subject, it is bound to reconcile such activities with the other functions of the institution and with the rights of other students. The "Student Bill of Rights" referred to above recognizes this need, in stating that students should always be free to support causes by orderly means, so long as those means do not frustrate or interfere with normal University operations and the rights of individual students.
In the light of recent events on some other campuses in this country, it might be well to reiterate that this University takes these rights of students and its own related responsibilities most seriously. As applied to the placement process on this campus, this means that the University must and will respect orderly demonstrations in favor of, or opposed to, any public position. However, it cannot abdicate its responsibility to protect the rights of individual students in seeking access to such placement facilities. The University must and will fulfill this responsibility, and in thus protecting the rights of individuals it will continue to protect the rights of all.

In line with this policy, I would expect that in the future representatives of firms or government agencies which may be momentarily unpopular will come here from time to time. I ask and expect that they be treated with courtesy and helpfulness. Their being here is in no sense an implied endorsement of their products or activities. I think no one of us is wise enough to arrogate to himself the power to decide for others what should be their vocations.

Our subject for today calls for some comment about the governance of a university. A university's policies are determined jointly by the faculties, the governing board, and the administrative officials according to law and long-established traditions. The university through the combined judgment of these three groups decides what students are eligible for admission to various academic programs, the kind of environment provided by the university, reasonable conditions for continuing membership in the community, and the requirements for degrees. In establishing its policies, the university endeavors to be sensitive to the needs and wishes of students, to consult with students on many matters, sincerely to take account of their advice, sometimes to delegate matters to them, and to establish only those conditions and requirements for students which are genuinely conducive to their growth in intellect and character and to the good order of the university. This mode of governance leaves no room for the idea of "student power." As the events of the past few months here so clearly demonstrate, such a concept is untenable. I would repeat, however, that within the framework of university governance, students can contribute greatly to the improvement and development of the institution through their advice and suggestions. They can contribute even more through the patient and dedicated learning which will make of them capable leaders and artists and scientists and professional men and women.

Those responsible for the governance of a university have a deep obligation to be concerned about students, to listen to them, to counsel with them. But they have no obligation to submit to intimidation, violence, and threats.

Frequently, in recent weeks I have been asked: Why doesn't the University take a position on the Vietnam war? How can a great intellectual center remain silent on this momentous issue? This question leads me to comment on the role of the university in political action. In doing so, I shall quote a short passage from my talk of last week to the Iowa Association of District Judges:

"The university is properly a center of thought and discussion on social and political questions. Its professors and students should be free, and obliged, to express their thoughtful ideas, even when these ideas are unconventional or critical of the established order or contrary to settled public policy. But the university is a place of study, thought, discussion, and publication, but not a center of political action. It finds its influence through the power of its ideas, not through overt political action. The
university as a corporate body is neither hawk nor dove, Democratic nor Republican, socialist nor capitalist, segregationist nor integrationist. It is not a parliament or a political party or a pressure group.

If the university as a corporate entity were to take part in political action, its ability to carry out its main functions, which require a posture of objectivity and detachment, would be undermined. The university would lose its intellectual power, its integrity, and its credibility and would be subject to inordinate pressures from every interest that might seek an endorsement. I admit that the distinctions between the university as a corporate entity, its professors and students as searchers for the truth, and its professors and students as citizens are subtle and difficult to apply in practice. Yet, it is only as the university is meticulous in its regard for these distinctions that it will retain its real power which is as a source of ideas which approach as closely as possible to the truth.

Another question that is frequently asked by students is: How can we students be effective in getting our political ideas across unless our protest is expressed by illegal or dramatic methods? The answer, of course, is that it is difficult and slow to make progress through democratic, legal, and rational processes. Most of us feel that as individuals we do not have much influence over the events that affect us. Certainly I feel this way. My answer to these students is that to be politically effective, one must be trusted by others, one must be persuasive with the spoken and written word, one must be informed, one must have judgment and wisdom, and one must work within political parties or other legal organizations. There is no short cut to influence and progress within a democratic society. While one may get temporary publicity and perhaps personal exhilaration out of dramatically staged episodes such as demonstrations or riots, there is considerable evidence that they alienate support more than they attract it. It is very difficult to believe that our recent demonstrations have helped bring the Vietnam war to an end. The alienation effect has almost surely exceeded the persuasion effect. These events have succeeded mainly in scandalizing the state and hardening positions against those who oppose the war. But beyond this, the tendency in our society for dissatisfied groups to seek violent means of attaining their ends is a threat to the democratic way of life. Along this line, one of my friends supplied this quotation, "When liberty destroys order, the hunger for order will destroy liberty." The eventual outcome of our strife in the cities and on the campuses of the nation, if it does not abate, will be some form of fascism. One of my friends calls the likely outcome "welfare fascism."

Much of the responsibility for resolving the problems of the good order of this university is shared by the faculty. The administrative staff alone cannot change the pattern. Neither can the police. But the faculty, through their daily contacts with students, and through their example of rational and democratic approaches to social and personal problems, can inspire students to constructive modes of action appropriate within a democracy.

