

The State Board of Regents met at Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa,  
on Thursday, December 10, 1970. Those present were:

Members of the State Board of Regents

Mr. Redeker, President	All Sessions
Mr. Bailey	All Sessions
Mr. Loss	All Sessions
Mr. Louden	Absent
Mr. Perrin	All Sessions
Mrs. Petersen	In at 9:55 a.m.
Mr. Quarton	All Sessions
Mr. Shaw	All Sessions
Mr. Wallace	All Sessions

Office of the State Board of Regents

Executive Secretary Richey	All Sessions
Mr. Porter	In at 9:50 a.m.
Mr. McMurray	In at 9:50 a.m.

State University of Iowa

President Boyd	All Sessions
Provost Heffner	All Sessions
Vice President Jolliffe	All Sessions
Vice President Hardin	All Sessions
Director Hawkins	All Sessions
Director Strayer	All Sessions

Iowa State University

President Parks	All Sessions
Vice President Moore	All Sessions
Vice President Christensen	All Sessions
Vice President Hamilton	All Sessions
Mr. Walsh	All Sessions

University of Northern Iowa

President Kamerick	All Sessions
Business Manager Jennings	All Sessions
Executive Vice President Pendergraft	All Sessions
Vice President Reninger	All Sessions
Director Holmes	All Sessions

Iowa School for the Deaf

Excused

Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School

Excused

The State Board of Regents met at Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa,  
on Friday, December 11, 1970. Those present were:

Members of the State Board of Regents

Mr. Redeker, President	All Sessions
Mr. Bailey	All Sessions
Mr. Loss	All Sessions
Mr. Louden	Absent
Mr. Perrin	All Sessions
Mrs. Petersen	All Sessions
Mr. Quarton	All Sessions
Mr. Shaw	All Sessions
Mr. Wallace	All Sessions

Office of the State Board of Regents

Executive Secretary Richey	All Sessions
Mr. Porter	All Sessions
Mr. McMurray	All Sessions

State University of Iowa

President Boyd	Excused at 11:35 a.m.
Provost Heffner	Excused at 11:35 a.m.
Vice President Jolliffe	Excused at 11:35 a.m.
Vice President Hardin	Excused at 11:35 a.m.
Director Hawkins	Excused at 11:35 a.m.
Director Strayer	Excused at 11:35 a.m.

Iowa State University

President Parks	All Sessions
Vice President Moore	All Sessions
Vice President Christensen	All Sessions
Vice President Hamilton	All Sessions
Mr. Walsh	All Sessions

University of Northern Iowa

President Kamerick	Excused at 11:00 a.m.
Business Manager Jennings	Excused at 11:35 a.m.
Executive Vice President Pendergraft	Excused at 11:35 a.m.
Vice President Reninger	Excused at 11:00 a.m.
Director Holmes	Excused at 11:00 a.m.

Iowa School for the Deaf

Excused

Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School

Excused

## GENERAL

The following business pertaining to general or miscellaneous items was transacted on Thursday, December 10, 1970.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES** There was one correction noted by the Board office for page 204 of the Minutes of the November 12-13, 1970 meeting, under the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for UNI. The amount of the contract with Denman Phillips, Waterloo, Iowa, should have been \$48,419.23 instead of \$47,674.83. The minutes were approved as corrected.

**COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL COORDINATION.** Provost Heffner gave a progress report of the Committee on Educational Coordination. As instructed by the Board at the November meeting, the Committee had referred to the Coordinating Council the curriculum proposals from the University of Northern Iowa and received communication from the Council approving such curriculum changes, without any objection. The recommendations of the Committee on Educational Coordination itself, however, were not ready, as all the information and comments sought by the Committee were not yet complete. It was expected a recommendation from the Council would be ready on this particular subject for the January meeting. Upon question, it was noted there was no delay in the activity of the Committee on Education Coordination due to the Board's action at the last meeting wherein they requested that the Committee automatically submit matters of this type for recommendation and comment to the Co-ordinating Council.

**IOWA COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR POST HIGH-SCHOOL EDUCATION.** Mr. Perrin reported to the Board on the Coordinating Council Meeting of December 3, 1970, at which the major topic under consideration was the question of state support to a medical unit in Des Moines, primarily hinging on the College of Osteopathic Medicine

GENERAL  
December 10-11, 1970

and Surgery. Present at the meeting were Mr. Schwartzman, a trustee of the above College, and Luther Hill of the Health Planning Council. Of main concern was the fact that there would be available to this osteopathic medical unit an \$8-1/2 million federal grant, if this amount could be matched, for building and improvement; but there was very little chance of the College matching these funds, except through state appropriation. In view of this and reasoning that there is a crisis in medical manpower in the U.S. today and there is a need in Iowa to increase the physician ratio (currently, 100 physicians to 100,000 population as compared to the national ratio of 144 physicians to 100,000 population), pointing out a goal set for 1980 by the Association of American Medical Colleges of a physician ratio of 175 per 100,000 population, the Health Planning Council had made the following recommendation to the Coordinating Council:

Necessary legislation should be enacted to make the College of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery a public institution, provided the trustees of the College agree to assign and transfer all the present assets of the College to the public institution. The public, either through the Board of Regents or some other device, should be the ultimate governing body. Legislation should provide for construction money for use in matching the federal grant now available to the College so that a new physical plant can be built to house a second medical school in Iowa; the legislation also should provide for continuing state support for the operations of the college; the state money to be provided for the College should be in addition to the amount usually apportioned for the support of other tax-supported institutions of higher education the enabling legislation should grant the College authority to confer appropriate degrees and grant diplomas for which accreditation has been received from appropriate national accreditation authorities.

Currently, the College's assets are in the neighborhood of \$800,000 including land, buildings, equipment. Also considered assets are the College faculty and student body. Present enrollment is 387 students of whom 90% are non-Iowans.

GENERAL  
December 10-11, 1970

After considerable discussion the Council concluded that it could not formally endorse the recommendation of the Health Planning Council.

The Council also discussed and commented favorably on proposed additions to the UNI curriculum in Journalism and Special Education Administration.

Council members commented that at this meeting the Council's time was spent performing rather than discussing its role.

TEN YEAR ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS. The Board reviewed the 10-year enrollment projections prepared by the Universities. These showed in general a slowing in the rate of growth with only a 10% increase predicted for the decade. Little, if any, increase was projected in the lower division with growth occurring primarily in the upper division, graduate and professional levels. Comment was made that this situation may result from the impact of the area schools and of aid to private colleges.

FRINGE BENEFITS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the Inter-Agency Committee of Department Heads had not yet made recommendations regarding fringe benefits. It was suggested that the Board await such recommendations before taking any action of its own.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH FACULTY, STUDENTS, STAFF. Prior to the meeting, the Board office had distributed the following "Statement on Communications with Students, Faculty and Staff with Board of Regents". This was the

sense of the discussion at the November Board meeting and was to be used as a basis from which to take a position.

STATEMENT ON COMMUNICATIONS WITH STUDENTS,  
FACULTY AND STAFF WITH BOARD OF REGENTS

1. The presidents of each university are authorized and encouraged to utilize faculty, students, and staff members as institutional resource persons at each meeting when, in their judgment, it is desirable.

COMMENT: This practice allows the president of the University to ask that representatives from appropriate campus constituencies be recognized by the Board during discussions of matters in which he thinks they have views and information that would be helpful to the Board in its deliberation. The most recent example of this procedure occurred during discussions in November on dormitory policies for the University of Iowa.

2. Students, faculty and staff may request opportunity to appear before the Board to discuss matters of interest and may request that an item be included on the docket. Such requests should be made in writing to the president of the Board and transmitted through the president of the university concerned. The request should include a statement as to the reason why a personal appearance is desired or why an item should be included on the docket. The president of the university shall forward such requests promptly to the Board office with any comments he may have. The president of the Board of Regents is empowered to permit such person or persons to appear or an item to be docketed if, in his judgment, it is appropriate or unless there are unusual circumstances.

The president of the Board of Regents shall report to the full Board all requests made to him for permission to appear or to include an item on the docket, whether or not the request was granted.

The procedure set forth in this section does not replace, alter or supersede the procedures for formal appeals to the Board by students or employees who feel aggrieved by any final adjudicatory order or rule making decision as set forth in Section 4.15 of the Procedural Guide or in other rules and regulations of the Board.

COMMENT: This procedure would allow any faculty, student or staff member to petition the Board through the president of the university for a hearing. The petition could cover a subject not on the Board agenda and would not be subject to approval of the president of the university. It should include a full explanation of the subject to be discussed. The president of the Board of Regents would be authorized to grant the request, which would eliminate some of the delay inherent in Board procedures which require the full Board to act on the matter.

A statement as to the exhaustion of local campus remedies, including

specific persons or groups approached and their disposition of the matter, should also be included in the request. Students, faculty and staff should exercise care to insure that subject on which they request a hearing has first been discussed with the university administration. The Board feels that matters should be settled, to the extent possible, at the university level. It will not allow the president of the university to be by-passed since he is held responsible for administration of the university.

Consistent with orderly processes and the need to complete its business, the Board will make every effort to hear the views of persons making such requests as soon as feasible.

3. Board members will plan to meet informally throughout the year with students, faculty and staff to discuss problems of mutual interest. Members of these groups are encouraged to contact Board members and to develop plans for informal meetings.

4. The presidents of the universities should continue to forward without editing, change or delay all communications or reports to the Board from faculty, students and staff members.

COMMENT: The Board is confident that the presidents have followed this policy in the past and will continue to do so. This specific expression of Board policy, however, is expected to reassure students, faculty and staff that such policy will continue.

5. Faculty, students and staff are welcome to attend Board meetings. All sessions of the Board are public except for those relating to personnel or property matters authorized by law to be considered in executive session. The Regents have no objection to the appointment of representatives by the various university constituencies to attend meetings of the Board as observers.

6. The Board will attempt to give advance notice on any issues specifically scheduled for consideration by the Board at a later date so that students, faculty and staff will have opportunity to seek to be heard if they so desire.

COMMENT: The Board of Regents occasionally schedules various matters for discussion or consideration at a later date. Such was the case with the dormitory matter at the University of Iowa which was scheduled last May to be discussed in November. This is also the case with budgetary matters which are usually scheduled for June or July of each year. When such schedules are known in advance, the Board could note it in the "Next Meetings" section of the General Docket.

7. The agenda for each meeting of the Board of Regents will be available for distribution on Tuesday preceding each meeting at the Board office and the information offices at each university.

GENERAL  
December 10-11, 1970

COMMENT: This policy was adopted by the Board of Regents at its October, 1970, meeting.

8. The presidents of the universities are urged to report to the Board the views of faculty, staff and students, where pertinent and to the extent known, on any matter before the Board for consideration.

The Board of Regents is aware that various people have suggested that representatives of students and other university constituencies be included on the Board of Regents either in an advisory capacity or as non-voting members. The effect of these proposals is not fully appreciated by many people in terms of their alteration of the basic philosophy of governance of higher education in Iowa. They are contrary to the original concepts that the lay Board of Regents represents the entire public of Iowa and that the administration of the institutions is by and through the presidents appointed by the Board of Regents. These proposals were considered by the Regents Ad Hoc Committee and were not recommended. The Board affirms its belief that effective communication and consultation can be established without altering the basic structure of lay governance of public higher education in Iowa.

It is the Board's expectation that the policies set forth above will be administered to the end that the Board understands the viewpoints of faculty, students and staff and that these groups understand the viewpoints of the Board.

Inasmuch as this was a continuation of the discussion held in November, there were again present at this meeting several student representatives: Bo Beller, Michael Charles Vance, and David Helland, from SUI; Tim Lane, Keith Stamp and Bob Beamer, from UNI; Jerry Schnoor from ISU. Several comments from the student groups and faculty, in response to the above Board office resume, were distributed at this meeting. There followed a general discussion.

One of the strong points stressed by the students was Board recognition of legitimate student and faculty bodies. In Point 1, above, the suggestion was made and well taken to qualify the faculty, students, and staff members as "appropriate faculty, students, and staff members as institutional resource persons at each meeting..."

With reference to Point 2, above, it was agreed the first sentence of the second paragraph, under "COMMENT", should be included as part of the point

GENERAL  
December 10-11, 1970

itself. At the same time the assumption was made that all the comments were part of the points under which they were made.

Because of the late arrival of the docket at the Universities, observation was there was not always sufficient time to review it and then request and receive permission for Board appearance to comment on a docket item. It was proposed and agreed to that if at all possible, the agenda be sent out one or two days earlier than is the present practice, so that it could reach the campuses before the week-end, permitting more time for comment preparation before the Board meeting. The Universities have their own policies about making the complete docket available to students and faculty. The institutions and Board office were requested to make every effort to get all material possible ready in time to meet this earlier agenda release time. It will not always be possible to include all items in this early release because there are often items which come up at the "last minute" since the agenda is merely a listing of proposed items for Board discussion.

Another proposal, in the interest of making available advance information to students and faculty of items on the agenda, was that the Board consider including on the general meeting agenda for each meeting an announcement item covering items scheduled for discussion at future meetings.

Because of the short span of time between availability of the docket and the Board meeting, comment was made that it may be difficult to adhere to Point 2 of the above Statement. It was suggested and agreed to that, if such were the case and if a student or faculty representative has any particular input or comment to make on a specific docket item during that meeting, he could attend the Board meeting and, before the meeting, make known his desire to speak on a specific item to the institution president and then to the Board Chairman. If the schedule would permit, the Board Chairman could grant

GENERAL  
December 10-11, 1970

him permission to speak at that meeting on a particular item.

In this same light, to permit the student and faculty representatives every time advantage to prepare their material, suggestion was made that, on rare occasions, when it is absolutely impossible to go through the approved channels to appear before the Board, a student or faculty representative be permitted, during the Board meeting, to stand and, when recognized by the Chairman, state his request for an opportunity to comment, at the moment, on the particular docket item under deliberation; the Chairman could grant this permission at his discretion and control the amount of time allotted. All agreed to this. It was understood, however, that this particular procedure for appearances before the Board would apply only to speaking on items already on the docket. The method for putting items on the docket would remain unchanged and should follow the procedure set forth as Point #2 on the Statement.

There was the observation that since the main obligation of the faculty is to instruct, and the main duty of the student is to study and learn, becoming involved in every decision of the Board could consume too much of their teaching and learning time, and this should not be encouraged.

Although they may plan to be in attendance at Board meetings, as schedules permit, it was understood that student or faculty representatives would not request permission to speak unless they have a definite input or contribution to make on a particular item. One thing the Board wished to avoid was any possibility of more items being brought before them for discussion which could and should be settled at the local level.

There was general agreement that the Board put into practice the following revised points of "Communications with Students, Faculty and Staff with Board of Regents". This will be an informal position; the Board will

not be closed to revising the system where it is found it can be improved. Later, when and if it has been found to be the most workable way, it may be the Board's decision to adopt the Statement as part of the Procedural Guide.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH STUDENTS, FACULTY AND STAFF  
WITH BOARD OF REGENTS

1- The presidents of each university are authorized and encouraged to utilize appropriate faculty, students, and staff representatives as institutional resource persons at each meeting when, in their judgment, it is desirable.

COMMENT: This practice allows the president of the University to ask that representatives from appropriate campus constituencies be recognized by the Board during discussions of matters in which he thinks they have views and information that would be helpful to the Board in its deliberation. The most recent example of this procedure occurred during discussions in November on dormitory policies for the University of Iowa.

2- Students, faculty and staff may request opportunity to appear before the Board to discuss matters of interest and may request that an item be included on the docket. Such requests should be made in writing to the president of the Board with a copy to the president of the university concerned. The request should include a statement as to the reason why a personal appearance is desired or why an item should be included on the docket. A statement as to the exhaustion of local campus remedies, including specific persons or groups approached and their disposition of the matter, should also be included in the request. The president of the Board of Regents is empowered to permit such person or persons to appear or an item to be docketed if, in his judgment, it is appropriate or unless there are unusual circumstances.

The president of the Board of Regents shall report to the full Board all requests made to him for permission to appear or to include an item on the docket, whether or not the request was granted.

The procedure set forth in this section does not replace, alter or supersede the procedures for formal appeals to the Board by students or employees who feel aggrieved by any final adjudicatory order or rule making decision as set forth in Section 4.15 of the Procedural Guide or in other rules and regulations of the Board.

COMMENT: This procedure would allow any faculty, student or staff member to petition the Board for a hearing. A copy of the correspondence would be sent to the president of the university for his information. The petition could cover a subject not on the Board agenda and would not be subject to approval of the president of the university. It should include a full explanation of the subject to be discussed. The president of the

December 10-11, 1970

Board of Regents would be authorized to grant the request, which would eliminate some of the delay inherent in Board procedures which require the full Board to act on the matter.

Students, faculty and staff should exercise care to insure that subject on which they request a hearing has first been discussed with the university administration. The Board feels that matters should be settled, to the extent possible, at the university level. It will not allow the president of the university to be by-passed since he is held responsible for administration of the university.

Consistent with orderly processes and the need to complete its business, the Board will make every effort to hear the views of persons making such requests as soon as feasible.

- 3- Representatives of appropriate campus constituencies may seek permission to comment on a given item on the agenda during a Board meeting by oral request to the president of the university and upon authorization of the president of the Board of Regents.

COMMENT: This procedure would be available when time does not permit previous notice. It would be limited to items already on the docket.

- 4- The president may, on rare occasions, recognize an individual seeking, without advance written or oral notice, to comment during deliberations on a docketed item.

COMMENT: This type of request is expected to occur rarely and recognition would not be granted as a normal procedure.

- 5- Board members will plan to meet informally throughout the year with students, faculty and staff to discuss problems of mutual interest. Members of these groups are encouraged to contact Board members and to develop plans for informal meetings.

- 6- The presidents of the universities should continue to forward without editing, change or delay all communications or reports to the Board from faculty, students and staff members.

COMMENT: The Board is confident that the presidents have followed this policy in the past and will continue to do so. This specific expression of Board policy, however, is expected to reassure students, faculty and staff that such policy will continue.

- 7- Faculty, students and staff are welcome to attend Board meetings. All sessions of the Board are public except for those relating to personnel or property matters authorized by law to be considered in executive session. The Regents have no objection to the appointment of representatives by the various university constituencies to attend meetings of the Board as observers.

8- The Board will attempt to give advance notice on any issues to be considered by the Board at a later date so that students, faculty and staff will have the opportunity to seek to be heard if they so desire.

COMMENT: The Board office and universities will attempt to develop tentative dockets for general information approximately one month in advance of each meeting. In addition, the Board of Regents occasionally schedules matters for discussion or consideration for a specific date. Such was the case with the dormitory matter at the University of Iowa which was scheduled last May to be discussed in November. This is also the case with budgetary matters which are usually scheduled for June or July of each year. When such schedules are known in advance, the Board could note it in the "Next Meetings" section of the General Docket.

9- The agenda or docket for each meeting of the Board of Regents will be available for distribution on Tuesday preceding each meeting at the Board office and the information offices at each university. The Board office and institutions are instructed to attempt to have the dockets ready for distribution by Friday so that interested groups may have earlier notice.

COMMENT: The Board adopted in October the policy of making the agenda available on Tuesday preceding each meeting. In December the Board instructed the Board office and universities to attempt to have it ready for distribution by Friday preceding each meeting so that constituent groups would have earlier notice and additional time for consideration of the matters docketed.

10- The presidents of the universities are urged to report to the Board the views of faculty, staff and students, where pertinent and to the extent known, on any matter before the Board for consideration.

The Board of Regents is aware that various people have suggested that representatives of students and other university constituencies be included on the Board of Regents either in an advisory capacity or as non-voting members. The effect of these proposals is not fully appreciated by many people in terms of their alteration of the basic philosophy of governance of higher education in Iowa. They are contrary to the original concepts that the lay Board of Regents represents the entire public of Iowa and that the administration of the institutions is by and through the presidents appointed by the Board of Regents. These proposals were considered by the Regents Ad Hoc Committee and were not recommended. The Board affirms its belief that effective communication and consultation can be established without altering the basic structure of lay governance of public higher education in Iowa.

It is the Board's expectation that the policies set forth above will be administered to the end that the Board understands the viewpoints of faculty, students and staff and that these groups understand the viewpoints of the Board.

EXECUTIVE SESSION. President Redeker stated he had a request from President Boyd for an Executive Session to report on a personnel matter and that he, too, had a personnel matter on which he wished to report to the Board. On the question as to whether the Board should resolve itself into Executive Session, the roll call vote was as follows:

AYE: Bailey, Loss, Perrin, Petersen, Redeker  
Quarton, Shaw, Wallace.  
NAY: None.  
ABSENT: Louden.

The Board, having voted in the affirmative by at least a 2/3 majority, resolved itself into Executive Session at 3:50 p.m. and arose at 5:10 p.m.

The following business pertaining to general or miscellaneous items was transacted on Friday, December 11, 1970.

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING - REGENTS LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM. At the November 1970 meeting, Board members expressed a desire to have recommendations from the Ad Hoc Committee on Collective Bargaining covering desirable features which any collective bargaining legislation should contain. One reason prompting this request was the fact that the Iowa Association of School Boards had adopted a resolution on this subject, copy of which was distributed to all Board members, and it was felt the Board of Regents should make its position known. The Ad Hoc Committee on Collective Bargaining submitted for Board consideration the following recommendations (underlined) with the rationale supporting them:

1- The Board or commission established to certify bargaining units should be an adjudicatory rather than an initiatory body. It should not undertake to determine the appropriate size or composition of bargaining units until requested to do so. The bill passed by the Senate during the last session of the General Assembly (and to be re-introduced at the next session) directed that the Public Employment Relations Board established by the Act begin immediately to determine the appropriate bargaining units for all state employees without waiting for requests for such determination.

December 10-11, 1970

On the other hand, unit determination for all other public employees must be initiated upon request of the parties. There is at least the possibility that such requirement with respect to state employees would tend artificially to foster employee organization, especially among the faculty, through the medium of an unsought determination as to what constitutes an appropriate bargaining unit. A review of the statutes in other states reveals that in a large majority of cases, such boards are adjudicatory rather than initiatory.

2- While a proliferation of small bargaining units is undesirable, a small number of much larger units extending across the jurisdictional lines of several state agencies is equally undesirable. The Committee felt the previous bill gave undue emphasis to the creation of the largest possible bargaining units. This follows the New York state system under which all state employees are included in one of only six units. The effect of such legislation in Iowa would constitute a significant infringement of institutional autonomy.

3- Legislation should contain separate sections relating to the requirements and procedures for certification of bargaining units and for decertification of such units. The previous bill lumped these two procedures together in a single section, resulting in a number of ambiguities concerning the procedures to be employed in each. The Committee as well as others whom it consulted felt that the two procedures should be separated.

4- Legislation should clearly delineate those areas of organizational mission and management responsibility which are not subject to negotiation. Although the previous bill excluded the agency's "mission" from the scope of compulsory bargaining, it contained no definition of "mission" and appeared unduly narrow and restrictive, leading to the conclusion that bargaining would be required concerning what matters do or do not constitute the "mission" of the agency. It appears desirable to include within the provisions of any bill introduced a "management prerogatives" clause which would list those areas of management responsibility specifically exempt from compulsory negotiation.

5- Matters which are the legal responsibility of the State Merit Employment Commission should not be subject to compulsory negotiation. The previous bill introduced did not address itself adequately to the relationship between collective bargaining and the merit employment system. It merely provided that salaries of state employees "shall be allowed only to the extent that any agreed salary does not have the effect of re-classifying into a higher classification those employees to whom such agreed or negotiated salary applies." The Committee feels that unless this relationship is clarified in some detail, the process of collective bargaining may very well erode, if not destroy, the state merit system and consequently the Regent Merit System. The Committee recommends reserving to the Merit Employment Commission all of the duties specified for it in Chapter 95, Laws of the 62nd G.A.

6- In the public interest, collective bargaining legislation for state employees should contain a "no strike" provision. The previous bill which passed the Senate contained a "no strike" provision, but some employee groups are now making efforts to remove this provision. The Committee feels the Board should make its feelings known on this point.

December 10-11, 1970

7- The "open meetings" law (Chapter 98, Laws of the 62nd G.A.) should be amended to permit private executive sessions by governmental agencies for purposes of deliberation incident to matters being negotiated. It appears patently unfair that employee organizations may develop their negotiating positions privately while governmental agencies under current legislation must do so publicly. The Committee feels that this situation should be rectified if there is to be any real meaning to the concept of "negotiation".

The Committee has considered but has not reached a formal recommendation on the language of Senate File 1084 which provides that "collective bargaining on behalf of state employees covering any terms which require funding shall be conducted on a statewide basis with the governor or his designee representing the state". It was the intent of those who drafted the legislation that the Board of Regents would undoubtedly be the governor's designee for such negotiations involving Regent institutions. There is, however, no guarantee that such would be the case. As the language now stands, the Board of Regents could be included in centralized bargaining at the state level and thus lose control of institutional personnel and budget matters as well as flexibility in the matter of program emphasis and the allocation of resources. The Board office had asked the Committee to take up this matter at its next meeting, so that a specific recommendation to the Board may be made in January. It was the Board office feeling that the language must be changed to exempt the Board of Regents since both the Regent Merit System and its administrative control would be seriously affected if the present language is enacted into law.

A general discussion followed. Upon question, it was pointed out that the major difference between what is contained in the Iowa Association of School Boards' resolution and what is recommended above is that the School Boards favor separate bargaining for educators, whereas the above recommendation makes no specific exclusion of educators; it is all-inclusive, covering all state employees. One regent was reluctant to agree with recommendation #3, preferring a simple law to one with many procedures. However, there was general agreement to the recommendations. Considerable stress was placed on the fact that the Board was not interested in promoting collective bargaining. The consensus was that the Board should await introduction of specific legislation on collective bargaining and then make known its views, in a very broad way, relating to collective bargaining as it pertains to Regent employees. Everyone agreed that the point brought out in the last paragraph of the above recommendations, with reference to the Regents designation as bargaining agent

was important enough to be first in the list of recommendations.

MOTION: Motion was made by Mrs. Petersen that if collective bargaining legislation is introduced in the 64th General Assembly, the Board communicate the above 7 recommendations plus the point brought out in the last paragraph to the legislative committee studying the bill, emphasizing the fact that the Board is neither promoting nor opposing collective bargaining as such but making comments on the specific legislation. Motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace. On roll call, the vote was as follows:  
AYE: Bailey, Loss, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace.  
NAY: None.  
ABSENT: Louden.  
The motion carried.

Suggestion was made that, in spite of the above motion, the Ad Hoc Committee on Collective Bargaining continue to explore the situation and work on further refinements or changes that might be suitable and effective for Board recommendation.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM - GENERAL. President Redeker asked if the Board had anything further to discuss with regard to the legislative program. Question was raised as to what decision had been reached on whether or not the Universities are operating within the law by paying expenses for prospective faculty members who come for interviews. The Board office responded that counsel had been consulted regarding this, but the answer had not yet been received. In anticipation of queries from legislators, Regent Perrin requested written information on the Board office budget and appropriation request.

HOURLY FEE CHARGES - PART-TIME STUDENTS. Pursuant to a letter received from Mrs. Donald L. Titus, Waterloo, Iowa, under date of October 14, 1970, wherein she requested Board reevaluation of what was considered excessively

high hourly fee charges for students taking less than full-time class load, the Universities submitted detailed information covering hourly fees for students. This information is on file in the Board office. The institutions agreed that the hourly fee for a part-time student runs higher than for a full time student, but this was not necessarily a matter of accounting. The reasons are educational, based on hours of credit requirement per course. The rate is figured on half-time and quarter-time. Institutions feel their system of figuring rates is equitable at the present time. Board members felt that education should be made as accessible as possible as a continuing process and part-time students should not be discouraged. In view of the fact that the institutions are in agreement on the equitability of the present policy, no change was recommended.

NEXT MEETINGS:

January 14-15	Board Office	Des Moines
February 11-12	State University of Iowa	Iowa City
March 11-12	University of Northern Iowa	Cedar Falls
April 8-9	Iowa State University	Ames
May 13	Iowa Braille & Sight Saving School	Vinton
May 14	University of Northern Iowa	Cedar Falls
June ?	State University of Iowa	Iowa City

President Redeker announced that because the Governor's inauguration (to which all had been invited) was the afternoon of the first day of the January meeting - January 14 - there was the possibility it would be necessary to meet the Wednesday afternoon, before - January 13 - to take care of all the business. Board members were asked to reserve that Wednesday afternoon, should it be necessary to meet then.

BLACK CULTURAL CENTER. The Board received an invitation from the Iowa State Black Community of Ames to visit their Black Cultural Center which was funded

GENERAL  
December 10-11, 1970

by a separate corporation in no way connected with the University. This invitation was accepted and Board members visited the Center after adjournment on December 11, 1970.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS. The Board had for review the following fact sheets prepared by the Higher Education Facilities Commission: "Summary Statement on Full-Time Head Count Enrollment Survey of Iowa Private Colleges and Universities", "Fact Sheet on Iowa Tuition Grant Program", "Fact Sheet on State of Iowa Scholarship Program". Also reviewed was a "Comparison of Faculty Salary Averages, Regent Universities 1970-71", prepared by the Board office. It was observed that the tuition loan plan for medical students had low usage in comparison with usage shown for students of osteopathic medicine and surgery. Executive Secretary Richey reported this particular matter was under review by the Higher Education Facilities Commission, but no conclusions had been reached. Copies of all four reports are on file in the Board office.

A very short discussion ensued with regard to fact sheets that could be worked up for legislative information. Some of the subjects suggested were on the affirmative action in the area of health care, information dealing with supported medical education, faculty workload, communication between students and the Board, general concern over housing - reasons for difference in housing rates, controversial speakers. Suggestions were turned over to the Information Committee for further discussion and development.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

The following business pertaining to the State University of Iowa was transacted on Thursday, December 10, 1970.

EQUIPMENT FOR NEW ACADEMIC BUILDINGS & UTILITIES - BOILER NO. 9 - BONDS BIDS. President Redeker called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m., Central Standard Time, December 10, 1970, and the roll being called, there were present Stanley F. Redeker, President, in the chair, and the following Board members: Bailey, Loss, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Shaw, Wallace. Absent: Louden.

This being the time and place fixed by published notice, the Board took up for consideration the matter of bids for the purchase of \$7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970 of said Board.

President Redeker requested the filing of all sealed bids. He asked if there were any other sealed bids. He made a second, and then a third and final call for sealed bids. There were no others, and he announced the closing of the receipt of sealed bids.

The sealed bids for the purchase of the bonds were opened by Mr. R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary of the Board of Regents, and read by Mr. Paul D. Speer, President, Paul D. Speer and Associates, Inc., Municipal Finance Consultants.

Mr. Speer stated that the bids should be calculated prior to award. President Redeker directed Mr. Speer and Mr. Robert G. McMurray, Assistant to the Executive Secretary, to proceed with the calculation.

A complete list of the bids by managers is as follows:

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Net Interest Rate</u>
Blyth & Co., Inc. and Bear, Stearns & Co. & Associates	5.263011
Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., The First Boston Corporation, F. S. Smithers and Co. and Associates	5.29686
Continental Illinois National Bank & Trust Co. of Chicago, First National Bank of Chicago, Harris Trust & Savings Bank, The Northern Trust Company & Associates	5.37033

White, Weld & Co. & Associates in Association with Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. and The Chase Manhattan Bank and Associates	5,46472
Lehman Brothers & Phelps, Fenn & Co. & Associates	5.50935
John Nuveen & Co. (Inc.), Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. of New York & Associates	5.5457

Mr. Speer reported that the bid of Blyth and Co., Inc. and Bear, Stearns & Co. & Associates at a net interest rate of 5.263011 was the best bid and in the interest of the Board and he recommended that it be accepted.

RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE SALE AND AWARD OF \$7,920,000 ACADEMIC BUILDING REVENUE BONDS, SERIES S.U.I. 1970. After all sealed bids had been opened, the results thereof were incorporated in a resolution entitled "Resolution Providing for the Sale and Award of \$7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970, and Approving and Authorizing the Agreement of Such Sale and Award," which was introduced and caused to be read.

MOTION: Member Shaw moved that said resolution be adopted, seconded by Member Perrin, and the roll being called the following voted:  
AYE: Bailey, Loss, Perrin, Petersen, Redeker,  
Quarton, Shaw, Wallace  
NAY: None.  
ABSENT: Louden.  
Whereupon the President declared said resolution duly adopted and signed his approval thereto:

RESOLUTION Providing for the Sale and Award of \$7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970, and Approving and Authorizing the Agreement of Such Sale and Award.

WHEREAS notice of sale of \$7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970, of the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa, has heretofore been given in strict compliance with the provisions of Chapter 181 of the Laws of the Sixty-third General Assembly of the State of Iowa, First Session, by publication of notice at least once not less than seven (7) days prior to this date of sale in a newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa; and

WHEREAS at a duly convened meeting of the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa on November 13, 1970, this Board adopted a resolution en-

titled "A Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Issuance and Securing the Payment of \$7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970, for the purpose of defraying the cost of purchasing and installing a boiler and equipping certain academic buildings on the campus of the State University of Iowa", and under the terms of said resolution it was provided that the bonds and coupons could, at the option of the holder, be presented for payment at alternate paying agent banks or trust companies in the City of Chicago, Illinois, and in the City of New York, New York, having a combined capital and surplus of not less than \$10,000,000, as agreed upon between the Board and the purchaser of the bonds; and

WHEREAS all sealed bids which have been received have been opened, the substance of the best sealed bid being as follows: the bid submitted by Blyth & Co., Inc. and Bear, Stearns & Co. consisting of par and accrued interest plus a premium of \$465.60, with the bonds to bear interest at the following rates:

<u>Year of</u> <u>Maturity</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Year of</u> <u>Maturity</u>	<u>Rate</u>
1972	6.00%	1982	5.60%
1973	6.00%	1983	5.60%
1974	6.00%	1984	5.60%
1975	6.00%	1985	5.60%
1976	6.00%	1986	5.60%
1977	6.00%	1987	5.60%
1978	6.00%	1988	5.60%
1979	6.00%	1989	4.00%
1980	6.00%	1990	4.00%
1981	5.60%	1991	4.00%

; and

WHEREAS this Board and the purchaser have agreed that the alternate paying agent in the City of Chicago, Illinois, shall be the First National Bank and that the alternate paying agent in the City of New York, New York, shall be the Franklin National Bank, each of said banks having a combined capital and surplus of not less than \$10,000,000;

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Resolved by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa, as follows:

Section 1. That upon being advised in the premises it is hereby determined that the bid of Blyth & Co., Inc. and Bear, Stearns & Co. for the purchase of \$7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970, as advertised, bearing interest at the rates specified in the preamble hereof, is the highest and best bid received, and that said bonds be and the same are hereby awarded to said Blyth & Co., Inc. and Bear, Stearns & Co.

Section 2. That the form of agreement of sale of said bonds to Blyth & Co., Inc. and Bear, Stearns & Co. be and the same is hereby approved and the President and Executive Secretary are hereby authorized to execute said agreement for and on behalf of the State Board of Regents.

Section 3. That as permitted by the resolution referred to in the preamble hereof the \$7,920,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970, of this Board and coupons pertinent thereto may, at the option of the holder, be presented for payment at the First National Bank in the City of Chicago, Illinois, or, at the option of the holder, at the Franklin National Bank in the City of New York, New York, and said banks are hereby designated as the alternate paying agents for said bonds in the respective cities.

Section 4. That the resolution referred to in the preamble hereof as supplemented hereby be and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects.

Section 5. That all resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

Passed and approved December 10, 1970.

ACADEMIC BUILDING REVENUE BONDS, SERIES S.U.I. 1970 - SELECTION OF BOND AUDITORS.

The University recommended the selection of the Iowa City firm of McGladrey, Hansen, Dunn and Company as bond auditors for the Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970.

MOTION:

Mr. Quarton moved that McGladrey, Hansen, Dunn and Company of Iowa City be selected as bond auditors for the Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series S.U.I. 1970. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin. In the absence of any objection, the President declared the motion passed.

The following business pertaining to the State University of Iowa was transacted on Friday, December 11, 1970.

PUBLIC HEARING, CONTRACTS 1, 2, 3 - UTILITIES - BOILER NO. 9. President Redeker called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m. Central Standard Time, December 11, 1970, and the roll being called, there were present Stanley F. Redeker, President, in the chair, and the following named members: Bailey, Loss, Petersen, Quarton, Shaw. Absent: Loudon, Perrin.

The President advised that this was the day, time, and place set for a hearing on the proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts

for Contract 1 - Steam Generating Unit, Contract 2 - Boiler Feed Pump, and Contract 3 - Demolition for Construction of Utilities - Boiler No. 9 on the campus of the State University of Iowa in the City of Iowa City, Iowa. He inquired whether there were any present who wished to register objections concerning either the proposed plans and specifications or proposed forms of contracts 1, 2, and 3. No objectors were present. The President then inquired whether the Executive Secretary had received any written objections to the project. The Executive Secretary stated that he had not received any such objections. There being no objections, the President declared the public hearing closed.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for November 1970 were approved.

RESIGNATIONS. The following resignations were accepted by the Board:

C. David Cornell, Director, Office of Agency Liaison in the Office of the Vice President for Research and Educational Development, effective January 5, 1971, to become Vice President for Finance and Development at Davidson College, Davidson, North Carolina.

Laura C. Dustan, Dean and Professor, College of Nursing, effective January 31, 1972, to become Assistant Commissioner for Nursing Services, Department of Health, State of New York.

SECURITY OFFICERS. The University recommended Board approval for assigning Special Security Officer status to four members of the Security Department; namely, Thomas Burns, Steven A. Dawson, James F. Linn, Michael T. Thomsen, all of whom have completed training at the Iowa Law Enforcement Academy or have the equivalent of such training.

MOTION: Mr. Shaw moved the Board approve naming the above four men Special Security Officers at the State University of Iowa. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin. In the absence of objection, President Redeker declared the motion passed.

ANNUAL REPORT OF RESIGNATIONS AND LEAVES OF ABSENCE. In accordance with Section 4.09 of the Procedural Guide, the University submitted and the Board noted the list of resignations for the period September 30, 1969, to June 30, 1970, and the listing of the leaves of absence without pay granted for the same period.

APPOINTMENT. The Board approved without objection the appointment of Dr. Robert Edwin Rakel as Professor and Head of the Department of Family Practice, College of Medicine, effective February 1, 1971, on a 12-month basis at a total income of \$43,000 (\$38,000 from appropriated funds and \$5,000 from private practice earnings) plus insurance programs.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions at the State University of Iowa for the period November 3 through November 25, 1970, had been filed with him and the Register was in order. The Register contained the following awards involving equipment purchases for the Music Building, said funds being proceeds from Academic Revenue Bonds:

MUSIC BUILDING EQUIPMENT

<u>Supplier</u>	<u>Item Supplied</u>	<u>Total Amount</u>
Frohwein Supply Co. Iowa City, Iowa	Steel Office Furniture	\$14,955.35
Frohwein Supply Co. Iowa City, Iowa	Steel Office Furniture (contemporary)	11,954.30
W. D. MacKenzie Co. Cedar Rapids, Iowa	57 Steel Bookcases	2,390.85
Fandrei, Inc. Des Moines, Iowa	430 Stacking Chairs	4,429.00
Howell Co. St. Charles, Illinois	200 Upholstered Stacking Chairs	4,198.00
Midwest Bench, Inc. Sioux Falls, South Dakota	220 Classroom Chairs	4,620.00

IBM Corporation Cedar Rapids, Iowa	Selectric Typewriter	\$ 370.00
Plumbs, Inc. Bettendorf, Iowa	Carpeting	1,019.00
Brunswick School Equipment Div., Kalamazoo, Michigan	Telescoping Bleachers	4,396.00
West Music Company Iowa City, Iowa	155 Music Stands	1,221.40

The following project description and preliminary budget was presented:

RENOVATE GENERAL HOSPITAL FREIGHT LOADING  
DOCK AND RECEIVING AREA

Project Description

This project consists of the addition of sixty linear feet to the University Hospital receiving dock, removal of an obsolete freight chute and linen chute receptacle, and pouring of a concrete slab which will provide additional freight and linen storage space and make possible the eventual redevelopment of internal supporting functions located adjacent to the receiving area. Modest underground utility work necessitated by the new dock installation will be accomplished by the University Physical Plant.

Inasmuch as no single phase of the work will exceed \$10,000, work will be accomplished by the Physical Plant work force, or by quotation and purchase orders, or by a combination of these.

The University Architect's office is selected as the architect and the inspection supervisor.

A public hearing will be held on the project.

Project Budget

Loading Dock Extension and Concrete Slab	\$ 9,400
Grade Walls and Supporting Footings	8,800
Utilities Relocation	2,200
Demolition of Freight Chute and Linen	
Receiving Enclosure	2,300
Planning and Supervision	(1,000) <sup>(1)</sup>
Total	<u>\$22,700</u>

(1) Charged to Account D480, therefore not included in total.

Source of funds: 1970-71 University Hospital RR&A.

MOTION:

Mr. Wallace moved the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions of the University of Iowa for the period November 3 through November 25, 1970, be approved; the purchase awards shown above be awarded; and the Executive Secretary be authorized to sign the necessary documents. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen and passed unanimously.

CONTRACT FOR ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES - UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL ADDITION. Up for discussion and action was the matter of the contract with Skidmore Owings and Merrill (Chicago) and Hansen Lind Meyer (Iowa City) for the remainder of the architectural services involved for the University Hospital Addition. At the November meeting the Board had agreed this contract should be revised in the interest of lowering the fee. The architects had been contacted and requested to make contract adjustments along these lines, which they did, in part. The University reported the architects agreed to 1) the assigning of multiple contracts to a general contractor and the general contractor assuming the responsibility for same in a well set out proposal form; 2) to absorb the additional cost involved in a multiple construction contract rather than a single construction contract; 3) to revise proposed hourly rates for partners and principals, for additional services and changes, as follows: \$20 an hour for the partners of Skidmore Owings and Merrill - \$15 an hour for associates of Skidmore Owings and Merrill - \$16 an hour for the principals of Hansen Lind Meyer. (These are the hourly rates approved for the preliminary work.)

In discussion it was learned that, on executive order, teaching hospitals are not currently being funded by federal funds, and there is a question as to whether or not they will be. Vice President Hardin plans to attend a discussion relative to this matter in January, in Washington.

Notwithstanding, the University stated it was desirable for the architects contract under discussion to be negotiated, because it included a provision for termination which would give a basis for settlement in the event termination would be necessary. There was agreement among the Board that all details of the contract would be satisfactory, except for the 175% markup, and this, it was felt, was too high in comparison with the scale of consulting engineers fees set by the Iowa Chapter of Consulting Engineers. Suggestion was made the University should negotiate further with the architects on this point.

MOTION:

Mr. Wallace moved for Board approval of the contract with Skidmore Owings and Merrill (Chicago) and Hansen Lind Meyer (Iowa City) for the remainder of the architectural services involved for the University Hospital Addition, subject to a reduction of the markup fee from 175% to 150%. Motion was seconded by Mr. Quarton. On roll call, the vote was as follows:

AYE: Loss, Petersen, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw,  
Wallace.

NAY: Bailey.

PASS: Perrin.

ABSENT: Louden.

The motion carried.

PRELIMINARY PLANS, BUDGET - OAKDALE TEMPORARY BUILDING FOR NEUROBIOLOGY LABORATORY. The University presented for Board approval the following preliminary budget and project description for the Oakland <sup>date</sup> Temporary Building for Neurobiology Laboratory, and recommended selection of the University architect office as architect and inspection supervisor:

PRELIMINARY BUDGET

Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 8,000
Construction	132,000
Utilities	10,000
Contingencies	5,300
Total	<u>\$155,300</u>

Source of funds:

NSF Center of Excellence Matching Funds	\$ 40,000
RR&A Funds	<u>115,300</u>
	<u>\$155,300</u>

Project Description

The purpose of this project is to provide suitable space for Dr. Rodolfo Llinas and his staff who will continue research work in the field of neurobiology which was originally started at the American Medical Association Institute for Biomedical Research. The American Medical Association is providing research support funds to the University of Iowa in the amount of \$100,000 and equipment and furnishings for the facility, the value of which is estimated to be more than \$350,000.

The project consists of a pre-fabricated metal building 50'-0" x 120'-0". Facilities provided include staff offices, laboratories for physiology and histology, dark rooms, electron microscope room and related operations, electronics and machine shop facilities and space for computer operations.

There was some concern about using RR&A funds for a new building, but it was pointed out this was not general practice; in this instance it seemed to be a matter of priority where the funds were available at the time. It also was pointed out that consideration had been given to remodeling an old building for this purpose, but it proved to furnish less space for more money.

MOTION:

Mr. Wallace moved for Board approval of the project, preliminary plans and budget, as presented above, for the Oakdale Temporary Building and Neurobiology Laboratory at the State University of Iowa and selection of the University Architect's office as architect and inspection supervisor for the project. Motion was seconded by Mr. Quarton, and passed unanimously.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

The following business pertaining to Iowa State University was transacted on Friday, December 11, 1970.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for November 1970 were approved.

APPOINTMENTS. In the absence of objection, the Board approved the following appointments recommended by the University:

- 1- Ruth Pierce Hughes, Professor and Head, Department of Home Economics Education, College of Home Economics, salary as budgeted 1971-72, twelve months' basis, plus annuity, effective July 1, 1971.
- 2- Harold R. Crawford, Associate Professor and Head, Department of Agricultural Education, College of Agriculture, and Associate Professor of Secondary Education, College of Education, salary as budgeted 1971-72, twelve months' basis, plus annuity, effective July 1, 1971.
- 3- Paul W. Peterson, Associate Dean for Research and Professor of Aerospace Engineering, Engineering Research Institute, College of Engineering, salary as budgeted 1970-71, twelve months' basis, plus annuity, effective January 4, 1971.

TALL TOWER PROJECT. The Board was informed of negotiations under which WOI-TV (owned by Iowa State University), KDIN-TV (owned by the Radio and Television Facilities Board) and WHO-TV (owned by the Palmer Broadcasting Company) would jointly construct and own a 2,000-foot transmitting tower to be located at Alleman, Iowa on land owned by the Palmer Broadcasting Company. A lease and agreement was presented for Board approval under which the Board of Regents, on behalf of WOI-TV, would become a party to this three-way agreement. Under the agreement each of the two state agencies involved would lease a one-third interest in the land and share one-third of the cost of constructing the tower. Thus the State of Iowa would be two-thirds owner of the tower. The lease term

is for five years with 20 options for renewal. It provides that each party will pay the cost of the installation of its own antenna, transmitter and transmitter building. The three parties would share the cost of construction of an access road to the property. The parties also would share equally the cost of insurance on the tower. It was pointed out that there are obvious financial advantages in sharing such a tower since the cost of separate installations would be prohibitive. The Board was informed that Assistant Attorney General Nolan had been active in the negotiations and that the lease and agreement form before the Board had her approval. The Board also was informed that the Radio and Television Facilities Board had tentatively approved the lease and agreement but was encountering some difficulty concerning the method by which the insurance costs would be paid, as insurance of this type cannot presently be purchased with State funds. This matter presents no problem to WOI-TV since that station does not use state appropriations. The Board was informed that the matter would be worked out at the January meeting of the Radio and Television Facilities Board. Iowa State University recommended that their participation in the project be approved.

MOTION:

Mr. Quarton moved that the Board approve the participation of WOI-TV (owned by Iowa State University) in the Tall Tower Project, as recommended, and authorize Executive Secretary Richey to enter into the lease and agreement as discussed. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin. On roll call, the vote was as follows:  
AYE: Bailey, Loss, Perrin, Petersen, Quarton, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace.  
NAY: None.  
ABSENT: Louden.  
The motion carried.

VETERINARY MEDICINE FACILITIES - REVISED PROJECT ESTIMATE. The Board was requested to formally approve the revised project estimate for Veterinary Medicine which had been presented, first, in November.

EXPENDITURES	Revision 4/70	Revision 11/70		
		Phase I	Phase II	Total
1. Building Work	<u>\$15,080,926</u>	<u>\$10,952,845</u>	<u>\$ 8,693,588</u>	<u>\$19,646,433</u>
General Construction		5,031,207	4,793,906	9,825,113
Plumbing		1,146,036	1,066,981	2,213,017
Heating, Air Cond., Vent.		3,683,754	2,009,702	5,693,456
Electrical Work		1,030,843	771,623	1,802,466
Elevators		61,005	51,376	112,381
2. Site Work	<u>516,596</u>	<u>591,731</u>	<u>325,441</u>	<u>917,172</u>
Site Preparation		324,073	38,118	362,191
Site Development and Parking Facilities		267,658	287,323	554,981
3. Built-in Equipment	<u>1,701,313</u>	<u>671,476</u>	<u>641,359</u>	<u>1,312,835</u>
4. Architectural and Engineering Costs	<u>837,050</u>	<u>1,002,510</u>	<u>153,679</u>	<u>1,156,189</u>
Architect's Basic Fee		894,510	108,679	1,003,189
Supervision and Inspection		51,000	45,000	96,000
Surveys, Tests, Borings		5,000	--	5,000
Other Items		52,000	--	52,000
5. Movable Equipment	<u>1,386,600</u>	<u>881,877</u>	<u>621,197</u>	<u>1,503,074</u>
6. Contingency	<u>300,000</u>	<u>500,000</u>	<u>350,000</u>	<u>850,000</u>
7. Builder's Risk Insurance	<u>75,000</u>	<u>70,000</u>	<u>40,000</u>	<u>110,000</u>
Total	<u>\$19,897,485</u>	<u>\$14,670,439</u>	<u>\$10,825,264</u>	<u>\$25,495,703</u>
<u>Source of Funds</u>				
62nd G.A. Appropriation	<u>\$ 3,150,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,150,000</u>	<u>\$ --</u>	<u>\$ 3,150,000</u>
63rd G.A. Bond Authority	<u>\$ 3,400,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,866,733</u>	<u>\$ 1,533,267</u>	<u>\$ 3,400,000</u>
64th G.A. Request	<u>\$ 587,374</u>	<u>\$ --</u>	<u>\$ 1,526,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,526,000</u>
Future State Funds	<u>\$ --</u>	<u>\$ --</u>	<u>\$ 924,409</u>	<u>\$ 924,409</u>
Federal Grant Request	<u>\$12,760,111</u>	<u>\$ 9,653,706</u>	<u>\$ 6,841,588</u>	<u>\$16,495,294</u>
Total	<u>\$19,897,485</u>	<u>\$14,670,439</u>	<u>\$10,825,264</u>	<u>\$25,495,703</u>

MOTION:

Mr. Wallace moved that the revised cost estimate for Veterinary Medicine totaling \$25,495,703 be approved. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin. In the absence of any objection, the President declared the motion passed.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary

Richey reported that the Register of Capital Improvements Business Transactions at Iowa State University for the period November 12 through December 11, 1970,

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY  
December 10-11, 1970

had been filed with him and the Register was in order. There being no contract awards, the actions in the Register were approved as submitted.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN IOWA

The following business pertaining to the University of Northern Iowa was transacted on Thursday, December 10, 1970.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for November 1970 were approved.

BUDGET FOR 1971 SUMMER SESSION. The University presented for Board approval detailed budget for the summer session of 1971 totaling \$850,000, an increase of \$65,000 over the 1970 summer session budget. The complete budget is on file in the Board office. Following is a summary:

BUDGET SUMMARY - 1971 SUMMER SESSION

A. Salaries

1. Regular Sessions

COLLEGE OF BUSINESS AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES

Business	\$ 27,805
Business Education and Office Administration	13,999
Economics	11,298
Geography	13,130
History	39,695
Home Economics	6,275
Political Science	10,834
Psychology	8,689
Sociology and Anthropology	<u>13,652</u>
Sub-total	\$145,377

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Curriculum and Instruction	\$ 42,145
Educational Media Center	9,089
Educational Psychology and Foundations	49,177
Library Science	15,334
Physical Education for Men	24,963
Physical Education for Women	18,837
School Administration and Personal Services	41,984
Teaching	<u>67,218</u>
Sub-total	\$268,747

COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND FINE ARTS

Art	\$ 28,714
English Language and Literature	48,672
Foreign Languages	17,650
Music	46,447
Philosophy and Religion	13,530
Speech	<u>32,865</u>
Sub-total	\$187,878

COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCE

Biology (inc. one part-time instructor from CNS)	\$ 26,168
Chemistry	4,941
Earth Science	3,739
Industrial Arts and Technology	14,158
Mathematics	31,549
Physics	<u>17,331</u>

Sub-total \$ 97,886

Sub-total - Regular Sessions \$699,888

2. Special Sessions

Museum and Educational Media Center	\$ 4,334
Business and Behavioral Sciences	12,082
Education	1,347
Humanities and Fine Arts	19,740
Natural Science	<u>18,980</u>

Sub-total - Special Sessions \$ 56,487

Salaries Sub-total 756,375

Annuity Contribution 74,600

Total Salaries \$830,975

B. Additions to "Other Expense" Budgets 13,765

Contingency 5,260

Grand Total \$850,000

Division by fiscal year:

Before July 1, 1971 \$280,565

After June 30, 1971 569,435

MOTION:

Mr. Wallace moved that the Board approve the 1971 Summer Session Budget for the University of Northern Iowa as presented, totaling \$850,000. Motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and passed unanimously.

PARIETAL RULES IN RESIDENCE HALLS. The Board was presented with a list of the current policies concerning parietal rules at the University of Northern Iowa. The present occupancy of residence halls is 358 below normal capacity; and, broken down one step, 330 of the vacancies are in the women's residence halls, and 28 in the men's. At the present time, all freshmen under 21 and all sophomore women under 21 who do not live in their own homes are required to live on campus. Sophomore men have no such restriction. These rules are under review for possible revision and further report will be made to the Board later.

BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND SMALL ANIMAL BUILDING. The University requested Board approval of the Biological Research and Small Animal Building project and project budget of \$646,750. They also requested authority to negotiate for an architect to master plan the project. At the October 1970 meeting, the Board had approved an increased budget for this project of \$500,000, funded from the 63rd G.A. Bonding Authority. The budget has since been increased by \$146,750 through the addition of a requested supplemental appropriation for space and equipment lost in the Biology Annex Fire. Following is the project description and budget:

BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH BUILDING - PHASE I

Project Description

This building would serve biological research dealing with plants and small animals. It would have growing rooms and associated facilities, research stations, and a small number of offices and instructional space. It would replace space lost in the fire in the old hospital and add space which has never existed on the campus. It would include equipment.

The architect would be asked to master plan a total facility, after which it would be determined which portion to build at once and still be able to add the remaining facilities as needed later.

The budget is based on the assumption of an appropriation by the General Assembly to cover the fire loss. If this appropriation is not made, the scope of the project and the budget will need to be revised.

Project Budget

Source of funds:

Bonding Authorization	\$500,000	
Fire loss of laboratory space 4,839 sq. ft.	145,170	
Fire loss of equipment	<u>1,580</u>	
Total		\$646,750

Estimated Expenditures:

Construction Contracts	\$425,000*
Architects' Fee	30,000
Project Inspector	9,000
Utilities	10,000
Landscaping	15,000

Physical Plant Work	\$ 10,000	
Equipment	80,000	
Art Allowance	2,000	
Contingencies	<u>65,750</u>	
Total		\$646,750

MOTION:

Mr. Wallace moved for Board approval of the UNI Biological Research and Small Animal Building Project, project budget of \$646,750 to be funded from the 63rd G.A. Bonding Authority and supplemental Legislative appropriation, and to authorize the University to negotiate for an architect. Motion was seconded by Mr. Loss. In the absence of objection, President Redeker declared the motion passed.

Suggestion was made that the architect be approached with the idea of negotiating for the entire building project - all phases - at the same time, in the interest of practicality and economy. If this is workable, it may be worth consideration for future projects.

LIBRARY - PHASE II PROJECT. The University presented the following project description and budget for the Library - Phase II. They requested the Board approve this project and project budget (\$3,000,000), and authorize them to negotiate for an architect. This new library has No. 1 priority in UNI's '71-'73 askings. The present University library was designed for a student body of 4,000 whereas the current enrollment is in excess of 9,600. It was pointed out that, through advance planning on this project, the University can save approximately seven months of time required to finally occupy the structure; at present inflation rates for construction, this could mean as much as a 7% savings in the ultimate project cost.

LIBRARY - PHASE II  
(A Project to be Funded by the 64th G.A.)

Project Description

The first phase was designed for a student body of 4,000. This project would increase the capacity of the building to approximately the present student body, with provisions for future expansion later. This phase is to increase nearly every facility now in the library, student stations, book and other media storage, work space and public facilities. It would include equipment.

The architect would be asked to master plan a total building, something which was not done before Phase I was built. The exact scope of the second phase would be determined after the master plan is completed.

Project Budget

Source of funds:		
Bonding Authorization		\$3,000,000
Estimated Expenditures:		
Construction Contracts	\$2,250,000*	
Architects' Fee	140,000	
Project Inspector	25,000	
Utilities	50,000	
Landscaping	30,000	
Art Allowance	12,000	
Equipment	300,000	
Physical Plant Work	30,000	
Contingencies	<u>163,000</u>	
Total		\$3,000,000

\* 80 to 85 thousand sq. ft.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved for Board approval of the Library - Phase II project at UNI and the project budget of \$3 million, also, that the Board grant the University authority to negotiate for an architect for this project. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen. In the absence of objection, President Redeker declared the motion carried.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions at the University of Northern Iowa for the month of November, 1970, had been filed with him and the Register was in order. The Board was asked to concur in the following Highway Commission Institutional Roads Contract Award:

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Paul W. Walters Cedar Falls, Iowa	Access Road to New Physical Education Building	\$38,280.55

MOTION: Mr. Loss moved the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions of the University of Northern Iowa for the month of November 1970 be approved; the contract concurred in as recommended; and the Executive Secretary be authorized to concur in the contract award. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin and passed unanimously.

AUDITOR'S REPORT. Request was made of the University to report their reaction to the Auditor's Report, distributed recently, wherein there were suggestions on procedural matters, and so on. President Redeker suggested this be included as part of the University January docket.

Another point brought out with regard to the Auditor's Report was the difference between the three Universities in cost per student figures. The question was raised as to the reason for this difference. President Redeker asked the Board office to check the variations and explain the reasons for them to the Board members before the next Board meeting.

IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL

The following business pertaining to the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School was transacted on Friday, December 11, 1970.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for November 1970 were approved.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported that the Register of Capital Improvements Business Transactions at the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School for the period November 1 through November 30, 1970, had been filed with him and the Register was in order.

The Register contained the following purchase orders:

<u>Supplier</u>	<u>Project &amp; Item</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Kies Electric Supply Co. Waterloo, Iowa	Campus Improvements - Fixtures	\$1,605.75
Van Meter Co. Cedar Rapids, Iowa	" "	204.77
McDowell Constr. Co., Inc. Vinton, Iowa	" - Sidewalks	1,580.00

The following revised project budget was presented for approval:

CAMPUS IMPROVEMENTS

<u>Expenditures</u>		
Light Fixtures	\$2,000.00	\$1,810.52
Sidewalk	2,500.00	1,580.00
Plant Labor	--	484.75
Stock Items	--	271.37
Sublet Labor	--	140.00
Equipment Rental	--	63.00
	<u>\$4,500.00</u>	<u>\$4,349.64</u>
<u>Source of Funds</u>		
Undrawn Appropriations - 62nd G.A. Capital	\$2,650.00	\$2,650.00
Balances from Completed Capital Projects	1,330.33	1,330.33
R.R.&A. Funds - 63rd G.A.	519.67	369.31
Total	<u>\$4,500.00</u>	<u>\$4,349.64</u>

MOTION:

Mr. Wallace moved the Register of Capital Improvements Business Transactions of the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School for the period November 1 through November 30, 1970, be approved; the purchase orders shown above be awarded and the Executive Secretary be authorized to sign the necessary documents. The motion was seconded by Mr. Shaw and passed unanimously.

IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

The following business pertaining to the Iowa School for the Deaf was transacted on Friday, December 11, 1970.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of November 1970 were approved.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Register for November 1970 contained no items requiring Board action.

ADJOURNMENT. President Redeker declared the meeting adjourned at 11:55 a.m., December 11, 1970.

  
R. WAYNE RICHEY, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY