The State Board of Regents met at the Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, on April 12, 1972 and at the Iowa School for the Deaf on April 13 and 14, 1972. Those present were:
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<td>All Sessions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University of Iowa

President Boyd
Vice Provost Chambers
Vice Provost Hardin
Director Hawkins
Provost Heffner
Vice President Jolliffe
Director Strayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>April 12</th>
<th>April 13</th>
<th>April 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td>Excused 3:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Iowa State University

President Parks
Vice President Christensen
Vice President Hamilton
Vice President Moore
Mr. Walsh
Mr. Lendt
Mr. Madden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>April 12</th>
<th>April 13</th>
<th>April 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td>Excused 2:05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University of Northern Iowa

President Kamerick
Business Manager Jennings
Provost Martin
Asst. to Pres. Stansbury
Director Kelly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>April 12</th>
<th>April 13</th>
<th>April 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td>Excused 10:15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Iowa School for the Deaf

Superintendent Giangreco
Business Manager Geasland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>April 12</th>
<th>April 13</th>
<th>April 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td>All Sessions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School

Superintendent Rocco
Business Manager Berry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>April 12</th>
<th>April 13</th>
<th>April 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excused</td>
<td>Excused</td>
<td>Arr. 11:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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President Redeker called the meeting of the State Board of Regents to order at 1:30 p.m., Wednesday, April 12, 1972. The following business pertaining to General or Miscellaneous items was transacted on Wednesday, April 12, 1972.

MEETING WITH LEGISLATORS. It had been determined at an earlier meeting to hold a meeting with legislators in an attempt to gain legislative input in the development of budget askings for 1973-75. Members of the Legislature present for the meeting were: Senators Anderson, Balloun, Coleman, Messerly, Van Drie, Van Gilst; and Representatives Camp, Den Herder, Dunton, Hansen, Holden, Neilson, Radl. Also present from the Legislative Fiscal Director's office were Gerry D. Rankin, Director, and Paul Lay.

President Redeker welcomed the Legislators and expressed the Board's appreciation for the time they were taking to attend this meeting. He also informed the legislators that this time had been chose for discussion which was prior to the Board taking any action on final decisions in the budget askings. The institutions have been working for the past three or four months in gathering information to produce the methods of drafting a budget that would be easily understandable.

Copies of a report detailing development and presentation of budgets for 1973-75 were distributed to legislators present.

In discussion Representative Hansen made the following comments:

1. The appropriation needs to be adjusted among the universities on the basis of the actual enrollment rather than estimated enrollment and on an agreed amount among divisions.

2. The distribution of funds among the three institutions should be a matter of open Board discussion.

3. The use of sub-committees within the Board divided perhaps into salary, facilities and program groups would facilitate its work.

4. Legislative policy should be determined by the Board in open meetings.
5. Regents should become more involved in the entire process and see that the Regents' office becomes more of a resource center and not a policy center.

6. More meetings of this type should be held where the Board can sit down with the legislature to discuss their problems.

Representative Camp stated there was need for a line item type budget in order for the people of the state to have a voice in saying where the funds are to go. He also stated that the Budget and Financial Control Committee is a continuing committee and that the Board of Regents and BFCC should have a working arrangement.

The matter of the appropriation of $500,000 to the College of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery was discussed. Legislators reported that they had received a letter from President Azneer of the College of Osteopathic Medicine and asked for the Boards' view on his statements. President Boyd read a letter, written by Robert C. Hardin, Vice-Provost, University of Iowa, stating that the University of Iowa College of Medicine sent letters only to osteopathic students who had inquired about admission with advanced standing to the College of Medicine. In all instances the inquiry was initiated by the student, 38 by letter and 1 by telephone. It was suggested that a copy of Dr. Hardin's letter should be sent to all members of the legislature in order to clear up any misunderstanding they might have gained through the misinterpretation put on the matter by the President of the Osteopathic College.

The following items were discussed during the session with the legislators:

1. The budget askings would be a hard, fast rock bottom figure.

2. Cutbacks and economies by the institutions during the current biennium.

3. The amount of time spent in preparing the budget.

4. Method of analyzing the budget.

5. Question of submitting additional background material to the Appropriations Committee including detailed information on departmental requests.
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6. Clarification of who makes the policy decisions, Board or Presidents.
7. Ways to improve the image of higher education.
8. Greater involvement by the Regents in public relations.
9. Better communications between the Regents, the legislature and the general public.
10. Regents' lobbyists.
11. Time table for presentation of the budget.
12. Method of allocating funds among institutions.
13. Program evaluations.
14. Services provided to other agencies, municipalities, etc. in consulting services.
15. Catch-up and keep-up priority for salaries.
16. Laboratory School and method and amounts of tuition paid by local school districts.
17. Legislative bills and method of communication to the Board.

The discussion lasted until 3:40 p.m. Board members and legislators expressed appreciation for this meeting.

The following business pertaining to General or Miscellaneous items was transacted on Thursday, April 13, 1972.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES. The minutes of the March 9-10 meeting of the Board of Regents was approved, as corrected.

ANNOUNCEMENTS: Executive Secretary Richey advised the Board that suit was being brought against the Board by Iqbal Ahmad in regard to his recent appeal for tenure from the University of Northern Iowa. Mr. Richey advised that Mr. Leo Baker had been authorized to accept service on behalf of the Board. There were no objections by the Board.
RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION - MARSHALL BEARD. President Redeker reported the death of Marshall Beard, University of Northern Iowa. Mrs. Petersen introduced and read the resolution set out below and moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and was passed unanimously:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS the death of Marshall Beard has left the Board of Regents with a sense of sorrow and loss, and

WHEREAS Mr. Beard's many years of service to the University of Northern Iowa were an asset not only to that institution, but to the state and higher education in general, and

WHEREAS the Board of Regents has lost one whose friendship, advice, and experience were valued highly,

THEREFORE, the Board of Regents expressed its sincere condolences to Mrs. Beard and the Beard family in this time of personal loss and expresses its deep appreciation for the long years of service devoted by Mr. Beard to the University of Northern Iowa and the cause of higher education in the state.

INTERCULTURAL STUDIES AT REGENTS' UNIVERSITIES IN IOWA. A report on the intercultural studies was prepared and presented by the Educational Coordination Committee.

Regents' institutions in Iowa have been involved in the improvement of intercultural understanding since before World War II. However, as with institutions of higher education generally, the major developments have been during the past twenty years. Each of the three Regents' institutions has a significant number of faculty who have international reputations as scholarly authorities in their field. Each institution has sought to strengthen faculty and programs in those disciplines highly relevant to intercultural studies. Each institution has introduced both general and specialized courses relating to foreign studies. Research specialists on foreign areas and programs have been encouraged. The teaching of modern foreign languages has been improved and expanded. Exotic and rare languages have been offered at least occasionally. There are those in each institution who believe that a proper perspective for higher education in the
seventies and eighties should be international in scope and humane in approach. This would apply equally to technology, the physical and biological sciences, the social sciences, and the humanities. Graduates would share an awareness of the human condition in each of the several major cultural regions. They would also recognize the relevance of many learned disciplines and their methods to the solution of human problems anywhere.

**Foreign Study Programs in Regents' Institutions**

Each Regents' institution has developed specific foreign study programs on its own or in cooperation with other institutions. Cooperative programs in each instance may involve one or both of the other Regents' institutions, but more commonly they represent other kinds of interinstitutional relationships.

**University of Iowa**

1. Special opportunities offered by the University of Iowa as of the summer of 1971:

   **ISRAEL**
   - Anthropology
   - Field Research in Ethnology

   **SCANDINAVIA**
   - Physical Education
   - Comparative Physical Education

   **EUROPE**
   - Home Economics
   - Seminar: International Influences in Interior Design/Seminar: International Influences in Textile Design

   **MEXICO**
   - Archaeology
   - Field Research in Archaeology

2. Illinois and Iowa Year Abroad Program in France. In cooperation with the University of Illinois.

3. Summer program in Spanish conducted at the University of Ibero-America, Mexico. In cooperation with Big Ten universities and the University of Chicago (CIC).

4. Academic year (10 months) program in Portuguese, Brazil. In cooperation with CIC universities and conducted at the Federal University of Río Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.
University of Northern Iowa

1. Summer Institute for teachers of German, Austria and Germany. Four weeks each at Teachers College, Klagenfurt; and at the University of Vienna, followed by two weeks travel in Germany.

2. Social Science Seminar in Europe. Ten weeks, with a two-week intensive seminar program at Oxford, a one-week seminar in Vienna, and six weeks of study travel in eleven countries.

3. Summer Institute in France for teachers of French. Conducted on the campus of the University of Angers in the Chateaux Country. Includes appropriate tours.


5. Summer Institute in Spain for teachers of Spanish.

6. Student exchange program with the Universidad Pedagogica Nacional, Bogota, Colombia. Regular exchange of undergraduate students in alternate summers and fellowship program for graduate work at UNI.

Iowa State University

1. Summer program for the study of Spanish and Mexican culture. Held at the Institute of Technology, Monterrey, Mexico.

2. Foreign Study Program in Architecture. An academic quarter of study in classic areas of Europe.

3. Agricultural Travel Course. Summer study tour of agricultural practices in Europe. Offered alternate summers.

4. SPAN--Student Project for Amity among Nations. Individual, culturally oriented study projects in selected countries. These countries have been in eastern and western Europe, Asia, and South America.

Interinstitutional Programs

All of the programs listed above are open to qualified students from other colleges and universities in Iowa. There is, however, one program sponsored jointly by the three Regents' institutions.

Campus Abroad in Austria-Germany. Ten weeks includes four weeks study at universities in Millstatt and in Vienna, and two weeks study tour in Germany. This program is available to qualified students at other colleges and universities in Iowa.
Comment and Recommendations

We believe that each of the Regents' institutions has developed foreign study programs consistent with institutional traditions and available resources. The emphases of individual and cooperative programs are clearly in the area of European languages, language teaching, and aspects of the European cultural world. The institutions vary considerably in the number of foreign languages taught regularly, languages in which majors are offered, and general courses with a strong intercultural orientation. Two institutions offer a year-long sequence on "Western Civilization" but none offers "Eastern Civilization". The University of Iowa provides the greatest variety of foreign languages on a regular basis. In addition to the big four (French, German, Russian and Spanish) they offer Chinese, Greek, Hebrew, Italian, Japanese, Latin, and Portuguese. The University of Iowa is the only Regents' institution which has an area study center, the Center for Far Eastern Studies. The University may participate in language and intercultural studies provided regularly or otherwise by the cooperative efforts of the CIC institutions.

Iowa State University has an International Studies Program which can serve as a strong supplement (second major) to students in Agriculture, Engineering, Home Economics, and Sciences and Humanities. The program is interdisciplinary with major emphasis in the social sciences and humanities. Three quarter sequences of broad introductory courses are available under the titles: Introduction to Africa, Introduction to Latin America, and Introduction to East Asia. Majors and minors are regularly available in French, German, Spanish and Russian.

The University of Northern Iowa has a faculty of nine Asian specialists. Foreign area courses in China and India have been established as part of the general education program, and during the past five years 7,343 students have been enrolled in them. An undergraduate major in Asian Studies has been established to prepare secondary school social science teachers to deal more effectively with the non-western world. In the near future it is expected that an alternate undergraduate major in Asian Studies will be proposed on the liberal arts program. A Latin American Studies program has been approved by the University Faculty Senate, but has not yet been submitted to the Board of Regents. UNI offers French, German, Spanish, Latin, and also Russian with both major and minor programs in the first three and minors in the latter two languages. In addition, UNI is offering self-instructional programs in Chinese and Hindi as part of the federal Critical Language Program.

MOTION:

Mrs. Collison moved approval of the following recommendation of the Committee on Educational Coordination. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace.
1. Each institution should be encouraged to continue developments in foreign study consistent with its traditions, existing programs, and resources (human and financial).

2. The Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination should establish an Interinstitutional Coordinating Council for Foreign Studies. This Council would perform the following functions related to foreign studies:

   a) Provide for the collection and dissemination of information about foreign study programs in Regents' institutions.

   b) Serve as an advisory group regarding developments at individual institutions.

   c) Explore ways and means of developing foreign study programs with emphases in areas other than the languages: i.e., art, drama, geography, history, social sciences, etc.

   d) Coordinate the planning and scheduling of foreign study programs so that qualified students at Regents' institutions and other Iowa colleges and universities may participate.

   e) Seek ways in which minimum proficiency in oriental and exotic languages may be provided without unnecessary duplications of faculty and learning resources.

   f) Encourage the development of general courses on non-western civilizations. Such courses should be culturally broad-based in content and intended to produce awareness of factors which account for distinctive cultural patterns.

   g) In all matters the Council would be advisory to the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and to the individual institutions.

A Board member expressed concern over what the Board was doing and where it was headed in creating this committee. Mr. Heffner responded that as an example they were setting up a new cooperative program of study in France and the University felt it was simply more economical for the three universities to work cooperatively rather than individually in setting up such programs. It was mentioned that the Board had previously insisted that all foreign study programs be quality programs.
and that this committee would hopefully guide and furnish the interest and cooperation on all foreign studies and insure that all such programs would be self-supporting. The dropping of the Illinois-Iowa program was mentioned briefly and President Boyd remarked that this was done as an economy move at a time of a very tight fiscal situation because of his insistence that such programs must be self-supporting. A Board member stated the program as proposed was needed to provide state-wide leadership in this area. Further she asked that exploration be made to include private college representatives on the council.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: The motion was passed unanimously.

PROPOSAL FOR ORGANIZATION OF EXCHANGE OF STUDENTS AMONG REGENTS' INSTITUTIONS. The Committee on Educational Coordination presented the following information and proposal.

Since tuition and fees at the three Regents' universities are so nearly comparable, and since the three universities cooperate so regularly and effectively on a variety of academic and other programs, it should be possible to establish a formal "traveling scholars" program following the models of CIC, MASUA, and other states in order to enjoy the benefits of such a program. It is desirable, however, to publicize to students at the three universities the opportunities which exist for specialized supplements to both undergraduate and graduate programs at the other universities and to provide some mechanism for facilitating the interchange of students who desire specialized work for a limited amount of time. The objective is to anticipate and to ease the problems for students who wish to spend a quarter, semester, summer session, or a year at another Regents' university while making regular progress towards a degree at their home university. Problems which may develop include academic advising, housing, financial aid and employment, and possibly many others. In some cases (e.g. instruction in foreign languages) the real utility of the concept may be dependent on the development of intensive courses which condense work ordinarily spread over two or more years into much shorter periods of time.
These preliminary assumptions are in order:

1. Both undergraduate and graduate students would be eligible.

2. General transfer of credit is already arranged; specific applicability of work to a degree program would have to be cleared in advance with the degree advisor on the home campus.

3. Dormitory contracts should be terminable or interruptible for this purpose

It was proposed that a Regents' Universities Interchange Program be established and that an appropriate committee be appointed to establish and coordinate the program. This committee will report to the Committee on Educational Coordination.

MOTION: Mr. McCartney moved the above proposal be adopted. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and was passed unanimously.

A Board member expressed the opinion this was a step in the right direction and inquired if other states were doing this. President Boyd responded that he doubted any state had experienced the coordination that exists among the three universities in Iowa and that elsewhere the question bogs down over transfer of credits. The question of which university issues the degree was raised. Mr. Christensen remarked that the degree would be granted from the institution at which the student was enrolled. The Committee was asked to explore techniques for facilitating and publicizing the mechanism of interchange.

PROPOSAL FOR APPROVAL OF CURRICULAR CHANGES AT REGENTS' INSTITUTIONS. The Committee on Educational Coordination presented a proposal to the Board for approval of curricular changes at Regents' Institutions. In the past the Universities have brought to the Board of Regents all changes in curriculum recommended by the departments and colleges. The Committee feels this procedure tends to inhibit the response the universities can make to changes in society and in various fields of knowledge. The procedure presented by the Committee would
eliminate the need for Board members to review changes in curriculum that are considered to be minor but would provide for review and coordination of all changes at all levels within the universities. The Committee proposed that the institutions bring the following kinds of curricular changes to the Board of Regents for approval:

1. The institution of a new degree. Information accompanying a recommendation for a new degree should include an explanation of the nature of the degree, a justification for the degree, an indication as to how this degree will complement other degrees offered by the University, and an estimate as to which departments or majors are likely to want to offer the new degree.

2. A proposal for a new major. Information accompanying this proposal should include a description of the major, a justification in terms of student interest and job availability, a summary of the current resources that will contribute to this major, and a description of additional resources that will be needed to implement the major.

3. A summary of changes in the course offerings as proposed for the forthcoming catalog. This summary should stress: 1) the number of new courses; 2) educational trends; 3) the number of courses being dropped; and 4) an indication of the effects of these changes on the resource allocations of the University.

The Committee pointed out consideration of this proposal was timely as it relates to preparation of the long-range academic plan.

The Board Office suggested the Board seek more detail supporting the committee's recommendations before granting approval. It was felt more information was needed regarding why the universities felt inhibited by the present system. It was also pointed out that under the committee recommendation a proposal for curriculum change, by the time it reached the Board, could amount to a de facto situation involving only formal approval of an already existing curriculum.

Board members did not feel their consideration of curriculum changes had been too time-consuming in the past. Following detailed discussion the Board declined to adopt the proposed change. However, it was agreed that future proposals for curricular change would be brought to the Board in both the present and proposed forms in order for the Board to determine what is meant by "major" and "minor" changes.
INTERINSTITUTIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES. A detailed report by the Interinstitutional Committee on Environmental Studies was submitted to the Board through the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination. The report stated that many students in the biological sciences, liberal arts and distributed studies have tailored their programs to achieve an environmental emphasis. Increases in enrollment have been noted in traditional environmental programs such as fisheries and wildlife biology, civil engineering and law. The report noted that the flexibility in various honors programs has allowed the institutions to meet individual needs and requests of students for environmental courses and programs.

The report notes that Regents' universities are assisting in two specific public projects important from an environmental standpoint. Resources at Iowa State University and the University of Iowa are being mobilized to investigate the environmental resources of the proposed Ames Reservoir at the request of the Army Corps of Engineers. Technical committees from all three universities will aid the Iowa Conservation Commission in suggesting developmental guidelines for the Hawkeye Naturama in conjunction with the Saylorville Reservoir.

Present for discussion of the report were James J. O'Toole, Assoc. Professor, Veterinary Diagnostic Lab., ISU., David Trauger, Ass't. Professor, Zoology and Entomology., ISU, Bernard L. Claussen, Assoc. Professor, Biology Department, UNI, and John D. McBride, Director of Project Development, SUI.

In discussion of the need for a statewide plan, Mr. Claussen advised the Board that such a plan should be developed in the state; however, nobody had taken the leadership and they were suggesting that perhaps they should do so and were asking for the Boards' opinion. He further remarked that the Federal statutes and HEW guidelines indicate that every aspect of education in the state and every aspect of the citizenry must be involved. Mr. McBride stated that regardless of where the program is initiated it would require interrelationships of the universities and
colleges, Department of Public Instruction, other agencies within the State and all other levels of government. He stated that the structure for development of an environmental program was within the Regent institutions and that they would be willing to provide development leadership if the Board would approve. It was suggested that perhaps the Committee should proceed, with the first step being consultation with the Governor. Dr. O'Toole stated that he felt the committee could provide the catalytic effect needed to get the program underway. The first phase would be a general outline on how to provide effective coordination and phase two would be providing the structure for program accomplishment.

A Board member asked about manpower required and who would provide the technical skill to set standards on environmental rules and regulations. Dr. O'Toole stated their input would be provision of educational and other consultant services. In discussion of funding the building of a state plan, Dr. O'Toole stated the most direct approach would be through the Governor and preliminary funding of the grants.

MOTION: Mr. Shaw moved that the Board of Regents communicate with the Governor and express an urgency for a state plan and express the willingness of this committee to provide its services. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin. This motion was passed unanimously.

MOTION: Mrs. Collison moved that in order to clarify this Committee's responsibility the President of the Board recommend to the Governor that he authorize the Interinstitutional Environmental Study Committee to develop feasibility studies and organize communications for an education plan for the State of Iowa.

After a short discussion in which this charge was viewed as being repetitive to the original motion, Mrs. Collison withdrew the above motion.
MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved that a subcommittee of the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination having to do with environmental studies is hereby directed to draw up guidelines for coordination of education, research and service activities at the three state universities in the area of environment for submission to and approval by the Board of Regents and following approval of the guidelines, the subcommittee shall be commissioned by the Board to oversee environmental activities at said Regents' universities. The motion was seconded by Mr. McCartney, and was passed unanimously.

APPOINTMENT OF IBSSS SUPERINTENDENT. It was recommended that Charles Woodcock be appointed superintendent of the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved that Mr. Charles Woodcock be appointed Superintendent of the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School effective July 1, 1972, at an annual salary of $22,325, plus housing on the grounds in which he is required to live, the usual IPERS-FICA benefits and moving expenses. The motion was seconded by Mr. Bailey, and was passed unanimously.

BUDGET FORMULATION - 1973-75. The Board continued its discussion on budget matters for 1973-75 askings. These matters were discussed:

A. Starting Base. The institutions proposed to use the budgets adopted for fiscal year 1972-73 as the starting base for calculations of requests for the 1973-75 biennium.

In discussion of the term "starting base" versus "zero base budgeting", some Board members felt that "zero base" indicated there wouldn't be a university the next year. President Boyd remarked that it must be conveyed there is a review of existing functions as well as need for increases in determination of starting base similar to the approach used in zero base budgeting and it was not intended to convey that the universities could be dismantled immediately. Every existing program and department is reviewed in establishing the starting base.
A Board member suggested it might be helpful to attach a dollar figure to changes in the base budget to avoid giving the impression that the institutions are static. Another member suggested following through a small segment of 1972-73 budget and illustrate how it becomes part of the starting base.

Adjustment to Base Budget of University of Northern Iowa

The University of Northern Iowa submitted a recommendation for adjustment to the starting base for their appropriation request for 1973-75:

The University showed why an addition of $480,000 to the starting base for the University of Northern Iowa for the appropriation request for 1973-75 to be recommended to the Board of Regents should be undertaken.

A number of methods of computation were used in an effort to arrive at an appropriate recommendation of an amount to be added to the starting base for the University of Northern Iowa. These methods resulted in various amounts -- some smaller and some considerably larger. But, this method of computation and amount generated has been agreed upon by representatives of all three Regents universities and would seem to be acceptable to members of the Interinstitutional Appropriations Committee.

This particular analysis takes into consideration the factor of increased enrollment over that estimated in the previous five biennial appropriations requests and associated levels of unit costs per student as depressed by those underestimates. During the past ten years, enrollment has more than doubled at the University of Northern Iowa and much of this increase was unanticipated. Therefore requests for appropriations were understated. This caused the university to spread its resources, mostly state appropriations, over more students thereby reducing the level of unit costs per student which in turn are used to construct appropriations requests for future bienniums. This influence over the unit costs, which cover approximately 78% of the general operations budget, then accumulated over the ten year period having a detrimental effect on basic support for the university.
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This is not meant to be a criticism of the formula method of arriving at appropriations requests for the University of Northern Iowa. It is extremely difficult, if not impossible, to develop a broad rationale for the financial support of institutions of higher education that is sensitive to the needs and missions of a particular institution. This adjustment in the starting base is intended to compensate for a situation, that due to enrollment underestimates, the University of Northern Iowa fell short of meeting its financial needs to support its programs. In view of that analysis, it seems appropriate that the amount of $480,000 be added to the starting base for UNI for appropriations request for 1973-75.

Regent Petersen asked President Kamerick if the matter would be resolved and put at rest if this recommended adjustment were made. President Kamerick answered in the affirmative. There was complete agreement that such an addition was appropriate.

ACTION: President Redeker summarized that the Board was in agreement on the following three points:
1. Use of the starting base as outlined would be followed.
2. The starting base for the University of Northern Iowa would be adjusted upwards by $480,000 as agreed on by the Budget Committee.
3. The Budget Committee will consider how to better identify the starting base by illustrations or in some other manner they might consider to better delineate both the necessity for flexibility in the use of appropriations and to get at what is exactly involved in this terminology.

B. Enrollment Change

Estimates prepared by the three Regents universities indicate that full time equivalent enrollment will grow very slightly over the next three years. In fact, the total growth is expected to be less than 700 over the period. The picture is one of leveling off and reflects what the census figures and demographic charts have been predicting for some time -- an end to the major enrollment increases of the 1960's.
The meager nature of the increase is reflected in these growth figures by level of instruction over the 1972-73, 1973-74 and 1974-75 school years; lower division FTE enrollments will increase only 262; upper division will lose 69; the masters level will grow by 292; advanced graduate will increase by 26 and professional will rise by 179. A mixture of forces contribute to the situation including a stabilized high school graduating population, the slowing of the Viet Nam war, pressures of the economy and the job market.

The FTE student at the University of Iowa and University of Northern Iowa is a theoretical one who takes 31 semester hours a year. At Iowa State University, which is on the quarter system, this theoretical student takes 15.5 quarter hours per quarter. The figure is arrived at by taking the total number of credit hours carried in the fall, spring and summer terms and dividing by the designated number of semester or quarter hours. The resulting figure is very useful in determining unit costs and is provided here for the Board to consider as part of its budget deliberations.

The FTE enrollment increases while small do reflect some increased cost. The cost increase would be $847,434 in the 1973-74 school year over the school year of 1972-73. Cost would increase again by an estimated $801,640 in the 1974-75 school year. These increments are arrived at by using the estimated unit cost for the 1971-72 year and multiplying it by the estimated FTE enrollment increase for each of the school years. Upper division masters and professional students carry a higher unit cost than lower division students. Therefore, a drop in lower division enrollments and an equal increase in higher level students will result in a rise in budget.

Among the factors affecting graduate enrollment are: Draft Reductions; The Viet Nam War; Employment and the Economy; The Role of Women; Federal Funds.

Based upon these and other factors the three universities are expecting very little...
change in graduate FTE enrollment in the coming three-year period. Increases in FTE enrollment in Advanced Graduate is almost non-existent.

Although the FTE enrollment increase is not large, it is not unimportant. Even with such a slight increase the additional cost is estimated at nearly $2 million. And although the rapid increase of enrollments in the 1960's appears to be over, a stable FTE enrollment does not mean that the mix of enrollment is stable or will remain so.

The estimated fall head count figures are conservative when compared with the estimates of the Midwest Research Institute report last year to the Higher Education Facilities Commission. This report predicted total head count enrollment of 52,180 in 1972 compared with the 49,545 estimated by Regents' universities; MRI predicted 53,762 in 1973 compared with 49,900 predicted by Regents' universities; and it predicted 53,298 in 1974 compared with 50,250 predicted by Regents' universities.

The relationship between fall head count and FTE can be affected by many factors among which are changing patterns of part time vs. full time enrollment, examination for credit, changes in the number credit hours required for a degree and popularity of summer courses. Heavy summer school utilization will raise the FTE enrollment figure.

The Budget Committee recommended the title be changed to "Enrollment Change" rather than "Enrollment Increase". A Board member suggested that the data presented to the legislature should indicate the number of times these projections are reviewed and that they are made as a result of interinstitutional review not by an individual institution. There was then extensive discussion on dropping this category as a separate budget item. President Parks commented that enrollment projections indicated little relative growth over the next several years and that therefore this category...
had much less importance than in previous years. He suggested that it might be well to drop this category of the askings while we were still anticipating some growth rather than to continue it and perhaps drop it at a time when enrollments might show some decline. He felt that the position of the Board might be stronger by taking the action now.

MOTION: Mr. Shaw moved that the separate category of enrollment change be dropped as a direct factor in budget formulation but reflect significant matters from this category elsewhere in the budget askings in an appropriate place. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Collison.

President Boyd commented on the motion by stating that it would be difficult at the University of Iowa to handle its needs for expansion of enrollments in the professional schools if the enrollment change category were discontinued. The special needs category is not designed to include needs based on enrollment growth unless some special provision were made for an additional inclusion in that segment of the askings. Consequently, he stated that dropping enrollment change as a category would be a mistake. Some members of the Board indicated agreement. Others suggested that the Board might review actual enrollments as compared to estimates upon which the budget request was based and make appropriate adjustments as indicated.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: Collison, Shaw. The motion failed 2 - 7
C. Academic Salaries.

The universities proposed that the budget requests of the Board of Regents for academic salaries in fiscal years 1973-74 and 1974-75 be based on attainment of third place by faculty rank among comparable institutions in the eleven-state midwest area (seven, in the case of UNI). This policy including annual growth for maintenance of that competitive position would require for all units an estimated budget addition of $14.9 million in 1973-74 and a further increase of $6.1 million in 1974-75. Tables were enclosed that showed the amounts for each institution by unit.

This recommendation has as its premise that a better than average salary scale is needed to attract and retain the type of creative, dedicated and productive staff that is required for better than average instruction. Regent institutions are in direct competition with their counterparts in this area as well as the nation as a whole for this type of faculty member. A relatively conservative approach to salaries is built into the policy because it doesn't take into consideration the higher paying institutions on the east and west coasts. Moreover, the eleven-state area includes some institutions that have low salary scales.

The adjustments of salaries in each fiscal year include the amounts required to reach third place as well as to maintain the position. The latter are based on analyses of salary trends since 1955-56 and the expected adjustments by Big Ten universities in 1972-73.

A listing of average salaries of Regents' faculties as compared to third place averages was included for the colleges of education and of liberal arts (or their counterparts). Third place salaries for the institutions with which UNI compares are generally close to or in some cases higher than those to which ISU and SUI compare. The only significant exceptions are at the assistant professor level in the college of education and professors in liberal arts. UNI has been requested to review its procedures for gathering the data.
Executive Secretary Richey informed the Board that there was a possible inequity in terms of the institutions and the states used for establishing third place rank. He stated that the states utilized by the University of Northern Iowa were heavily loaded with high paying institutions from only seven states and he, therefore, suggested that the Board ask the University of Northern Iowa to recalculate their 3rd place requirements using the most comparable institutions in an 11 or 12 state area including Nebraska, Missouri, Kansas and South Dakota as done by Iowa and Iowa State. This would give a more comparable benchmark check as they relate to third place. It was noted that the Board had initially approved this set of institutions. President Kamerick stated he would attempt to find comparable institutions and resubmit those calculations to the Board at the next meeting.

Following is a list of the Midwest Institutions used to compute third place analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>SUI</th>
<th>ISU</th>
<th>UNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Ball State Univ. (Muncie)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indiana Univ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indiana State Univ. (Terre Haute)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Purdue Univ.</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Western Illinois Univ. (Macomb)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northern Illinois Univ. (DeKalb)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Illinois Univ. (Charleston)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illinois State Univ. (Normal)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univ. of Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>Kent State Univ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowling Green State Univ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>Univ. of Kansas</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kansas State Univ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Central Michigan Univ. (Mount Pleasant)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Michigan Univ. (Ypsilanti)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univ. of Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michigan State Univ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Mankato State College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univ. of Minnesota</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>Univ. of Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Univ. of Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>North Dakota State Univ.</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univ. of North Dakota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In further discussion on academic salaries, Mr. Christensen stated he had been keeping track of resignations at Iowa State University and they had 41 since last July; 34 of these have gone to other universities and colleges at higher salaries ranging from $1,000 to $6,000 difference. Iowa State has not been able to compete in salaries and this affects the quality of people and the quality of education they can provide.

There followed a discussion of catch up, keep up and ways of reducing the academic salary needs by budgeting salary savings under the category in which such savings are eventually expended.

Suggestions were made as follows:

1. A Board member suggested applying 5 1/2% across the Board on existing salaries and work into this figure the number of people aspect.

2. It was suggested that the institutions compile figures two ways;
   a. Use 5 1/2% per year for catch-up less salary increases in 1971-72 and 1972-73 and 5 1/2% per year for keep up in 1973-74 and 1974-75;
   b. Use 5 1/2% per year as a keep-up figure for 1973-74 and 1974-75 above the 1972-73 salaries as a starting base.

President Redeker stated that the Board was asking the institutions to make an effort to use some other criteria in developing salary figures and that the Board does not want them to feel restricted. He commented further that while the Board understands the basis for the proposed figures, they feel they are too high.

3. It was suggested it might be desirable to hold a meeting between the May and June meeting where the Board could sit down and discuss all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>SUI</th>
<th>ISU</th>
<th>UNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>Univ. of South Dakota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Dakota State Univ.</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Wisconsin State Univ. (LaCrosse)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
major portions of the budget including a seminar-type discussion on specifics.

In conclusion on academic salaries, the Board requested detailed information on salaries being paid nationally for academic positions. The AAUP annual survey was viewed as a good analytical tool for this purpose.

D. RR&A

The universities propose that the budget requests of the Board of Regents for RR&A in fiscal years 1973-74 and 1974-75 be based on the amounts appropriated in 1951-52, the change in the Building Cost Index since 1951-52, and the change in building space since 1951-52. The proposal would require an increase in the amount of $3,113,000 for fiscal 1973-74 and a further increase of $264,000 in fiscal 1974-75. The amounts of the increases for each university are as follows including corrections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>1973-74</th>
<th>1974-75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
<td>$1,435,000</td>
<td>$1,435,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa State University</td>
<td>$1,170,000</td>
<td>$1,170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Northern Iowa</td>
<td>$508,000</td>
<td>$508,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,113,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,113,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposal is founded on the premise that the line item appropriation for this purpose in 1951-52 was the appropriate amount, that it was equitably distributed among the three universities at that time, and that a similar distribution would still be equitable today. The calculations for the three universities are recapitulated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SUI</th>
<th>ISU</th>
<th>UNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1951-52 Appropriation</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951-52 Space in Sq. Ft.</td>
<td>2,133,978</td>
<td>2,418,479</td>
<td>636,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951-52 Appropriation per Sq. Ft.</td>
<td>14.06¢</td>
<td>12.4¢</td>
<td>19.65¢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation per Sq. Ft., updated to 1972</td>
<td>39.0¢</td>
<td>34.5¢</td>
<td>54.6¢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space in Sq. Ft. - January 1974</td>
<td>5,110,298</td>
<td>4,841,248</td>
<td>1,331,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR&amp;A Need</td>
<td>$1,993,016</td>
<td>$1,670,230</td>
<td>$726,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 1972-73 Budget</td>
<td>$558,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$218,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase requested per year</td>
<td>$1,435,016</td>
<td>$1,170,230</td>
<td>$507,808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The need for RR&A budgets in the proposed amounts is considered urgent by the universities to avoid accelerated deterioration of the physical plant, particularly in view of the limited budgets in recent years.

Extensive discussion followed. The question of using the 1951-52 year approach as a valid method for arriving at the requested budget was discussed. Some members of the Board felt that this was an artificial concept which didn't reflect real need and felt that there should be a better method of presentation and several suggestions were made for recalculation.

a. Use an average figure, perhaps about 20¢ per square foot, and apply to all square footage on the campus.

b. Use the same approach (20¢ per sq. ft.) but limited to buildings built prior to 1962 since new buildings did not require as much remodeling.

c. Use the proposed formula method but subtract out all square footage added in the past ten years.

d. Finally, the Board asked the Space Committee to consider the matter for model application and also if the HEFC study could be utilized.

President Redeker suggested the Committee apply these suggestions in addition to any others they might have, and see what those figures would yield.

It was further suggested in order to avoid any more delay the Committee should submit 2 or 3 alternative for the Boards' consideration on all budget items discussed at future meetings.
EXECUTIVE SESSION. President Redeker announced that there were seven matters to be considered in Executive Session; six personnel matters for the University of Iowa and one personnel matter for the University of Northern Iowa. In roll call vote on whether the Board should resolve itself into executive session, the vote was as follows:

AYE: Bailey, Baldridge, Collison, McCartney, Perrin, Petersen, Shaw, Wallace, Redeker

NAY: None

ABSENT: None

The Board having voted in the affirmative by at least a 2/3 majority, resolved itself into Executive Session at 4:56 p.m. and arose therefrom at 5:55 p.m.

The following business pertaining to General or Miscellaneous items was transacted on Friday, April 14, 1972.

FACILITIES STUDY QUARTERLY REPORT. The Board Office suggested the following schedule for the development of capital askings:

March 29 - Report by Facilities Committee to interinstitutional budget group on the model.

By April 10 - Institutions requested to forward to Board Office their initial lists of projects, in priority order, for the 1973-75 askings.

April 21 - Meeting of Space Committee at Board Office to review lists and to begin consideration of guidelines on 1973-75 askings to propose to inter-institutional budget group (Toledo). Progress report to be made to full committee at its April or early May meeting.

May 8 - Meeting of Space Committee to develop detailed askings for presentation to the interinstitutional committee.

Late May Meeting of Interinstitutional Budget Committee - Adoption of Capital Askings and initial consideration of Tuition Replacement Askings.

June Board Meeting - Presentation to Board on Capital and Tuition Replacement.

July Board Meeting - Final action by Board on both Capital Askings, method of Funding and Tuition Replacement.

Recently facilities planning personnel from each institution submitted a report to
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It was pointed out by a Board member that there was need for a long-range bonding plan. He stated that by bonding they were not only mortgaging the future but heavy tuition replacement needs would also clearly limit funds available for operating purposes. Another member suggested that all possible ways of utilizing facilities to hold down new capital requirements should be considered.

President Boyd stated that increased utilization could create a bunching-up problem in certain areas. One of the implications would be in changing medical education from 4 to 3 years through summer work. He also pointed out that there are students who must have outside jobs to support their education and cannot be year-round students. President Parks stated that conceptually it is fine to utilize these facilities in the summer months, but it requires more operating money. Concern was expressed over heavy debt service commitments for bonds and the assumptions made for tuition replacement appropriations. It was noted that tuition replacement appropriations had been provided by the legislature for three consecutive sessions and it was assumed that this was an ongoing commitment.

Another Board member pointed out that a substantial portion of the 10 year capital needs were in "nuts and bolts" items such as utilities, remodeling and service facilities.

ACTIONS OF STATE MERIT COMMISSION ON REGENTS RULES. Executive Secretary Richey advised the Board that certain minor changes had been suggested by the State Merit Commission. He also mentioned that a major difference related to the date of granting merit raises, whether it should be on anniversary date of employment or at the beginning of the fiscal year. This matter was being discussed interinstitutionally and would be brought to the Board for action. A request was made by a member of the Board for a report on the kind of consultation that has gone on with the personnel being affected by those rules and the amount of input there is from each level so affected.
FRINGE BENEFIT INSURANCE CONTRACTS. The following is in response to information requested by the Board. All of the contracts are written on an annual basis with automatic renewal subject to revisions negotiated between the university and the carrier, or termination by either party. The dates in parenthesis indicate the beginning dates of the current contracts. While bids were requested either publicly or from representative companies for initial contracts, no bidding procedures have been followed since the dates indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Carrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>Life Insurance (7/53)</td>
<td>Bankers Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>Disability Ins., Waiver of Premium Ins. (on retirement benefits), and Accidental Death and Dismemberment Ins. (7/62)</td>
<td>Bankers Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>Hospitalization and Surgical Ins. (including major medical) (1/69)</td>
<td>Bankers Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUI</td>
<td>Life and Disability Ins. (7/50)</td>
<td>Bankers Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUI</td>
<td>Waiver of Premium Ins. (on retirement benefits) (7/60)</td>
<td>T.I.A.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUI</td>
<td>Hospitalization and Medical Ins. (1/44)</td>
<td>Blue Cross-Blue Shield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUI</td>
<td>Major Medical Ins. (1/66)</td>
<td>Bankers Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>Life Insurance (7/68)</td>
<td>Bankers Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>Disability Insurance and Waiver of Premium Ins. (7/69)</td>
<td>Bankers Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>Hospitalization, Medical and Major Medical Ins. (2/70)</td>
<td>Blue Cross-Blue Shield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was suggested that perhaps these contracts should be put out for bid since many are of long standing. It was noted that there is a problem of vested interest in certain contracts and additional costs could be involved in shifting too frequently. The Board requested a detailed report from the institutions as to the commissions paid under the contracts, number of claims paid, premiums paid, etc. for each contract. All institutions responded that they were given a disclosure statement each year on each contract which is studied by committees at the institutions and that this information could be supplied to the Board without any difficulty. The Board agreed to reconsider the subject after receipt of the
initial reports.

64TH G.A. SECOND SESSION. The 64th G.A., Second Session, began January 10, 1972, and adjourned 75 calendar days later on March 24. During that time span 518 new bills were introduced. Also the legislature carried over some 869 bills from the first session of the 64th G.A. The Board Office followed through from introduction to the end of the 64th G.A. 73 different bills and resolutions introduced during the second session and 112 different bills carried over from the first session. A complete report detailing final action on all these bills will be sent out the week following the Board meeting.

Brief mention was given to 18 bills and resolutions which were designated as bills having some impact on the Regents and institutional operations. All 18 bills will become law July 1, 1972. Further reports on implementation of a number of these bills will be docketed in the months to come.

Action was recommended on H.C.R. 121 which urges the Board of Regents to investigate the possibility of establishing a College of Criminal Justice for the purpose of preparing professional law enforcement personnel.

ACTION: It was recommended and agreed upon by the Board that the Educational Coordination Committee conduct this study.

A bill to alter pharmacy internships was discussed and suggestion made that it should have been non-controversial but that it did not see action. Executive Secretary Richey stated it would be brought in again next fall for consideration by the Regents as would certain other unresolved matters such as workmen's compensation for agricultural workers and students as special security officers.

A member of the Board suggested the Board study how to improve the review of bills prior to Board meetings. The Board should vote on the legislation to go on record on certain legislative proposals. There should be closer contact during
the legislative session, perhaps by use of conference phone calls, with representatives of the press included, in order that the Board could openly discuss the issues.

It was pointed out that amendments very often receive quick action and the Board has not had time to respond to many of these. It was this member's opinion he did not want conveyed the idea that prior decisions were necessarily the decision of the present board. In general, a full review of the Boards' legislative approach prior to the 1973 session was requested. It should include review of communications with all constituencies. It was also suggested all board meetings be held in Des Moines during legislative sessions. Executive Secretary Richey stated that a full report would be presented to the Board on these subjects for its consideration this fall.

COORDINATING COUNCIL. Regent Petersen reported that the Coordinating Council had met on April 4 and discussed three matters dealing with new programs at other institutions. Two programs at Drake; one for Master Degree in Public Administration. There was no opposition to the proposal. The second proposal was for a Bachelor of General Studies degree. The Council moved to accept the report by Drake. A proposal was distributed from Coe College for expanding a program for a Bachelor of Nursing degree, which does not follow National League for Nursing guidelines and will not be approved by them. The report was accepted without objection but with the understanding that the student will understand it is a trial program and will not be receiving a recognized degree. Mrs. Petersen felt the Council was getting the idea across to institutions to bring these matters of new programs and degrees in before they were finalized.

Mrs. Petersen further reported they heard Dr. Wm. Scarborough, Program Officer Higher Education Facilities, Kansas City Regional Office, USOE. Dr. Scarborough
spoke about the higher education legislation which is now being considered by
the House-Senate conference committee. He outlined the various national
agencies involved in education and mentioned that one of the difficulties in
handling these matters was proper coordination between various programs and
operations. He mentioned three trouble spots, these being women, blacks and
the underprivileged.

REPORT ON H.E.F.C. ACTIVITIES - STUDENT FLOW STUDY. The Board Office reported that
the Higher Education Facilities Commission on April 5 approved an earlier Board
request for an intra-state student flow study. The HEFC office will prepare
an official request for $7,699 to be sent to the U. S. Office of Education by
the end of this month.

The study is designed to present a picture of transfer activity between educational
jurisdictions. It will answer questions as to how many students and at what level
are transferring from which private colleges and universities in Iowa to Regents
universities.

Work is expected to begin on the project following fall enrollment this year.
The completed study could be ready late in 1972 or early 1973. The original
letter to HEFC from the Board office was given to the Board. The Regents Committee
on Educational Relations will be asked to participate in designing the study.

In regard to the Board office letter to Mr. Norman Kladstrup detailing the study,
a Board member requested information as to why students were transferring and
what counseling and guidance was offered to the transferree. Another member
was disturbed that the "why" of transferring was not answered and felt that
perhaps this information could be obtained from the registrars and counselors
of the receiving institutions.
In oral report, Regent Bailey reported on progress in the scholarship and tuition grant programs. He stated that there were 5,000 applicants for state scholarships; 1903 were designated as state scholars; 268 scholars received some sort of financial aid, the average scholarship being $546. Of the total students so awarded scholarships, 41% went to Regent Institutions; 37% of the aid went to these students. He stated that Iowa State had 60 students with $28,400; SUI 37 with $9,350; UNI 12 with $6,600; Drake 34 with $20,000; Luther 19 with $11,000; Morningside 10 with $6,000 and Wartburg 13 with $8,000. As far as the tuition grant program was concerned, there were approximately 11,000 applicants with 9,358 of these certified as eligible to date. He noted that parental contribution had changed from the $0 to $960 of a year ago to $0 - $610 at the present time. Finally, he mentioned the computer program started by HEFC and partially completed at the University of Iowa relative to budget preparation. Mr. Heffner remarked that initial findings showed that while this might be an excellent technique for smaller schools he did not feel it would be applicable to institutions the size of the Regent institutions, and suggested he could provide further analysis of this. Regent Bailey stated he would be interested in this analysis for presentation to the HEFC.

COMMUNITY PUBLIC SERVICES SUPPORTED JOINTLY BY REGENTS' INSTITUTIONS AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS. Regents' institutions, in lieu of property taxes, pay almost $950,000 annually to local governmental units in direct payments for services provided by the local units. Property tax payers in university-situated communities often have the erroneous belief that they are subsidizing the existence of a university in that community through payment of additional property taxes.

The Board requested a report on how Regents' institutions pay their way in communities in which they are located. Attached was a report from each institution detailing those services for which payments are made. These reports are on file in the Board office.
Joint Activities Supported Financially by Direct Payments

The institutional reports show direct financial support by Regents' institutions of nine city services. These include hydrant rental, sewage treatment, fire protection, sanitary land fill, electricity, water, gas, police and trash collection. Total annual support amounts to almost $800,000.

All five institutions pay directly for sewage treatment. In addition, sewage treatment facilities and lines which serve Regent campuses were either partially or wholly constructed with state funds for ISU, SUI, IBSSS, and ISD. At ISD, the City received as a gift in 1969 a sewer line which cost $66,000 to construct when the City annexed the school. At ISU, direct state support for capital construction by the City of Ames is part of the annual contract between the City and the University.

Fire protection has been an excellent example of City-University relationships. Besides annual payments for on-going fire protection by the cities, the universities have, in the past and continue to do so at UNI, contributed heavily to expansion of fire protection and to capitalization of new equipment. Approximately $260,000 annually is paid out by the universities for fire protection. ISD and IBSSS receive fire protection at no cost. ISD has been beneficiary of free service only for 1971 as, in previous years, such protection was contractually arranged. The City of Council Bluffs, for the past two years, has not asked for a fee for this service claiming this is a service to be provided with annexation.

For electricity, water and gas, the institutions are treated like any commercial customer and pay full cost of services received.

Another category is that of payments to the public schools of full tuition charges for children living on university property and attending any of the local schools. SUI and ISU paid out a total of $171,000 in tuition during 1970-71.
Joint Activities in which Institutions and Local Units Work Together

The universities and cities have many other cooperative relationships. Activities of involvement include:

- Urban renewal in Iowa City
- County and regional planning
- Water plant interconnections
- Use of institutional facilities by civic and school groups, such as swimming pools
- Easements - The granting of easements by the universities to the cities has permitted orderly expansion of the cities. Some 33 such agreements are in effect in Ames; 11 at UNI.
- Leases for university land - Some 78 acres at ISU are leased to the City for park facilities; 10 acres at IBSSS are leased to the City for park purposes.
- Joint studies - On-going studies include those on refuse and waste disposal at Iowa City and a water system study at Ames.

The University also provides tangible and intangible benefits to the local units in such areas as --

- Reduction in local police costs through having separate security services on many local groups and committees by institutional personnel.
- Subsidy of the Cedar Falls School District by the UNI Price Laboratory School

It was suggested that this information should be included in the Board's monthly report, in an effort to improve public relations to show that the institutions do pay their share.

RETIREMENT STUDY. Regent McCartney requested that a study be made of provisions available for the support of academic and non-academic personnel who have been faithful servants of the Regents during the years where low or non-existent benefits were extended. He mentioned that this had been taken into account by the State in other areas of the government and would like to know what a reasonable solution might be if indeed a problem does exist. Another member requested expansion of the report to include information on the entire retirement program at Regent institutions.

FAMILY PRACTICE PROGRAM. A request was made for a complete report at a future meeting on the Family Practice Program from Dr. Robert E. Rakel, Prof. and Head
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of Family Practice at S.U.I.

BOARD OFFICE PERSONNEL REGISTER. The Personnel Register for the month of March, 1972 contained no items.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS: The following items were presented to the Board for their information:


NEXT MEETINGS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 11 - 12</td>
<td>Iowa State University</td>
<td>Ames</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15-16</td>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
<td>Iowa City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 13 - 14</td>
<td>University of Northern Iowa</td>
<td>Cedar Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 14 - 15</td>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
<td>Iowa City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 12 - 13</td>
<td>Iowa School for the Deaf</td>
<td>Council Bluffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 9</td>
<td>University of Northern Iowa</td>
<td>Cedar Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 10</td>
<td>Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School</td>
<td>Vinton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14 - 15</td>
<td>Iowa State University</td>
<td>Ames</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 11 - 12 '73</td>
<td>Board Office</td>
<td>Des Moines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADVANCE SCHEDULE.

a. May - Budget Policies for 1973-75
   - Effect of Change in Age of Majority
   - Report on Unemployment Compensation Program
   - Ford Case
   - Report on Transfer of Credits from Area Schools to State Universities

b. June - Report on Allocations of Student Fees and Guidelines
   - Budget Policies for 1973-75 including Capital and Tuitions
   - Faculty Workload Reports

c. July - Final Decisions on Budgets for 1973-75

EXECUTIVE SESSION. President Redeker announced there was a personnel matter to be considered in Executive Session. In roll call vote on whether the Board should resolve itself into executive session, the vote was as follows:

AYE: Bailey, Collison, McCartney, Perrin, Petersen, Shaw, Wallace, Redeker

NAY: None

ABSENT: Baldridge

The Board having voted in the affirmative by at least a 2/3 majority, resolved itself into Executive Session at 3:45 p.m. and arose therefrom at 4:45 p.m.
The following business pertaining to the University of Iowa was transacted on Thursday, April 13, 1972.

EXPANSION OF ENROLLMENT - S.U.I. COLLEGE OF LAW. The University presented the Board with a report on the Expansion of Enrollment in the University of Iowa College of Law (Use of Summer Session). Total enrollment at the Iowa Law School has risen from 340 in 1961 to over 500 this year. Primarily because of the limits imposed by the size of the School's building, the number of students permitted to enter the School each year has been pegged at 180. Increasing number of highly qualified applicants have been denied admission to the Iowa Law School. Consideration has been given to ways in which the School's capacity might be stretched to meet more of the demand for legal education. One feasible means of expanding the opportunity for admission is to make greater and more efficient use of the summer session. Up to 45 additional students (an increase of 25% over the 180 now admitted) could be admitted to commence their law studies in the summer session. These summer entrants, by continuing their studies on essentially a year-round basis for the succeeding two years, could complete their law studies a full academic year sooner than those who attend under the customary three-year pattern.

To accommodate the students who commence their law studies in the summer, it will be necessary to offer at least ten semester credit hours of first year offerings in the summer session. For their second and third summers, twenty to twenty-four hours of upper class elective would have to be made available. The summer session would run approximately eleven weeks.

It would also be necessary to have additional full-time faculty in order to meet the increased pressure of having 45 more first-year students in the regular academic year and 85 more students overall.
There are a number of potential dangers which must be taken into account before embarking on such a program. The most substantial danger, it is believed, is the overloading of a physical facility that is already overtaxed. It is also inevitable that some scheduling difficulties will be experienced by those students attempting to "compress" the period of their legal education. It is believed, however, that the cost and potential dangers of embarking on such a program are far outweighed by the benefits to be secured.

The greatest advantage is that the doors of the Iowa Law School will be opened to 45 additional students each year. Another advantage is that students will be given an additional option as to how they might structure the time frame of their law school careers. The opportunity to complete law school in 26 months is consistent with the current urging of "less time, more options".

Another attractive feature of this program is that it will allow the Law School to increase its productivity by 25 percent with less than a 10 percent increment to its budget.

Most importantly, if the program proves unmanageable or creates too severe a burden on the Law School's facilities, or if the demand for legal education drops precipitously the program could be easily dismantled.

Lawrence E. Blades, Dean of the Law School, was present for discussion of the report. Questions were asked by the Board as to overtaxing of the facilities and the cost factor. Dean Blades remarked that one advantage of the program would be that there is no expansion of facilities required and that there were gains in economy-of-scale. He stated that as to overtaxing of the facilities, they won't know until they have tried the program and if it is found to be a burden, the program can be discontinued without any problem.

A member of the Board asked what restrictions were on out of state students.
Dean Blades stated that in past years they have had around 15 to 20% non-resident students; however it jumped to 33% last year and that they have decided to cut back down to a quota of 20% non-residents.

MOTION: Mr. Baldridge moved the Board accept the report with commendation to Dean Blades and his staff for taking this action. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and was passed unanimously.

COLLEGE OF LAW SUMMER TUITION. The University requested approval of the schedule of fees for the College of Law Summer Tuition. These rates represent one-third of the full-time tuition and fees for the regular academic year, $710 and $1,270 respectively. The new ten-week summer program will make it possible to complete the three-academic-year program in law by attending three ten-week summer sessions plus the two intervening nine-month academic years.

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved the Board approve the following schedule of fees for law students who enroll for a full load in the ten-week summer session to be offered for the first time, summer session 1972:

- Residents $237
- Nonresidents $423

The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen, and was passed unanimously.

The following business pertaining to the University of Iowa was transacted on Friday, April 14, 1972.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of March, 1972 were approved.

RESIGNATION. The University recommended approval of the following resignation:

Alvin H. Scaff, Dean of Advanced Studies in the Graduate College, to accept appointment as Alumni Excellence Professor and Head of the Department of Sociology and Anthropology at the University of North Carolina, effective June 30, 1972.

In the absence of objection, President Redeker declared the resignation approved.
APPOINTMENT. The University recommended approval of the following appointment:

Sidney E. Ziffren as Professor and Head of the Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, effective March 23, 1972, at his present salary as Acting Head.

In the absence of objection, President Redeker declared the appointment approved.

SPECIAL SECURITY OFFICER. The University requested special security officer status for the following:

Richard Brookhaus
Harold Laing
John Horning

The individuals named above are fully qualified to be commissioned as special security officers. All three persons comply with the Board policy amended in December, 1971. Of the current staff, SUI has 37.6 positions authorized in its security force. With the officers above, 27 will have been commissioned as special security officers. All three officers have completed the recruit course at the Iowa Law Enforcement Academy.

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved for Board commissioning of Richard Brookhaus, Harold Laing, John Horning, as permanent special security officers at the University of Iowa. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen, and was passed unanimously.

OFFICIAL CALENDAR 1972-73 and 1973-74. The University submitted for consideration proposed calendar for the University of Iowa for 1972-73 and 1973-74. The calendar as presented was:
## OFFICIAL CALENDAR
THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
for 1972-73 and 1973-74

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUMMER SESSION</th>
<th>1972</th>
<th>1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registration for summer session, 8:00 a.m.</td>
<td>June 5 Monday</td>
<td>June 4 Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Classes, 7:00 a.m.</td>
<td>June 6 Tuesday</td>
<td>June 5 Tuesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Holiday, offices closed</td>
<td>July 4 Tuesday</td>
<td>July 4 Wednesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close of summer session classes, 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>July 28 Friday</td>
<td>July 27 Friday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Independent Study Unit for Law and Graduate Students</td>
<td>July 31 Monday</td>
<td>July 30 Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close of Independent Study Unit</td>
<td>August 25 Friday</td>
<td>August 24 Friday</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRST SEMESTER</th>
<th>1972-73</th>
<th>1973-74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of Registration, 1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>August 28 Monday</td>
<td>August 27 Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Classes, 7:30 a.m.</td>
<td>August 31 Thursday</td>
<td>August 30 Thursday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Holiday, offices closed</td>
<td>September 4 Monday</td>
<td>September 3 Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homecoming, classes suspended except for classes meeting on Saturdays only</td>
<td>October 28 Saturday</td>
<td>October 27 Saturday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thanksgiving Recess, 10:00 p.m.</td>
<td>November 22 Wednesday</td>
<td>November 21 Wednesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University holiday, offices closed</td>
<td>November 23 Thursday</td>
<td>November 22 Thursday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November 24 Friday</td>
<td>November 23 Friday</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resumption of Classes
7:30 a.m.

Close of First Semester classes,
10:00 p.m.

Mercy Day

Beginning of Examination Week
7:30 a.m.

Close of Examination Week

University Holiday,
offices closed

University Holiday,
offices closed

SECOND SEMESTER

Beginning of Registration,
8:00 a.m.

Opening of Classes,
7:30 a.m.

Foundation Day

Beginning of Spring Vacation,
10:00 p.m.

Saturday Classes Only Meet

Resumption of Classes,
7:30 a.m.

Close of Second Semester Classes

Mercy Day

Beginning of Examination Week,
7:30 a.m.

November 27  November 26
Monday   Monday

December 14  December 13
Thursday   Thursday

December 15  December 14
Friday     Friday

December 16  December 15
Saturday   Saturday

December 22  December 21
Friday     Friday

December 25  December 24
Monday     Monday

December 26  December 25
Tuesday    Tuesday

January 1       January 1
Monday     Tuesday

1972-73

1973-74

January 11  January 10
Thursday   Thursday

January 15  January 14
Monday     Monday

February 25  February 25
Sunday     Saturday

March 9       March 8
Friday      Friday

March 10  March 9
Saturday   Saturday

March 19  March 18
Monday     Monday

May 4       May 3
Friday      Friday

May 7       May 6
Monday     Monday

May 8       May 7
Tuesday    Tuesday
Close of Examination Week

May 16
Wednesday

May 15
Wednesday

University Commencement,
9:30 a.m.

May 25
Friday

May 24
Friday

University Holiday,
offices closed

May 28
Monday

May 27
Monday

SUMMER SESSION

Registration for Summer Session,
8:00 a.m.

June 4
Monday

June 3
Monday

Opening of Classes, 7:00 a.m.

June 5
Tuesday

June 4
Tuesday

University Holiday,
offices closed

July 4
Wednesday

July 4
Thursday

Close of Summer Session classes,
5:00 p.m.

July 27
Friday

July 26
Friday

Opening of Independent Study Unit
for Law and Graduate students

July 30
Monday

July 29
Monday

Close of Independent Study Unit

August 24
Friday

August 23
Friday

University Holiday,
offices closed

September 3
Monday

September 2
Monday

The Board noted that these two years represented a significant change in
calendar for the University and Board members commended the University and in
particular Mr. Hubbard for his work on these changes. A Board member expressed
objection to the use of "Mercy Day" and President Boyd stated he would seek
alternative language for inclusion in the official calendar.

MOTION:

Mrs. Petersen moved approval of the
official calendar for the University of
Iowa for 1972-73 and 1973-74 as presented.
The motion was seconded by Mrs. Collison,
and was passed unanimously.
STUDENT TEACHING AGREEMENT. The University requested Board approval of student teaching agreement with the Dubuque County School System.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved that the Board approve the student teaching agreement for the 1971-72 academic year with the Dubuque County School System. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Collison, and was passed unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period February 28 through March 31, 1972, had been filed with him, was in order, and was recommended for approval. The following construction contracts were recommended for approval:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>Awardee</th>
<th>Type of Contract</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stadium Turf</td>
<td>Iowa Road Builders Co. General</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>$193,081.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakdale Hosp. Adm. Wing</td>
<td>AAA Mechanical Contr. Iowa City, Iowa</td>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>31,061.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Hall</td>
<td>Schumacher Elevator Co. Elevator Repl. Denver, Iowa</td>
<td>Elevator Repl.</td>
<td>50,570.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruben H. Flocks Prostatic Dis. Center</td>
<td>Burger Construction Co. General Iowa City, Iowa</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>74,486.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>AAA Mechanical Contr. Iowa City, Iowa</td>
<td>Piping</td>
<td>67,351.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>Universal Climate Control Mechanical Iowa City, Iowa</td>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>12,650.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>O'Brien Electrical Contr. Electrical Iowa City, Iowa</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>24,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>Awardee</td>
<td>TYPE OF CONTRACT</td>
<td>ITEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Building</td>
<td>Barkers, Inc.</td>
<td>Demo. &amp; Earth Work</td>
<td>$79,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Burt Green Const. Co.</td>
<td>Storm sewer</td>
<td>48,050.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Iowa Road Builders</td>
<td>Lot Paving</td>
<td>104,220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>R. T. Powell Const. Co.</td>
<td>Curb &amp; Gutter</td>
<td>56,702.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Metro Pavers, Inc.</td>
<td>Street Paving</td>
<td>98,892.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Pleasant Valley Orchard Seeding &amp; Planting</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,790.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Shay Elec. Serv. Inc.</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>45,288.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Jed Products Co.</td>
<td>Parking Control</td>
<td>24,019.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Building Equip.</td>
<td>E &amp; I Cooperative Ser.</td>
<td>Fire Ext. &amp; Cart</td>
<td>1,730.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Colson Company</td>
<td>Platform Truck</td>
<td>157.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Globe Machinery &amp; Sup.</td>
<td>Hand Truck</td>
<td>48.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Globe Mchry. &amp; Supply</td>
<td>Step Ladder</td>
<td>23.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Globe Mchry. &amp; Supply</td>
<td>Extension Ladder</td>
<td>72.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>E &amp; I Cooperative Serv.</td>
<td>Key Cabinet</td>
<td>96.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>E &amp; I Cooperative Serv.</td>
<td>Wastebaskets</td>
<td>122.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>E &amp; I Cooperative Serv.</td>
<td>Wastebaskets</td>
<td>78.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>E &amp; I Cooperative Serv.</td>
<td>Wastebaskets</td>
<td>78.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Longley Time Products</td>
<td>Time Clock w/ Counter</td>
<td>210.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>Awardee</th>
<th>Type of Contract</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Library Building Equip.</td>
<td>Bro-Dart</td>
<td></td>
<td>CBI Table</td>
<td>$718.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Williamsport, Pa.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Flexible Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coin-op Lockers</td>
<td>2,647.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Millersburg, Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Midwest Visual Ed. Ser.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Projector Table</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Triangle School Serv.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Roll Attachment</td>
<td>163.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Sioux Falls, S.D.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>MacKenzie Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draperies</td>
<td>233.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Cedar Rapids, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Sciences Bldg.</td>
<td>Arizona Automated Sys.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Frog &amp; Turtle Tanks</td>
<td>1,246.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Tempe, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Sargent Welch Scientif.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bunsen Burners</td>
<td>619.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Skokie, Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Sargent Welch Scientif.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fire Blankets</td>
<td>81.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Skokie, Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Iowa Fire Equip. Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fire Extinguishers</td>
<td>355.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Gilford Instrument Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>3,598.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Oberlin, Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Building Equip.</td>
<td>Airkem Sales Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>Carpet Shampooer</td>
<td>546.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Airkem Sales Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber-Vacuums</td>
<td>3,569.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Industrial Chem. Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Floor Machines</td>
<td>1,694.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Omaha, Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Industrial Chem. Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wet &amp; Dry Vacuums</td>
<td>719.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Omaha, Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>NuBall Mfg. Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upright Vacuums</td>
<td>756.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Baker Paper Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>Portable Vacuums</td>
<td>620.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Cedar Rapids, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>Awardee</td>
<td>TYPE OF CONTRACT</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Building Equipment</td>
<td>Paul O. Young Line Lexington, Pa.</td>
<td>Trash Carts</td>
<td>$ 527.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Superior Metal Ind. St. Paul, Minnesota</td>
<td>Waste Paper Disposals</td>
<td>933.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>MacKenzie Company Cedar Rapids, Iowa</td>
<td>Wall Ash Receptacles</td>
<td>1,185.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>NuBall Mfg. Co. Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td>Hand Vacuums</td>
<td>216.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Frohwein Supply Co. Iowa City, Iowa</td>
<td>Dental Opr. Chairs</td>
<td>41,899.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Sargent-Welch Scien. Skokie, Illinois</td>
<td>Microscopes</td>
<td>3,780.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Sargent-Welch Scien. Skokie, Illinois</td>
<td>Microscopes</td>
<td>9,540.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Sargent-Welch Scien. Skokie, Illinois</td>
<td>Optical Pkgs.</td>
<td>3,519.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Sargent-Welch Scien. Skokie, Illinois</td>
<td>Flat Fields</td>
<td>253.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Henry Louis, Inc. Iowa City, Iowa</td>
<td>Projectors, Leitz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Binocular Tubes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Power Supply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Eyepieces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Camera Attachment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Drawing Attachment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Microscope, Leitz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Darkfield Condenser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Lens Adapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Eyepieces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Filter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following revised budget was presented for approval:

**STADIUM TURF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preliminary</th>
<th>Revised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and supervision</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and site preparation</td>
<td>129,000.00</td>
<td>193,082.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnish and install artificial turf</td>
<td>226,000.00</td>
<td>226,191.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>13,727.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$393,000.00</td>
<td>$463,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: University of Iowa Foundation

Explanation: Tolerance limits specified by Monsanto for the rock and asphalt surfacing resulted in bid prices from both bidders higher than the amount budgeted. Tolerances in excess of those specified must be corrected by surface replacement rather than by patching.
The following new projects were presented for approval:

**GENERAL DENTISTRY PROJECT.**

**Preliminary Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Construction</td>
<td>$9,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Construction</td>
<td>9,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Construction</td>
<td>4,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor and Window Covering and Acoustical Ceiling</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Supervision</td>
<td>(1,200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$25,900</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: College of Dentistry Special Projects Grant (J063-1089). $25,900

(1) Charged to Account D480, therefore not included in total.

**Project Description**

This project consists of remodeling 600 square feet of space on second floor east of the General Hospital immediately adjacent to the existing Oral Surgery Clinic.

Work to be accomplished consists of relocating partitions and doorways, installation of plumbing and air conditioning facilities, vacuum and oxygen outlets, upgrading of electrical services and lighting, replacement and repair of floor covering and installation of acoustical ceiling and painting. This redevelopment will establish a General Dentistry Clinic consisting of four patient treatment modules and modest supporting facilities.

Inasmuch as no single portion of the labor costs of the project exceed $10,000, work will be accomplished by the Physical Plant work force or by quotation and purchase order or by a combination of these.

The University Architect's office is designated as the architect and inspection supervisor.

**ART CAMPUS LEVEE PUMP STATION.**

**Preliminary Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and supervision</td>
<td>$7,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>39,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>3,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$50,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: University RR & A
Project Description

The existing levee pumping station pit has been in existence for a number of years. Drainage from the Art Campus, North Riverside Drive and surrounding areas enters the pit through a storm line running under the Art Museum. The bottom of the sump in the station and the inherent level of the water in the sump allows the water to back up into this storm line and is causing seepage into the lower level of the Art Museum (Maytag Auditorium).

It is proposed under this project to construct a new pit, lowering the setting of the pumps, installation of larger motors on the two pumps necessitated by the additional pumping head, installation of larger electrical lines and other miscellaneous items necessary to complete the project.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and the inspection supervisor.

FIELD HOUSE -- REMODEL ROOM 310.

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and supervision</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General construction</td>
<td>8,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical construction</td>
<td>3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical construction</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painting</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: University RR & A

Project Description

The project includes construction of laboratory facilities for Biomechanics research. Work consists of construction of walls, installation of folding partitions, rearrangement of light fixtures, installation of electrical outlets, painting and other miscellaneous items incidental to the project.

Since no part of the work is over $10,000, it is proposed that the project be done by Physical Plant forces.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.
UNIVERSITY THEATRE - PARKING LOT AND SITE REDEVELOPMENT.

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and supervision</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>49,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting &amp; Electrical construction</td>
<td>15,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant materials</td>
<td>4,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$88,830</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: University RR&A

Project Description

The project includes asphaltic surfacing of the parking area west of the Theatre, drainage improvements, sidewalks, lighting, plant materials, loading dock renovation and renovation of the steps at the east entrance to the building.

The Physical Plant department is selected as the architect and inspection supervisor.

A discussion followed regarding the use of RR&A funds for parking lots. The members of the Board felt there should be investigation made into another source of funding for the project. Mr. Jolliffe explained that revenue from parking lots had been drained paying back dental building funds borrowed to construct the hospital parking ramp. Board members expressed concern over draining RR&A funds for this purpose. Extensive discussion followed in which the University was asked to consider all alternatives including endowment funds for any parking portion of this project.

MOTION:

Mr. Perrin moved the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period February 28 through March 31, 1972 be approved; the contracts shown be awarded; the revised budget be approved; the new projects shown above be approved with the exception of the Source of Funds for the University Theatre Parking Lot and Site Redevelopment; the Executive Secretary be authorized to sign the necessary documents. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace.

Mr. McCartney asked for a division of the motion.
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In voting on the approval of the capital register with the exception of the project for the University Theatre Parking Lot, the motion passed unanimously.

In voting on the approval of the University Theatre Parking Lot, but withholding approval on the Source of Funds, the following voted NAY: Wallace, McCartney

The motion carried.
INTERNATIONAL STUDENT COUNCIL LOAN FUND. The University requested approval to close out the International Student Council Loan Fund by transferring the fund balance to the Maner International Student Loan Fund. In 1934 the International Student Council Organization replaced the Cosmopolitan Club and the name of the loan fund was changed from Cosmopolitan Club Loan Fund to International Student Council Loan Fund. On September 24, 1948 the Finance Committee took the following action regarding the granting of loans from this fund:

"WHEREAS the terms of the original gift from the Cosmopolitan Club of the University in June, 1929 provides that no student loans are to be made from this fund except on an order signed by the duly elected officers of the Cosmopolitan Club, and that reports are to be made annually to the Cosmopolitan Club, and,

"WHEREAS the Cosmopolitan Club is no longer in existence,

"BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the Student Loan Committee of the University is hereby authorized to make loans to students from this fund with the approval of officers of the currently recognized Foreign Student organization on the campus of the State University of Iowa, and that annual reports be made to that organization. All other terms of the original gift are to be observed."

At the present time there is no recognized Foreign Student organization on the campus of the State University of Iowa to approve loans to students.

The new Maner International Student Loan Fund, established in March, 1971, also provides for loans to foreign students. By transferring the balance in the International Student Council Loan Fund, approximately $300, to the Maner Fund the use of these moneys will be continued as directed by the original donors to the Cosmopolitan Club; namely, "to create a loan fund to deserving foreign students registered in the University".

MOTION: Mrs. Petersen moved approval to close out the International Student Council Loan Fund by transferring the fund balance to the Maner International Student Loan Fund. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Collison, and was passed unanimously.
CHANGE IN CAMPUS PLANNING CONSULTANT. The University requested authorization for the Vice President for Business and Finance to sign a contract between the University of Iowa and Hodne/Stageberg Partners, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, for campus planning consulting service.

The preliminary long range campus plan prepared by Sasaki, Dawson, DeMay Associates, Inc., Watertown, Mass., in 1965 under a contract with the University needs to be reviewed and updated. In addition, there is need for other consultative services such as those outlined in the attached Schedule A. Although the plan developed by the Sasaki firm was generally satisfactory, it is considered advisable at this time to change consulting firms to provide a fresh approach to the changed conditions affecting campus planning.

The process of arriving at the recommendation of the Campus Architectural Selection Committee began last October with an original list of fifty-three (53) firms obtained from a variety of sources. Upon initial review, the Committee reduced the list to thirty (30) firms to which were sent letters soliciting indications of interest. Responses indicating interest and including submission of materials pertaining to qualifications and experience were received from twenty (20) firms. Based on examination of materials submitted, the Committee selected six (6) firms which were invited to the campus for presentations and interviews. Three of the firms received high and comparable ratings by the Committee. Two members of the Committee were selected to visit the home offices of these three firms to make further evaluations. Based on the report of these visits, the firm of Hodne/Stageberg Partners, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn., was recommended to and approved by President Boyd.

The contract will be general in nature, indicating the scope of services expected, with provisions for accomplishing each item of service by means of specific work orders approved by the Vice President for Business and Finance. Each work order
will outline the specific services to be provided, the basis for charges and the maximum cost for the work.

**SCHEDULE A**

Services of the Consultant may consist of the following general categories, but shall not necessarily be limited to the following:

A. **Review and Update Preliminary Long Range Campus Plan prepared by Sasaki, Dawson & DeMay Associates, Inc. in 1965.**

1. Study impact of following factors on the existing plan:
   a. Construction completed, underway or planned since completion of existing plan.
   b. Revised institutional growth patterns.
   c. Revised Ten-Year Capital Program and probable reduction in scope of capital funding.
   d. Space utilization factors.
   e. Existing and desired functional interrelationships among academic and administrative units.
   f. Revisions in the City-University R-14 Urban Renewal Program.

2. Study the practicality, problems, and potential for refocusing the "center" of the campus to the Iowa Riverbank, with the stated objective of furthering academic and administrative ties between the two sides of the river. Investigate the implications of such a shift on land use patterns and project site selections, and functional campus relationships.

B. **Sub-Area Design Studies.**

1. Riverfront Development - type will be dependent upon outcome of studies described in paragraph A2 above.

2. Urban Renewal Blocks 92 & 95 (Education and Library) land use and site development.

3. Health Science Campus Pedestrian Mall.

4. Oakdale Campus.

*Detail design work will be provided inhouse.

C. **Assist in preparation of plans and policies and provide guidance in the following areas as required:**

1. Review of specific preliminary plans for capital projects for buildings and site development prepared by project architects for compatibility of such plans with the campus plan and the existing physical environment.
2. Parking Planning - traffic and land use implications.

3. Relationships with the City in urban renewal areas - both University and non-University where the University has valid interests.

4. Traffic Planning - assist the University in reaching its goal of a "traffic free" campus. This will entail the development of viable plans which are acceptable to the public, mapping out interim plans and policies, planning for the development of alternate routes and modes of travel and interpreting these plans to the University and Iowa City communities.

5. Pedestrian and Bicycle Travel routes - study the adequacy of existing routes and plan for reduction of deficiencies where found.

6. General Community Planning Relationships - assist with the identification of areas of community development which are of legitimate interest and concern to the University, provide guidance for University policy formulation and positions and assist with interpretation of these positions to the community.

President Boyd remarked that the University is moving into a much more critical period in campus planning and that he felt it would be good to have a fresh approach. He stated that before making any major decisions on additions at the campus he felt it urgent to appoint a campus planner to help in making decisions. Questions were asked regarding services provided by the present campus planner, the benefit in having a planner nearer the university and the open end feature of the contract. Mr. Boyd replied that the services of the present campus planner were entirely satisfactory however he wanted to have a fresh look at the situation and felt that the fact the planner was in Minnesota would have a secondary advantage of having them close to the situation. Extensive discussion evolved around the universities intent to approve work orders for specific studies carried out without the Board being aware of total cost or any costs involved in the contract. Some Board members expressed dislike for this type of operation. It was noted that normally hourly rates of principals are known in advance and that often total maximum compensation was made part of a contract. President Boyd again
restated that he felt urgency in getting input from a campus planner. He agreed to keep the Board fully advised of costs incurred in the various work orders.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval of authorization for the Vice President for Business and Finance to sign the contract between the University of Iowa and Hodne/Stageberg Partners, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn., for campus planning consulting service. The motion was seconded by Mr. McCartney and was passed unanimously.

UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL CAPITAL PROJECTS. The University presented three new projects for approval. Dr. Hardin spoke to the Board regarding funding of the projects and how these projects related to a new Hospital addition. He stated that HEW has been prevented from funding any teaching hospital construction. The University has had approved applications for 15 months, then in June of 1971 the Congress passed legislation to reinstate the authority; however, no one has been able to make a new application because guidelines were not out as yet. Timing of these guidelines and filing new application rules out the possibility of Federal funding. Dr. Hardin recognized the Board has been disturbed at the number of piece meal projects submitted for approval. With presentation of these three projects no additional projects will come in until master plan approval by the Board.

He further stated the present Hospital had been constructed in 1928 and the goal had been to bring it up to modern standards such as 2-bed rooms and wiping out 2-class service. Two major additions -- SE and SW corners -- will accomplish a great deal of the above. A feasibility study is now needed to determine what sort of facility can be built without federal funding and utilizing building fund balances of $6 million and bonding authority for a new addition of $12 - 13 million.
The plan will look inside and outside the facility and consider engineering, external traffic, etc. The projects before the Board this month were:

1. Southeast Addition will add 2 floors to the present 4 story S.E. addition. The 5th floor will house nursing and surgery while the 6th floor will be the offices and classes for Anesthesia Department. Considered in the budget at this time is construction of a shell only.

2. The second project for internal medicine faculty facilities finishes the shell previously constructed for the 3rd floor of the SE Addition.

3. The third project adds 2 floors to the existing two story SW corner addition. Under the project, the 3rd floor would be shell only while the 4th floor would be totally finished.

PROJECT I - UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS - SOUTHEAST ADDITION

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning and supervision</td>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General construction</td>
<td>164,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Fund</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$172,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: Y985-1058 Building Usage Funds

(1) Charged to Account D480, therefore not included in total.

Project Description

This project consists of constructing and enclosing two additional floors of approximately 6400 square feet each on and above the existing four-story Southeast Addition to the General Hospital. Work to be accomplished includes extending concrete structure, exterior facade (aluminum windows and stone), and installing finished roof.
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**PROJECT II - UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL - INTERNAL MEDICINE FACULTY FACILITIES - 3RD FLOOR SOUTHEAST.**

**Preliminary Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning and supervision</td>
<td>$(6,400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General construction</td>
<td>67,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical construction</td>
<td>38,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical construction</td>
<td>30,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor covering, acoustical ceiling and painting</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$173,800</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: Building Usage Funds Account Y985-1060

(1) Charged to Account D480, therefore not included in total.

**Project Description**

This project consists of finishing the interior of 6700 square feet of space on third floor southeast of the General Hospital immediately adjacent to existing Internal Medicine facilities.

The new unit will provide twenty (20) new faculty offices, a major departmental conference facility, several small teaching units, a departmental administrative suite, and other teaching and supporting facilities designed to alleviate the critical spatial shortage under which the Internal Medicine department now operates.

**PROJECT III. - UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL - GYNECOLOGY INPATIENT EXPANSION (Ward C-44)**

**Preliminary Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning and supervision</td>
<td>$(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>(18,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$252,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds: Hospital Building Usage Fund

(1) To be purchased from University Hospital 1972-73 Equipment Fund; therefore, not included in total.

(2) Charged to D480; therefore, not included in total.

**Project Description**

This project consists of the addition of two stories to the Ophthalmological wing at the Southwest corner of the General Hospital in accord with the original 1968 architectural design. The addition will provide 20 single and two-bed inpatient accommodations, all with bathrooms, and required supporting facilities spread over 3900 square feet on the top floor of the addition. The first floor of the addition or the third floor of the four-story wing will be shelled in at this time to be developed later.
MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved approval of the above three projects, preliminary plans and budgets and approval of selection of the University Architect's office as architect and inspection supervisor. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and was passed unanimously.

PRELIMINARY BUDGETS 1972-73. The University presented proposed preliminary budget estimates for 1972-73. President Boyd read a prepared statement detailing the effect of this Budget on the University and detailing the elements of the budget, a copy of which is on file in the Board office. Extensive discussion followed.

A Board member remarked that the university had apparently adjusted the budget for next year to account for the rather large increase in indirect costs income that were previously underestimated and noted that these rather substantial underestimates had resulted in higher than initially determined askings.

President Boyd responded that they make the best possible estimate; however, some difficulty is always present because of the unpredictability of the award process on Federal funds and also because of the very method in which indirect costs charges are derived. A member of the Board stated it must be recognized that there would be a serious impact on the campus morale in the faculty and administrative salary budgets which basically provide for no salary increase for 1972-73.

The proposed budgets are as follows:
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PRELIMINARY BUDGET SUMMARY 1972-73
GENERAL EDUCATIONAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Expense</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Starting Base</strong></td>
<td>$ 55,025,000</td>
<td>$ 52,039,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - academic</td>
<td>2,348,000</td>
<td>170,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - nonacademic</td>
<td>568,000</td>
<td>405,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less budget reduction and reallocation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>246,465-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment and books</td>
<td>124,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs, replacements and alterations</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special needs, enrollment, general expense</td>
<td>1,951,000</td>
<td>2,128,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total additions</strong></td>
<td>5,055,000</td>
<td>2,457,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET</strong></td>
<td>$ 60,080,000</td>
<td>$ 54,496,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Income</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State appropriation</td>
<td>$ 43,670,000</td>
<td>$ 37,436,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student fees</td>
<td>14,130,000</td>
<td>13,940,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursed overhead</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>2,960,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 60,080,000</td>
<td>$ 54,496,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPLANATIONS**

- **Salary increases - academic**
  - Promotions and emergency adjustments: $ 150,000
  - Fringe benefits: 20,250
  - **Total**: $ 170,250

- **Salary increases - nonacademic**
  - Base salary adjustments: $ 360,000
  - Fringe benefits: 45,000
  - **Total**: $ 405,000

- **Budget reductions & reallocation**
  - **College of Education & University Schools**
    - Academic salaries: $ 153,460-
    - Nonacademic salaries: 18,540-
    - Fringe benefits: 14,955-
    - General expense: 49,000-
    - **Total**: $ 235,955-
  - **Summer Session - University Schools**
    - Academic salaries: $ 9,310-
    - Fringe benefits: 1,200-
    - **Total**: $ 246,465-
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### Special needs, enrollment and general expense

#### A. Interim Adjustments and Grant Pick-up
1. New Positions (2.25 TTE) $23,640
2. Part-time Faculty Salary Increases (omitted from 1971-72 budget) $46,031
3. Other adjustments $17,635
4. College of Dentistry - Grant Pick-up $28,000
5. Fringe on the above $15,309

---

#### B. 1972-73 Additions
1. Enrollment Program Expansion
   a. College of Medicine
   b. College of Dentistry
   c. College of Law
   d. Summer Session (252,000)
2. Hancher Auditorium $46,000
3. University Computer Center $100,000
4. Student Aid EOP $100,000
5. Iowa Data Information System $25,000
6. University Development $80,000
7. Physical Plant - see attached $750,000
8. NSF - Center of Excellence Match $160,000
9. Center for Performing Arts Match $31,500
10. Fringe on above $95,000
11. Community College Relations $8,000
12. Education - Cooperative Teacher Stipends $42,000
13. Adjust Credits for Administrative Costs $58,000

---

#### C. Fringe Benefits Program
1. OASI $115,000
2. Unemployment $55,000

---

#### D. Undistributed - Reserve for Emergency Adjustments $196,100

---

**GRAND TOTAL** $2,128,215

---

Student fee income - based on current estimate of 1972-73 enrollment less University Schools. $13,940,000

Reimbursed overhead - increased by $560,000 over the original 1971-72 budget based on revised estimate of $2,960,000 for 1971-72. $2,960,000

Other income - increased by $60,000 over 1971-72 original estimate to approximate revised estimate for 1971-72. $160,000
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Physical Plant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Requested</th>
<th>Allocate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. New Buildings (a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities Prod. and Distributed</td>
<td>$417,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Maintenance and Repair</td>
<td>185,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodial Service</td>
<td>388,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(194,000 = 50%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer, Fire Protection, Mail</td>
<td>97,700</td>
<td>(1,088,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution and Refuse Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Buildings Closed (b)</td>
<td>180,100-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(908,400)</td>
<td>550,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Increased Fuel Costs                                                     | 200,000   |          |

(a) From half year budget to full year
   - Auditorium
   - Basic Science
   - Library Addition
   - Ag. Med. Research
   - Dental Building

(b) Building Not Occupied
   - Music and Music Rehearsal * $84,600
   - Dentistry
   - Westlawn *
   - University Schools $79,000
   - 323 N. Capitol $3,000
   - 327 N. Capitol $2,600
   - 331 N. Capitol $3,800
   - 15 W. Davenport $3,900
   - 9 W. Davenport $3,200
   Total $180,100

* Dollar Reduction made in 1971-72 budget
THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
PRELIMINARY BUDGET SUMMARY 1972-73
UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL

ESTIMATED EXPENSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting Base</td>
<td>$ 25,935,000</td>
<td>$ 26,757,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - professional</td>
<td>777,000</td>
<td>483,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - nonprofessional</td>
<td>736,000</td>
<td>464,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General expense cost increase</td>
<td>790,000</td>
<td>208,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment replacement</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs, replacements &amp; alterations</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special needs</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>1,090,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Savings Adjustment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>344,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total additions</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,366,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,590,500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 28,301,000</td>
<td>$ 29,348,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESTIMATED INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State appropriation</td>
<td>$ 9,593,000</td>
<td>$ 8,738,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>18,708,000</td>
<td>20,610,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>28,301,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>29,348,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPLANATIONS

**Salary increases - professional**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base Salaries:</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interns and Residents</td>
<td>$ 98,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered Nurses</td>
<td>250,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>77,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>50,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 483,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Salary increases - nonprofessional**

| Base salaries                                    | $ 413,500 |          |
| Fringe benefits                                  | 50,900    |          |
| **Total**                                        | $ 464,400 |          |

**General expense cost increase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 208,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Special needs: Patient Care Innovations -- 1.7% limitation established by Economic Stabilization Act of 1970, Health Services Industry Regulations:
  - a) General Expense (increase in 1971-72 budget approved 12/10/71 - carried forward) $ 519,000
Special needs (continued)

b) Medical and surgical supply expense need of one-half
the 16% average annual cost increase of the preceding
five fiscal years less the 2.5% increase included above:

\[
(16\% \ 2) = 8\%; \ 8\% - 2.5\% = 5.5\%
\]

\[\text{\$286,100}\]

c) Registered Nurses (5) --specialty care units

\[\text{\$46,600}\]

d) Resident physician trainees (5) -- Family Practice & Pathology

\[\text{\$49,000}\]

e) Radiology and Pathology technologists (3)

\[\text{\$34,000}\]

f) Patient service representative (1)

\[\text{\$9,000}\]

g) Clinic and laboratory clerical staff (4) -- computerization

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Fringe Benefits} \\
\text{\$25,000} \\
\text{\$15,200} \\
\text{\$70,000}
\end{align*}\]

h) FOASI base and rate increases

\[\text{\$37,000}\]

i) Unemployment insurance

\[\text{\$1,090,900}\]

Estimated Savings Adjustment - to make estimated savings correspondent with anticipated 1971-72 experience. Decreased to \$136,997- from the original 1971-72 budget level of \$480,997- which was adjusted to \$70,997-
on 12/10/71.

\[\text{\$344,000}\]

Other Income of \$20,610,000 represents an increase of \$2,590,500 over the original 1971-72 budget and an increase of \$1,661,500 over the most recent estimate for 1971-72 (approved 12/10/71). The increase of \$1,661,500 represents a rate increase of approximately 6% which is the basic level of increase authorized by the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970.

\[\text{\$20,610,000}\]

Percentage Increases over 1971-72

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting Base</th>
<th>1971-72 total budget</th>
<th>1971-72 adjusted budget</th>
<th>State Appropriation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University of Iowa
April 12 - 14, 1972
# Preliminary Budget Summary 1972-73

## Psychopathic Hospital

### Estimated Expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting Base</td>
<td>$2,738,000</td>
<td>$2,816,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - academic</td>
<td>104,000</td>
<td>49,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - nonacademic</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>43,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General expense cost increases</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>3,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment replacement</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs, replacements and alterations</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>5,000-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special needs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits - services sold</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,300-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment estimated savings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,767-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total additions</strong></td>
<td>197,000</td>
<td>132,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Proposed Budget**

- $2,935,000
- $2,948,000

### Estimated Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State appropriation</td>
<td>$2,477,000</td>
<td>$2,278,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>458,000</td>
<td>670,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,935,000</td>
<td>$2,948,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Explanations

#### Salary increases - academic

- **Paramedical Professionals**: $6,200
- **Other salaries**: $37,524
- **Fringe benefits**: $5,678
  - **Total**: $49,402

#### Salary increases - nonacademic

- **Base salaries**: $38,368
- **Fringe benefits**: $4,796
  - **Total**: $43,164

#### General expense cost increases

- **Increased cost of medications, supplies and patient meals**: $3,900

#### Repairs, replacements and alterations

- **Reduction to balance budget**: $5,000-
**Special needs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase of house staff physician stipends to more competitive position in midwest psychiatric hospitals</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in nurse salaries to become equal to other employers in Iowa City area</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical laboratory supervisor</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase school director position due to withdrawal of College of Education support</td>
<td>2,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete - Fountain Attendant</td>
<td>4,440-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Medical Technologist</td>
<td>7,890-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Occupational Therapist p. t.</td>
<td>6,200-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>4,250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fringe benefits** $34,501

**Cost of unemployment compensation** $12,100

**Credits** $46,601

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in hospital portion of medical fees</td>
<td>$1,300-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated savings adjustment</td>
<td>$4,767-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income** $670,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Due to higher collections from third party payments and increase in per diem rate of $2,50. An increase of $40,000 over the 1971-72 budget of $630,000 is estimated.</td>
<td>$670,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

PRELIMINARY BUDGET SUMMARY 1972-73

STATE BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED EXPENSE</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting Base</td>
<td>$ 985,000</td>
<td>$ 947,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - professional</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>22,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - nonprofessional</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>13,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General expense cost increase</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>9,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment replacement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special needs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit adjustment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>233-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries transferred to grants</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13,582-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total additions</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,053,000</td>
<td>$ 987,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESTIMATED INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State appropriation</td>
<td>$ 884,000</td>
<td>$ 827,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>169,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,053,000</td>
<td>$ 987,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPLANATIONS

Salary increases - professional
Minimum necessary to maintain competitive position with industry and federal facilities for the type of scientific personnel making up our staff:

- Base salaries: $ 19,386
- Fringe benefits: 2,811

Salary increases - nonprofessional

- Base salaries: $ 12,263
- Fringe benefits: 1,656

General expense cost increase
This increase is approximately 4.2% - necessary to meet the increase in costs for laboratory reagents, supplies, control materials and the continuing spiral in postage and shipping costs.

$ 9,599
Equipment replacement

This small amount is to be added to the $10,000 presently budgeted for replacement of some existing equipment or the purchase of more advanced instruments designed to improve diagnostic capability. Present equipment inventory is approximately one-half million dollars.

$4,000

Special needs

Social security rate increase and the need for premium payment for Unemployment Insurance for our staff.

$4,100

Credit adjustment

There is 5.5% increase in this item to cover the cost of personnel providing direct professional services to the University Power Plant in maintaining the quality of boiler feed water.

$233

Salaries transferred to grants (deletions)

The laboratory has been fortunate to receive a grant which will allow it to carry on a substantial portion of its program. The salaries replaced by this grant have partially assisted in making the above additions possible.

$13,582

Other income - estimated same as for 1971-72

$160,000
### THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

**PRELIMINARY BUDGET SUMMARY 1972-73**

**HOSPITAL SCHOOL**

#### ESTIMATED EXPENSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Starting Base</strong></td>
<td>$1,595,000</td>
<td>$1,553,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - academic</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>25,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - nonacademic</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>17,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General expense cost increases</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>9,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment replacement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs, replacements and alterations</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special needs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total additions</strong></td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,695,000</td>
<td>$1,613,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ESTIMATED INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State appropriation</td>
<td>$1,595,000</td>
<td>$1,492,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>121,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$1,695,000</td>
<td>$1,613,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### EXPLANATIONS

**Salary increases - academic**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nurses - base salaries</td>
<td>$3,139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other academic - base salaries</td>
<td>19,238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>3,021</td>
<td>$25,398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Salary increases - nonacademic**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base salaries</td>
<td>$15,438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>2,007</td>
<td>$17,445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General expense cost increases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wage increases for hourly employees</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special needs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Regents' Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOASI increase</td>
<td>$5,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment insurance</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>$7,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other income - increased $11,000 over 1971-72**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$121,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA**

**PRELIMINARY BUDGET SUMMARY 1972-73**

**STATE SANATORIUM**

### ESTIMATED EXPENSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting Base</td>
<td>$2,136,000</td>
<td>$2,094,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - professional</td>
<td>39,000</td>
<td>20,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary increases - nonprofessional</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>52,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General expense cost increases</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>6,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment replacement</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs, replacements and alterations</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special needs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total additions</strong></td>
<td>169,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET</strong></td>
<td>$2,305,000</td>
<td>$2,179,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ESTIMATED INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State appropriation</td>
<td>$1,905,000</td>
<td>$1,779,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,305,000</td>
<td>$2,179,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPLANATIONS

- **Salary increases - professional**
  - Base salaries: $18,125
  - Fringe benefits: $2,719
  - Total: $20,844

- **Salary increases - nonprofessional**
  - Base salaries: $46,815
  - Fringe benefits: $5,758
  - Total: $52,573

- **General expense cost increases**
  - Cost to cover increased fuel costs: $8,250
  - Cost to cover expanded utility costs: $5,500
  - Decreased laboratory costs: $20,000
  - Total: $6,250

- **Special needs**
  - Reduction in professional staff - Ther. Dietitian: $8,400
  - Reduction in nonprofessional staff:
    - 1 Clerk IV: $4,860
    - 2 LPN II: $10,360
    - 2 Nurse Aide II: $9,240
    - 1 Custodian: $5,700
  - Increase in estimated savings: $44,846
Special needs (continued)

Addition to professional staff -
1 Med. Tech. $8,200
3 Family Practice Physicians 27,500
1 Clinical Nurse 11,550

Addition to nonprofessional staff -
1 x-ray technician 7,500
1 Fireman 9,460
2 Clerk V 12,300

Adjustment to fringe benefits 5,329
Additional FOASI costs 6,100
Unemployment insurance costs 3,300 $7,833

Equipment replacement - increase to $35,200 from $33,200 $2,000

Repairs, replacements and alterations - increase to $75,000 from $67,000 $8,000

Other income - same as 1971-72 budget $400,000

MOTION:

Mr. Perrin moved approval of preliminary budgets for General Education Fund of $54,496,000; University Hospital of $29,348,000; Psychopathic Hospital of $2,948,000; State Bacteriological Laboratory of $987,000; Hospital School of $1,613,000; State Sanatorium of $2,179,000, for fiscal year 1972-73. The motion was seconded by Mr. Shaw, and passed unanimously.
GENERAL EDUCATIONAL FUND - 1971-72 BUDGET ADJUSTMENT. The University requested approval to increase the 1971-72 budget ceiling for the General Educational Fund by $915,000 from $52,039,000 to $52,954,000.

Explanation:
Funds for the budget increase will be realized from the following sources:

Student Fees $280,000

Even though the enrollment for 1971-72 changed only slightly from 1970-71, the amount of student fee income has increased due to the change in mix of students in resident and non-resident categories and undergraduate vs. graduate and professional categories. For the year, this will result in a 2% increase in income over the estimate.

Reimbursed Overhead from federally sponsored grants and contracts $560,000

Due to an increase in the number of grants and contracts, an increase in the size of individual grants and contracts and an increase in the applicable indirect cost rate, an increase of $500,000 is anticipated over the original budget. In addition, an adjustment for earned but unpaid overhead for the period from 1965 to 1971 from H.E.W. totaling over $50,000 is expected by June 30, 1972.

Other Income $75,000

Income from other sources, primarily reimbursed overhead on non-federal grants, for the first 8 months of the current year has exceeded the amount received for the comparable period in prior years, and the projection to June 30 at this level will result in this increase.

TOTAL $915,000

Funds will be allocated for the following purposes:

Repairs, Replacements & Alterations $590,000

For RR & A projects such as the correction of the water seepage problem at the Museum of Art ($50,000), remodeling of the Iowa Regional Medical Program quarters ($85,000), replacement of obsolete air conditioning unit in the University Theatre ($253,000), installation of a new hot water system in the Pharmacy Building ($6,000), remodeling of the biomedical research room in the Field House for Men's Physical Education ($16,000), and the University Theatre parking lot redevelopment program ($88,830).
Equipment $150,000

Allocations will be made from the list of departmental requests on file.

Library Books:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Library</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Library</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$915,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOTION: Mrs. Petersen moved approval of an increase of $915,000 in the 1971-72 budget for General Educational Fund, making a new budget ceiling of $52,954,000. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Collison and was passed unanimously.

STATE SANATORIUM - 1971-72 BUDGET ADJUSTMENT. The University requested approval of increase in the 1971-72 budget ceiling for the State Sanatorium of $75,000.

EXPLANATION

Funds for the budget increase will be realized from the following increases in estimated income over the original budget:

- Reimbursed overhead from federal grants $50,000
  Increase is primarily due to new federal grants not anticipated at the time of budget preparation.

- Pharmacy sales $10,000
  Increase due to increased sales - primarily Family Practice outpatients.

- Cafeteria sales $15,000
  Increase due primarily to change in payments by the Vocational Rehabilitation Unit to a per meal basis from a per diem basis.

Funds will be allocated to Repairs, Replacements and Alterations to carry out RR & A projects such as resurfacing of fourteen (14) parking lots, replacing the roof on the medical admission wing of the hospital, exterior repairs on the hospital and replacing stage curtains and auditorium draperies.
MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved approval of an increase of $75,000 in the 1971-72 budget for the State Sanatorium making a new budget ceiling of $2,169,000. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace, and was passed unanimously.

PSYCHOPATHIC HOSPITAL - 1971-72 BUDGET ADJUSTMENT. The University requested approval of an increase in the 1971-72 budget for the Psychopathic Hospital of $50,000.

EXPLANATION

Funds for the budget increase will be realized from an increase in the estimated income for pay patients (Acct. F820) due to a more favorable mix of private patients than originally estimated at the increased per diem rate of $52.50 from $42.50.

Funds will be allocated to Repairs, Replacements and Alterations to carry out a project for air conditioning and renovation of the out-patient clinic.

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved approval of an increase of $50,000 in the 1971-72 budget for the Psychopathic Hospital making a new budget ceiling of $2,866,000. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace, and was passed unanimously.

RESOLUTION OF PUBLIC HEARING - CONTRACT 6 - BOILER NO. 9. The University presented for adoption a resolution setting a public hearing on Contract 6 - Boiler #9.

MOTION: Member Wallace introduced and caused to be read the resolution hereinafter set out entitled, "Resolution providing for a notice of hearing on proposed plans, specifications and form of contract for Contract 6 - Roof Replacement - Boiler No. 9 on the campus of the State University of Iowa and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon". Member Wallace moved that said resolution be adopted, seconded by Member Collison, and after due consideration thereof by the Board, the President put the question and, upon the roll being called, the following voted:
RESOLUTION providing for a notice of hearing on proposed plans, specifications and form of contract for Contract 6 - Roof Replacement - Boiler No. 9 on the campus of the State University of Iowa and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon.

* * * * *
WHEREAS, it is necessary to furnish utilities services to those academic buildings and other facilities used primarily for instructional and research purposes, including libraries, and such other administrative and service buildings and facilities as are deemed necessary by this Board to provide supporting services to the instructional and research programs and activities on the campus of the State University of Iowa in Iowa City, Iowa, all as contemplated by plans, specifications and detailed drawings therefor as prepared by Stanley Consultants, Inc. of Muscatine, Iowa, engineers retained by this Board, as the same are now on file in the Office of the Secretary of the Board; and

WHEREAS, the estimated cost of constructing said Utilities - Boiler No. 9 is $1,155,000; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the 11th day of December, 1970, on Contract 1 - Steam Generating Unit, Contract 2 - Boiler Feed Pump, and Contract 3 - Demolition for Construction of Utilities; bids were taken on said contracts on the 15th day of December, 1970; and construction contracts were awarded on the 14th day of January, 1971; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the 12th day of August, 1971, on Contract 4 - Controls and Instruments and Contract 5 - General Construction; bids were taken on said contracts on the 23rd day of July 1971; and construction contracts were awarded on the 13th day of August, 1971; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to fix a time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contract for Contract 6 - Roof Replacement and to give notice thereof; and

WHEREAS, it is further necessary to set a time and place to receive bids on said proposed improvement and to advertise therefor;

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It and It Is Hereby Resolved by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa as follows:

Section 1. That the proposed plans, specifications and proposed form of contract referred to in the preamble hereof be and the same are hereby adopted.
Section 2. That the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CDT, in the Memorial Union Building at Iowa State University in the City of Ames, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts as referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 3. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to give notice of said hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contract by publication of such notice at least once in the "Iowa City Press-Citizen", a legal newspaper published in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, and having a general circulation in said City, and also in the "Des Moines Register", a legal newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa, which publication in each newspaper shall be made at least ten (10) days prior to the time of said hearing, all in conformity with Section 23.2 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND PROPOSED FORM OF CONTRACT FOR CONTRACT 6 - ROOF REPLACEMENT - BOILER NO. 9 ON THE CAMPUS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa will meet in the Memorial Union Building at Iowa State University in the City of Ames, Iowa, on the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M. CDT, at which time and place a hearing will be held on the proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contract for Contract 6 - Roof Replacement - Boiler No. 9 on the campus of the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa. At said hearing, interested persons may file objections to said proposed plans and specifications, to the proposed form of contracts or to the cost of said improvement. The proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts are now on file in the Office of the Secretary of the State Board of Regents, Grimes State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa, where they may be inspected by interested parties.

Section 4. That the 18th day of May, 1972, at 2:00 o'clock P.M., CDT, in the Old Capitol Building at the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place for opening bids on the proposed Contract 6 of the Utilities - Boiler No. 9 construction project referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 5. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for bids for Contract 6 - Roof Replacement - Boiler No. 9 by publication of a notice at least twice in the "Iowa City Press-Citizen", a legal newspaper published in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, and having a general circulation in said
City, and also at least twice in the "Des Moines Register", a legal newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa, the first of which publications in each newspaper shall be made not less than fifteen (15) days prior to the date set for receiving and opening said bids, all in conformity with Sections 23.18 and 262.34 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS OF BIDDING ON CONTRACT 6 - ROOF REPLACEMENT - BOILER NO. 9 ON THE CAMPUS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA.

* * * * *

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that sealed proposals for Contract 6 - Roof Replacement - Boiler No. 9 on the campus of the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, will be received by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa at the Physical Plant Office, 103 West Burlington Street, State University of Iowa in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, until 1:30 o'clock P. M., CDT, on the 18th day of May, 1972, and that all such proposals received by said time will be publicly opened and read at 2:00 o'clock P. M., CDT, on the same day in the Old Capitol Building.

Copies of the proposed plans and specifications, and other contract documents, are now on file at the Physical Plant Office, 103 West Burlington Street, State University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, where they may be publicly inspected by interested parties. A set of such documents may be obtained from Stanley Consultants, Inc., Stanley Building, Muscatine, Iowa.

Proposals must be accompanied by a cash deposit, certified check, or a bid bond in the amount of 5% of the bid.

Checks shall be made payable to the order of the Iowa State Board of Regents R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary. Should the successful bidder fail or neglect to furnish a satisfactory surety bond, refuse to make a contract on the basis of his bid, or fail to meet the requirements of this Notice and the specifications regulating the award, his cash deposit, certified check, or bid bond may be forfeited as liquidated damages. No bidder may withdraw his proposal for a period of forty-five days after the date set for the opening of bids.

By virtue of statutory authority, the contractor shall give preference to Iowa domestic labor pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 73 of the Code of Iowa, 1971.

By virtue of statutory authority, a preference will be given to products and provisions grown and coal produced within the State of Iowa.

The State Board of Regents reserves the right to reject any or all bids and to waive any irregularities therein.

Section 6. That all orders or resolutions, or parts of orders or resolutions conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.
RESOLUTION FOR PUBLIC HEARING - CONTRACTS 2-3-4 - TURBINE GENERATOR. The University presented for adoption a resolution providing for notice of hearing on Contract 2, 3, 4 - Turbine Generator.

MOTION: Member Wallace introduced and caused to be read the resolution hereinafter set out entitled, "Resolution providing for a notice of hearing on proposed Contract 2 - Condensing Equipment, Contract 3 - Crane, Contract 4 - Switchgear and Generator Terminal Equipment, Utilities - Turbine Generator on the campus of the State University of Iowa and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon."

Member Wallace moved that said resolution be adopted, seconded by Member Collison, and after due consideration thereof by the Board, the President put the question and, upon the roll being called the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Collison, Perrin, Petersen, Shaw, Wallace, Redeker
NAY: None
ABSENT: Baldridge, McCartney

Whereupon the President declared the resolution duly adopted.

RESOLUTION providing for a notice of hearing on proposed Contract 2 - Condensing Equipment, Contract 3 - Crane, Contract 4 - Switchgear and Generator Terminal Equipment, Utilities - Turbine Generator on the campus of the State University of Iowa and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon.

WHEREAS, it is necessary to furnish utilities services to those academic buildings and other facilities used primarily for instructional and research purposes, including libraries, and such other administrative and service buildings and facilities as are deemed necessary by this Board to provide supporting services to the instructional and research programs and activities on the campus of the State University of Iowa in Iowa City, Iowa, all as contemplated by plans, specifications and detailed drawings therefor as prepared by Stanley Consultants, Inc. of Muscatine, Iowa, engineers retained by this Board, as the same are now on file in the Office of the Secretary of the Board; and

WHEREAS, the estimated cost of constructing said Utilities - Turbine Generator is $2,450,000; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the 11th day of November, 1971, on Contract 1 - Utilities - Turbine Generator; bids were taken on said contract on the 15th day of November, 1971; and construction contracts were awarded on the 9th day of December, 1971; and
WHEREAS, it is necessary to fix a time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts for Contract 2 - Condensing Equipment, Contract 3 - Crane, Contract 4 - Switchgear and Generator Terminal Equipment, Utilities - Turbine Generator and to give notice thereof; and

WHEREAS, it is further necessary to set a time and place to receive bids on said proposed improvement and to advertise therefor;

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It and It Is Hereby Resolved by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa as follows:

Section 1. That the proposed plans, specifications and proposed form of contracts referred to in the preamble hereof be and the same are hereby adopted.

Section 2. That the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CDT, in the Memorial Union Building at Iowa State University in Ames, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts as referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 3. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to give notice of said hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts by publication of such notice at least once in the "Iowa City Press-Citizen", a legal newspaper published in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, and having a general circulation in said City, and also in the "Des Moines Register", a legal newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa, which publication in each newspaper shall be made at least ten (10) days prior to the time of said hearing, all in conformity with Section 23.2 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND PROPOSED FORM OF CONTRACTS FOR CONTRACT 2 - CONDENSING EQUIPMENT, CONTRACT 3 - CRANE, CONTRACT 4 - SWITCHGEAR AND GENERATOR TERMINAL EQUIPMENT, UTILITIES - TURBINE GENERATOR ON THE CAMPUS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa will meet in the Memorial Union Building at Iowa State University in Ames, Iowa, on the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CDT, at which time and place a hearing will be held on the proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts for Contract 2 - Condensing Equipment, Contract 3 - Crane, Contract 4 - Switchgear and Generator Terminal Equipment, Utilities - Turbine Generator on the campus of the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa. At said hearing, interested persons may file objections to said proposed plans and specifications, to the proposed form of contracts or to the cost of said improvement. The proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts are now on file in the Office of the Secretary of the State Board of Regents, Grimes State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa, where they may be inspected by interested parties.
Section 4. That the 18th day of May, 1972, at 2:00 o'clock P. M., CDT, in
in the Old Capitol Building at the State University of Iowa, in the City of
Iowa City, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place for opening bids on the
proposed Contract 2 - Condensing Equipment, Contract 3 - Crane, Contract 4 -
Switchgear and Generator Terminal Equipment, Utilities - Turbine Generator con-
struction project referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 5. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed
to advertise for bids for Contract 2 - Condensing Equipment, Contract 3 - Crane,
Contract 4 - Switchgear and Generator Terminal Equipment, Utilities - Turbine
Generator by publication of a notice at least twice in the "Iowa City Press-
Citizen", a legal newspaper published in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, and having
a general circulation in said City, and also at least twice in the "Des Moines
Register", a legal newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having
a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa, the first of which publica-
tions in each newspaper shall be made not less than fifteen (15) days prior to
the date set for receiving and opening said bids, all in conformity with Sections
23.18 and 262.34 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially
the following form:

NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS OF BIDDING ON CONTRACT 2 -
CONDENSING EQUIPMENT, CONTRACT 3 - CRANE, CONTRACT 4 -
SWITCHGEAR AND GENERATOR TERMINAL EQUIPMENT, UTILITIES -
TURBINE GENERATOR ON THE CAMPUS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY
OF IOWA.

* * * * *

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that sealed proposals for Contract 2 - Condensing
Equipment, Contract - Crane, Contract 4 - Switchgear and Generator Terminal
Equipment, Utilities - Turbine Generator on the campus of the State University
of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, will be received by the State Board
of Regents of the State of Iowa at the Physical Plant Office, 103 West Burlington
Street, State University of Iowa in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, until 1:30 o'clock
P. M., CDT, on the 18th day of May, 1972, and that all such proposals received by
said time will be publicly opened and read at 2:00 o'clock P. M., CDT, on the same
day in the Old Capitol Building.

Copies of the proposed plans and specifications, and other contract documents,
are now on file at the Physical Plant Office, 103 West Burlington Street, State
University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, where they may be publicly inspected by
interested parties. A set of such documents may be obtained from Stanley Consultants,
Inc., Stanley Building, Muscatine, Iowa.

Proposals must be accompanied by a cash deposit, certified check, or a bid
bond in the amount of 5% of the bid.

Checks shall be made payable to the order of the Iowa State Board of Regents,
R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary. Should the successful bidder fail or neglect
to furnish a satisfactory surety bond, refuse to make a contract on the basis of
his bid, or fail to meet the requirements of this Notice and the specifications
regulating the award, his cash deposit, certified check, or bid bond may be for-
feited as liquidated damages. No bidder may withdraw his proposal for a period
of forty-five days after the date set for the opening of bids.
By virtue of statutory authority, the contractor shall give preference to Iowa domestic labor pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 73 of the Code of Iowa, 1971.

By virtue of statutory authority, a preference will be given to products and provisions grown and coal produced within the State of Iowa.

The State Board of Regents reserves the right to reject any or all bids and to waive any irregularities therein.

Section 6. That all orders or resolutions, or parts of orders or resolutions conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION FOR PUBLIC HEARING - WATER PLANT PRIMARY SETTLING BASIN COVER. The University presented for adoption a resolution setting a public hearing on Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover.

MOTION: Member Wallace introduced and caused to be read the resolution hereinafter set out entitled, "Resolution providing for a notice of hearing on proposed plans, specifications and form of contract for Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover on the campus of the State University of Iowa and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon." Member Wallace moved that said resolution be adopted, seconded by Member Collison, and after due consideration thereof by the Board, the President put the question and, upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Collison, Perrin, Petersen, Shaw, Wallace, Redeker

NAY: None

ABSENT: Baldridge, McCartney

Whereupon the President declared the resolution duly adopted.
RESOLUTION providing for a notice of hearing on proposed plans, specifications and form of contract for Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover on the campus of the State University of Iowa and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon.

WHEREAS, it is necessary to furnish utilities services to those academic buildings and other facilities used primarily for instructional and research purposes, including libraries, and such other administrative and service buildings and facilities as are deemed necessary by this Board to provide supporting services to the instructional and research programs and activities on the campus of the State University of Iowa in Iowa City, Iowa, all as contemplated by plans, specifications and detailed drawings therefor as prepared by the Physical Plant, State University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, as the same are now on file in the Office of the Secretary of the Board; and

WHEREAS, the estimated cost of constructing said Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover is $57,000; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to fix a time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contract for Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover and to give notice thereof; and

WHEREAS, it is further necessary to set a time and place to receive bids on said proposed improvement and to advertise therefor;

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It and It Is Hereby Resolved by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa as follows:

Section 1. That the proposed plans, specifications and proposed form of contracts referred to in the preamble hereof be and the same are hereby adopted.

Section 2. That the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CDT, in the Memorial Union Building at Iowa State University in the City of Ames, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts as referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 3. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to give notice of said hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contract by publication of such notice at least once in the "Iowa City Press-Citizen", a legal newspaper published in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, and having a general circulation in said City, and also in the "Des Moines Register", a legal newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa, which publication in each newspaper shall be made at least ten (10) days prior to the time of said hearing, all in conformity with Section 23.2 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:
University of Iowa
April 12 - 14, 1972

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED PLANS AND
SPECIFICATIONS AND PROPOSED FORM OF CONTRACT FOR
WATER PLANT PRIMARY SETTLING BASIN COVER ON THE
CAMPUS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA.

* * * * *

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa
will meet in the Memorial Union Building at Iowa State University in the City of
Ames, Iowa, on the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CDT, at which
time and place a hearing will be held on the proposed plans, specifications and
proposed form of contract for Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover on the
campus of the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa. At said
hearing, interested persons may file objections to said proposed plans and speci-
fications, to the proposed form of contracts or to the cost of said improvement.
The proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts are now on
file in the Office of the Secretary of the State Board of Regents, Grimes State
Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa, where they may be inspected by interested parties.

Section 4. That the 18th day of May, 1972, at 2:00 o'clock P. M., CDT, in
the Old Capitol Building at the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City,
Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place for opening bids on the proposed
Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover construction project referred to in the
preamble hereof.

Section 5. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed
to advertise for bids for Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover by publication
of a notice at least twice in the "Iowa City Press-Citizen", a legal newspaper
published in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, and having a general circulation in
said City, and also at least twice in the "Des Moines Register", a legal newspaper
published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation
throughout the State of Iowa, the first of which publications in each newspaper
shall be made not less than fifteen (15) days prior to the date set for receiving
and opening said bids, all in conformity with Sections 23.18 and 262.34 of the
Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS OF BIDDING ON WATER PLANT
PRIMARY SETTLING BASIN COVER ON THE CAMPUS OF THE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA.

* * * * *
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that sealed proposals for Water Plant Primary Settling Basin Cover on the campus of the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, will be received by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa at the Physical Plant Office, 103 West Burlington Street, State University of Iowa in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, until 1:30 o'clock P. M., CDT, on the 18th day of May, 1972, and that all such proposals received by said time will publicly be opened and read at 2:00 o'clock P. M., CDT, on the same day in the Old Capitol Building.

Copies of the proposed plans and specifications, and other contract documents, are now on file at the Physical Plant Office, 103 West Burlington Street, State University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, where they may be publicly inspected by interested parties. A set of such documents may be obtained from said Physical Plant Office.

Proposals must be accompanied by a cash deposit, certified check, or a bid bond in the amount of 5% of the bid.

Checks shall be made payable to the order of the Iowa State Board of Regents, R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary. Should the successful bidder fail or neglect to furnish a satisfactory surety bond, refuse to make a contract on the basis of his bid, or fail to meet the requirements of this Notice and the specifications regulating the award, his cash deposit, certified check, or bid bond may be forfeited as liquidated damages. No bidder may withdraw his proposal for a period of forty-five days after the date set for the opening of bids.

By virtue of statutory authority, the contractor shall give preference to Iowa domestic labor pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 73 of the Code of Iowa, 1971.

By virtue of statutory authority, a preference will be given to products and provisions grown and coal produced within the State of Iowa.

The State Board of Regents reserves the right to reject any or all bids and to waive any irregularities therein.

Section 6. That all orders or resolutions, or parts of orders or resolutions conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.
RESOLUTION FOR PUBLIC HEARING - CONTRACTS 1 and 2 - UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS.

The University presented for adoption a resolution setting a public hearing on Contracts 1 and 2, Utilities Improvements.

MOTION: Member Wallace introduced and caused to be read the resolution hereinafter set out entitled, "Resolution providing for a notice of hearing on proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts for Contract 1 - Steam and Water Utilities, and Contract 2 - Electrical Utilities, Utilities Improvements on the campus of the State University of Iowa and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon."

Member Wallace moved that said resolution be adopted, seconded by Member Collison, and after due consideration thereof by the Board, the President put the question and, upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Collison, Perrin, Petersen, Shaw, Wallace, Redeker

NAY: None

ABSENT: Baldridge, McCartney

Whereupon the President declared the resolution duly adopted.

RESOLUTION providing for a notice of hearing on proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts for Contract 1 - Steam and Water Utilities, and Contract 2 - Electrical Utilities, Utilities Improvements on the campus of the State University of Iowa and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon.

* * * * *

WHEREAS, it is necessary to furnish utilities services to those academic buildings and other facilities used primarily for instructional and research purposes, including libraries, and such other administrative and service buildings and facilities as are deemed necessary by this Board to provide supporting services to the instructional and research programs and activities on the campus of the State University of Iowa in Iowa City, Iowa, all as contemplated by plans, specifications and detailed drawings therefor as prepared by Stanley Consultants, Inc. of Muscatine, Iowa, engineers retained by this Board, as the same are now on file in the Office of the Secretary of the Board;

WHEREAS, the estimated cost of constructing said Utilities Improvements is $583,400 and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to fix a time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts for Contract 1 - Steam and Water Utilities and Contract 2 - Electrical Utilities and to give notice thereof; and
WHEREAS, it is further necessary to set a time and place to receive bids on said proposed improvement and to advertise therefor;

NOW, THEREFORE, Be it and It Is Hereby Resolved by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa as follows:

Section 1. That the proposed plans, specifications and proposed form of contracts referred to in the preamble hereof be and the same are hereby adopted.

Section 2. That the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CDT, in the Memorial Union Building at Iowa State University in the City of Ames, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts as referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 3. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to give notice of said hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts by publication of such notice at least once in the "Iowa City Press-Citizen", a legal newspaper published in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, and having a general circulation in said City, and also in the "Des Moines Register", a legal newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa, which publication in each newspaper shall be made at least ten (10) days prior to the time of said hearing, all in conformity with Section 23.2 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND PROPOSED FORM OF CONTRACTS FOR CONTRACT 1 - STEAM AND WATER UTILITIES, AND CONTRACT 2 - ELECTRICAL UTILITIES, UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS ON THE CAMPUS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa will meet in the Memorial Union Building at Iowa State University in the City of Ames, Iowa, on the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CDT, at which time and place a hearing will be held on the proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts for Contract 1 - Steam and Water Utilities and Contract 2 - Electrical Utilities, Utilities Improvements on the campus of the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa. At said hearing, interested persons may file objections to said proposed plans and specifications, to the proposed form of contracts or to the cost of said improvement. The proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts are now on file in the Office of the Secretary of the State Board of Regents, Grimes State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa.
Section 4. That the 28th day of April, 1972, at 9:00 o'clock A. M., CST, in the Old Capitol Building at the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place for opening bids on the proposed Contracts 1 and 2 of Utilities Improvements construction project referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 5. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for bids for Contract 1 - Steam and Water Utilities, and Contract 2 - Electrical Utilities, Utilities Improvements by publication of a notice at least twice in the "Iowa City Press-Citizen", a legal newspaper published in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, and having a general circulation in said City, and also at least twice in the "Des Moines Register", a legal newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa, the first of which publications in each newspaper shall be made not less than fifteen (15) days prior to the date set for receiving and opening said bids, all in conformity with Sections 23.18 and 262.34 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS OF BIDDING ON CONTRACT 1 - STEAM AND WATER UTILITIES, AND CONTRACT 2 - ELECTRICAL UTILITIES, UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS ON THE CAMPUS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA.

* * * * *

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that sealed proposals for Contract 1 - Steam and Water Utilities, and Contract 2 - Electrical Utilities, Utilities Improvements on the campus of the State University of Iowa, in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, will be received by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa at the Physical Plant Office, 103 West Burlington Street, State University of Iowa in the City of Iowa City, Iowa, until 8:30 o'clock A. M., CST, on the 28th day of April, 1972, and that all such proposals received by said time will publicly be opened and read at 9:00 o'clock A. M., CST, on the same day in the Old Capitol Building.

Copies of the proposed plans and specifications, and other contract documents, are now on file at the Physical Plant Office, 103 West Burlington Street, State University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, where they may be publicly inspected by interested parties. A set of such documents may be obtained from Stanley Consultants, Inc., Stanley Building, Muscatine, Iowa.

Proposals must be accompanied by a cash deposit, certified check, or a bid bond in the amount of 5% of the bid.

Checks shall be made payable to the order of the Iowa State Board of Regents, R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary. Should the successful bidder fail or neglect to furnish a satisfactory surety bond, refuse to make a contract on the basis of his bid, or fail to meet the requirements of this Notice and the specifications regulating the award, his cash deposit, certified check, or bid bond may be forfeited as liquidated damages. No bidder may withdraw his proposal for a period of forty-five days after the date set for the opening of bids.
By virtue of statutory authority, the contractor shall give preference to Iowa domestic labor pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 73 of the Code of Iowa, 1971.

By virtue of statutory authority, a preference will be given to products and provisions grown and coal produced within the State of Iowa.

The State Board of Regents reserves the right to reject any or all bids and to waive any irregularities therein.

Section 6. That all orders or resolutions, or parts of orders or resolutions conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

UNIVERSITY THEATRE - AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT. The University recommended the following new project be approved.

PRELIMINARY BUDGET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; supervision</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>206,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$253,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of funds: University RR & A

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project involves replacement of the major portion of the existing air conditioning system which at present serves primarily the auditorium section of the Theatre.

The new system will serve all occupied areas of the Theatre. The project includes installation of an electrically driven centrifugal chiller, air cooled condensers, chilled water air handling units and fan coil units throughout the building, necessary wiring, transformer installation and all associated controls. No general remodeling of the building will be required. New equipment will permit discontinuance of well water usage and the necessity of supplying H.P. steam to the Theatre during summer months.
MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval of the project, preliminary plans and budget; and selection of the Physical Plant department as architect and inspection supervisor. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen, and was passed unanimously.

JOHN F. MURRAY ENDOWMENT FUND. The University reported that there were no changes in the investment portfolio for the quarter ended March 31, 1972. The Board accepted the report.

UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS - WEST SIDE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM. The University requested approval to substitute Old Armory Tennis Court Resurfacing in lieu of replacement of tennis courts located north of Field House.

The Utilities Improvements - West Side Distribution System project, approved by the Regents on May 14, 1971, necessitated extensive damage to five (5) clay surface tennis courts located north of the Field House. The project budget included provision for the replacement of these courts. The tennis courts in question will be lost at such time as South Hospital Road is relocated in accordance with the West Side Traffic Circulation Plan. Rather than expend funds on the restoration of these low quality, high maintenance cost courts of questionable life, it has been decided to not restore this area to tennis use and to use the funds instead to resurface seven (7) tennis courts located near Old Armory. In this way, the impact of the loss of the five (5) courts will be less severe to the Physical Education and Recreation Programs of the University.

The Old Armory courts to be resurfaced are also clay courts. Maintenance at an adequate level is costly and continuous. The courts are used mostly for Women's Physical Education classes, with recreational use permitted during free time. Clay courts must be permitted to dry following a rain before they can be used without the occurrence of damage to the playing surface. This makes their use very unreliable for the Physical Education Program.

In order to reduce maintenance costs, to provide a better playing surface and to increase the availability of these courts, it is proposed that they be resurfaced with an all-weather asphalt playing surface. As a part of the project, some chain link fence will be replaced and tennis net posts will be provided. The cost is estimated at approximately the same amount as was included in the original project budget for replacing the tennis courts north of the Field House ($37,500).
MOTION:

Mr. Perrin moved approval of the substitution of Old Armory Tennis Court Resurfacing in lieu of replacement of tennis courts located north of Field House at a cost of $37,500. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen and was passed unanimously.
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

The following business pertaining to Iowa State University was transacted on Thursday, April 13, 1972.

BIKeway PROPOSAL - IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY CAMPUS. The University requested approval of the Bikeways Committee Report and Resolution setting date for public hearing on closing of certain roads. As background for this action, the University reported that for a number of years there has existed on the campus a conflict between pedestrians, bicyclists and motor vehicles. This conflict is greatest along Osborn Drive between the Physics and Chemistry Buildings and on Union Drive in the vicinity of Friley Hall and the Memorial Union. During the last few years a number of new streets have been constructed which will enable traffic to be routed around these areas of congestion. In the next few years several additional streets are included in the five-year plan which will provide an additional improvement in the traffic flow around the areas in question.

With the great influx of bicyclists recently, the City of Ames and the university established a joint committee to study this problem. The attached copy of the Bikeways Committee Report has been submitted to the City of Ames and to the university. It has been approved in concept by the Ames City Council and the City Council has started to pass the necessary ordinances to implement the plan. The report has been submitted to the various university committees dealing with this matter, including the University Traffic Committee, the Physical Facilities Committee, and the Administrative Board. All of these groups have endorsed the report and recommend that the State Board of Regents approve it.

It should be noted that no construction of any building is proposed in the path of any of the streets so that if it should be necessary to reactivate the streets it could be done at very little cost. The plan basically calls for the abandonment of portions of Union Drive between Friley Hall and the Hospital, and between
Knoll Road and Morrill Road. It also provides for the restriction of traffic flow on Osborn Drive, Stange Road, Morrill Road and Knoll Road. The report has been reviewed by the Ames Fire Chief and he does not feel it will present any problems in maintaining fire protection.

Funds for the implementation of this plan will be provided by the parking meter revenues.

Mr. Wm. Whitman, Director, Physical Plant, and Robert Ferguson, Supt. Campus Services, were present to discuss the report. The Board received copies of the Bikeways Committee Report.

A member of the Board asked if handicapped persons would be issued cards to gain access to the restricted streets. Mr. Whitman responded that they would have special permits for handicapped parking. Another member asked if this proposal had been discussed at the student level and was assured that it had and that, in fact, students were the moving force toward initiation of the problem. In addition GSB had made an offer of some sort of financial support for the project. Concern was expressed that the campus might become the primary area in Ames for cyclists. Mr. Whitman said that the City of Ames has plans for recreational bicycle paths and did not feel this would become a problem.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval of the Bikeways Committee report and adoption of the following resolution setting the date for a public hearing on the closing of certain roads. The motion was seconded by Mr. Bailey and was passed unanimously.
WHEREAS, Osborn Drive, Union Drive, Stange Road, Morrill Road and Knoll Road in Ames, Iowa, are located upon land belonging to the State of Iowa for the use and benefit of the Iowa State University of Science and Technology and as such constitute institutional roads within the jurisdiction of the State Board of Regents as provided by Chapter 306 of the Code of Iowa; and

WHEREAS, the increased pedestrian and bicycle traffic in central portions of the campus make it necessary to eliminate through traffic, to control and limit the use of the drives in said area; Now therefore be it

RESOLVED that proceedings to close, to alter and to restrict motor vehicles to portions of Osborn Drive, Union Drive, Stange Road, Morrill Road and Knoll Road in Ames, Iowa shall be instituted and conducted as provided in Sections 306.11 to 306.17, inclusive, of the 1971 Code of Iowa; as required by said Section 306.11, a date for the hearing on the proposed changes is hereby fixed for 11:00 A.M., C.D.T., on the 11th day of May, 1972, at the Memorial Union Building at Ames, Story County, Iowa. The executive secretary of the Board of Regents is authorized and directed to publish notice of said hearing in the Ames Daily Tribune published in Ames, Story County, Iowa, twenty days prior to said hearing, and shall also send a copy of said notice by certified mail to all public bodies and persons specified therefore by Code Section 306.12, stating that the State Board of Regents proposes to restrict access to Stange Road at the south side of the intersection with Pammel Drive, restrict access to Osborn Drive during certain times east of the entrance to the central parking lot and west of the intersection with Wilson Road, restrict access to Knoll Road at the intersection with Lincoln Way and at certain times north of the entrance of Curtiss Hall parking lot, restrict access to Union Drive west of the entrance to the Memorial Union parking lot and the intersection with Morrill Road, restrict access to Union Drive west of Welch Road and at certain times east of the entrance to Beyer Court, restrict access to Morrill Road north of the intersection with Union Drive, all located in Ames, Iowa, said location being in Section 4, Township 84 North, Range 24 west of the 5th P.M.; and that all rights of the public and all persons, firms, and corporations to the use thereof for street and highway purposes be restricted, and that persons having objections thereto or claims for damages on account thereof may appear and file their objections and claims as provided by Chapter 306 of the Code of Iowa, 1971, and may be heard at said hearing.
VEENKER MEMORIAL GOLF COURSE - PARTIAL RELOCATION. The University presented the following project for approval.

**Project Description**

The relocation of the golf clubhouse required by the extension of 13th Street will consist of two basic contract divisions. One will be the construction of the clubhouse building and the other the construction of an entrance road, parking lot, and other surfaced areas adjacent to the clubhouse.

The entrance road will be 24 feet wide of asphalt construction. The parking lot will accommodate 50 cars and will be asphalt with concrete curb and gutter. Cart paths near the clubhouse will be asphalt. The entrance walk to the clubhouse will be concrete.

The clubhouse itself will be of two levels with the lower level built partially into a hillside. The design will recall the general architectural style of University Village nearby. The upper or main level will have an entrance convenient to the parking lot, a vending area, storage, snack bar, and control counter. A roofed, open porch overlooking the golf course will be accessible from this level. An interior stairway will connect the upper level to the lower level where locker rooms, washrooms, mechanical room and janitor closet will be located. There will also be a grade door at this level for access to the golf cart storage area (under the porch) and to the cart and walking paths on the golf course proper. Each level will have approximately 1,000 square feet of net floor area.

Construction of the clubhouse will be essentially a wood frame upper story with prefabricated wood and metal truss roof structure. The floor will be precast concrete slabs with poured concrete topping. The foundations and lower level walls will be of concrete masonry.

In order to compress the construction schedule a minimum of six weeks, physical plant forces will construct the footings, foundation walls, underground utilities and ground floor slab, and will erect the precast concrete floor slabs for the upper floor. The wood structure of the upper level, the concrete stair and floor topping, the finishing of both levels and the mechanical and electrical work will be contracted.

Bids for clubhouse construction and entrance road and parking lot construction will be received on May 9, 1972.

Both divisions of this project are scheduled to be completed by September 1, 1972.

**Estimated Construction Cost**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clubhouse Building</td>
<td>$9,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Forces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General, Mechanical and Electrical Construction</td>
<td>40,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrance Drive, Parking Lot, Etc.</td>
<td>33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$83,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. Wm. Whitman, Director, Physical Plant, was present for discussion of this project. Mr. Whitman was asked if any money received from the City for this project would be reverted to which he responded that no money would be reverted. A member of the Board asked if this project permitted open space for campus expansion. Mr. Whitman answered affirmatively but did note that there would have to be some kind of flood control built before the land could be used for new buildings.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved approval of the above project, preliminary plans and estimated budget for partial relocation of the Veenker Memorial Golf Course. The motion was seconded by Mr. Baldridge and was passed unanimously.

ACADEMIC REVENUE BONDS - SALE OF BONDS. President Redeker called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m., Central Standard Time, April 13, 1972, and the roll being called, there were present Stanley F. Redeker, President, in the chair, and the following Board members: Bailey, Baldridge, Collison, McCartney, Perrin, Petersen, Shaw, Wallace.

This being the time and place fixed by published notice, the Board took up for consideration the matter of bids for the purchase of $6,485,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series, I.S.U. 1972, of said Board.

President Redeker requested the filing of all sealed bids. He asked if there were any other sealed bids. He made a second, and then a third and final call for sealed bids. He then announced the closing of receipt of sealed bids.

Sealed bids for the purchase of the bonds were opened by Mr. R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary of the Board of Regents, and read by Mr. Paul D. Speer, President, Paul D. Speer & Associates, Inc., municipal finance consultants. Mr. Speer stated that the bids should be calculated prior to award. President
Redeker directed Mr. Speer and Mr. Robert G. McMurray, Assistant to the Executive Secretary, to proceed with the calculation.

A complete list of the bids by managers is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Net Interest Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Nuveen &amp; Co., Inc., and Associates</td>
<td>5.1031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York and Salomon Brothers - Jointly</td>
<td>5.1294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First National City Bank, New York</td>
<td>5.1912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehman Brothers, and Associates</td>
<td>5.20507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillon, Read Municipals</td>
<td>5.1059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blyth &amp; Co., Inc., and Associates</td>
<td>5.2101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner &amp; Smith, Inc., and Assoc.</td>
<td>5.2239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Weld &amp; Co., and Associates</td>
<td>5.2255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halsey, Stuart &amp; Co., Inc. and The First Boston Corporation, and Associates</td>
<td>5.2266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Speer reported after calculation that the bid of John Nuveen & Co., Inc., and Associates at a net interest rate of 5.1031 was the best bid and in the interest of the Board and he recommended that it be accepted.

RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE SALE AND AWARD OF $6,485,000 ACADEMIC BUILDING REVENUE BONDS, SERIES I.S.U. 1972. After all sealed bids had been opened, the results thereof were incorporated in a resolution entitled "Resolution Providing for the Sale and Award of $6,485,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds Series I.S.U. 1972 and Approving and Authorizing the Agreement of Such Sale and Award", which was introduced and caused to be read.

MOTION: Member Petersen moved that said resolution be adopted, seconded by Member Wallace, and the roll being called the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Baldridge, Collison, McCartney, Perrin, Petersen, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace

NAY: None

ABSENT: None

Whereupon the President declared said resolution duly adopted and signed his approval thereto.
RESOLUTION providing for the sale and award of $6,485,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1972, and approving and authorizing the agreement of such sale and award.

* * * *

WHEREAS notice of sale of $6,485,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1972, of the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa, has heretofore been given in strict compliance with the provisions of Chapter 262A of the Code of Iowa, 1971, by publication of notice at least once not less than seven (7) days prior to this date of sale in a newspaper published in the City of Des Moines, Iowa, and having a general circulation throughout the State of Iowa; and

WHEREAS at a duly convened meeting of the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa on March 10, 1972, this Board adopted a resolution entitled "A Resolution authorizing and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of $6,485,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1972, for the purpose of defraying the cost of constructing and equipping a Veterinary Medicine Building, equipping certain buildings, acquiring and installing steam generator #3, auxiliary equipment and general utilities extensions and doing general remodeling work in certain buildings on the campus of the Iowa State University of Science and Technology", wherein it was provided that said bonds are to bear interest at such rate or rates as may be determined
at the time of the public sale of said bonds; and

WHEREAS all sealed bids which have been received have been opened, the substance of the best sealed bid being as follows:

the bid submitted by John Nuveen & Co. (Inc.) consisting of par and accrued interest plus a premium of $194.55,

with the bonds to bear interest at the following rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Maturity</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Year of Maturity</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>5-1/2%</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>5-1/2%</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>5-1/2%</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>5-1/2%</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>5-1/4%</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>3-1/2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Resolved by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa, as follows:

Section 1. That upon being advised in the premises it is hereby determined that the bid of John Nuveen & Co. (Inc.) for the purchase of $6,485,000 Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1972, as advertised, bearing interest at the rates specified in the preamble hereof, is the highest and
best bid received, and that said bonds be and the same are hereby awarded to said __________ John Nuveen & Co. (Inc.) __________.

Section 2. That the form of agreement of sale of said bonds to __________ John Nuveen & Co. (Inc.) __________ be and the same is hereby approved and the President and Executive Secretary are hereby authorized to execute said agreement for and on behalf of the State Board of Regents.

Section 3. That said bonds shall bear interest at the rates specified in the preamble hereof and that the resolution referred to in the preamble hereof, as supplemented hereby, be and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects.

Section 4. That all resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

Passed and approved April 13, 1972.

MOTION: Mrs. Petersen moved that the certified checks submitted by all bidders except that submitted by John Nuveen & Co., Inc., and Associates be returned. The motion was seconded by Mr. Baldridge and passed unanimously.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved that McGladrey, Hansen, Dunn & Company, Iowa City, Iowa be selected as bond auditors for the Academic Building Revenue Bonds, Series I.S.U. 1972. The motion was seconded by Mr. Shaw and was passed unanimously.
PUBLIC HEARING - FIRE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS. President Redeker called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m., Central Standard Time, April 13, 1972, and the roll being called, there were present Stanley F. Redeker, President, in the chair, and the following named members: Bailey, Baldridge, Collison, McCartney, Perrin, Petersen, Shaw, Wallace.

The President advised that this was the day, time, and place set for a hearing on the proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts for Fire Protection Improvements on the campus of Iowa State University, in the City of Ames, Iowa. He inquired whether there were any present who wished to register objections concerning either the proposed plans and specifications or proposed forms of contract. No objectors were present. The President then inquired whether the Executive Secretary had received any written objections to the project. The Executive Secretary stated that he had not received any such objections.

There being no objections, the President declared the public hearing closed.

The following business for Iowa State University was transacted on Friday, April 14, 1972.

ISPIRG PROPOSAL. In October, 1971, the University president informed the Board that ISPIRG chapters were being formed on their campuses and that the students would subsequently request that the universities act as agents in collecting from each student registered the students' dues to the organization, probably as an addition to required student fees collected at registration.

The purpose of the organization as described in its literature is as follows:

"ISPIRG will be a student-financed corporation employing professional experts dedicated to getting things done in the public interest. After identifying pertinent issues of general concern to the citizens of Iowa, ISPIRG's professional staff will be directed to explore and analyze in detail the nature of these affairs and circumstances. Significant discoveries will result in public education, participation in administrative and regulatory proceedings and litigation where such actions are warranted to protect the public interest."
The literature of the organization further states that it "will coordinate the use of academic resources at their own institutions and solicit research support from students and faculty". The literature further states that "students who choose not to participate in ISPIRG will be entitled to a full refund during the third week of each semester or quarter". It was pointed out to the Board in October that ISPIRG intends to engage in political activity and presumably would therefore not be exempt from internal revenue regulations. The Board took no formal action in October since no proposal was before it at that time.

At the March, 1972 meeting the Board moved to approve the concept of positive opting in to such a student organization provided satisfactory arrangements could be made, subject to Board approval of guidelines at a later date.

Present for the discussion at the meeting in April, 1972 were Bill Harris, Barbara Richardson, John McClelland and Dick Walker of Iowa State University. Mr. Walker initially pointed out to the Board that ISPIRG had been meeting with students and had worked out the alternate proposal presented below. He stated that the group had the feeling after leaving the March meeting that the Board felt ISPIRG was an outside activity at the University. He remarked that they have received endorsement from the Faculty Council for their research goals and that in fact one student was receiving credits for a special problems course that was an ISPIRG project. They further felt the Board was of the opinion they were an outside group asking for money and with no ties to existing student groups. This impression is covered by step 4 below. The following 7 step proposal was presented for the Board's consideration.

1. Each student, prior to the payment of the ISPIRG fee, will have the opportunity to indicate that he does not wish to be assessed the ISPIRG fee. The university has indicated that this can best be done on the student's pre-registration form.
2. The ISPIRG fee will be included on the university fee statement of those students who have indicated that they want to pay the fee. The student will then pay the ISPIRG fee at the time the university fees are paid.

3. The ISPIRG fee will be collected for Fall, Winter, and Spring quarters. No ISPIRG fees will be collected for summer sessions.

4. ISPIRG will obtain university recognition. (ISPIRG is presently in the process of obtaining the status of a recognized campus organization.)

5. For the purposes of accountability, the money collected for ISPIRG will be dispersed for ISPIRG expenditures through the University Campus Organizations Office.

6. ISPIRG will reimburse Iowa State University for costs incurred by the collection of the ISPIRG fee.

7. The initial contract for the collection of the ISPIRG fee will be for two years commencing Fall quarter, 1972. If the percentage of students choosing to fund ISPIRG for the final academic quarter of the contract period falls below 50%, then prior to negotiation of a new contract a referendum will be held to determine student support of ISPIRG.

A member of the Board stated that the Board had moved for a positive opt-in which the above proposal did not contain. Mr. McClelland responded that they felt the negative check off was necessary to provide a stable funding base; that they had been willing to seek campus recognition and had given in to the Board's wishes in other respects. President Parks remarked that he didn't feel there was really that much difference between positive and negative check off and that they did propose to give every student the opportunity to indicate his desires on participation.

President Boyd then asked that the Board not take any action which would include the University of Iowa ISPIRG group, but noted that he did not wish to hinder the ISU proposal.

Mr. Martin, University of Northern Iowa, stated he felt there was a question of consumer civil liberties involved here and that it seemed disturbing to him that it was necessary to take affirmative action to withhold contributions. He questioned using the student community as a legal taxing base.
MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval of the ISPIRG proposal beginning with Item 2. The motion was seconded by Mr. McCartney.

This motion was opposed by the student representatives feeling that this would not provide them with a stable funding base. They stated that in petitioning efforts students had already indicated approval of ISPIRG. Students present opposed ISPIRG becoming a fund raising organization since they felt they could gain more student support if the students were actively engaged in working on projects and not having to spend time in fund raising efforts.

A Board member brought up the fact that there is no precedent for this type of proposal now and that this could possibly set a precedent wherein other similar organizations could come in with requests.

AMENDED MOTION: Mrs. Collison moved to amend the previous motion to include Item #1. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin.

Further discussion followed with a member of the Board expressing his preference to an opt in rather than opt out option. He was impressed with the fact that the organization was making efforts to become a part of the university system and asked if this group would come under the present student governing body.

Mr. Harris replied that the procedure for getting recognition as a campus organization was given to the student body by the administration. The students have the power to recognize a student group. ISPIRG is going to GSB for limited recognition. He further explained that the direct decision making for ISPIRG would not be directly under GSB because they did not want to impose this task on GSB. The main reason for this being that ISPIRG is a very action oriented organization. There would then be no direct tie between the two bodies.

At this point Mr. McClelland asked if the addition of a refund option would permit more favorable consideration by the Board. The Board felt this would be more adequate protection for the students and that the group should consider
Mr. Perrin moved to amend by approving all 7 steps as proposed and addition of an 8th step which would include a refund mechanism. Basic structure should provide that students who choose not to participate in ISPIRG will be entitled to a full refund. Requests for such refunds shall be permitted during a reasonable time period at the start of each quarter. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen.

In voting on the above amendment to the motion, the following voted Nay: Shaw.

The motion carried 8 - 1.

Mr. Shaw stated that he would have voted AYE if the proposal had been for positive opting in.

President Boyd repeated that the actions taken above only affected I.S.U. and in no way provided precedent for future decisions before the Board on S.U.I.

He also stated his belief that he would require a recommendation on a general check-off policy prior to Board action on the SUI proposal.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of March, 1972, were approved.

APPOINTMENTS. The University recommended the following appointments be approved by the Board.

Louis G. Geiger (Professor and Chairman of the Department of History, College of Sciences and Humanities. His salary will be as budgeted for 1972-73, twelve months' basis, plus annuity, effective August 1, 1972. This is for a period of 5 years.)

John F. Loye, Jr. (Professor of Aerospace Studies, and Colonel, United States Air Force, College of Sciences and Humanities, replacing Colonel Brumbaugh, former head, who has been retired from military service. His salary is paid by the United States Air Force. His appointment was effective December 1, 1971.

In the absence of objection, President Redeker declared the appointments approved.
APPROVAL OF UNIVERSITY CALENDAR. The University submitted for consideration proposed 1973-74 University Calendar. Approval was also requested for a change in the 1972-73 calendar which shifts the registration date for Spring Quarter, 1973 from Friday, March 2, to Monday, March 5. The calendar as presented was:

University Calendar 1973-74

Fall Quarter 1973

September 3, Monday
September 4, Tuesday
September 5, Wednesday

September 6, Thursday
September 11, Tuesday, 4:00 p.m.
September 13, Thursday, 4:00-6:00 p.m.
September 26, Wednesday

October 12, Friday
October 12 Friday
October 29, Monday

October 26-29, Friday p.m. to Monday a.m.

November 9 and 12-15, Friday - Mon.-Thurs.

November 12-16, Monday through Friday
November 17, Saturday
November 22,23, Thursday and Friday

Winter Quarter 1974

November 26, Monday

November 26, Monday
November 28, Wednesday, 4:00 p.m.
December 6, Thursday, 4:00-6:00 p.m.
December 14, Friday

December 21, Friday, 6:00 p.m.
December 24,25, Monday and Tuesday
January 1, Tuesday
January 7, Monday, 7:00 a.m.
January 11, Friday
January 11, Friday

February 1, Friday

February 15 and 18-21, Friday and Mon. - Thurs.
February 18-22, Monday-Friday
February 23, Saturday

Orientation Days

University Holiday, Offices Closed
Registration
Examinations to Secure Advance Standing or to Remove Incompletes
Class Work Begins
End of Fee Payment Period
English Proficiency Examination
Last Day a Course May Be Dropped Without Recommendation of Instructor
Mid-quarter Reports Due
Final Date for Indicating Intent to Graduate
Last Day a Course May Be Dropped Without Extenuating Circumstances
Homecoming, Classes Dismissed at 12 Noon Friday, resume 12:10 p.m., Monday
Winter Registration for students who are in residence fall quarter
Final Examinations
University Holidays, Offices Closed

Examinations to Secure Advance Standing or to Remove Incompletes
Registration for new and re-entering students
Class Work Begins
End of fee payment period
English Proficiency Examination
Last Day a Course May Be Dropped Without Recommendation of Instructor
Christmas Recess Begins
University Holidays, Offices Closed
University Holiday, Offices Closed
Class Work Resumes
Mid-quarter Reports Due
Final Date for Indicating Intent to Graduate
Last Day a Course May Be Dropped Without Extenuating Circumstances
Spring Registration for Students who are in Residence Winter Quarter
Final Examinations
Graduation
Spring Quarter 1974
March 4, Monday
March 4, Monday
March 6, Wednesday, 4:00 p.m.
March 14, Thursday, 4:00 - 6:00 p.m.
March 22, Friday

April 11, Thursday
April 11, Thursday
April 11, Thursday, 6:00 p.m.
April 16, Tuesday, 7:00 a.m.
May 2-4, Thursday-Saturday (tentative)

May 6, Monday
May 17, Friday, 6:00 p.m.
May 20-21, Monday and Tuesday

May 20-24, Monday -Friday
May 25, Saturday
May 27, Monday

Summer Quarter 1974
June 3, Monday
June 4, Tuesday
June 5, Wednesday, 4:00 p.m.
June 13, Thursday

June 13, Thursday
June 27, Thursday

July 4, Thursday
July 9, Tuesday
July 10, Wednesday
July 11, Thursday
July 12, Friday, 4:00 p.m.
July 18, Thursday, 4:00 p.m.
July 22, Monday

August 5, Monday
August 14, Wednesday
August 15, Thursday

This calendar has been approved by the Administrative Board of Iowa State University at its March 7, 1972 meeting.
MOTION:

Mr. Perrin moved approval of the change in the 1972-73 calendar which shifts the registration date for Spring Quarter 1973 from Friday, March 1, to Monday, March 5; and moved approval of the proposed 1973-74 Iowa State University Calendar as presented. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen and was passed unanimously.

REVISION TO MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH NEBRASKA FOR THE TRAINING OF VETERINARY MEDICINE STUDENTS. The University requested approval of a revised agreement for training of Veterinary Medicine students with the Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska.

In 1955 the Board of Regents first approved an agreement between Iowa State University and the Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska for the training of veterinary medicine students from Nebraska enrolled at Iowa State University. This agreement and subsequent revisions to it generally require the individual Nebraska students to meet all entrance requirements and pay fees equal to the fees charged Iowa residents. In addition, the State of Nebraska has agreed to pay an additional sum, currently $1,800 per year per student in lieu of ordinary non-resident fees. The existing agreement with the University of Nebraska terminates June 30, 1972.

The proposed agreement provides that Iowa State University will accept six (6) students who are certified by the State of Nebraska and qualified for entrance in the College of Veterinary Medicine. Nebraska will pay Iowa State University the sum of $4,000 annually for each student enrolled under the contract. In addition, the individual students will pay Iowa State University the registration fee assessed residents of the State of Iowa. In guaranteeing the State of Nebraska places for up to six qualified students, Nebraska is agreeing to make a minimum payment at least equal to three-quarters of the cost for all of the places in the total quota from Nebraska.
The terms of this agreement equitably represent the University of Nebraska's and Iowa State University's commitments under the agreement and will give a priority to up to six qualified students over other non-resident admissions to the College of Veterinary Medicine. The annual payment associated with each student of $4,600 ($2,200 more than is currently being received) more adequately represents the variable costs of education for veterinary students at Iowa State University. With the anticipated expansion of the veterinary medicine facilities and federal support through capitation grants, this agreement represents a cooperative step in interstate arrangements.

This agreement has been approved by the Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska and it was recommended that Iowa State University be authorized to sign this agreement.

A Board member requested clarification on Section II-4 on the minimum payment required. Mr. Christensen replied that the agreement guarantees Nebraska six places and that if they wish more students to be included and the University accepts them, Nebraska can send more but the charges to the student would be the same as nonresidents. Further, Section II-4 provides for some payment by Nebraska regardless of Nebraska having 6 students qualified and admitted.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval of the following revised agreement for training of Veterinary Medicine students with the Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and was passed unanimously.
Memorandum of Understanding

Contract for Training in Veterinary Medicine

This agreement, is made and entered into this 2nd day of March, 1972, by and between Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames, Iowa, hereinafter called Iowa State, the party of the first part; and the University of Nebraska, hereinafter called Nebraska, the party of the second part.

Whereas, Iowa State is capable of providing services for training in veterinary medicine and whereas Nebraska is desirous of enrolling qualified students in accredited schools of veterinary medicine; and whereas Iowa State and Nebraska desire to enter into a contract pursuant to the aims and objectives of this training.

SECTION I. IOWA STATE

Iowa State covenants and agrees to do the following:

1. To contract with Nebraska to provide, for the first year during which this agreement shall be in effect and each year thereafter, a quota of 6 places for students from the state of Nebraska, who are certified as provided in Paragraph 2 of Section II of this agreement, in each entering class in the College of Veterinary Medicine.

2. The total quota of students from Nebraska who will be accepted and enrolled under this agreement will be based upon the quota for the entering class as referred to in Paragraph 1 of Section I except that until this contract has been in effect a sufficient number of years to permit the filling of the total quota, the total quota will be determined by the provisions of this contract and the number of students enrolled under previous agreements.

3. Iowa State shall fill Nebraska's quota to the extent possible with applicants certified by Nebraska who meet Iowa State admission requirements for Nebraska students, and not admit other non-residents of Iowa in fulfillment of
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Nebraska’s quota at Iowa State unless that quota remains unfilled on July 1 of each year during which this agreement is in effect.

4. To inform Nebraska of the final action taken by Iowa State on applications from Nebraska residents. Iowa State shall exercise final authority over admission of all applicants and shall make the final selection of veterinary medicine students.

5. To credit Nebraska the pro rata portion of the amount paid for any student who does not complete subsequent academic quarters each year, that credit to be applied against the amount due from Nebraska for the next succeeding year. If no amount shall be due for the next succeeding year, the amount credited shall be refunded to Nebraska. However, this shall not reduce Nebraska’s obligation under Paragraph 3 of Section II.

6. Iowa State may make substitution of students under this agreement only with the approval of Nebraska. If any such substitutions are made, the provisions of Paragraph 5 of Section I shall not be applicable.

SECTION II. NEBRASKA

Nebraska covenants and agrees to do the following:

1. To delegate its authority under this agreement, including the authority to make amendments to this agreement not inconsistent with the laws of Nebraska, to an office or agency of Nebraska and to inform Iowa State of such delegation so that Iowa State may thereafter deal directly with the named officer or agency in the fulfillment of the terms of this agreement.

2. To certify applicants as eligible for consideration under this agreement for training in veterinary medicine.

3. To pay Iowa State annually the sum of $4,000 for each student enrolled under this contract for veterinary medicine up to the total quotas, upon certification by Iowa State of the names and numbers of such eligible students enrolled from Nebraska. Students certified by Nebraska and enrolled under this
contract will pay Iowa State the registration fee assessed residents of the state of Iowa.

4. To make an annual minimum payment to Iowa State which payment shall be 3/4 of the charges for all places in the total quota for Nebraska established in accordance with provision of Paragraph 3 of Section II of this agreement, whether or not those quotas have been filled.

SECTION III. DURATION OF CONTRACT

This agreement supersedes any previous agreement relating to the same subject and shall continue in force as amended until its provisions shall have been completed. This agreement shall continue in force for two years from July 1, 1972 and shall be automatically renewed for another term of two years and so continuously unless either party shall give notice in writing to the other of the intention to terminate the agreement at least one year prior to the date of termination; provided, however, that the continuance of this agreement is at all times contingent upon the appropriation of the legislature of both states of sufficient funds to enable the states to comply with the agreement set forth.

This agreement is entered into as of the date written above by and between the parties thereto.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

______________________________

______________________________

Ed Schwartzkopf
Chairman
PRELIMINARY BUDGET 1972-73. The University presented proposed preliminary budget estimates for 1972-73 for the General University, Agriculture and Home Economics Experiments Station and for Cooperative Extension in Agriculture and Home Economics.

The budget proposed for 1972-73 reflects some unusually drastic steps taken by the University during the first year of a difficult biennium.

A year ago it was necessary to begin the 1971-72 year with a budget increase of only $1.7 million. This was not only the smallest increase in recent history but one that was also sharply eroded by inflation.

As a result the University instituted a series of wide-ranging actions which included, among other things:

1. Asking the staff and faculty either to forego any salary increases or to accept increases which were only a fraction of cost-of-living increases,
2. Reducing total employment by more than 500 full-time persons during the year,
3. Eliminating some $488,000 in vacant positions, this act in turn causing the elimination of some teaching sections, enlargement of classes and imposition of heavier work loads, and
4. Instituting rigorous cost-cutting operations throughout the entire structure of the University.

As a result, the University was able to propose a budget for the coming fiscal year which:

1. Continues and in some instances calls for still further reductions in operating costs, but
2. Provides enough leeway to make modest salary increases possible for faculty (in the approximate range of 4.5 percent average) and for Non-academic (in the approximate range of 6.9 percent average). This means that for the 2-year period, average faculty salaries will have increased approximately 3 percent per year and average non-academic salaries less than 5 percent per year.

These steps have been taken and this budget was proposed on the belief that these modest salary increases are essential to the welfare of the institution and its student body.
The proposed budgets are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>General University</th>
<th>Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station</th>
<th>Cooperative Extension in Agriculture and Home Economics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budgeted 1971-72</td>
<td>Estimated 1972-73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriations</td>
<td>$28,828,000</td>
<td>$30,080,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Appropriations</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fees</td>
<td>12,300,000</td>
<td>12,500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Reimbursement</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>1,105,000</td>
<td>1,090,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73 Budget Base</td>
<td>$43,683,000</td>
<td>$45,120,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriations</td>
<td>$3,966,000</td>
<td>$4,123,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Appropriations</td>
<td>1,284,449</td>
<td>1,361,390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73 Budget Base</td>
<td>$5,340,449</td>
<td>$5,574,390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriations</td>
<td>$3,351,000</td>
<td>$3,508,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Appropriations</td>
<td>2,731,988</td>
<td>2,708,695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Carried Forward</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73 Budget Base</td>
<td>$6,082,988</td>
<td>$6,716,695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1971-72 Budget</th>
<th>1972-73 Additions</th>
<th>1972-73 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic and Administrative Salaries, A and B Base</td>
<td>$22,684,298</td>
<td>$1,301,378</td>
<td>$23,985,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistant, C Base</td>
<td>1,894,920</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,894,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Academic Salaries and Wages, E and H Base</td>
<td>6,592,493</td>
<td>456,677</td>
<td>7,049,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry Level Salary Adjustments, E and H Base</td>
<td></td>
<td>45,032</td>
<td>45,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Benefits</td>
<td>4,283,216</td>
<td>178,797</td>
<td>4,462,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Expense Supplies (Library Books)</td>
<td>7,192,521</td>
<td>182,377</td>
<td>7,374,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>-100,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching Funds</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>-40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. R. and A.</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>-100,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Allowance Research Support</td>
<td>605,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>605,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve for Current Expense, Equipment and Repair Allocations</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve for Moving Expense, New Buildings</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>-120,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve for Additional Enrollment Costs</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>-150,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated</td>
<td>85,097</td>
<td>192,739</td>
<td>277,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Probudgetted Salary Lapses</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
<td>-400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Charges to Other Depts.</td>
<td>-1,004,545</td>
<td>-10,000</td>
<td>-1,014,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Budget</td>
<td>$43,683,000</td>
<td>$1,437,000</td>
<td>$45,120,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table III

**AGRICULTURE AND HOME ECONOMICS EXPERIMENT STATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1971-72 Budget</th>
<th>1972-73 Additions</th>
<th>1972-73 Preliminary Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic and Administrative Salaries, A and B Base</td>
<td>$2,450,348</td>
<td>$166,207</td>
<td>$2,616,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistants</td>
<td>637,860</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>642,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Academic Salaries and Wages, E and H Base</td>
<td>1,073,085</td>
<td>64,078</td>
<td>1,137,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Benefits</td>
<td>509,668</td>
<td>39,804</td>
<td>549,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Expense Supplies</td>
<td>720,946</td>
<td>-6,806</td>
<td>714,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>-35,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Prebudgeted Salary Lapses</td>
<td>-121,458</td>
<td>1,458</td>
<td>-120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Budget</td>
<td>$5,340,449</td>
<td>$233,941</td>
<td>$5,574,390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IV

**COOPERATIVE EXTENSION IN AGRICULTURE AND HOME ECONOMICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1971-72 Budget</th>
<th>1972-73 Additions</th>
<th>1972-73 Preliminary Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic and Administrative Salaries, A and B Base</td>
<td>$4,592,561</td>
<td>$305,000</td>
<td>$4,897,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistants</td>
<td>23,475</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>24,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Academic Salaries and Wages, E and H Base</td>
<td>469,738</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>509,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Benefits</td>
<td>234,908</td>
<td>14,261</td>
<td>249,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Expense Supplies</td>
<td>879,806</td>
<td>272,946</td>
<td>1,152,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>18,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Prebudgeted Salary Lapses</td>
<td>-136,000</td>
<td>1,458</td>
<td>-136,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Budget</td>
<td>$6,082,988</td>
<td>$633,707</td>
<td>$6,716,695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Board member questioned what percentage of total employment was reduced. Mr. Moore replied that January 1972 over January 1971 reduction was almost 10%; however, it may be slightly misleading since this was a reduction in total university employment not just those included in the General University Budget.

Another Board member expressed concern in cutting RR&A by $100,000 to permit salary improvements. He felt it was imperative to keep expenditures in the RR&A reasonably high in order to keep the best base possible and a further reduction means further deterioration in meeting needs for RR&A.

President Parks replied that he appreciated this concern and realized it is much cheaper to maintain a facility than build new ones but this was also true of the faculty. He felt that when there was not enough money to do both the money should be put on the people.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved approval of preliminary budgets for the General University of $45,120,000; for the Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station of $5,574,390 and for the Cooperative Extension in Agriculture and Home Economics of $6,716,695 for the fiscal year 1972-73. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace, and was passed unanimously.

RESOLUTION FOR NOTICE OF HEARING - VETERINARY MEDICINE FACILITIES. At the March Board meeting a public hearing was held on the plans, specifications and form of contracts for construction of Veterinary Medicine Facilities. This resolution called for bids to be opened April 6. The bidding process was that separate bids were to be taken on the Phase I and Phase II construction. On March 28 the University sent an addendum to all plan holders and bidders changing the schedule and also effecting the change in method of bidding. The Board may also recall that the University had been previously informed that the Federal Government required Phase I and Phase II be treated as separate projects and therefore bids had to be submitted separately for each phase.
Subsequent contacts with HEW gained the University permission to proceed on the following bid basis for this project:

Phase I consists of construction of approximately 260,000 square feet including the boiler plant - will be treated as the base bid. Phase II consists of the floor area of approximately 200,000 square feet - will be treated as Alternate #1. Separate sealed proposals to be received for General, Mechanical, Electrical and Fixed Equipment contracts.

This change in bidding will at least eliminate the potential problem of dealing with separate contractors on each phase of construction. As a result of this change in bidding, bid date was reset for April 27. Because the method of bidding is altered and because bond funds are involved, it is deemed necessary to hold another public hearing on this project. The public hearing is scheduled for 11:00 a.m., May 11, 1972. Recommendations on award of contract will be presented, following the public hearing at the May Board meeting.

The University presented a resolution for a notice of public hearing and bid date on the Veterinary Medicine Facilities. In response to question, Mr. Moore answered that there appeared to be four serious bidders for the General Contract at this time.

MOTION:

Member Petersen introduced and caused to be read the resolution hereinafter set out entitled, "Resolution providing for a notice of hearing on proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts for construction of Veterinary Medicine Facilities, on the campus of Iowa State University, and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon."

Member Petersen moved that said resolution be adopted, seconded by Member Perrin, and after due consideration thereof by the Board, the President put the question and, upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Bailey, Collison, McCartney, Perrin, Petersen, Redeker, Shaw, Wallace

NAY: None

ABSENT: Baldridge

Whereupon the President declared the resolution duly adopted.
RESOLUTION providing for a notice of hearing on proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts for the construction of Veterinary Medicine Facilities on the campus of Iowa State University and for a notice to contractors of bidding thereon.

WHEREAS it is necessary to provide for new and expanded facilities for instruction and research in Veterinary Medicine and such other administrative and service buildings and facilities as are deemed necessary by this Board to provide supporting services to the instructional and research programs and activities on the campus of the Iowa State University in Ames, Iowa, all as contemplated by plans, specifications and detailed drawings therefore as prepared by Henningson, Durham and Richardson, Omaha, Nebraska, as the same are now on file in the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Board; and

WHEREAS the estimated cost of constructing said Veterinary Medicine Facilities is $25,495,703; and

WHEREAS it is necessary to fix a time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts for the construction of Veterinary Medicine Facilities and to give notice thereof; and

WHEREAS it is further necessary to set a time and place to receive bids on said proposed improvement and to advertise therefore;

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It and It Is Hereby Resolved by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa as follows:

Section 1. That the proposed plans, specifications and proposed form of contracts referred to in the preamble hereof be and the same are hereby adopted.

Section 2. That the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CST., in the Pioneer Room of Memorial Union, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place of hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts as referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 3. That the Executive Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to give notice of said hearing on said proposed plans, specifications and form of contracts by publication of such notice at least once in the "Ames Daily Tribune", a legal newspaper published in the City of Ames, Iowa, and having a general circulation in said City, which publication shall be made at least ten (10) days prior to the time of said hearing, all in conformity with Section 23.2 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND PROPOSED FORM OF CONTRACTS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF VETERINARY MEDICINE FACILITIES ON THE CAMPUS OF IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY.

* * *

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa will meet on the 11th day of May, 1972, at 11:00 o'clock A.M., CST, in the Pioneer Room of Memorial Union, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, at which time and place a hearing will be held on the proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts for the Veterinary Medicine Facilities on the campus of Iowa State University, in the City of Ames, Iowa. At said hearing interested persons may file objections to said proposed plans and specifications, to the proposed form of contracts or to the cost of said improvement. The proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts are now on file in the Office of the Secretary of the State Board of Regents, Grimes Building, Des Moines, Iowa, where they may be inspected by interested parties.

Section 4. That the 27th day of April, 1972, at 2:00 o'clock P.M., C.S.T., in Beardshear Hall at Iowa State University in the City of Ames, Iowa, is hereby fixed as the time and place for opening bids on the proposed Veterinary Medicine Facilities construction project referred to in the preamble hereof.

Section 5. That the Executive Secretary of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for bids for the construction of Veterinary Medicine Facilities by publication of a notice at least twice in the "Ames Daily Tribune", a legal newspaper published in the City of Ames, Iowa, and having a general circulation in said City, the first of which publication shall be made not less than fifteen (15) days prior to the date set for receiving and opening said bids, all in conformity with Sections 23, 18 and 262.34 of the Code of Iowa, 1971. Said notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS OF BIDDING ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF VETERINARY MEDICINE FACILITIES ON THE CAMPUS OF IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

* * *

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that sealed proposals for the construction of Veterinary Medicine Facilities on the campus of Iowa State University in the City of Ames, Iowa, will be received by the State Board of Regents of the State of Iowa at the Office of the President, 117 Beardshear Hall, Iowa State University in Ames, Iowa, 50010, until 2:00 o'clock P.M., C.S.T., on the 27th day of April, 1972, and that all such proposals received by said time will be publicly opened and read aloud on the same day in Beardshear Hall.
Copies of the proposed plans and specifications, and other contract documents, are now on file at the Physical Plant Office, Central Stores Building, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50010, where they may be publicly inspected by interested parties. A set of documents may be obtained from Henningson, Durham and Richardson, 8404 Indian Hills Drive, Omaha, Nebraska 68114.

Contract Documents may be examined at the following places:

- Henningson, Durham and Richardson, 8404 Indian Hills Drive, Omaha, Nebraska 68114
- Physical Plant Department, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50010
- State Board of Regents Office, Grimes Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319
- Various Builders' Exchanges

Bona fide Prime Contract Bidders may obtain two copies of all documents at the office of the Architect upon deposit of an amount required by the Architect for each set; this deposit is refundable upon submission of a bona fide bid and return of the documents in good condition within ten (10) days following opening of bids. Instructions to Bidders give information for obtaining additional copies of documents.

Each proposal shall be on the forms provided with the Contract Documents and shall be accompanied by bid security in the form of a cash deposit, certified check, or bid bond in the amount of at least 5% of the bid.

Bid security shall be agreed upon as the measure of liquidated damages which Owner will sustain by failure, neglect or refusal of bidder to deliver a signed contract stipulating performance of the work in unqualified compliance with Contract Documents within ten (10) days after notification of award of contract to him.

No bidder may withdraw his proposal for a period of forty-five (45) days after the date set for the opening of bids.

By virtue of statutory authority, the contractor shall give preference to Iowa domestic labor pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 73 of the Code of Iowa, 1971.

By virtue of statutory authority, a preference will be given to products and provisions grown and coal produced within the State of Iowa.

The State Board of Regents reserves the right to reject any or all bids and to waive any irregularities therein.

Section 6. That the Executive Secretary may extend the time for opening bids or establish additional times if, in his opinion, this would be in the public interest.

Section 7. That all orders or resolutions, or parts of orders or resolutions, conflicting herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.
CHANGES IN AGREEMENT - CITY OF AMES WATER POLLUTION CONTROL. The following agreement was approved in January, 1972.

AGREEMENT

This agreement made and entered into this __________ day of __________, 1972, by and between the City of Ames, Iowa, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "City", and the State Board of Regents, an arm of the State of Iowa, hereinafter referred to as "Board", Witnesseth:

WHEREAS, the Board has under its control and jurisdiction the management and control of the property of the institution commonly known as Iowa State University, hereinafter referred to as "University", said property being located within and adjacent to the territorial limits of the City, as well as the expenditure of all appropriations made to said institutions; and

WHEREAS, the City and the University have cooperated in the solution of the sewerage and water pollution control problems of the Ames community under the terms of a contract entered into on the 3rd day of June, 1947; and

WHEREAS, the working relationship under the terms of the 1947 contract has been both amicable and equitable to the parties concerned; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of both parties hereto that this joint cooperative effort be continued with regard to sewerage and water pollution control problems arising within and adjacent to the Ames community, and that the costs thereof continue to be distributed between the City and the University in a fair and equitable manner.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT SET FORTH:

1. Present Operation

The Board and the City agree to continue the joint operation of existing facilities used by both parties hereto, consisting generally of certain trunk, interceptor and outfall sanitary sewers and a water pollution control plant located generally in the North Half (N1/2) of the South Half (S1/2) of the Northeast Quarter (NE1/4) of Section 14-83-24, Story County, Iowa, in a manner hereinafter described.

2. Additional Facilities

The Board and the City recognize the need for additional sewerage facilities to keep pace with the continuing growth of the University and the Ames community. These may consist of some or all of additional trunk, interceptor or outfall sanitary sewers specifically intended for joint use of both parties hereto, and also additional waste treatment facilities which may be constructed at the present water pollution control plant site and/or at an additional or completely new site.

3. City to be Responsible

The City agrees to be responsible for the designing, constructing, repairing, operating, and maintaining jointly-used trunk, interceptor and outfall sanitary sewers and waste treatment facilities, including such facilities currently in service and those to be built in the future.
4. **Fundamental Concept**

It is understood and agreed that the fundamental concept of this agreement is that the allocation to the parties hereto of costs of construction of the additional jointly-used facilities needed, and the costs of operation of existing and additional jointly-used facilities, shall be based upon the relative or proportional volumes of wastewater contributed by each of the parties hereto. As a matter of perspective only, the relative volumes at this writing are in the range of approximately 30 percent by the University and 70 percent by all other contributors combined.

5. **Costs of construction**

   a. For sewers, the term "costs of construction" as used herein shall include the costs of easements and damages, fill or cover over the sewer, all materials and labor, and indirect costs such as legal expense, interest during construction, engineering fees and inspection, and such other direct costs as are appropriately chargeable to the sewer project.

   b. For treatment facilities, the term "costs of construction" as used herein shall include access road, right of way and damages, buildings and equipment complete, laboratory equipment, water supply, electric supply and metering equipment, automotive equipment, grading and landscaping, fencing, and indirect costs such as legal expense, interest during construction, engineering fees and inspection, and such other direct costs as are appropriately chargeable to the treatment facilities project.

   c. These costs shall exclude the cost of land and damages for additional plant site.

   d. These costs shall exclude interest during construction if the Board elects to fund its share of construction by the "reimbursement option" as described in Section 7-e below.

6. **Costs of Operation**

   a. For sewers, the term "costs of operation" as used herein shall include direct labor and equipment charges involved in the maintenance of jointly-used trunk, interceptor and outfall sewers, or a lump sum cost figure based on past experience as mutually agreed upon, and such other direct costs as are appropriately chargeable to the sewer cost of operation.

   b. For treatment facilities, the term "costs of operation" as used herein shall include salaries and wages paid to employees directly involved in operation and administration of the treatment facilities, power, chemicals, laboratory supplies, repair parts and replacements, tools, transportation, equipment rental, experimental projects and small additions or betterments as provided in Section 10, and such other direct costs as are appropriately chargeable to the treatment facilities costs of operation.

   c. The costs of operation for sewer and treatment facilities shall also include the cost of annual audits.
d. These costs shall exclude billing and accounting expense by the City, any costs for amortization of bonded indebtedness incurred by the City except as described in Section 7-g below, and salaries of city officials or employees unless said official or employee has certain regularly assigned duties directly connected with the operation of these facilities, in which case a charge shall be made for his proportional time spent, and included in Section 6-b, above.

7. Payment for Costs of Construction

a. For major trunk, interceptor and outfall sewers and for waste treatment facilities, the final allocation to the parties hereto of the costs of construction shall be based upon the relative or proportional volumes of total wastewater flow contributed by each of the parties hereto. Final allocation shall be based on the average of metered wastewater flows contributed by each during two consecutive years, which shall be taken as the calendar year previous to, and the calendar year coincident with the completion of construction. For purposes of preliminary cost-sharing during construction, an arbitrary proportion of 30:70 may be used, or any other proportion as mutually agreed upon.

b. For smaller local collector or trunk sewers which will be used jointly, but where the concept in Section 7-a would not be equitable, the final allocation to the parties hereto of the costs of construction may be based upon the relative or proportional volumes of wastewater flow contributed locally to the respective sewer or sewers by each of the parties hereto.

c. The University may elect the option, hereinafter referred to as the "reimbursement" option of funding its share of the costs of construction as a direct reimbursement to the City generally during the period of construction. Under the reimbursement option, the City proceeds with construction and incurs obligations with reference thereto, the City shall render to the University a statement of the amount of any such obligations so incurred, and the University shall forthwith reimburse to the City 30 (or other) percent of the amount involved.

d. Under the reimbursement option, upon completion of construction the City shall render to the University a final and detailed statement of the costs of construction. This statement shall be subject to audit and inspection by the University of all records and data upon which said statement is based and shall be the basis for a preliminary completion payment by the University in accordance with the cost-sharing proportion earlier agreed upon.

e. Under the reimbursement option, following the end of the calendar year during which construction is completed the City shall render to the University a statement of the metered wastewater flows contributed by each party hereto during the two consecutive previous calendar years. The relative or proportional volumes of wastewater during these two years shall be the basis for determining the final share of each party hereto for the costs of construction. In the event it is found that the University has advanced under Section 7-c an amount in excess of its final share, the City shall reimburse the University with such amount. In the event it is found that the University has not advanced under Section 7-c an amount sufficient to pay its final share, then the University shall pay to the City such deficiency.
f. The University may elect the alternative option, hereinafter referred to as the "amortization" option, of requesting the City to secure and provide all capital financing for the construction project. Upon completion of the project, the City shall render to the University a final and detailed statement of the costs of construction. This statement shall be subject to audit and inspection by the University of all records and data upon which said statement is based.

g. Under the amortization option, the University's final share shall be based upon the aforementioned final statement of costs of construction and upon the relative or proportional wastewater flows contributed by each party hereto during the two consecutive calendar years described above. The University shall then make regular monthly amortization payments to the City, generally over the life of the respective City bond issue or other period as mutually agreed upon, until the University's final share, plus interest during amortization, is fully paid to the City.

8. Payment for Costs of Operation

a. Billing for costs of operation during each calendar year shall be rendered by the City and paid monthly by the University, based on a unit rate estimated for that calendar year, as follows:

\[
\text{Billing Unit Rate, } \text{dollars/mil gal} = \frac{(90\% \text{ of the budgeted total annual cost of operation, dollars})}{(\text{estimated total annual wastewater flow to treatment plant, million gallons})}
\]

A new billing unit rate shall be calculated as defined above at the beginning of each calendar year and shall become effective with February billing for service rendered in January of that year. Monthly billing shall be based on a preliminary calculation of total wastewater volume contributed by University sources during the month under consideration.

b. Following the end of each calendar year, budgeted annual costs of operation shall be adjusted to actual annual costs of operation, and estimated wastewater flows will be adjusted to actual wastewater flows for that year, and a corrected or final unit rate for that year, dollars/mil gal, shall then be calculated.

c. Following the end of each calendar year, the sum of the monthly payments made toward costs of operation by the University to the City for the calendar year under consideration shall be compared to the obligation of the University for costs of operation based upon the relative or proportional volumes of wastewater contributed by each of the parties hereto.

d. In the event it is found that the University has advanced under Section 8-a an amount in excess of its proper share of the costs of operation, the City shall credit the University with such amount against billing under this agreement during the ensuing calendar year. In the event it is found that the University has not advanced under Section 8-a an amount sufficient to pay its proper share of the costs of operation, then the University shall pay the City such deficiency.
9. **Replacement Costs**

The cost of replacement of any portion or part of any trunk, interceptor, or outfall sanitary sewer or water pollution control plant or plants built and operated under this agreement shall be borne by the parties hereto on the same basis as the costs of operation are shared during the calendar year in which said replacements are made.

10. **Additions or Betterments**

In the event the City deems it necessary to make an addition or betterment to a sewer or sewers or treatment plant or plants built and operated under this agreement, and said addition or betterment involves an expenditure in any one calendar year exceeding the amount of $1,000 and for which the University will be charged its proportionate share of costs based upon the measured flow of wastewater for said calendar year, the City shall secure the consent and approval of said University before such addition or betterment is made. In this connection, individual amounts not exceeding $1,000, with the total of all amounts not exceeding $10,000, in any calendar year for additions or betterments shall be charged to and paid for by the parties hereto as operating costs for the calendar year in which said funds are expended.

11. **Sewer Connections**

In the event the University connects a University facility to an existing City sewer line, it is agreed by the City, the Board, and the University that the University may choose to pay sewer charges for the new facility connected to the existing City sewer line as prescribed for regular customers by City ordinance. The University may also choose at any time to pay to the City a mutually agreeable proportional amount of the cost of the sewer line to which connection has been made based upon the proportion of total flow produced by the University facility connected and based upon the present reproduction cost value of the sewer line depreciated for age. From the time this option is selected, the connection accomplished, and the payment made by the University the flow from the new facility will be combined with the total flow from all university facilities and will contribute to the proportionate share of the total cost of operation being supported by the University and as herein before described in this agreement.

12. **Right to Use Facilities**

The University is granted the right to use the laboratory facilities at any and all times for the conduct of class or research work and further is granted the right of access to all parts of the sewers and treatment plant or plants for class, laboratory, research or other purposes to protect its interest and investment in the sewers and plant or plants, provided the exercise of said right does not interfere with the operation of said sewers and treatment plant or plants.

13. **Contract Review**

This agreement shall be reviewed for purposes of correction, updating, revision, addition or other such changes as may be deemed appropriate by either of the parties hereto at intervals of not more often than each two years and not less often than each five years.
14. Enforcement or Construction Orders

In the event the City of Ames, following due process of law and applicable rules and regulations, is ordered by water pollution control agencies of the State of Iowa or the Federal Government to proceed forthwith or under a specified timetable toward construction of additional or new sewerage or waste treatment facilities, the University hereby recognizes its joint responsibility and agrees to participate in the costs of construction of such facilities, to the extent they will be jointly used, either through one of the optional methods described herein or through any other such mechanism as it may find appropriate at the time.

15. Effective Date of Agreement

The terms and conditions of this agreement shall go into effect beginning January 1, 1972.

Witness our hand the day and year above written.

The University requested approval of the following changes in the agreement.

Section 8-a of the agreement to be changed as follows:

"Billing for costs of operation during each calendar year shall be rendered by the City and paid monthly by the University, based on a unit rate estimated for that calendar year, as follows:

\[
\text{Billing Unit Rate, } \frac{\text{dollars}}{\text{mil gal}} = \frac{0.75 \times \text{budgeted total annual cost of operation, dollars}}{\text{estimated total annual wastewater flow to treatment plant, million gallons}}
\]

(The original agreement used 90% of the budgeted total annual cost of operation.)

Section 7-a of the agreement to be changed as follows:

"For major trunk, interceptor and outfall sewers and for waste treatment facilities, the final allocation to the parties hereto of the costs of construction shall be based upon the relative or proportional volumes of total wastewater flow contributed by each of the parties hereto. Final allocation shall be based on the average of metered wastewater flows contributed by each during two consecutive years, which shall be taken as the calendar year previous to the first year of construction, and the calendar year coincident with the first year of construction. For purposes of preliminary cost-sharing during construction, an arbitrary proportion of 30:70 may be used, or any other proportion as mutually agreed upon."

(The original agreement used two consecutive years, which shall be taken as the calendar year previous to, and the calendar year coincident with the completion of construction.)

Mr. Perrin assumed the chair at this time, 1:40 p.m.
The Board indicated no objection to the first change, but inquired why the second change was necessary. Mr. Moore explained that the City would get a quicker settlement and further explained that he saw no change in the flow which would increase the cost to the university in using this method of computation. There was concern by some members of the Board that it could mean paying a higher percentage of the capital cost.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval of the recommended changes in the agreement with the City of Ames. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen, and was passed unanimously.

MARRIED STUDENT HOUSING - PHASE III. On October 7, 1970, Iowa State University was authorized to proceed with an experimental four-plex unit which was to be factory built and installed on the Swine Nutrition Farm. This unit has proven to be a satisfactory solution for married student housing and the total project expenditure was $53,034. It is anticipated that by using this type of construction, a savings of approximately one-third will result over the type of construction used in Phase I and Phase II of University Village.

It is, therefore, proposed that a site planner and engineer be retained to develop a preliminary site plan and preliminary cost estimate for the project. The Physical Plant Department, University Architect, and the Department of Residence will develop the necessary plans and specifications for the housing unit and furnishings.

It is necessary to proceed with this project at this time because of the extension of Thirteenth Street and the loss of sixty-six units in West Pammel Court. It is proposed to present to the Board at the May 1972 meeting a recommendation for the selection of the site planner and engineer.

All funds for this project will be paid from the Dormitory Improvement Fund.
Mr. Redeker reassumed the chair at this point.

The University requested approval to negotiate for engineering services for development of site plans. A Board member mentioned that there is a private development being built in Ames and questioned the University building housing which may not be necessary. Mr. Moore explained that this project is for replacement only, replacing units being razed. He further stated that the private units being built could not be competitive in rental rate with the units proposed by the University. Mr. Moore advised the Board that they have had waiting lists for married student housing and that they believe this project will be financially feasible. Some Board members felt that until they looked at bids they really couldn't determine whether the units would be financially feasible but did note that if low-cost housing could be provided it could mean the difference in some students going to school or not.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval of Iowa State University negotiating for engineering services for development of site plans for New Married Student Housing on the campus. The motion was seconded by Mr. Shaw, and was passed unanimously.

A Regent requested that at the time a proposal came in for the contract for engineering services the University provide the Board with a document setting out all facts with respect to what the demand is expected to be for the units; how many units will be razed, and an indication of the discrepancy, if any, between the home market rental rate and the units the University would be offering to the students. Another Board member stated she was more concerned with the impact outside building projects would have on the single student housing than on the married student housing. Mr. Moore stated that he would have residence hall personnel present at the May Board meeting to explain all details relative to project need and also to present relationship between this project and present and future local housing plans.
CHANGE OF AUDITOR - DORMITORY REVENUE BONDS. The University requested approval of the appointment of the auditing firm of McGladrey, Hansen and Dunn, Iowa City, to prepare an audit on the books and accounts of the Dormitory System. Each of the Dormitory Bond Resolutions reads as follows:

"Section 5.07. Records and Audit Reports. . . The Board further agrees that it will within ninety days following the close of each fiscal year cause an audit of such books and accounts to be made by a firm of certified public accountants not in the regular employ of the State Board of Regents or of the Iowa State University showing the receipts and disbursements for the account of said system and containing the examiner's comments regarding the manner in which the requirements of this resolution have been carried out, a list of all insurance policies in force at the end of the year, setting out as to each policy the amount of the policy, the risks covered, the name of the insurer and the expiration date of the policy, a statement as to the number of students enrolled at the Iowa State University during each term or semester of said preceding fiscal year and the occupancy of student residence halls and dormitories for each such term or semester..."

Good auditing practice recommends that a change of auditing firms be made occasionally to perform a repetitive audit such as this one. The previous auditing firm has performed this service for Iowa State University for a number of years and the reports have been satisfactory.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved approval of the appointment of the auditing firm of McGladrey, Hansen and Dunn, Iowa City, Iowa, to prepare an audit on the books and accounts of the Dormitory System at Iowa State University beginning with the fiscal year 1971-72. The motion was seconded by Mr. Shaw, and was passed unanimously.

REQUEST FOR PAYROLL WITHHOLDING FOR UNION DUES. The Iowa State University employees local of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, submitted a request for payroll deduction for union dues.

The Iowa State University office of business and finance stated that it was capable of and prepared to make the arrangements for this service under the policy regulations recently approved by the Board of Regents. Local 870 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees requested payroll...
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deduction of dues for its members each month beginning with the payroll of April 30th, 1972. The Local understands that at least one hundred persons will participate in the withholding.

In brief discussion, it was understood that questions on initiation or start time of withholding was left solely up to the judgment of the business office.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval for the deduction of membership union dues for the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, ISU Local, at Iowa State University, using regular payroll withholding procedures. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Collison, and was passed unanimously.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS. Executive Secretary Richey reported the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period March 10 to April 13, 1972, had been filed with him, was in order, and was recommended for approval. The following construction contracts were recommended for approval:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Awardee</th>
<th>Type of Contract</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection</td>
<td>Style Craft Steel, Inc. Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td>Fire Escape/Dairy Industry Bldg.</td>
<td>$4,197.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Sprinkler Projects</td>
<td>27,846.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Automatic Sprinkler Co. Kansas City, Mo.</td>
<td>Water Main &amp; Fire Hydrant</td>
<td>78,878.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iowa Road Builders Ames, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following project budget was presented for approval:

FIRE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Bids received April 4, 1972

Construction Contracts:

- Fire Escape Construction
  Style Craft Steel Inc., base bid $4,197.00
  Less tax refund 47.00
  Total $4,150.00

Account: 502-64-05
Sprinkler Systems Construction
Automatic Sprinkler Corporation
Curtiss Hall, base bid A $10,565.00
MacKay Hall, base bid B 10,464.00
Marston Hall, base bid C 6,817.00
Less tax refund - 346.00 27,500.00

Water Service Construction
Iowa Road Builders Company
West Campus Area, base bid A 21,972.00
Wallace Road Complex, base bid B 22,391.50
Publications Area, base bid C 13,615.40
Power Plant Connection, base bid D 3,500.00
Stange-Pammel Area, Alt. bid A 9,300.00
Library By-pass, Alt. bid B 4,700.00
Power Plant Extension, Alt. bid C 3,400.00
Less tax refund - 978.90 77,900.00

Other Projects (estimated) 169,450.00
Legal and Administrative 2,000.00
Engineering 5,000.00
Contingencies and Miscellaneous 14,000.00
$300,000.00

Source of Funds:
64th G.A. Academic Revenue Bonds $300,000.00
The following new project was presented for approval:

PROPOSED PARKING LOT IMPROVEMENTS - 1972

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Lot 57 - Asphalt surfacing. Approximately 57 spaces and including roadway access north</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Lot 25 - Asphalt surfacing of the parking strip on the east side of Ag Engineering only. 14 spaces and the loading zone area.</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Lot 30 - Asphalt surfacing. 5 spaces.</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Lot 31 - Asphalt surfacing. 20 spaces.</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Lot 34 - Asphalt surfacing, approximately 40 spaces.</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Estimated Cost: $28,500

Source of Funds: Parking Permit Fees $28,500

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period March 10 to April 13, 1972 be approved; the contracts shown be awarded; the project budget shown above be approved; and the new project shown above be approved; the Executive Secretary be authorized to sign the necessary documents. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen, and was passed unanimously.
The following business pertaining to the University of Northern Iowa was transacted on Thursday, April 13, 1972.

PUBLIC HEARING - BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND SMALL ANIMAL BUILDING. President Redeker called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m., Central Standard Time, April 13, 1972, and the roll being called, there were present Stanley F. Redeker, President, in the chair, and the following named members: Bailey, Baldridge, Collison, McCartney, Perrin, Petersen, Shaw, Wallace.

The President advised that this was the day, time, and place set for a hearing on the proposed plans and specifications and proposed form of contracts for Biological Research and Small Animal Building on the campus of the University of Northern Iowa, in the City of Cedar Falls, Iowa. He inquired whether there were any present who wished to register objections concerning either the proposed plans and specifications or proposed forms of contract. No objectors were present. The President then inquired whether the Executive Secretary had received any written objections to the project. The Executive Secretary stated that he had not received any such objections. There being no objections, the President declared the public hearing closed.

The following business pertaining to the University of Northern Iowa was transacted on Friday, April 14, 1972.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of March, 1972 were approved.

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT LEAVES FOR 1972-73. The University recommended approval of the following twelve Professional Development Leaves selected from 28 worthy applicants by the Professional Development Leave Committee. It is anticipated the replacement cost of these leaves will total $14,200.
Josef W. Fox, Professor, Department of Philosophy and Religion - Spring Semester
George D. Glenn, Ass't. Professor, Department of Speech - Spring Semester
Clifford H. Herrold, Professor, Department of Art - Spring Semester
Lillian R. Wagner, Professor, Department of Speech - Spring Semester
Donald R. Whitnah, Prof. & Head, Dept. of History - Spring Semester
Gerald Scott Cawelti, Instructor, Department of English Language and Literature - Spring Semester
Lillian R. Wagner, Professor, Department of Speech - Spring Semester
Donald R. Whitnah, Prof. & Head, Dept. of History - Spring Semester
Gerald Scott Cawelti, Instructor, Department of English Language and Literature - Spring Semester
James G. Chadney, Ass't. Prof. Dept. of Sociology & Anthropology - 1972-73 Acad. Yr.
Jacques F. Dubois, Ass't. Prof., Dept. of Foreign Languages - 1972-73 Acad. Yr.
James Neil Hantula, Ass't. Prof., Dept. of Teaching - 1972-73 Acad. Yr.
Judith Finkel Harrington, Ass't. Prof. Department of Speech Pathology and Audiology - Summer of 1972
Adolph E. Hieke, Instructor, Department of English Language and Literature - 1972-73 Acad. Yr.
Richard Rackstraw, Ass't. Prof. Department of English Language and Literature - Fall Semester

Detailed information on each of the leaves is on file in the Board office.

Section 262.9 of the Iowa Code of 1971 sets out the powers and duties of the Board. In a listing of such powers and duties, subsection 12 reads:

"Grant leaves of absence with full or partial compensation to staff members to undertake approved programs of study, research, or other professional activity which in the judgment of the Board will contribute to the improvement of the institutions. Any staff member granted such leave shall agree either to return to the institution granting such leave for a period of not less than two years or to repay to the state of Iowa such compensation as he shall have received during such leave."

The statute appears clearly to grant the Board power to approve professional development leaves and appears to require such approval in advance in the wording which requires the "judgment of the board" in the approval of such leaves.

In the past the Board has requested an annual report of research and development leaves including evaluation of such leaves. The Board also in the past has approved such leaves when they appeared as personnel changes on the docket.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved approval of the above Professional Development Leaves for academic year 1972-73. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen and was passed unanimously.
PRELIMINARY BUDGET FOR 1972-73. The University presented proposed preliminary budget estimates for 1972-73 for Board approval. The University reported that the budget for 1972-73 is an extremely tight budget. To accomplish the task set forth for 1972-73 by the University of Northern Iowa, it will be necessary to seriously tax the general expense budgets and allow increases only in the face of outside inevitable cost increases. For the second year in a row, the amount available for instructional equipment continues to weaken the instructional program. With these limitations, the University will only be able to provide increases to physical plant, clerical, faculty and administrative employees which will partially offset the constantly encroaching effect of inflation. The increase will be well within the federal guidelines for wages and salaries increases. Total increase amounts to slightly over 2% of the base salaries budget for 1971-72.

A comparison summary is attached to these minutes.

President Kamerick remarked that allocations to Student Aid has been reduced $80,000 last year and the allocation was reduced another $40,000 this year. There has been a rather large increase in donations to the Foundation so that while this income will not quite make up the $40,000 reduction, the amount made up is approximately $25,000. He stated that they had also had good success with federal money in this category.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved approval of the preliminary budget for fiscal year 1972-73 of $17,410,000 with $11,552,000 in state appropriations. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace, and was passed unanimously.
**GENERAL OPERATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriation</td>
<td>$10,940,000</td>
<td>$10,940,000</td>
<td>$11,552,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fees</td>
<td>$5,512,000</td>
<td>$5,529,500</td>
<td>$5,461,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds (Overhead)</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other items</td>
<td>$301,000</td>
<td>$275,300</td>
<td>$382,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Estimated Income</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,764,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,769,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,410,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated Expenditures:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salaries and Wages</th>
<th>$13,102,599#</th>
<th>$13,045,800#</th>
<th>$13,656,000 (a) &amp; (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$270,000**</td>
<td>$230,000**</td>
<td>$250,000 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$2,930,000</td>
<td>$2,928,000</td>
<td>$2,940,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Books</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$250,000##</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$210,000 (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; A</td>
<td>$219,000</td>
<td>$219,000</td>
<td>$219,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated</td>
<td>$47,401</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Gross Budget</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,864,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Estimated Salary Savings</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,764,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Net after charge back of $210,000.
** Net after charge back of $280,000.
## Includes $72,000 transfer from Other Expense to Equip. for Data Proc. change from lease to purchase plan.
(a) Net after charge back of $220,000.
(b) $553,000 increase allocated ---

- $65,000 fringe benefits.
- $63,000 new fringe benefits (Soc. Sec. and Unemployment Comp.).
- 145,000 mandatory new positions
- 279,000 salary increases
(c) Net after charge back of $300,000.
(d) Incl. Data Proc. $70,000 & Unallocated $80,000 and $60,000 allocated.

Business Office
4/3/72
CONTRACT WITH WATERLOO COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT. The University requested the Board approve Tuition Agreement for Malcolm Price Laboratory School students for 1972-73 from the Waterloo Community School District. It was recommended further by the Board Office that the Board go on record again that it will not approve any tuition proposal for 1973-74 that is less than the sum of state aid per pupil, which a district receives for students serviced by the UNI Laboratory School.

On December 9-10, 1971, the Board approved the proposal to inform sending districts that the tuition charge would be changed to full state support reimbursement followed by negotiation for some additional local contribution and asked that the University of Northern Iowa and the school districts enter into negotiations as soon as possible for the 1973-74 and 1974-75 school years in an attempt to increase the per pupil amounts for those years to a level more equal to the state per pupil aid being received by the districts.

The Board may wish to ask for a report on action taken by UNI and for a schedule on negotiations with various sending districts for the 1973-74 and 1973-75 school years.

A chart entitled Tuition Data as background for the $17,000 amount shown in the Tuition Agreement is attached to these minutes. Data for UNI agreements are from the UNI Business Office; otherwise, data are from the Department of Public Instruction. Transportation is not included in the tuition or cost of instruction figures.

Following is a statement on maximum tuition rates from the Department of Public Instruction on maximum tuition rates to be charged by local school districts for students from other districts.
TUITION DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1971-72</th>
<th>1972-73</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNI - Waterloo Agreement for 1971-72</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction per student</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation per student</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Amount</strong></td>
<td>$9,500</td>
<td>17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNI - Cedar Falls Agreement</strong></td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State Aid


Maximum Tuition Rates set by Department of Public Instruction for Tuition to be charged by local school districts for students from other districts:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>$761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior High</td>
<td>1,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>1,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>$1,078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State Average Transportation Cost Per Pupil: $88

Cost of Instruction in 1970-71 for Cedar Falls Community School District:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>$689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior High</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>$798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Waterloo Community School District

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>$690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior High</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>1,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>$863</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tuition paid to UNI by the Waterloo Community School District in 1971-72 for 73 pupils is at the rate of $100 per pupil for a total of $7,300. The Waterloo District receives state aid of $178 per pupil or $12,994 for these students. Its cost experience in 1970-71 was $863 per pupil. Thus if its students in the UNI Lab School had been educated in the district, it would have amounted to $62,999. The proposed agreement with UNI for 1972-73 would provide tuition payments of $150 per pupil for a total amount of $12,750 to be compared with state aid of $371 per pupil or $31,535 total. Waterloo is receiving about $19,000 more in state aid for these students than it is paying UNI.

The transportation portion of the proposed agreement amounts to $50 per pupil or a total of $4,250 which added to the tuition amount of $12,750 accounts for the proposed total amount of $17,000.

In view of the tentative agreements reached for both Waterloo and Cedar Falls on a tuition rate of $150 per pupil, it is suggested that the UNI proposal be approved but that a floor on tuition rates be established by the Board for 1973-74. It may be recalled that the Board has recognized previously the desirability of acquiring pupils from minority groups to provide an opportunity to develop teaching methods for such pupils in a laboratory school environment.

Mr. Jennings reported to the Board that the University has been negotiating with the Cedar Falls School District and expected to bring a report to the Board next month. He recommended that the Board take specific action on the Waterloo contract but not take any action in regard to the Cedar Falls School District until next month.
In discussion of subsidizing the Cedar Falls-Waterloo systems, President Redeker remarked that in a sense all of the institutions are subsidizing the school systems and that the Board should recognize this goes beyond a local situation in bringing equity among the three institutions.

MOTION: Mrs. Petersen moved the Board approve the agreement for Malcolm Price Laboratory School students for 1972-73 with the Waterloo Community School District but to further go on record that the Board will not approve any new proposal for 1973-74 that does not meet the state aid per pupil amount. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace.

It was suggested that Waterloo be notified that the Board was giving them notice. Further suggestion was made that the Board simply reaffirm the action taken in December 1971 and indicate this applies to both Waterloo and Cedar Falls which would hopefully strengthen the University in negotiating with Cedar Falls. Some Board members expressed the belief that the Board must act firmly on this position.

MOTION: Mr. Bailey moved to amend the motion in the following manner "approve the agreement for the Malcolm Price Laboratory School students for 1972-73 but to further go on record again that it is the sentiment of the Board it will not approve any tuition proposal for 1973-74 that is less than the sum of state aid per pupil, which a district receives for students serviced by the UNI Laboratory School. The motion was seconded by Mr. Shaw. In voting on the motion the following voted Nay: Perrin, Redeker. The motion carried 6 - 2.

A request for division of the original motion, as amended, was made by Mr. McCartney.

The Board then voted on the first part of the motion which was approval of the agreement for the Malcolm Price Laboratory School students for 1972-73. This portion of the motion carried unanimously.
In voting on the section portion of the motion which is as follows: "but to further go on record again that it is the sentiment of the Board it will not approve any tuition proposal for 1973-74 that is less than the sum of state aid per pupil, which a district receives for students serviced by the UNI Laboratory School."

The following voted NAY: McCartney
ABSENT: Baldridge
The motion carried 7 - 1

The agreement for 1972-73 only is as follows:

TUITION AGREEMENT
FOR
MALCOLM PRICE LABORATORY SCHOOL STUDENTS

THIS AGREEMENT made this 14th day of April, 1972, by and between the University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, Iowa, hereinafter referred to as "UNI", and Waterloo Community School District in the County of Black Hawk, State of Iowa, hereinafter referred to as "District".

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, UNI and the District have reached agreement as to the amount of tuition and transportation costs to be paid by the District to UNI for pupils attending the Malcolm Price Laboratory School, and they wish to reduce this agreement to writing.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby agreed by and between the parties as follows:

1) The District hereby agrees to pay to UNI the sum of Seventeen Thousand Dollars ($17,000.00) for the 1972-73 academic year.

2) UNI and the District agree that the sum set forth in Paragraph 1, above, shall be in full settlement of the obligation of the District to UNI for tuition costs and transportation costs for the 1972-73 academic year, and the District shall be under no obligation to pay UNI any further amounts, regardless of what the actual tuition costs or transportation costs are for the 1972-73 school year.

3) The District shall not enroll more than 85 pupils (exclusive of nursery school children) during the term of this Agreement.

4) This agreement shall be applicable only to the 1972-73 academic year, and UNI and the District agree to meet prior to ________________, 1973, for the purpose of negotiating tuition and transportation costs for attendance at the Malcolm Price Laboratory School for years subsequent to the 1972-73 academic year.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto subscribed their names on the date as hereinabove stated.
SPECIAL SECURITY OFFICER. The University requested special security officer status for Mr. Alvin J. Kyhl. Mr. Kyhl conforms to the Board's requirements and policy as set forth in December, 1971, as regards commissioning for special security officer status. Mr. Kyhl was employed by the University in his present position February 8, 1971. He had no prior police training. He successfully completed the basic recruit course at the Iowa Law Enforcement Academy on October 19, 1971.

With this commissioning, of the staff of 16 authorized positions at UNI, 13 officers will have been commissioned as special security officers.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved to commission Alvin J. Kyhl as a permanent special security officer at the University of Northern Iowa. The motion was seconded by Mr. Perrin, and was passed unanimously.

PURCHASE OF PROPERTY FOR UNI-CUE. At the March meeting the Board approved the purchase of property at 515 Lime Street, Waterloo, Iowa, from the Walnut Street Baptist Church for the price of $50,000 if UNI could obtain the property. The University was in competition with the Boy's Club of Waterloo who had offered $50,000 for the property. The University had approached the trustees of the church and offered to recommend to the Board of Regents that they match the offer of the Boy's Club and then to approach the Boy's Club to try to convince them that their use of the property was a higher priority.

At a special business meeting held on Wednesday evening, March 22, 1972, the membership of the Walnut Ridge Baptist Church voted to accept the offer from the Waterloo Boys' Club to purchase the Lime Street Annex for $50,000 cash. This decision was based upon the fact that the offer from the Boys' Club was received prior to the identical offer from U.N.I. The Board of Trustees stated they were sorry that it was impossible to settle this matter in a manner which
would be satisfactory to both parties, and hoped that UNI-CUE was successful in locating another facility.

The Board asked the University if they were looking for an alternative location and Mr. Jennings stated they were investigating the possibility of leasing space in the area.

UTILITY SYSTEMS STUDY. The University reported that it was authorized in May, 1971, to negotiate with a Consulting Engineer for a contract to prepare an engineering study of certain phases of their utility distribution system. Subsequent to that time, it was determined that a more comprehensive study is needed encompassing all utility production and distribution facilities. A Need and Scope Study was prepared, and consultation held with Todd, Hedeen and Associates of Waterloo, Iowa, and Stanley Consultants, Inc. of Muscatine, Iowa. A proposal was obtained from Stanley Consultants, Inc.

The proposal for a comprehensive study and report on development of the utilities of the University of Northern Iowa has been reviewed in detail by the staff. The proposal is based upon a set schedule of hourly fees plus direct out-of-pocket costs with a guarantee that the total cost for the scope of services proposed will not exceed $32,000. This commits the University to a substantial expenditure but will provide guidance in the form of a master plan for the entire utility system, and will allow for the expansion of utilities in the most effective way. It was indicated that funding for this study will be possible under the 63rd General Assembly Appropriation for planning funds.

The recommendations regarding the cooling tower for Heating Plant No. 1 and possible expansion of the steam distribution system to serve the Biology Research Building will be a part of the proposed report and are urgently needed in order that plans may be developed for these particular aspects of the utility system. The Physical Plant Director recommended approval of this proposal. The
University requested approval of the contract for engineering services with Stanley Consultants, Inc., Muscatine, Iowa.

In discussion, a Board member asked if a study had been made of utility needs before the new heating plant had been built. Mr. Jennings replied that a study had been made of the projected need for additional heating facilities as well as projected needs in terms of capital improvement funds, but no overall study of utility needs had been considered for some time.

MOTION: Mr. Shaw moved the Board approve the contract for engineering services with Stanley Consultants, Inc., Muscatine, Iowa, and authorized the Executive Secretary to sign the necessary contract. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Collison, and was passed unanimously.

A copy of the contract as approved is on file in the Board Office.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. Executive Secretary Richey reported the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period of March 1 to April 11, 1972, had been filed with him, was in order, and was recommended for approval. The following construction contracts were recommended for approval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>ANAARDEE</th>
<th>TYPE OF CONTRACT</th>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education Center, Unit I Pigott, Inc. Des Moines, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Built-in case work</td>
<td></td>
<td>$29,266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Research &amp; Small Animal Building</td>
<td>Ramker Const. Inc. Waverly, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td>General</td>
<td>310,415.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; Argabright Plbg. &amp; Htg. Waterloo, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>147,737.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; Meisner Elec. Inc. Newton, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>47,216.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following new projects were presented for approval:

FIRE PROTECTION DEVICES - VARIOUS CAMPUS BUILDINGS.

Project Description

We have recently reviewed in detail the fire safety provisions in each of the major buildings on the University campus. This project provides for installation of equipment for life safety in those instructional buildings which are not now so equipped, and which are subject to the largest concentration of people.

The project provides for the installation of emergency lighting by means of battery operated supplementary lighting in the Men's Gymnasium, Music Hall and Auditorium; exit lights in the Men's and Women's Gymnasiums, Auditorium Building, Gilchrist Hall and Old Administration; and the installation of smoke detectors and magnetic door holders for hallway smoke doors in the A & I Building, Gilchrist Hall, Old Administration and Auditorium Building.

Work will be accomplished by the Physical Plant forces, or by quotation and purchase order, or by a combination of these.

Project Budget

Estimated Expenditures:

- Physical Plant Materials $16,340.00
- Physical Plant Labor 10,630.00
- Contingencies 1,000.00
- Total $27,970.00

Source of Funds: 1971-72 RR&A

BAKER HALL - PARAPET WALL REPAIR AND ROOF REJUVENATION.

Project Description

This project includes the removal of an extended roofing type flashing from the parapet walls, sandblast cleaning to remove all spalled and loose brick surface, and application of a gunite coating to restore the wall to a smooth and sound surface. Rejuvenate the roof of all sections of the building, and install new flashings and counter flashings on all wall, ventilator and access surfaces.

Project Budget

Estimated Expenditures:

- Contract $24,000.00
- Physical Plant Work 500.00
- Contingencies 1,000.00
- Total $25,500.00

Source of Funds: Dormitory Improvement Funds
Mr. Jennings advised the Board that bids had been received on the Laboratory Equipment for the Biological Research and Small Animal Building Project. The best bid was for $50,000 and the University asked for unit prices advising the bidder that they would be limited to buying equipment in the amount of $40,000. A contract will be recommended to purchase up to $40,000 at the May meeting. A revised budget will also be brought to the Board at that time.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the period March 1 to April 11, 1972 be approved; the contracts shown be awarded; the new projects shown above be approved; the Executive Secretary be authorized to sign the necessary documents. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wallace, and was passed unanimously.

PAYROLL WITHHOLDING REQUEST. The University advised that a request had been received from the Non-Academic Employees Union, an independent union, for deduction of dues from employees payroll. Mr. Jennings stated that 52 employees signed wage deduction cards were submitted which meets the Board’s requirement. The University had examined the cards to verify they were correct and recommended approval of the request.

MOTION: Mr. Perrin moved approval of the request for payroll withholding of dues for the Non-Academic Employees Union at the University of Northern Iowa. The motion was seconded by Mr. McCartney and was passed unanimously.

GIFTS AND GRANTS REPORT. A member of the Board remarked that the University's monthly method of reporting of gifts and grants tended to give a false impression and suggested amendment to the most recent report to show the annual amount for an interest subsidy grant rather than the entire 20-year total of the grant.
The following business pertaining to the Iowa School for the Deaf was transacted on Friday, April 14, 1972.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of March, 1972, were approved.

PRELIMINARY BUDGET 1972-73. The School presented a proposed budget of $1,713,000 for operations and $282,100 for capital improvements for 1972-73. The proposed general operating budget of $1,713,000 for 1972-73 is an increase of $77,000 or 4.7% over the current year. Capital expenditures of $282,100 are anticipated, including $247,000 for the addition to the girl's dormitory. This proposed budget is based on funds already appropriated plus estimated income of $22,000. The estimate of income appears to be reasonable.

Proposed salary increases average $432 for academic faculty and $389 for vocational technical faculty or less than 5% overall. Increases for non-academic employees appear to average a little over $200 each or slightly above 4%.

The American Annals of the Deaf published a financial statement of public residential schools for the deaf for the fiscal year ending in June, 1971. These statements, using seventeen states including most of those in the Midwest, ISD appears to rank 8th in per student expenditure including federal funds. The higher support states include the following institutions with their enrollments: Michigan (357), Illinois (502), Wisconsin (221), Tennessee (346), Oklahoma (256), Ohio (285, and Maryland (330). The higher costs per student at Wisconsin, Oklahoma and Ohio may be due to their relatively low enrollments as compared to ISD's 404. These figures do not take into consideration geographic cost differentials. It would appear, however, that support at ISD is well above average when economies of scale due to cost are considered. California, the high cost east coast states, and the lower cost southern states were not included.
in the analyses.

ISD appears to be anticipating no significant changes in program or enrollments in 1972-73.

Mr. Richey recommended the budget presented by the School be revamped. ISD had not revised its estimated expenditures for 1971-72 (the current year) from those originally budgeted a year ago, despite the fact that it now has three quarters of experience. This practice has led at ISD, as well as at the other institutions who follow the same practice, to proposed budgets that do not reflect actual expenditure practices. For example, ISD actually spent between $255,000 and $258,000 for general expense in 1969-70 and 1970-71 while it shows only $231,000 in the current year and a little over $240,000 for 1972-73. It would appear that this category should be raised by perhaps $20,000 to be realistic unless there are some economies or changes in practice that yielded the lower figure. Similarly, ISD has customarily expended during the last few years about $10,000 to $12,000 more for equipment and about $5,000 to $7,000 more for RR & A than anticipated in the budget. Offsetting these amounts is under-spending of $35,000 to $45,000 for salaries and wages.

These practices lead to unrealistic budgets. They alter the amounts that are yielded by formulas utilized in developing legislative budget requests for subsequent biennia. They are caused by the fact that the institutions carry their contingency or reserve funds in their salary categories rather than in equipment and RR&A, where the funds are customarily used. Therefore, it is recommended that all institutions, including ISD, be instructed to review their budgeting practices with a view to carrying reserve and contingency funds in those accounts where the funds are generally used. Such funds can be withheld from the operating departments by the central administrations until such time as they can be safely allocated or used for unanticipated costs.
Mr. Geasland responded that he had hoped the Board would approve the budget as final and allow them to submit a summary report changing adjustments. Mr. Richey stated that the Board had required other institutions to submit preliminary budgets and his recommendation followed that policy; further that it does have an impact on the budget request for the 73-75 biennium because such areas as RR&A are understated by $10,000. He felt that in building the budget it should be based more on the most recent experience, which the budget presented does not do.

Since the School had already had approximately 50 copies of the budget prepared, it was suggested that they mark up a copy or two of the budget along the lines suggested by Mr. Richey and that these copies become the official budget for ISD for 1972-73 on file in the Board Office.

MOTION: Mr. McCartney moved the preliminary budget for fiscal year 1972-73 for Iowa School for the Deaf of $1,713,000 for operations and $282,100 for capital improvements be approved. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen and was passed unanimously.

A copy of the detailed proposal submitted by Iowa School for the Deaf is on file in the Board office.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. There were no items in the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the month of March 1972.

TITLE I TEACHING CONTRACTS. Superintendent Giangreco requested approval to issue conditional contracts to Title I teachers for the coming year. Executive Secretary Richey remarked that this could cause a severe budgetary problem if the Title I funds were not made available and asked if the Department of Public Instruction had given any recommendation on this. Supt. Giangreco responded that he had been in touch with Dr. Keele, Dept. of Public Instruction, who had visited the school and looked over the entire program. Dr. Keele stated
he felt the entire program would be funded. It was suggested that conditional contracts be issued to Title I teachers subject to funding being made available. There were no objections.
IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL

The following business pertaining to the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School was transacted on Friday, April 14, 1972.

REGISTER OF PERSONNEL CHANGES. The actions reported in the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of March, 1972, were approved.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the month of March, 1972 contained no items.

AMENDED TITLE I BUDGET - 1971-72. The School recommended the Board approve the revised budget for 1971-72. This revision adjusts the supplemental Title I funds approved for Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School by the Board. A copy of the Amended Budget is attached to these minutes.

MOTION: Mr. Wallace moved approval of the revised budget for Title I funds for 1971-72. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Petersen, and was passed unanimously.

PROGRESS REPORT, CAPITAL 1971-73. Mr. Berry reported that bid letting on the Improvement to the Central Heating Plant was scheduled for April 25. Bids on the freight elevator replacement were to be received on May 17. He also reported that the Porch Repair project would be undertaken very shortly.

ADJOURNMENT. There being no further business President Redeker declared the meeting adjourned at 4:45 p.m. on Friday, April 14, 1972.

R. Wayne Richey, Executive Secretary