While on the subject of faculty responsibility, I would suggest that the actions of faculty members—a tiny minority to be sure—who serve as leaders and encouragers, if not fomenters, of obstruction and illegal activity can be seriously questioned. Faculty members, of course, have a right to their beliefs and a right to engage in political activity. But when they lead and encourage students in activities that undermine the very idea of a university, they are perilously close to unprofessional conduct not becoming a teacher and scholar.
Let me quote briefly from the AAUP "Statement on Professional Ethics":

As a teacher, the professor encourages the free pursuit of learning in his students. He holds before them the best scholarly standards of his discipline. He demonstrates respect for the student as an individual, and adheres to his proper role as intellectual guide and counsellor*....As a citizen engaged in a profession that depends upon freedom for its health and integrity, the professor has a particular obligation to promote conditions of free inquiry and to further public understanding of academic freedom.

I am afraid some of my comments today have been blunt. I hope they do not obscure my belief that the University has been making excellent progress on all fronts and that we are in fact enjoying a very productive year except for two isolated but extraordinarily trying incidents which might be likened to bee stings—painful and irritating but neither fatal nor incapacitating.

I also hope my remarks do not obscure my high regard for and confidence in the great majority of our students. Last June I spoke at length about my respect for the current generation of students and my faith in their future contributions to our society. I still hold to the views I expressed then. I hope my remarks do not obscure my equal respect for and confidence in our faculty. Its members are overwhelmingly dedicated teachers and scholars, who are loyal to the University and who make this a great university. I wish to pay my respect also to my administrative colleagues. Every one of these men is carrying an extraordinarily heavy load far beyond our reasonable expectations and with great distinction. It is an honor and a source of great personal satisfaction to me to be associated with our students, faculty, and administrative officers.

Finally, I hope my remarks do not obscure my strong belief that the University must never be vindictive with its students. As educators our duty is to educate. This means to help each student to develop his full potential in intellect and character including learning from mistakes. Most of the students involved in the recent episodes are young men and women of high ideals and great promise. We cannot reject them out of hand, but we can guide them and in some cases in their own interests we can ask them to go elsewhere. We must remember that there is much to be learned from dissent, and that all of our students, as well as the faculty, have learned from our recent experiences. A university where everything runs smoothly because of apathy or fear of controversy can be a dull and stultifying place. At the same time, we have learned at great cost whatever lessons are to be gained from student demonstrations and we don't need any more instruction in that particular subject for a long time.

When time permits, that means I am afraid after the holidays, I shall be glad to discuss the subject of my talk with interested groups on the campus or elsewhere. There is need, I believe, for better understanding of the role and functioning of the University in these times and I am willing to do my best to contribute whatever I can to the discussion of this subject.

In closing, may I wish all of you a happy holiday in which perhaps it may be possible to consider peace on earth in terms of the human spirit in place of social conflict.

*Italics supplied.
IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

The following business relating to the Iowa School for the Deaf was transacted on December 14, 1967:

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the register of personnel changes at the Iowa School for the Deaf for the month of November, 1967, were approved and ratified.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Mr. Dancer reported that the register of capital improvement business transactions, Iowa School for the Deaf, for the month of November, 1967, had been filed with him and that it appeared to be in order.

President Redeker stated that if there were no objections the actions reported in the register of capital improvement business transactions for the month of November, 1967, would be approved and ratified. There were no objections.

HIGH SCHOOL BUILDING - CEILING REPAIR. Mr. Richey reported that the application to the Executive Council of Iowa for $20,000 in emergency funds to finance the ceiling repair project in the high school building had been denied; and that he was now asking for the advice of the Board whether to reapply to the Executive Council for the funds, or finance the project by using funds from Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School's share of the unallocated capital reserve.

Mr. Richards moved that Mr. Richey submit a reapplication for the $20,000 to the Executive Council of Iowa to finance the ceiling repair project in the high school building, informing them that this is an emergency expense that
could not have been foreseen and asking that they consider their decision of denial, and that a committee of the Board be permitted to appear with Mr. Richey before the Council.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Quarton and passed unanimously.

Mr. Richey stated that the Council had suggested that the funds for the project should come from the unallocated capital reserve, but that he had taken the position with them that while this suggestion could be a theoretical possibility, it was not a practical one, pointing out to the Council that the Legislature had cut the capital askings by $20 million, and as a result the institutions had already reduced their capital budgets accordingly.

On motion by Mr. Wallace, seconded by Mr. Perrin and passed, the action of the Executive Secretary in approving the final plans and specifications, and selecting the date of December 19, 1967, for the public hearing and opening of bids for the ceiling repair project in the high school building was approved and ratified.

On motion by Mr. Richards, seconded by Mr. Louden and passed, Executive Secretary Richey was authorized to award the construction contract for the ceiling repair project in the high school building, such award to be reported for ratification by the Board at the January meeting.

VARIOUS PROJECTS. Mrs. Rosenfield moved that approval be given to the preliminary plans on the following projects; that authorization be granted to prepare the final plans and specifications; with the understanding that such approval and authorization requires also the approval of the Executive Secretary:
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Primary Hall Dormitory - Additional Toilet Facilities and Houseparent's Apartment, 62nd G.A.

Primary Hall - Infirmary Connecting Tunnel, 62nd G.A.

Fire Detection System - Main Building, Laundry, Infirmary, Primary Hall, Girls Dormitory, 62nd G.A.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Quarton and passed.

ANNEXATION OF SCHOOL LAND BY CITY OF COUNCIL BLUFFS. Superintendent Giangreco reported that steps were being taken by the City Council of Council Bluffs to annex that portion of the School's land on the southwest side of Highway 375, plus other areas in the vicinity including property owned by the Lewis Central Community School District; and that he was in favor of the annexation as was Lewis Central.

Mr. Quarton moved that in cooperation and agreement with the Lewis Central Community School District, the City Council of Council Bluffs be given an opportunity to take the land requested for annexation into the City, and that Superintendent Giangreco verbally advise the Council and Lewis Central of the action taken by the Board.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace and, on roll call, the vote was as follows:


Nay: Richards.

Absent: None.

The chairman declared the motion passed.
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SEWER TREATMENT FACILITIES - SETTLEMENT WITH BI-STATES CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.

Business Manager Geasland reported that Bi-States Construction Company, through their attorney, Noran L. Davis, had offered to settle the penalty claim against them in connection with the Sewer Treatment Facilities project for a refund of $3500, an increase of $510 over their previous offer of settlement; and that Bi-States would sign a waiver for the balance of the penalty.

Mr. Richards moved that the offer of settlement by Bi-States Construction Company be entertained.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Loss and, on roll call, the vote was as follows:

Aye: Loss, Perrin, Quarton, Richards, Wolf, and Redeker.


Absent: None.

The chairman declared the motion passed.
IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL

The following business relating to the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School was transacted on December 14, 1967:

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the register of personnel changes at the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School for the month of November, 1967, were approved and ratified.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Mr. Dancer reported that the register of capital improvement business transactions, Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School, for the period November 1, 1967, through November 30, 1967, had been filed with him and that it appeared to be in order.

President Redeker stated that if there were no objections the actions reported in the register of capital improvement business transactions for the period November 1, 1967, through November 30, 1967, would be approved and ratified.

There were no objections.

MASTER PLAN FOR PARK DEVELOPMENT - CITY OF VINTON. A memo mailed to Board members by Galen Grote, Co-Chairman, Park Committee of the Vinton Kiwanis Club, contained a summarized description of the proposed master plan for development of a park as a project for its club. (In a lease dated November 10, 1966, the State Board of Regents granted the City of Vinton a parcel of land under the direction of the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School, which land is located adjoining Highway 218 on the south of 13th Street in the southwest part of Vinton, Iowa. The City then sub-let the property to the City Park Commission as permitted under terms of the lease, who then sub-let
the property to the Kiwanis Club of Vinton. Also as provided under terms of the lease, approval for development of a master plan for a park must be secured from the Regents.)

Superintendent Hansen reported that a Mr. Price had registered a complaint as to the location of the park's restroom facilities situated on the boundary line of property owned by him; that Mr. Price had requested that these facilities be moved farther south and away from his property.

Mr. Quarton moved that the proposed master plan for park development be approved with the suggestion that the restroom facilities be moved elsewhere; and that the Executive Secretary notify the proper persons in writing of the Board action taken in this regard.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin and, on roll call, the vote was as follows:

Nay: Loss, Redeker.
Absent: None.

The chairman declared the motion passed.

SERVICE BUILDING - REPORT. Mr. Richey reported that as requested by the Board, Superintendent Hansen intended to bring a proposal to the Board at the January meeting requesting consideration of the phases planned for the Service Building project; and that therefore, it was recommended that the Lakeside Laboratory Water System project, State University of Iowa, be deferred until the January meeting in order to consider the requirements for the Service Building project.

Mr. Perrin moved that the Lakeside Laboratory Water System project at the State University of Iowa be deferred.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace and passed.

President Redeker asked Superintendent Hansen to check with the proper consultants on the feasibility of including a laundry in the proposed Service Building.
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STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

The following business relating to the State University of Iowa was transacted on December 14, 1967:

LAKESIDE LABORATORY WATER SYSTEM, 62ND G.A. Mr. Perrin moved that this item be deferred until the January Board meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace and passed. (See page 244, Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School section these minutes.)

December 15, 1967

The following business relating to the State University of Iowa was transacted on December 15, 1967:

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the register of personnel changes at the State University of Iowa for the month of November, 1967, were approved and ratified.

REORGANIZATION OF FINANCIAL OPERATIONS OF STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS. President Bowen reported that the University had long served as the custodian of funds of student organizations, providing accounting and management services through the Business Office for a fee of 2% of gross receipts; that all recognized organizations had been required to use the service; and that he was now proposing to continue requiring the service for recognized student organizations financed through allocations of mandatory student activity fees or through assessments collected by billing students, but wished to add that participation by other organizations be on a voluntary basis since organizations in this category are ordinarily financed by subscriptions, dues, donations, etc.
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Following is the proposed operational plan for participating organizations:

1. Organizations choosing the University for handling of funds will be provided with a continuity of financial management by having uniform records available for reference purposes. In addition, the financial records will be preserved in permanent form through the Student Organization Annual Report.

2. Financial advisory service will be provided by the Auditor of Student Organizations.

3. Separate accounts will be established and maintained for each organization in the Student Organization Fund.

4. All income from organizations will be deposited with the auditor by organization treasurers and credited to the organization account.

5. All bills of expense after being properly approved for payment by the officers of the organizations will be paid by the auditor and charged against the organization.

6. The Auditor of Student Organizations will furnish the treasurer of each organization a monthly statement showing the receipts and expenditures for the month and the balance on hand.

7. At the close of each school year he will prepare an annual report, showing the finances of all organizations.

8. The Auditor of Student Organizations will be glad to advise officers or organizations on financial matters but assumes no responsibility for the propriety of the various expenditures or for the policies of the various organizations, these matters being left to the discretion of the individual officers responsible to the organization concerned.

9. All organizations whose funds are handled by the University are granted purchasing privileges granted to regular University departments including all stores and service departments as well as orders through the Purchasing Department and the Small Order Procedure.

10. Organizations may not deposit a part of their funds with the University in order to qualify for financial services.

President Redeker stated that if there were no objections, the proposed changes in the financial operations of student organizations would be approved.

There were no objections.
REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Assistant Executive Secretary Dancer reported that the register of capital improvement business transactions at the State University of Iowa for the period November 2, 1967, through December 6, 1967, had been filed with him; that it appeared to be in order; and that the awarding of contracts had been recommended as follows:

Miscellaneous Projects, 61st G.A. - Project H, Chemistry Building Remodeling

General construction contract to Burger Construction Co., Iowa City, Iowa, on the basis of that firm's low bid of $60,249.00

Mechanical contract to AAA Mechanical Contractors, Iowa City, Iowa, on the basis of that firm's low bid of $100,781.00

Electrical contract to Shay Electric Co., Iowa City, Iowa, on the basis of that firm's low base bid of $33,877.00 plus Alternate #1 for $2,599.00 plus, total $36,476.00

Laboratory Equipment contract to Hamilton Manufacturing Co., Two Rivers, Wisconsin, on the basis of that firm's low bid of $124,250.00

President Redeker stated that if there were no objections the actions reported in the register of capital improvement business transactions, State University of Iowa, for the period November 2, 1967, through December 6, 1967, would be approved and ratified and the contracts awarded as recommended.

There were no objections.

HOSPITAL PARKING RAMP - PROGRESS REPORT ON BOND LITIGATION. Vice President Jolliffe presented a copy of a letter dated December 5, 1967, from A. O. Leff, Legal Counsel, in which Mr. Leff reported that following the last meeting of the Regents, the resolutions and all copies of the procedures in connection with the Hospital Parking Ramp had been sent to Chapman and Cutler; that he
was working with them in forming the specifications that would have to be included in the litigation; that a conference with Chapman and Cutler would be held in Chicago on December 7, 1967; and that the necessary litigation would get underway as soon as possible.

GENERAL HOSPITAL ADDITION - CONTRACT, ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES. On motion by Mr. Louden, seconded by Mr. Perrin and passed, approval was given to the proposed joint contract summarized below with Skidmore, Owings and Merrill, Chicago, Illinois, and Hansen-Lind-Meyer, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa, for architectural services in the preparation of the schematic design for the General Hospital Addition:

The contract is in the standard form for the schematic design phase, except that the architectural services are more specifically delineated than usual because of the size and complexity of the project. The fees are based on the following hourly rates:

- SOM partners $20.00/hr. - plus 150%
- SOM associate partners $15.00/hr. - plus 150%
- HLM principals $16.00/hr. - plus 150%
- Computer time $60.00/hr.

An initial maximum amount of $100,000 is established to cover the above costs. Additional funds for this purpose will be subject to the approval of the Regents.

In addition, travel and subsistence will be paid SOM outside of Chicago and HLM outside of Iowa City, plus long distance calls, telegrams, reproductions, and, as authorized by the University Architect, renderings, models, photographs and services of consultants.

ZOLOGY II ADDITION - CONTRACT, ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES. On motion by Mr. Perrin seconded by Mr. Loss and passed, approval was given to a standard form contract at the rate of 4% of cost of construction with Charles Richardson & Associates, Davenport, Iowa, for architectural services on the Zoology II Addition project.
EQUIPMENT AND MOVING EXPENSE FOR 60TH AND 61ST G.A. BUILDINGS - 62ND G.A. - ART BUILDING ADDITION AND ART GALLERY. On motion by Mr. Louden, seconded by Mr. Loss and passed, approval was given to the following project, project description and preliminary budget for the above named project:

Project Description and Preliminary Budget:

SOURCE OF FUNDS:

Appropriation, 62nd G.A. - Equipment and Moving Expenses

**ART BUILDING ADDITION**

A. Furniture (defined as non-teaching equipment)
   1. Office (administration, art history, and studio) - (includes desks, chairs, tables, bookshelves, filing cabinets, coatracks, couches, lamps) $12,734.00
   2. Lecture, seminar, print study and art history photo study rooms - (includes tables, chairs, bookcases, filing cabinets, lecterns, coatracks, display cases) 13,268.00
   3. Studio - (includes tables, chairs, storage cabinets, lockers, model equipment, coatracks, paper cutter) 41,244.00

B. Equipment
   1. Office - (includes intercom, dictating units, typewriters and stands, duplicating equipment, calculators, medicine cabinet) 1,240.00
   2. Teaching and Research - (includes all library items, reading tables and chairs, stacks, desk and chairs, typewriter and stand, filing cabinet; audiovisual equipment and custom storage; blackboards; special equipment for direct and indirectly produced 2 and 3-dimensional art; display cases, scales and adjustment.) 109,918.00
   3. Ancillary Equipment for Building - (includes Fire Extinguishers) 455.00

C. Shipping and Moving Expenses and Contingencies 25,000.00

Total Art Building Addition $203,859.00
ART GALLERY

A. Furniture
1. Office - (includes desks, chairs, tables, bookshelves, filing cabinets, and coatracks) $ 5,304.00
2. Auditorium - (includes chairs, lectern platform) 2,900.00
3. Gallery (includes display racks and cabinets, benches, couches, lamps, chairs, and tables) 23,288.00
4. Shop - (includes racks, shelving, cabinets and work tables) 1,585.00

B. Equipment
1. Office - (includes typewriters, copying machine, paper cutter, clock, dictating units, intercom, projectors, projection screen, floor racks) 4,519.00
2. Auditorium - (includes intercom, tape deck, projectors, truck for moving chairs) 3,685.00
3. Gallery - (includes portable panels) 6,680.00
4. Shop - (includes framing and crating equipment, and miscellaneous equipment) 7,642.00
5. Ancillary Equipment for Building - (includes fire extinguishers, custodian's equipment, and adjustments) 2,918.00

C. Shipping and Moving Expenses and Contingencies 4,000.00

D. Carpeting and Wall Covering

Total Art Gallery $96,141.00

GRAND TOTAL $300,000.00

MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS, 62ND G.A. - PROJECT D, OAKDALE RESEARCH FACILITY.

Vice President Jolliffe presented a tabulation of the bids received on the above named project for general construction, mechanical construction, electrical, and movable partitions, and reported that the total of all bids received exceeded the preliminary construction budget by approximately $45,000, depending on alternates; the reason being that the bids for mechanical work, electrical work and movable partitions provided a facility which would be more complete than the minimum "shell" structure originally approved by the Regents; that by the time the bids were taken it was expected that federal funds would be assured for completion of the facility for use and at present no assurance of
these federal funds had been received; therefore, Vice President Jolliffe recommended that the general contract for the structure be awarded and all other bids be rejected; that plans and specifications for the mechanical and electrical work be revised and new bids taken to provide the minimum essential services only.

Vice President Jolliffe reported further that the low bidder for the general construction, Garmer Construction Co., Des Moines, Iowa, had requested withdrawal of their bid because of an error; that it was his recommendation that the award be made to the second low bidder, Burger Construction Co., Iowa City, Iowa.

Mr. Perrin moved that the general construction contract for Project D, Oakdale Research Facility be awarded to the second low bidder, Burger Construction Co., Iowa City, Iowa, on the basis of that firm's low base bid of $48,650 plus Alternate $2 for an additional $1,325, total $49,975; that all other bids be rejected; that the recommendations as outlined above by Vice President Jolliffe be followed; and that the Executive Secretary be authorized to sign the contract with Burger.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace and passed.

Mr. Wallace moved that the bid check of $3,000 be returned to the low bidder, Garmer Construction Co., Des Moines, Iowa.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Richards and, on roll call, the vote was as follows:

Aye: Loss, Louden, Perrin, Quarton, Richards, Wallace, Redeker.

Nay: Rosenfield, Wolf.

Absent: None.

The chairman declared the motion passed.
INSTITUTIONAL ROADS - CONTRACTS. On motion by Mr. Perrin, seconded by Mr. Louden and passed, concurrence was given to the award of contracts by the Iowa State Highway Commission for the Woolf Avenue Lighting project and the Hawkeye III paving project.

NURSING BUILDING - SELECTION OF ARCHITECT. Upon the recommendation of President Bowen, approval was granted to select an architect for the Nursing Building.
The following business relating to the University of Northern Iowa was transacted on December 14, 1967:

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the register of personnel changes at the University of Northern Iowa for the month of November, 1967, were approved and ratified.

1968 SUMMER SESSION. Upon the recommendation of President Maucker, the following actions were taken:

TIAA Contributions. Mr. Louden moved that approval be given to extend the annuity program to cover summer session salaries of faculty employed for one-half time or more during the summer. The motion was seconded by Mr. Loss and passed.

Budget. On motion by Mr. Louden, seconded by Mr. Wallace and passed, the 1968 summer session budget as presented by President Maucker prior to the Board meeting was approved. (The budget, prepared by Dr. Howard Knutson and approved by Dean William C. Lang, totals $656,500, which is $26,500 more than the sum of $630,000 contemplated for the 1968 summer session when the 1967-68 budget was approved by the Regents. The increase results from the extension of annuity programs to cover summer session salaries as shown above.)

LONG RANGE CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT - PROGRESS REPORT. A detailed booklet entitled "Comprehensive Campus Plan, Phase I" prepared by Caudill, Rowlett and Scott, Campus Planners, Houston-New York, was presented. The booklet contained
four concepts for campus development at the University of Northern Iowa -
Concept 1, Compact Campus; Concept 2, Dual Campus; Concept 3, Radial Campus;
Concept 4, Linear Campus. It was pointed out that all four concepts are
subject to change.

President Maucker stated that the Campus Planning Committee would
have a recommendation for him to present to the Board for approval in
January or February regarding their selection of one of the four concepts
suggested by Caudill, Rowlett, and Scott, or possibly a fifth one representing
a synthesis of several of the four concepts.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, 62nd G.A. - HEATING PLANT ADDITION AND BOILER.
Business Manager Jennings requested that the recommendation that Gibbs, Hill,
Durham and Richardson, Inc. of Omaha, Nebraska be employed to do the
engineering work for the above named project be postponed and proposed to
substitute the use of Gibbs, Hill for a study, at a cost not to exceed
$4500 - payment from Repairs, Replacements and Alterations funds - on the
feasibility of adding steam generation capacity at a remote location rather
than expanding the present steam plant. It is planned to switch to gas
and oil standby instead of coal standby.

Mr. Wolf moved that the above recommendation by Business Manager Jennings
be approved, and the Executive Secretary authorized to sign the contract with
Gibbs, Hill, Durham and Richardson, Inc.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace and passed.
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CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, 62ND G.A. - SPECIAL REPAIRS, REPLACEMENTS AND ALTERATIONS-
SABIN HALL RENOVATION. On motion by Mr. Louden, seconded by Mr. Perrin and
passed, approval was given to the project, the following project description
and budget; and authorization granted to negotiate for architectural services
on the above named project:

Project Budget:

Source of Funds:
62nd G.A. - Special RR&A

Estimated Expenditures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction contracts</td>
<td>$355,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect's fee</td>
<td>$24,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant work</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>$35,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project</td>
<td>$424,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project Description:

Sabin Hall was originally built in 1912 as a vocational or laboratory
school. It was partially remodeled in 1954 to serve as a classroom building.
The proposed renovation at this time will provide for installation of an
elevator in the building and for a new heating, ventilation and air condition­
ing system. The enclosure of an existing central light court will provide
the space needed for the elevator, vertical ductwork, fan rooms and for some
additional academic space. Existing corridor ceilings will be lowered to allow
space above to be used for horizontal air movement. Classrooms will be updated
by the addition of acoustic ceilings and all interior spaces will be painted.
Minor remodeling in specific areas will provide for additional office and
classroom space. New electrical transformers, panels and feeders will bring
the electrical system up to acceptable standards and provide for the additional
loads anticipated. All exterior trim will be painted.

Cost Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Mechanical heating and cooling system</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(See attached discussion of system)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Hook up to Central Control Panel</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Corridor ceilings and lighting</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rework main electrical distribution system</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Enclose light court (4 levels)</td>
<td>$47,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Elevator for 4 levels</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Develop two office areas from classrooms</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Remodel classroom where Heat Shop is located</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Interior painting complete</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Exterior painting</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Acoustical ceiling tile in classrooms</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$355,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PURCHASE OF PROPERTY - 2218 CAMPUS STREET. The following resolution was submitted:

Whereas, the following described property located at 2218 Campus Street, Cedar Falls, Iowa, owned by Katherine Buxbaum and Marna Peterson is needed for the use and benefit of the University of Northern Iowa:

Legal description - North 119.67 feet of lot 1/18 Campus Addition

Whereas, the property has been appraised by two appraisers as having fair market value of $16,500 and $15,750, and can be purchased for $16,125; now therefore,

Be It Resolved that, subject to approval by the Executive Council of Iowa under the provisions of Section 262.9(5), 1966 Code of Iowa, the property described in this resolution be purchased from Katherine Buxbaum and Marna Peterson at a price of $16,125, upon approval by the State Board of Regents and the Executive Council of Iowa, and payable on possession and delivery of a warranty deed and abstract of good merchantable title; payment to be made from funds in the Rental Properties account.

Mr. Perrin moved that the foregoing resolution be adopted. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wolf and, on roll call, the vote was as follows:


Nay: None.

Temporarily Absent: Rosenfield.

The chairman declared the resolution adopted.

STAFFING PROBLEMS. A report dated December 12, 1967, entitled "Staffing Problems at the University of Northern Iowa - Fluctuations in Supply and Demand During the Last 30 Years" prepared by William C. Lang, Dean of Instruction, was presented as requested by the Board at a prior meeting.
ORGANIZED THEFT AND SALE OF EXAMINATIONS. President Maucker reported that eight students have been suspended and one put on probation, all on the basis of admissions of guilt, in connection with the theft and sale of examinations on the campus; that leads on others are being investigated; and that the County Attorney may initiate charges against a number of the students.

President Maucker expressed concern about the long-range implications for the University security system and the instructional program, adding that the Faculty Senate has the matter under consideration and plans to hold hearings on ways to improve security and the examination process, and that he will report any further significant developments to the Board.

BOARD AND ROOM RATES. On motion by Mr. Quarton, seconded by Mr. Wallace and passed, the following board and room rates were approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-weeks summer session, 1968</td>
<td>$182</td>
<td>$188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School year, 1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All halls except Campbell Hall</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell Hall</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

President Maucker stated that this was the second of three anticipated increases.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Mr. Dancer reported that the register of capital improvement business transactions, University of Northern Iowa, for the month of November, 1967, had been filed with him and that it appeared to be in order; and President Redeker stated that if there were no objections it would be approved and the actions ratified.

There were no objections.
PAYMENT - CHAPMAN AND CUTLER. On motion by Mr. Perrin, seconded by Mr. Quarton and passed, approval was given to pay a fee of $1,001.65 to Chapman and Cutler, Bond Counsel, Chicago, Illinois, for services in connection with the Student Union I Bond issue of $835,000 (a part of the total $1,335,000 issue).

Mrs. Rosenfield suggested that Mr. Richey secure a fee schedule from Chapman and Cutler and if such a schedule is available, it will not be necessary in future to bring this type item to the Board for approval.
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The following business relating to the Iowa State University was transacted on December 14, 1967:

FIELDHOUSE-AUDITORIUM (COLISEUM) - PROGRESS REPORT. B. H. Platt, Planning Coordinator, Iowa State University, reported that at a meeting of the firms of Crites & McConnell, Architects, Cedar Rapids, and Brooks-Borg & Skiles, Architects, Des Moines, the Executive Committee of the Board of Governors of the Iowa State University Foundation was presented with several alternative designs for the Fieldhouse-Auditorium (Coliseum) and from these alternatives, the Executive Committee selected a general idea design and asked the architects to expand their selection for presentation to the Board of Governors; and that the Board of Governors had authorized:

1. All payment of fees to architects to date on the project to be credited against fees due them in future.

2. Arrangements to be made to have the preliminary plans reviewed by an independent consultant as to the feasibility, realistic cost and determination that the complexities in the initial design have been eliminated to more conventional standards.

3. Designation of Mr. Borg of Brooks-Borg & Skiles as Managing Architect for the two firms.

4. Designation of Mr. Platt as liaison between the architects and the Iowa State University Foundation.

Mr. Platt stated that the preliminary cost estimates for the project had been quoted at approximately $7,850,000, broken down as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>$5,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7,850,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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On December 11, 1967, Mr. Platt met with the Executive Committee who at that time gave their approval of the general concept preliminary plans and selected John Ringland, Engineer, of Des Moines to serve as consultant referred to in item 2 previous page.

A sketch of the proposed redesigned Fieldhouse-Auditorium building was presented by Mr. Platt, Mr. McConnell and Mr. Borg.

Final presentation of the preliminary plans and cost estimates will be presented for Board approval at the January meeting.

December 15, 1967

The following business relating to the Iowa State University was transacted on December 15, 1967:

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the register of personnel changes at the Iowa State University for the month of November, 1967, were approved and ratified.

CHANGE IN APPOINTMENT. Upon the recommendation of President Parks, the following change in appointment was approved:

Leonard Z. Eggleton, professor, Department of Poultry Science, Cooperative Extension Service in Agriculture and Home Economics, to professor and acting head, Department of Poultry Science, College of Agriculture. Salary as shown in 1967-1968 budget, twelve months' basis, effective for the period December 1, 1967, through March 31, 1968. Mr. Eggleton will fill the vacancy resulting from the resignation of Dr. Richard H. Forsythe and will revert to his former position on April 1, 1968.

APPOINTMENT. Upon the recommendation of President Parks, the following appointment was approved:

John T. Majors, assistant professor, Department of Physical Education for Men, College of Sciences and Humanities, and head football coach. Salary $21,000, twelve months' basis plus annuity. The appointment as head football coach is for a five-year period, effective December 8, 1967 through December 7, 1972.
MID-AMERICA PIPELINE COMPANY - REQUEST FOR EASEMENT. Vice President Moore stated that he did not propose that any Board action be taken at this meeting in regard to Mid-America's request for an easement.

Mr. Richey commented that since the application to the Iowa State Commerce Commission by Mid-America is pending, it would be appropriate to defer any action.

FIELDHOUSE-AUDITORIUM (COLISEUM) - SITE UTILITIES. Plans for this project were prepared and bids received in September, 1967. Due to the inability to award contracts for the Fieldhouse-Auditorium, the bids on this phase of the work were also rejected pending revision of the building plans, which plans have now been revised to the extent that the location of site utilities can be established and the utility plans revised.

On motion by Mr. Louden, seconded by Mr. Quarton and passed, the following project, project description and preliminary budget for Fieldhouse-Auditorium - Site Utilities were approved, and authorization granted to advertise and receive bids:

Project Description

The work consists of the installation of outside utilities separate from the mechanical contract for the Fieldhouse-Auditorium building. These utilities include the extension of the water main system, fire hydrants, sanitary sewer, and a sewage lift station. The plans also provide for a storm sewer line to accommodate roof and surface drainage from the Theatre building.

(Provision for these outside utilities was made in the project budget for the Theatre-Auditorium dated October 1, 1966, under the heading of "Site Work Including Fees." Similar work for the Theatre-Auditorium building, with the exception of the storm sewer, was done under a previous contract.)
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**Estimated Expenditures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Mains and Hydrants</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Sewers</td>
<td>38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Lift Station</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and Contingencies</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$110,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source of Funds**

Iowa State University Foundation (Theatre-Auditorium Project Budget)

**STATE GYMNASIUM - RENOVATION AND REHABILITATION - AMENDMENT TO ARCHITECT'S CONTRACT.** On motion by Mr. Loss, seconded by Mr. Louden and passed, approval was given to an amendment to the contract with Porter-Brierly Associates, Des Moines, Iowa, in connection with the State Gymnasium - Renovation and Rehabilitation project, increasing the maximum fee payable under the contract from $6500 to $8500 due to the necessity of additional services not originally contemplated; and Mr. Richey authorized to sign the supplemental agreement containing the above terms. (All other provisions of the original contract dated November 3, 1966, shall remain in full force and effect.)

**ADDITION TO EAST HALL WITH PARTIAL EQUIPMENT (61st G.A.).** Mr. Woodburn, Mr. O'Neil and Mr. Peterson of the firm Woodburn & O'Neil, Architects and Engineers, Des Moines, Iowa, appeared before the Board to present the preliminary plans and estimated project budget for the above named project.

On motion by Mr. Wallace, seconded by Mr. Louden and passed, the preliminary plans and the following project budget were approved:
Estimated Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surveys and Testing</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Fees</td>
<td>$64,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Inspection of Construction</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$1,373,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built-in Equipment</td>
<td>$18,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movable Equipment</td>
<td>$133,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Improvements and Utility Connections</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Contingency Allowance</td>
<td>$71,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT BUDGET</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,758,831</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds

Capital Appropriation

- 61st G. A.                                          | $900,000 |
- 62nd G. A.                                          | $100,000 |

Higher Education Facilities Act

- Title I (approved)                                  | $156,787 |
- Title II (pending)                                  | $429,446 |

Overhead Reimbursement for Use of Capital facilities | $172,598 |

**TOTAL**                                              | **$1,758,831** |

MODIFICATION OF CAMPUS VEHICULAR SPEED LIMIT. On motion by Mr. Perrin, seconded by Mr. Loss and passed, approval was given to the following resolution previously approved by the Administrative Board, Iowa State University, on December 5, 1967:

WHEREAS the University Traffic Committee in its continuing program of developing and maintaining effective traffic control on the Iowa State University campus has, during its meeting of November 8, 1967, reviewed the speed limits now in force on the campus, and

WHEREAS the review indicates that the general speed limit of 20 miles per hour is not realistic and tends to develop a general disregard for speed limits and that a general speed limit of 25 miles per hour is considered safe and appropriate if strictly enforced,

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the general campus speed limits be raised from 20 miles per hour to 25 miles per hour and that this speed limit be strictly enforced; that higher speed limits now in effect on certain roads...
(35 miles per hour on Sixth Street and 45 miles per hour on Thirteenth Street) be retained and strictly enforced; and that the recommended change in speed limits applies to campus roads on the academic campus only, bounded by Sheldon Avenue on the west, Chicago and Northwestern Railway tracks on the north, Wallace Road on the east and Lincoln Way on the south, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the effective date of the revision in speed limits will be as determined by the University Traffic Committee, but in any case will be no later than March 1, 1968.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Mr. Dancer reported that the register of capital improvement business transactions, Iowa State University, for the period November 4, 1967 through December 8, 1967, had been filed with him and that it appeared to be in order. President Redeker stated that if there were no objections the actions reported in the register of capital improvement business transactions for the period November 4, 1967 through December 8, 1967, would be approved and ratified, including the recommended rejection of the one bid in the amount of $18,420 received on Utilities - Extension to Storm Sewer System (61st G. A. - Utilities Replacement and Extension) - Women's Playfield Storm Sewer. There were no objections.

INSTITUTIONAL ROADS - CONTRACT. On motion by Mr. Wallace, seconded by Mr. Perrin, concurrence was given in the award of the contract by the Iowa State Highway Commission for resurfacing 6th Street.

ADJOURNMENT. President Redeker adjourned the meeting at 2:00 p.m., December 15, 1967.

R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary