# **MEMORANDUM**

To:

**Board of Regents** 

From:

**Board Office** 

Subject:

Annual Governance Report on Purchasing

Date:

November 5, 2001

# **Recommended Action:**

Receive the annual governance report on purchasing activity at the Regent institutions for FY 2001.

# **Executive Summary:**

# Strategic Plan

The Board exercises oversight of purchasing at the Regent institutions to meet its statutory responsibility and strategic planning objective (4.4.0.0) to provide effective stewardship of resources by strengthening public understanding and confidence in its governance authority.

The Board's system of governance is intended to maintain confidence in the management of the Regent institutions while allowing the institutions relatively wide latitude in the administration of their internal affairs.

In accordance with the strategic plan regarding accountability and stewardship responsibilities, the Board establishes guidelines for its institutions that help to ensure competent performance.

# Report Purpose

The purpose of the report is to review institutional purchasing efforts and compliance with state purchasing statutes regarding lowa-based businesses, targeted small businesses, and lowa Prison Industries.

This report also identifies cooperative and collaborative efforts among the Regent institutions and other agencies — a specific Board strategy to provide effective stewardship.

# **Summary**

This governance report is grouped by major purchasing topics — Central Purchasing, lowa-Based Businesses, Targeted Small Businesses, Iowa Prison Industries, Cooperative Purchasing, Conflict of Interest Vendors, and Vendor Complaints.

# **PURCHASING TOPICS:**

### ATTACHMENT A CENTRAL PURCHASING

The institutions purchased just over \$562.7 million through Central Purchasing during FY 2001, an increase of \$101.5 million (10.9%) over FY 2000.

Purchases have gradually increased over the past five fiscal years as a result of the numerous benefits and value-added services that central purchasing offices provide.

Central Purchasing Topics:

- Cost Savings and Value-Added Purchasing
- Procurement Cards
- Electronic Procurement Initiatives
- Sole Source Purchasing
- Emergency Purchases
- Regent Procedural Guide & Iowa Administrative Code Update
- Vendor Complaints

# ATTACHMENT B IOWA-BASED BUSINESSES

Regent institutional purchases from lowa-based businesses for FY 2001:

- Totaled \$237.6 million, an overall increase of \$33.2 million (16.2%) from FY 2000.
- Represented 42.2% of the total amount processed through central purchasing.

The institutions identified 10,189 Iowa small businesses during FY 2001.

The institutions made 37,045 purchases from Iowa Small Business vendors, which represents a 4.346 (13.3%) increase over FY 2000.

# ATTACHMENT C TARGETED SMALL BUSINESSES (TSB)

During FY 2001, the institutions purchased \$11.0 million from TSBs, a (\$43,210) decrease (0.4%) from FY 2000. A majority of these purchases, \$10 million, were processed through Central Purchasing at the institutions.

Central Purchasing and Institution-Wide purchases decreased from 5.9% to 5.6% and 4.1% to 3.4%, respectively. Fluctuations occur from year to year depending on institutional needs for purchases from TSBs and availability of TSB items.

# ATTACHMENT D IOWA PRISON INDUSTRIES (IPI)

During FY 2001, purchases from IPI totaled \$2.7 million. This represents a 15.4% increase over the \$2.3 million expended with IPI in FY 2000. The majority of these purchases were furniture purchases for ISU's new dormitory facilities.

# ATTACHMENT E COOPERATIVE PURCHASING

During FY 2001, the institutions cooperatively purchased:

- \$130.5 million in total through Cooperative Purchasing, an increase of \$12.8 million (10.9%) over FY 2000;
  - \$119.0 million through Joint Regent Contracts, an increase of \$9.2 million (8.4%) over FY 2000;
  - \$2.7 million dollars from the Educational & Institutional (E&I) Purchasing Cooperative, a decrease of \$0.3 million (10.2%) from FY 2000; and
  - Nearly \$8.9 million dollars through State of Iowa purchasing contracts, an increase of \$2.0 million (29.0%) over FY 2000.

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST VENDORS**

The Board approved six new vendors, for a total of 116 approved vendors with potential conflicts of interest.

Purchases from these vendors totaled \$351,257 during FY 2001, a \$230,160 (190.1%) increase from FY 2000 purchases. The large increase was primarily due to a Board approved vendor awarded a competitively bid contract at Iowa State University.

### ATTACHMENT G VENDOR COMPLAINTS

The institutions reported that no formal complaints were received during the year.

Andrea I Anania

Approved:

Robert J /Barak

# **BACKGROUND:**

### IOWA CODE

Authorizes the Board of Regents to contract for goods, services, and capital improvements. [§18.3] and [Chapter 262]; and

Requires state and local governing bodies to give preference to lowa-Based Businesses, Small Businesses, and Targeted Small Businesses [Chapter 73].

# REGENT PROCEDURAL GUIDE

Authorizes the Board to delegate authority to the institutional presidents and superintendents to approve agreements and contracts for goods and services purchased by the institutions [§8.01B];

Requires Board approval for items such as capital improvements and related consulting contracts, fire protection, legal services, architects, and engineers [§8.01B];

Authorizes the institutional presidents and superintendents to establish a central purchasing authority at each institution that is responsible for purchasing supplies, equipment, and services [§8.02C];

Requires that each institution establish procedures to ensure that goods and services are competitively bid. Written bids are required for purchases that are expected to exceed \$5,000 at the universities and \$2,500 at the special schools [§8.04A];

Recognizes that there are situations when certain required scientific, mechanical, and technical equipment or supplies can only be obtained from a sole source. Each institution has a policy for handling sole source purchases. [§8.04E];

Recognizes that there are emergency situations when taking competitive bids is not feasible or possible. In such situations involving safety concerns or potential loss of life or property, bidding requirements may be waived so prompt action can prevent loss. [§8.04(F)]; and

Requires each institution to submit an annual report on purchasing which reviews institutional purchasing efforts and compliance with state statutes and Board policies. [Chapter 8].

The Board Office has been working with the institutions to review and update the <u>Regent Procedural Guide</u> as well as the <u>lowa Administrative Code</u>.

### ANALYSIS:

# CENTRAL PURCHASING

A central purchasing authority at each institution helps to ensure efficient and effective vendor selection, order placement, delivery, verification of receipt, and payment.

Board policies affirm that the best interests of the State of Iowa and the Regent institutions are served through implementation of a full and free competitive purchasing system fostered by the use of open specifications, competitive bids or quotations, and awards to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder.

Through institutional and collaborative efforts, purchasing personnel facilitate purchasing efficiencies and effectiveness to reduce costs and deliver more value-added services.

On a quarterly basis, institutional purchasing staff and Board Office staff meet quarterly to discuss purchasing-related activities, and also meet with state purchasing officials to discuss state-wide and cooperative purchasing initiatives.

### **BENEFITS**

Efficient, cross-trained purchasing agents;

Compliance with state laws and Board policy;

Consistent and standardized policies and procedures;

Leveraged purchasing power;

Access to several hundred purchasing contracts;

Innovative procurement services;

Financial control;

Expertise in procurement and payment procedures;

Central location for information;

Increased purchasing knowledge;

Limited sole source purchasing; and

Specific programs administered effectively and in compliance with applicable policy (i.e. Targeted Small Businesses, Iowa Small Business and Iowa Prison Industries).

# Comparative Purchases Central Purchasing

Institution	FY 2000	FY 2001	Dollar <u>Change</u>	Percent <u>Change</u>
SUI	\$303,483,715	\$337,315,791	\$33,832,076	11.1%
ISU	117,271,183	166,644,568	49,373,385	42.1%
UNI	36,684,698	54,361,639	17,676,941	48.2%
ISD	3,041,610	3,738,955	697,345	22.9%
IBSSS	725,981	641,508	(84,473)	(11.6%)
Total	\$461,207,187	\$562,702,461	\$101,495,274	22.0%

The institutions purchased just over \$562.7 million in FY 2001, an increase of \$101.5 million (22.0%) over FY 2000.

This increase resulted from additional pharmaceutical costs (\$10.7 million) at the University of Iowa, furniture purchases at Iowa State University and the University of Northern Iowa, as well as increased procurement card purchases, scientific supplies, and technology costs at all of the institutions.

# Dollars Processed through Central Purchasing Over the Last Five Years

Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	\$242,891,754	\$250,601,017	\$277,445,215	\$303,483,715	\$337,315,791
ISU	96,485,175	103,192,421	100,552,872	117,271,183	166,644,568
UNI	31,686,206	29,818,104	36,960,856	36,684,698	54,361,639
ISD <sup>1</sup>	2,092,063	1,918,032	2,636,630	3,041,610	3,738,955
IBSSS <sup>1</sup>	<u>795,387</u>	<u>660,778</u>	710,797	<u>725,981</u>	<u>641,508</u>
Total	\$373,950,585	\$386,190,352	\$418,306,370	\$461,207,187	\$562,702,461
1 Includes pu	rchases through cer	ntral purchasing offi	ces only; excludes	goods purchased t	hrough ISU.

Purchases have gradually increased over the past five fiscal years as a result of the numerous benefits and value-added services that central purchasing offices provide.

# Items Processed through Central Purchasing Offices

Type of Purchase	<u>SUI</u>	<u>ISU³</u>	UNI	<u>ISD³</u>	IBSSS <sup>3</sup>
Bookstore Acquisitions	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
Building Repairs Under \$25,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>
Capital Projects of \$25,000 & More	Yes <sup>2</sup>	Yes <sup>2</sup>	Yes <sup>2</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>
Central Stores Acquisitions	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>
Food Products	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fuel & Other Utilities	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes	Yes <sup>1</sup>
General Supplies & Services	Yes	Yes	Yes <sup>3</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>
Library Acquisitions	No	No	No	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>
Local Small Orders	Yes	Yes <sup>1</sup>			Yes <sup>1</sup>
Off-Campus Printing	Yes <sup>1</sup>				
Physical Plant Supplies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>
Professional & Other Services	Yes <sup>1</sup>				
Student Union Supplies	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/A
Travel	No	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes	Yes <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In part. Remaining purchases are institution-wide.

N/A Not applicable.

A wide variety of items are processed through the central purchasing offices at the institutions.

Central purchasing offices participate in a small number of capital projects when cost savings can be obtained by procuring fixed equipment or specialized components through the purchasing department.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Purchasing participates in a small number of capital projects when cost savings can be obtained by procuring fixed equipment or specialized components through the purchasing department. Most capital projects of \$25,000 or more are handled solely by the facilities planning and management construction services office. Hard copy purchase orders are issued to the awarded contractor through SUI Purchasing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As of April 1, 1997, procurement card transactions were no longer processed through Purchasing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ISD & IBSSS items costing over \$2,500 (amount at which written bids are required) are purchased cooperatively through ISU's Purchasing Department, per Board guidelines.

# Regular Purchase Orders Written Over the Last Five Years

Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	40,215	37,036	22,148	21,134	15,126
ISU	39,632	36,066	34,388	31,348	28,654
UNI	8,669	5,781	5,396	5,031	4,604
ISD <sup>1</sup>	2,942	2,987	3,119	2,844	2,764
IBSSS <sup>1</sup>	912	<u>1,025</u>	<u>1,116</u>	<u>1,090</u>	1,092
Total	92,370	82,898	66,167	61,447	52,240

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes purchases through central purchasing offices only; excludes goods purchased through ISU.

The number of regular purchase orders written by the institutions has decreased by 43.4% over the last five fiscal years. This decrease is partially a result of increased procurement/purchasing card usage for low-value, small-dollar transactions.

Reducing the number of purchase orders saves the costs of processing. Regular purchase orders do not include local small orders or vouchers paid and emergency shopping permits where no purchase order is written.

Central purchasing departments at the Regent institutions are continually striving to reduce costs and deliver more valueadded services to their campuses and school communities.

Cost savings are achieved by combining purchasing power through better purchasing methods.

Value-added services are realized through contract negotiation, RFP development, as well as intra- and interinstitutional coordination efforts.

# FY 2001 HIGHLIGHTS

Many accomplishments were achieved by central purchasing offices during FY 2001 related to cost savings and value-added services. For example:

- SUI renegotiated cost savings agreements successfully including its airline contracts and expects to save up to \$515,000 with the airlines;
- SUI awarded a new contract for Automatic Teller Machines increasing revenue from \$55,000 annually to \$112,000, a 103.6% increase;
- ISU provided administrative oversight and negotiated billing for actual time and materials contracts rather than on a per project basis saving more than \$225,000;
- ISU combined all individual maintenance contracts for printing/copy center machines into one bid solicitation for a multiple year agreement saving more than \$127,000 for each year of the agreement;

The Regent Exhibit Book contains additional examples of individual institution cost savings and value-added services.

		,	nts Realize at Five Year		
Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
sui	\$733,943	\$557,051	\$331,895	\$348,991	\$286,548
ISU	272,259	238,810	286,527	273,543	270,751
UNI	31,738	15,228	7,517	17,127	4,039
ISD	705	570	510	1,413	570
IBSSS	2,596	<u>3,257</u>	<u>3,267</u>	<u>2,511</u>	1,292
Total	\$1,041,241	\$814,916	\$629,716	\$643,585	\$563,200

Cash discounts are often part of the terms and conditions extended to the institutions by vendors.

Discounts are most often realized for prompt payment, such as a 1% discount for payment within 10 days with the net amount due in 30 days.

If two suppliers submit identical bids for price and delivery, cash discounts are considered as part of the bid evaluation.

Cash discounts fluctuate from year to year because the composition of purchases changes from year to year. In addition, vendor terms and conditions for cash discounts change periodically making annual comparisons difficult.

As the institutions purchase more from cooperative agreements, cash discounts are likely to decline. Increased savings offered from cooperative purchasing may outweigh the cash discounts offered.

# PROCUREMENT CARD POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Procurement/purchasing cards are used for low-value, small-dollar transactions.

- Employees must complete an application agreement and receive training.
- Cardholders must agree to comply with card restrictions;
- Cards cannot be used for personal purchases;
- · Transaction limits are assigned;
- Cards may be used for items that do not require purchase orders;
- Purchases are monitored closely for reasonableness;
- When employees terminate or transfer between departments, immediate notice must be given to the institution and/or the procurement card company.

IBSSS does not have a universal procurement card similar to the procurement cards used by the Regent Universities. Instead, the School uses Voyager Cards. Voyager cards can only be used for purchases of gasoline, low dollar auto supplies and services for state vehicles.

The Regent Exhibit Book contains individual policies and procedures for each institution.

### **BENEFITS**

Provides users control of low-dollar purchases and facilitates many low-dollar acquisitions, enabling end users to achieve objectives while allowing purchasing professionals to concentrate on high-dollar, more complex acquisitions;

Provides a more efficient and cost-effective procedure for handling small dollar, non value-added purchases;

Provides complete documentation and ensures products are received in a more timely manner;

Expedites payments in emergency situations;

Eliminates requisitions, purchase orders, and payment vouchers and thereby reduces administrative work with fewer invoices processed and fewer checks written;

Enhances the joint rebate/revenue-sharing program, which helps offset the cost of administration; and

Assures acceptance of cards virtually anywhere in the world.

### **Number of Procurement Cards Issued**

Institution	FY 1999		FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	1,038		1,019	1,189
ISU	214		383	606
UNI	261		278	293
ISD	20		27	30
IBSSS	0		0	0
Total	1,533	100	1,707	2,118

The institutions continue to increase the number of procurement cards issued as the benefits and cost savings increase.

### **Comparative Purchases**

Institution	FY 200 <u>0</u>	FY 2001	<u>Dollar</u> <u>Change</u>	Percent Change
SUI	\$15,300,354	\$17,703,377	\$2,403,023	15.7%
ISU	2,760,476	4,557,680	1,797,204	65.1%
UNI	1,118,439	1,345,493	227,054	20.3%
ISD	24,681	34,756	10,075	40.8%
IBSSS <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	N/A%
Total	\$19,203,950	\$23,641,306	\$4,437,356	23.1%

In FY 2001, the Regent institutions made \$23.6 million worth of purchases with the procurement card, which represents a \$4.4 million (23.1%) increase over FY 2000.

The institutions have steadily increased purchases from procurement card usage as a result of the visible savings on processing time and the reduced number of purchase orders that must be processed.

# ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT INITIATIVES

At the November 2000 meeting, the Board requested that the purchasing report for FY 2001 contain a section on electronic procurement initiatives.

# FY 2001 HIGHLIGHTS

The University of lowa installed software to allow for on-line purchase orders of under \$2,000; began discussions on a web requisitioning system with electronic routing and approval; and invited several e-marketplace vendors to campus to demonstrate their products.

lowa State University updated its existing on-line direct order entry system as part of a newly developed web-based requisitioning system and worked on an expanded, full-featured web requisitioning system that will allow departments to use a web browser to create electronic requisitions and route them electronically for necessary funding approvals, prior to being routed to the Purchasing Department for action.

The University of Northern Iowa implemented a new financial management system that electronically processes requisitions, approvals, and reports.

The Iowa School for the Deaf enhanced communication with vendors through electronic email.

The Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School ordered food commodities electronically.

# FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS

SUI plans to implement a web requisition that will have electronic routing and approval, web catalogs, on-line contracts, and electronic requests for proposals.

ISU plans to develop a web-based system for issuing and receiving bids electronically as well as integrating on-line vendor catalogs with a web-based purchase ordering system.

UNI will continue to enhance the new financial management system with the introduction of advanced applications features and enhancements to the base financial system modules such as:

- Integration with external procurement systems;
- Additional end-user reports;
- Specialized document routing hierarchies; and
- Advanced user interface options

ISD has no plans at this time to seek electronic procurement opportunities.

IBSSS will begin looking for electronic procurement opportunities that will improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the School's purchasing operations.

# SOLE SOURCE PURCHASING

The Regent Procedural Guide recognizes that in some instances, scientific, mechanical, and technical equipment or supplies may be required which are obtainable from a sole source only [§8.04E].

Each institution has a policy for handling sole source purchases.

Purchasing agents handling sole source transactions ascertain whether legitimate reasons exist for procuring the item(s) from one source only.

Purchasing agents either proceed with the purchase on a sole source basis, seek competitive bids from more than one source, or consult with purchasing management to reach a decision.

Sole source purchase orders are monitored for compliance and tracked within the purchasing system for review and reporting purposes.

# EMERGENCY PURCHASING PROCEDURES

The Regent Procedural Guide recognizes that in some emergency situations, the taking of competitive bids or quotations is not feasible or possible. In such situations, the requirements to do so may be waived [§8.04F].

Each institution has a policy for handling emergency purchases. Emergency purchases are reviewed by purchasing agents for appropriateness. Situations involving safety are handled promptly to prevent the loss of life and/or property.

# VENDOR COMPLAINT PROCEDURES

Requires institutions to follow established procedures for investigating vendor complaints [§8.03F].

Vendor complaints follow a hierarchical process where they are first directed to purchasing management. If not resolved at that level, the complaint may be appealed to institutional management. The complaint may be submitted to the Board Office if necessary.

The institutions report that no formal complaints were received during the year.

### BACKGROUND:

### IOWA CODE

Requires state and local governing bodies to give preference to lowa-based businesses, small businesses, and targeted small businesses when purchasing materials, products, supplies, provisions, and other articles purchased at public expense [Chapter 73].

Defines small businesses as any enterprise which is:

- Located in this state;
- Operated for profit and under a single management; and
- Has either fewer than twenty employees or an annual gross income of less than three million dollars computed as the average of the three preceding fiscal years [§15.102(4)].

### **ANALYSIS:**

# FY 2001 HIGHLIGHTS

### Purchases by Location

	FY 2000	FY 2001	Dollar <u>Change</u>	Percent Change
Iowa Businesses (Small)	\$60,991,125	\$66,019,474	\$5,028,349	8.2%
Iowa Businesses	143,481,217	<u>171,618,926</u>	28,137,709	<u>19.6%</u>
Subtotal	\$204,472,342	\$237,638,400	\$33,166,058	16.2%
Out of State	\$253,650,876	\$322,385,151	\$68,734,275	27.1%
Out of Country	3,083,970	<u>2,678,910</u>	(405,060)	<u>(13.1)%</u>
Total	\$461,207,188	\$562,702,461	\$101,495,273	22.0%

Regent institutional purchases from lowa-based businesses for FY 2001 totaled \$237.6 million, an overall increase of \$33.2 million (16.2%) from FY 2000.

The Regent Exhibit Book provides examples of individual institutional successes and accomplishments for FY 2001.

Purchasing agents are encouraged to use lowa-based businesses for quotations and requests for proposals.

# **Overall Purchase Percentages**

	FY 2001	Percent of Total
	Purchases Purchases	<u>Purchases</u>
Iowa Businesses (Small)	\$66,019,474	11.7%
Iowa Businesses (Not Small)	<u>171,618,926</u>	<u>30.5%</u>
Subtotal Iowa Businesses	237,638,400	42.2%
Out of State	322,385,151	57.3%
Out of Country	<u>2,678,910</u>	<u>.5%</u>
Total	\$562,702,461	100.0%

For FY 2001, Regent institutional purchases from lowa-based businesses were 42.2% of the amount processed through central purchasing.

# Institutional Purchases from Iowa-Based Businesses as a Percent of Total Purchases<sup>1</sup>

Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	48.0%	47.2%	33.5%	39.3%	35.0%
ISU	50.9%	49.2%	53.3%	50.1%	52.3%
UNI	48.7%	59.1%	45.0%	66.5%	54.7%
ISD	65.2%	69.2%	66.9%	53.2%	57.6%
IBSSS	68.6%	73.4%	65.2%	61.8%	75.7%
Total	49.0%	48.8%	39.5%	44.3%	42.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> lowa-based businesses are identified by zip code. Details by institution are included on page 21.

Over the last five years, purchases as a percent of total purchases from lowa-based businesses at SUI have generally decreased. Increased purchases through the University Hospital Consortium may account for some of the decrease from lowa-based businesses.

Purchases at ISU, UNI, ISD, and IBSSS have remained relatively stable.

As Regent institutions strive to achieve lower costs, it may be difficult to maintain the same percentage levels of purchasing from lowa-based businesses.

- Technological improvements and evolving business practices can favor nationwide suppliers over lowa-based businesses.
- Efficiencies can also favor acquiring products and services from joint agreements or other consortia opportunities.

The Board and the institutions are committed to purchases from lowa-based businesses whenever possible.

G.D. 14 ATTACHMENT B – IOWA-BASED BUSINESSES
Page 18

Excludes bookstore purchases.
 Purchases through central purchasing offices only, does not include goods purchased through ISU.

# PURCHASES FROM IOWA-BASED BUSINESSES AND FROM OTHER SOURCES<sup>1</sup> FY 2001

_
u
20
>
Ĺ
B
-
O
66
$\overline{}$
>
_
Ĺ

				FY 1997	FY 1997 - FY 2001			-			
٠.		FY 1997	97	FY 1998	98	FY 1999	66	FY 2000	00	FY 200	01
		Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total
sul	Total Purchases	\$242,891,754	100%	\$250,601,017	100%	\$277,445,215	100%	\$303,483,715	100%	\$337,315,791	100%
	lowa Businesses other than Small Businesses	83,578,351	34.4%	83,381,044	33.3%	55,424,153	20.0%	79,030,536	26.0%	75,854,132	22.5%
	lowa Small Businesses	33,115,462	13.6%	34,819,894	13.9%	37,519,056	13.5%	40,262,141	13.3%	42,209,958	12.5%
	Subtotal lowa Businesses	116,693,813	48.0%	118,200,938	47.2%	92,943,209	33.5%	119,292,677	39.3%	118,064,090	35.0%
	Out-of-State	124,975,002	51.5%	130,795,571	52.2%	182,692,637	65.8%	182,199,643	%0.09	217,941,810	64.6%
	Out-of-Country	1,222,939	0.5%	1,604,508	%9.0	1,809,369	0.7%	1,991,395	0.7%	1,309,891	0.4%
ISU²	Total Purchases	\$96,485,175	100%	\$103,192,421	100%	\$100,552,872	100%	\$117,271,183	100%	\$166,644,568	100%
	lowa Businesses other than Small Businesses	34,912,252	36.2%	35,029,398	33.9%	37,634,092	37.4%	41,183,132	35.1%	66,910,291	40.2%
	Iowa Small Businesses	14,155,391	14.7%	15,701,343	15.2%	15,956,691	15.9%	17,549,031	15.0%	20,274,932	12.2%
<u></u>	Subtotal Iowa Businesses	~49,067,643	20.9%	50,730,741	49.2%	53,590,783	53.3%	58,732,163	50.1%	87,185,223	52.3%
_	Out-of-State	46,616,905	48.3%	51,920,981	20.3%	46,338,295	46.1%	57,506,676	49.0%	78,187,085	46.9%
	Out-of-Country	800,627	0.8%	540,699	0.5%	623,794	0.6%	1,032,344	0.9%	1,272,260	0.8%
Š	Total Purchases	\$31,686,206	100%	\$29,818,104	100%	\$36,960,856	100%	\$36,684,698	100%	\$54,361,639	100%
	lowa Businesses other than Small Businesses	11,352,540	35.8%	14,169,189	47.5%	13,534,911	36.6%	21,453,356	58.5%	26,459,618	48.7%
	lowa Small Businesses	4,073,384	12.9%	3,456,833	11.6%	3,112,718	8.4%	2,927,833	8.0%	3,290,478	6.1%
	Subtotal Iowa Businesses	15,425,924	48.7%	17,626,022	59.1%	16,647,629	45.0%	24,381,189	%9.99	29,750,096	54.7%
	Out-of-State	16,213,544	51.2%	12,129,447	40.7%	20,270,950	54.8%	12,247,156	33.4%	24,517,866	45.1%
ľ	Out-of-Country	46,738	0.1%	62,635	0.2%	42,277	0.1%	56,353	0.2%	93,677	0.2%
ISD	Total Purchases	\$2,092,063	100%	\$1,918,032	100%	\$2,636,630	100%	\$3,041,611	100%	\$3,738,955	100%
	lowa Businesses other than Small Businesses	1,251,432	29.8%	1,213,457	63.3%	1,587,127	60.2%	1,451,801	47.7%	1,996,826	53.4%
	lowa Small Businesses	113,450	5.4%	114,510	9.0%	177,842	6.7%	166,190	5.5%	156,530	4.2%
	Subtotal lowa Businesses	1,364,882	65.2%	1,327,967	69.2%	1,764,969	%6.99	1,617,991	53.2%	2,153,356	27.6%
	Out-of-State	727,181	34.8%	590,065	30.8%	871,661	33.1%	1,423,620	46.8%	1,585,599	42.4%
	Out-of-Country	0	%0.0	0	%0.0	0	0.0%	0	%0.0	0	%0.0
IBSS	IBSSS Total Purchases	\$795,387	100%	\$660,778	100%	\$710,797	100%	\$725,981	100%	\$641,508	100%
	lowa Businesses other than Small Businesses	461,475	28.0%	411,574	62.3%	394,905	25.6%	362,392	49.9%	398,059	62.1%
	lowa Small Businesses	84,499	10.6%	73,641	11.1%	68,683	8.7%	85,930	11.8%	87,576	13.7%
	Subtotal Iowa Businesses	545,974	%9.89	485,215	73.4%	463,588	65.2%	448,322	61.8%	485,635	75.7%
	Out-of-State	249,385	31.4%	175,528	26.6%	246,955	34.7%	273,781	37.7%	152,791	23.8%
	Out-of-Country	28	0.0%	35	0.0%	254	0.0%	3,878	0.5%	3,082	0.5%
Total	I Total Purchases	\$373,950,585	100%	\$386,190,352	100%	\$418,306,370	100%	\$461,207,188	100%	\$562,702,461	100%
	lowa Businesses other than Small Businesses	131,556,050	35.2%	134,204,662	34.8%	108,575,188	26.0%	143,481,217	31.1%	171,618,926	30.5%
	Iowa Small Businesses	51,542,186	13.8%	54,166,221	14.0%	56,834,990	13.6%	60,991,125	13.2%	66,019,474	11.7%
	Subtotal lowa Businesses	183,098,236	49.0%	188,370,883	48.8%	165,410,178	39.5%	204,472,342	44.3%	237,638,400	42.2%
	Out-of-State	188,782,017	20.5%	195,611,592	20.7%	250,420,498	29.9%	253,650,876	25.0%	322,385,151	57.3%
	Out-of-Country	2,070,332	0.5%	2,207,877	0.5%	2,475,694	%9.0	3,083,970	0.7%	2,678,910	0.5%
1 lowa	1 lowa business estimated using an lowa zip code for place of business	business.									

# Iowa Small Businesses Identified

Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	2,811	2,817	2,494	2,660	3,049
ISU	3,690	4,064	4,230	4,135	3,981
UNI	2,738	2,753	2,787	2,800	2,875
ISD <sup>1</sup>	252	248	251	242	212
IBSSS	<u>96</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>79</u>	72
Total	9,587	9,965	9,853	9,916	10,189

The universities exert great effort to identify Iowa Small Businesses within their purchasing databases.

The same vendors may be duplicated among the Regent institutions.

# Number of Regent Purchases from Iowa Small Businesses

·	110111	lowa om	uii = 0.00		
Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	15,101	15,315	15,910	16,466	17,714
ISU	12,079	10,861	13,663	14,678	17,914
UNI	1,586	978	850	821	686
ISD <sup>1</sup>	448	432	510	427	384
IBSSS	364	<u>307</u>	<u>313</u>	<u>307</u>	<u>347</u>
Total	29,578	27,893	31,246	32,699	37,045

In FY 2001, the Regent institutions made 37,045 purchases from Iowa Small Business vendors, which represents a 4,346 (13.3%) increase over FY 2000.

Increases in purchases from lowa-based businesses are significant in a marketplace where Internet purchasing opportunities are proliferating and worldwide marketing continues to be the trend.

Leveraged savings continue to be realized by contracts accessed through Joint Regent Contracts and cooperative purchasing consortiums.

# **BACKGROUND:**

IOWA CODE

Requires that the following four provisions be satisfied to become certified as a Targeted Small Business (TSB) [§15.102]:

- 1. At least 51% owned, operated, and actively managed by one or more women, minorities, or persons with disabilities;
  - "Minority person" is defined as an individual who is a Black, Hispanic, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian, or Alaskan Native American.
  - "Disability" with respect to an individual is defined as a physical or mental impairment, a record of physical or mental impairment, or being regarded as an individual with a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of the individual:
- 2. Located in lowa;
- 3. Operated for Profit; and
- 4. Annual gross income of less than \$3 million computed as an average of the three preceding fiscal years.

Establishes goals specifically for procurement from certified Targeted Small Businesses [§73.2].

Requires establishing procurement goals of at least 10% of the value of anticipated goods and services procurements from certified TSBs (including construction, but excluding utility services) [§73.16(2)].

Requires certification as a TSB for governing bodies to count purchases from such businesses as part of the 10% procurement goal [§73.16(2)]. (Eligible small businesses that do not register with the lowa Department of Economic Development cannot be counted in the targeted small business base.)

Requests that eligible businesses wishing to receive certification as a Targeted Small Business apply to the Iowa Department of Inspections and Appeals [§10A.104(8)].

# <u>ANALYSIS</u>:

Purchasing Procedures

Provides eligible vendors with information regarding TSB certification opportunities;

Uses the TSB website to announce all purchasing opportunities; and

Includes TSBs in bid opportunities and encourages staff to purchase from TSBs whenever possible.

# Comparative Purchases

# Total Purchases from Targeted Small Businesses<sup>1</sup> Institution-Wide

	FY 2000	FY 2001	<u>Dollar</u> Change	Percent Change
SUI	\$7,945,359	\$8,026,252	\$80,893	(1.0%)
ISU	1,897,223	1,524,773	(372,450)	(19.6)%
UNI	1,170,180	1,433,661	263,481	22.5%
ISD	18,773	6,930	(11,843)	(63.1%)
IBSSS <sup>2</sup>	12,208	<u>8,917</u>	(3,291)	(27.0)%
Total	\$11,043,743	\$11,000,533	(\$43,210)	(0.4%)
1 Figures	include capital pu	rchases over \$25		- f TV 2000

2 An additional \$11,543 is included in ISU's TSB purchases for FY 2000.

Purchases from several vendors utilized by ISU were previously counted as purchases from certified TSB vendors. However, while the University continues to utilize these vendors, they are no longer certified TSB vendors and purchases from them cannot be included in the TSB totals reported above.

The UNI increase is largely due to three certified TSB vendors awarded bids.

At ISD, nearly all of the decrease in TSB purchases is due to the asbestos abatement services purchased during FY 2000.

IBSSS continues to encounter a mismatch between the needs of the School and the nature of products and services provided by targeted small businesses serving the Vinton area.

Total Purchases from Targeted Small Business<sup>1</sup>
Central Purchasing Only

	FY 2000	FY 2001	<u>Dollar</u> <u>Change</u>	Percent Change
SUI	\$7,635,506	\$7,504,511	(\$130,995)	(1.7%)
ISU	1,469,252	1,071,281	(397,971)	(27.1)%
UNI	1,159,388	1,425,681	266,293	23.0%
ISD	18,773	6,930	(11,843)	(63.1%)
IBSSS <sup>2</sup>	12,208	<u>8,917</u>	(3,291)	(27.0)%
Total	\$10,295,127	\$10,017,320	(\$277,807)	(2.7%)
4				

<sup>1</sup> Figures include capital purchases over \$25,000.

Of the \$11.0 million spent with TSBs, \$10.0 million was processed through Central Purchasing.

<sup>2</sup> An additional \$11,543 is included in ISU's TSB purchases for FY 2000.

# Comparative Percentages

TSB Purchases as a Percentage of Eligible Purchases<sup>1</sup>

	Central P	Institution-Wide					
Institution	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2000	FY 2001			
SUI	6.9%	6.3%	4.8%	4.0%			
ISU	3.3%	2.4%	2.3%	1.8%			
UNI	6.8%	9.8%	5.3%	4.3%			
ISD	2.9%	3.7%	2.9%	3.7%			
IBSSS <sup>2</sup>	2.6%	3.0%	2.6%	3.0%			
Total	5.9%	5.6%	4.1%	3.4%			

<sup>1</sup> TSB purchases - purchases of goods and services for which there exists a TSB supplier.

Most state agencies have difficulty meeting the statutorily mandated 10% procurement goal.

Meeting the goal has been difficult for the Regent institutions primarily due to the limited numbers of certified TSBs that provide goods and services in the commodities typically purchased by Regent institutions.

Some vendors who do qualify as TSBs decline to pursue certification due to the complexity of the State's certification process.

### **TSB ACTIVITIES**

# Regent representatives:

- Participated in several TSB seminars and training sessions;
- Attended regional meetings, which provide opportunities to meet TSB owners;
- Worked on a national committee to solicit, encourage, and select historically underutilized small businesses to participate and exhibit at an annual product exhibit meeting held in Kansas;
- Presented at the "How to Do Business with the State of lowa" seminar in Des Moines;
- Attended meetings of the Governor's Task Force on Targeted Small Businesses and provided input;
- Worked to develop a plan to implement recommendations from the Governor's Task Force on Targeted Small Businesses; and
- Collaborated with other State agencies to identify options for TSBs to obtain bonds for bidding.

<sup>2</sup> An additional \$11,543 is included in ISU's TSB purchases for FY 2000.

# PLANS FOR INCREASING PURCHASES FROM TSBS

The Regent institutions continue efforts to increase TSB purchases including:

- Monitoring bid requests for maximum utilization of TSBs and tracking and monitoring TSB utilization;
- Maintaining TSB utilization as a component of purchasing agent's annual performance review;
- Continuing to post all bid solicitations to the TSB 48-hour web site;
- Meeting with TSBs to encourage bid submittal, answering questions, assisting in completing bid documents, identifying other possible business contacts, and facilitating payment processes;
- Contacting qualified small businesses and encouraging them to certify as a TSBs;
- Disseminating information on the TSB program and its goals to university departments through training and other communication opportunities;
- Working in collaboration with other state agencies to identify, recruit, refer, and utilize Targeted Small Businesses; and
- Linking the Purchasing Department websites to the full TSB directory hosted website.

# GOVERNOR'S TASK FORCE ON TSBS

In late 1999, Governor Vilsack formed a Task Force on Targeted Small Business composed of representatives from state agencies, Targeted Small Business owners, and advocates.

Members of the Board Office and Regent institutions attended all meetings and provided input although no Regent representative was appointed to the Task Force. Some of the input was incorporated into the eleven final recommendations submitted by the Task Force to Governor Vilsack.

In 2000, the Governor appointed an Implementation Team to work out details of the Task Force recommendations, including representatives from the Regent institutions.

### **BACKGROUND:**

### REQUIREMENTS

The <u>lowa Code</u> requires state agencies to purchase goods furnished by lowa Prison Industries (IPI) unless those goods do not meet the performance characteristics of similar goods used by the agencies or unless IPI cannot deliver similar goods, comparable both in performance and price, within a reasonable length of time [§904.808].

The <u>Regent Procedural Guide</u> directs the institutions to purchase from Iowa Prison Industries unless exempted under special circumstances [§8.04(G)].

# ANALYSIS:

FY 2001 HIGHLIGHTS Purchases fluctuate from year to year as demand for higher cost IPI products, such as furniture and furniture refinishing tends to be cyclical, with greater demand occurring in conjunction with building renovations and building openings. Purchases from IPI have gradually increased over the last five years.

# Purchases from Iowa Prison Industries Over the Last Five Years

Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	\$112,010	\$77,163	\$21,813	\$282,304	\$50,549
ISU	122,715	904,552	892,674	2,011,388	2,548,714
UNI	112,732	3,503	5,711	7,367	61,465
ISD	50	7,151	35,815	2,826	28
IBS	<u>322</u>	<u>293</u>	<u>832</u>	<u>2,553</u>	<u>713</u>
Total	\$347,829	\$992,662	\$956,845	\$2,306,438	\$2,661,469

The institutions experienced several successes during FY 2001:

- SUI revitalized its relationship with IPI by providing the opportunity for them to present updated information on various commodities.
- ISU placed significant orders for Hawthorn Court student apartment furniture and also hired IPI to move furniture. Purchases for FY 2001 increased by \$537,326 (26.7%) over FY 2000.
- UNI purchases from IPI increased \$54,098 (734.3%) over FY 2000 and included:
  - Reupholstering existing public seating on three floors in Rod Library and refinishing older chairs for two major seminar/classroom spaces & guest seating in Seerley Hall;
  - Building public seating benches, special lecterns, library tables, and some architecturally installed glass enclosed display/tackboard cabinets in Seerley Hall; and
  - Constructing and upholstering the bench seating in McElroy Hall and the corridor seating in Wright Hall.

The Regent institutions continually seek ways to increase purchases from IPI. Staff at the Regent institutions meet with IPI to discuss available products and methods to increase purchases. In FY 2001, furniture purchases represented 96.3% of total purchases.

Purchases by Product from Iowa Prison Industries

Product	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
Cleaning and Housekeeping					
Supplies	\$26,631	\$26,842	\$15,507	\$24,929	\$19,839
Decals	208	95	188	<b>0</b>	2,502
Envelopes	0	0	0	493	0
Furniture	249,231	952,623	932,851	2,253,485	2,563,224
Miscellaneous	669	126	1,603	13,705	66,658
Refinishing	55,194	2,222	3,330	0	0
Reupholstery	2,552	3,308	1,131	0	3,900
Signs	13,344	<u>7,446</u>	2,235	<u>13,826</u>	<u>5,346</u>
Total	\$347,829	\$992,662	\$956,845	\$2,306,438	\$2,661,469

PLANS TO INCREASE PURCHASES The institutions plan to increase purchases from IPI by:

- Planning meetings between various departments and IPI; and
- Planning a vendor show of IPI goods.

### BACKGROUND:

# REGENT PROCEDURAL GUIDE

Encourages the Regent institutions to:

- Exchange price information, supplier lists, bidder histories, and standard specifications and to implement interinstitutional purchasing arrangements wherever practical and feasible so that maximum value can be obtained [§8.07A];
- Participate in interagency cooperative purchasing agreements to provide the lowest competitive price consistent with Regent quality and service requirements [§8.07B]; and
- Purchase from state central purchasing contracts [§8.07C].

# MGT OF AMERICA STUDY

MGT of America, Inc. conducted a comprehensive study of the Regent institutions' purchasing in 1992 and made two significant purchasing recommendations:

- Greater interinstitutional coordination; and
- The use of joint purchasing contracts among or between the institutions.

### **ANALYSIS:**

# Purchasing Procedures

The institutions strive to maintain the proper balance among joint agreements and other consortia opportunities (lowa Prison Industries, Targeted Small Businesses, and lowa Businesses) while serving the needs of the campus by providing the best value at the best price.

Procurement efforts continue to focus on utilizing and developing joint contracting opportunities. Regent and State contracts are used to the fullest extent.

# Cooperative Purchasing

Institutional strategic plans contain focused missions, which have allowed purchasing personnel to develop expertise in areas specifically related to the unique institutional roles, such as medicine or agriculture.

Joint efforts and shared expertise among the Regent institutions and other governmental and educational entities improve purchasing efficiencies and effectiveness by reducing costs and delivering more value-added services such as efficient and effective vendor selection, order placement, delivery, verification of receipt, and payment.

### **Comparative Purchases**

Joint Regent Contracts	FY 2000 \$107,822,050	<u>FY 2001</u> \$118,968,703	<u>Dollar</u> <u>Change</u> \$11,146,653	Percent Change 10.3%
E&I Cooperative	2,960,171	2,657,839	(302,332)	(10.2%)
State of Iowa Contracts	<u>6,874,989</u>	8,865,382	1,990,393	29.0%
Total	\$117,657,210	\$130,491,923	\$12,834,713	10.9%

The institutions cooperatively purchased nearly \$130.5 million in FY 2001, an increase of \$12.8 million (10.9%) over FY 2000.

# Joint Regent Contracts

The universities continue to participate successfully in joint Regent contracts. Joint Regent contracts consolidate like commodities from the institutions into a single contract. This minimizes individual institutions' efforts while maximizing commodity volume to gain better prices which otherwise could not be achieved.

The institutions purchase a variety of products through numerous vendors using joint Regent purchasing contracts. The table on the following page lists all of the Joint Regent contracts effective during FY 2001.

# Comparative Purchases from Joint Regent Contracts

Institution	FY 2000	FY 2001	Dollar <u>Change</u>	Percent <u>Change</u>							
SUI	\$93,032,252	\$102,673,661	\$9,641,409	10.4%							
ISU	12,292,768	12,491,051	198,283	1.6%							
UNI	2,476,573	3,691,407	1,214,834	49.1%							
ISD <sup>1</sup>	0	100,692	100,692	N/A							
IBS <sup>1</sup>	<u>20,457</u>	11,892	(8,565)	(41.9)%							
Total	\$107,822,050	\$118,968,703	\$11,146,653	10.3%							
1 Included in ISU unless otherwise noted.											

Contracts with various vendors for medical supplies (\$27.5 million) and pharmaceutical drugs (\$54.8 million) account for 69.2% of SUI's total purchases.

# Purchases from Joint Regent Contracts Over the Last Five Years

Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	\$71,364,593	\$85,338,824	\$88,976,713	\$93,032,252	\$102,673,661
ISU	10,299,039	10,528,175	10,637,327	12,292,768	12,491,051
UNI	2,568,745	1,873,315	2,620,832	2,476,573	3,691,407
ISD	0	0	0	0	100,692
IBS	<u>0</u>	<u>7,866</u>	<u>17,658</u>	<u>20,457</u>	<u>11,892</u>
Total	\$84,232,377	\$97,748,180	\$102,252,530	\$107,822,050	\$118,968,703

The institutions have steadily increased purchases from Joint Regent Contracts over the last five years.

# JOINT REGENT PURCHASING CONTRACTS

# FY 2001

Contract Manager	Vennedy	Natilieeli Neimiedy Javne Keiser	Joyno Keiser	James Keiser	Jayile Kelsei Kathloon Kennedy	John Keller	John Keller	John Schiltz	Kathleen Kennedy	Jim Jetter	Mark Long	Don Hanson	Mark Long	Mark Long	Mark Long	Jim Jetter		Terry Lewis	lefty Lewis	NOTH THE WORLD	Con Home	Coly Harms	Al Brooks & Dollo Getter	John Feller	Torre I Owin	Donnie Donnes	Territ outs	leffy Lewis	Roxanne Conrad	
Available to		All Regent institutions	All Regent institutions	All Regent Institutions	All Regent Institutions	All Regent Institutions	All Regent Institutions	All Regent institutions	All State Agencies All Beneat Institutions	All State Apencies	All State Agencies	All State Agencies	All Regent Institutions & State Agencies	All Regent Institutions	Regent Institutions & DOI	All Regent Institutions	SUI, ISU, & UNI	SUI, ISU, UNI, & State Agencies	SUI, ISU, & UNI	Kegent institutions & IDA	All Regent institutions	All Regent Institutions	SUI, ISU, & UNI	All Regent Institutions	All Regent Institutions					
1007 1 J	Current Vendors	Heckman Bindery	Dell Computer	Gateway	Micron	Various	Fisher-Hamilton	Fisher Scientific	Various	Various	Various Corporate Express	Various	Sitler Electric	Elan Financial Servies	Data Media	National By-Products	Compaq	Micron Computers	Compucom (formerly CIC Systems)	Stitzell Electric	Total Source Analysis	A-Tec Recycling	Environmental Enterprises	Fisher Scientific	Allied Van Lines	Olson Graphics	Nalco Chemical Co.	Hewlett-Packard	Various	\display in the control of the
	Item	Book Binding	Computer Equipment	Computer Equipment	Computer Equipment	Envelopes	Laboratory Casework	Laboratory Supplies	Medical Supplies	Miscellaneous Paper Products	Nutritional Formula	Office Supplies Pharmaceutical Drugs	Projection I amns	Producement Card	Video Tape	Grease Recycling	Computers	Computers	Computers and Supplies	Electrical Supplies	Emissions Monitoring	Fluorescent Bulb Disposal	Hazardous Waste Disposal	Laboratory Supplies	Moving Services	Photo Supplies	Power Plant Chemicals	Workstations/Scientific Equipment		Copier/Fax Supplies
	Institution	SUI	SUI	SUI	SUI	SUI	SUI	SOI	SUI	SUI	SOI		5 0			SOI	nsi	nsı	nsi	NSI	nsi '	ISU	nsı	nsi '	nsi Nsi	NSI	NSI	NSI		Z

Educational & Institutional (E&I)
Cooperative

Another avenue of cooperative purchasing available to the institutions is through the Educational & Institutional (E&I) Cooperative, a not-for-profit purchasing cooperative consisting of over 2,000 tax-exempt colleges, universities, preparatory schools, hospitals, medical research institutions, and hospital purchasing organizations in the United States.

Over 75 contracts provide members with products and services ranging from computer supplies to lab coats, at very competitive prices.

# Comparative Purchases from the E&I Purchasing Cooperative

Institution	FY 2000	FY 2001	Dollar <u>Change</u>	Percent <u>Change</u>
SUI	\$1,469,411	\$1,415,036	(\$54,375)	(3.7%)
ISU	1,376,126	955,997	(420,129)	(30.5%)
UNI	<u>114,634</u>	286,806	<u>172,172</u>	150.2%
Total	\$2,960,171	\$2,657,839	(\$302,332)	(10.2%)

<sup>1</sup> ISD and IBS are included in ISU numbers.

ISU's purchases from the E&I Cooperative returned to more statistically normal levels and declined more than \$400,000, as budget constraints affected acquisitions.

UNI's 150.2% increase is due primarily to furniture purchases.

# Purchases from the E&I Purchasing Cooperative Over the Last Five Years

Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	\$1,266,938	\$1,260,784	\$1,268,494	\$1,469,411	\$1,415,036
ISU <sup>1</sup>	1,353,347	988,422	899,434	1,376,126	955,997
UNI	166,885	122,182	<u>18,171</u>	<u>114,634</u>	<u>286,806</u>
Total	\$2,787,170	\$2,371,388	\$2,186,099	\$2,960,171	\$2,657,839

<sup>1</sup> ISD and IBS purchases are included in ISU numbers.

Cumulative purchases from the E&I Purchasing Cooperative have remained relatively stable over the last five years.

# State of lowa Purchasing Contracts

Current State of Iowa contracts and related information can be found on the Iowa Department of General Services web site.

The Regent institutions cooperatively work with the Department of General Services (DGS) and share contracts whenever possible. The institutions have standard language in their contracts that allow the state to use the Regent contracts.

The Regent institutions and DGS staff regularly communicate through quarterly purchasing meetings and other correspondence.

# Comparative Purchases from State of Iowa Purchasing Contracts

Institution	FY 2000	FY 2001	Dollar <u>Change</u>	Percent Change
SUI	\$3,948,258	\$5,270,202	\$1,321,944	33.5%
ISU	- 2,342,650	2,911,520	568,870	24.3%
UNI	539,992	596,891	56,899	10.5%
ISD	27,846	74,801	46,955	168.6%
IBSSS	16,243	11,968	(4,275)	(26.3%)
Total	\$6,874,989	\$8,865,382	\$1,990,393	29.0%

# Purchases from State of Iowa Purchasing Contracts Over the Last Five Years

Institution	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
SUI	\$2,715,886	\$4,626,326	\$2,662,380	\$3,948,258	\$5,270,202
ISU	2,270,717	2,144,906	1,937,440	2,342,650	2,911,520
UNI	331,448	575,070	693,453	539,992	596,891
ISD	0	0	0	27,846	74,801
IBSSS	42,091	<u> 15,160</u> -	<u>19,154</u>	<u>16,243</u>	<u>11,968</u>
Total	\$5,360,142	\$7,361,462	\$5,312,427	\$6,874,989	\$8,865,382

Cumulative purchases from State of Iowa Purchasing Contracts have generally increased over the last five years.

# Successes and Future Plans

Joint agreement between the universities and Elan Financial Services resulted in a cumulative rebate of \$82,058.

SUI completed its seventh year as a member of the University Health System Consortium comprised of most of the major teaching hospitals in the United States.

ISU served as the lead institution or jointly handled the contract administration process for several new and existing Joint Regent Contracts for:

- Moving Services;
- Personal Computer Products;
- Scientific Equipment And Supplies;
- Water Treatment Chemicals And Service;
- Hazardous Waste Disposal, Computers;
- Fluorescent Lamp Recycling;
- Computer Supplies And Peripherals;
- Photo Supplies Contract;
- · Continuous Emissions Monitoring Systems Program;
- Purchasing Card Program; and
- Fax Machines.

UNI increased its joint purchases by almost 50%.

ISD and IBSSS continued to take advantage of larger discounts through bulk purchases.

See the Regent Exhibit Book for detailed examples by institution.

# **BACKGROUND:**

IOWA CODE

Requires competitive bids and public notice [§68B.3]; and

Requires the Board to give consent to officials or employees of Regent institutions who wish to sell goods and services to their respective institution. [§68B.4].

# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Precludes individuals with potential conflicts from being directly involved in the purchasing decisions or authorizing of any such contracts as well as making material changes to such contracts [Chapter 681 §8.9(1a)];

Requires Board authorization when a single purchase from a conflict of interest vendor (Regent employee, Board Office employee, or Board member) exceeds \$1,000 or a fiscal year's cumulative purchases exceed \$2,000 [Chapter 681 §8.9(2)];

Allows prior authorization on a per occurrence basis or on the basis of approval by the Regents of a list of individuals [Chapter 681 §8.9(2)];

Declares that once approved by the Board, an individual will remain on the approved master list maintained by the entity transacting business with the individual [Chapter 681 §8.9(2)]; and

Requires a written and signed authorization from the employee requesting consent [Chapter 681 §8.9(3)].

# REGENT PROCEDURAL GUIDE

Requires purchases from Regent employees (i.e., conflict of interest vendors) to be included in the annual purchasing report to the Board [§8.09(C) and §10.09(E)].

### ANALYSIS:

# Purchasing Procedures

Each Regent institution is responsible for identifying and analyzing conflict of interest vendor purchases at its institution.

Written requests for approval of vendors with a potential conflict of interest are presented to the Board on an individual basis.

Institutional submissions of Conflict of Interest Vendors are maintained in the Board Office.

Purchasing personnel at the Regent institutions maintain conflict of interest lists and compile annual expenditures.

## FY 2001 HIGHLIGHTS

The Board approved 10 new vendors for a total of 116 approved vendors:

- SUI = 46
- ISU = 47
- UNI = 20
- ISD = 2
- IBSSS = 1

Purchases from conflict of interest vendors on the Board-approved list totaled \$351,257, a \$230,160 (190.1%) increase over FY 2000 purchases. The large increase was primarily due to a Board approved vendor that was awarded a competitively bid contract at lowa State University.

# Expenditures were:

- SUI = \$50.867
- ISU = \$276,264
- UNI = \$22,545
- ISD = \$0
- IBSSS = \$1,581

The table on the following pages contains a comprehensive list of vendors approved by the Board and the expenditures for each during FY 2001.

# VENDORS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF REGENTS

FY 2001

												istry	•		Ά	СН	ME	EN"	τF		С	01	IFL	.IC	тс	F	רא	ΓEF	RE	ST	٧	G. EN	IDO	14 ORS 35	;	•
Employee Affiliation		Professor Art & Art History	Escutty Member Internal Medicine	Carina Customo Capaciplist	Selliol Systems Specialist	Clerk, Ob/GTIN	lechnician, WSUI	Senior Admission Counselor	Professor, Art & Art History	Associate Editor, Internal Medicine	Professor, Internal Medicine	Instructional Resource Associate, Dentistry	Professor, Art & Art History	Spouse, Maintenance Supervisor, FOG	Associate Professor, Art & Art Filstory	Associate Professor, All & All History	Security Officer, Public Salety	Professor, All & All restory	Accordate Drofessor Art & Art History	Associate Professor, Internal Medicine	Professor, Art & Art History	Graphics Tech., College of Medicine	Admissions Counselor	Physician Assistant, UIHC	Associate Professor, Art & Art History	l eaching Assistant, Psychology	Environmental Systems Mechanic	Professor, Microbiology	Lab lechnician, internal medicine	Organista Teaching Assistant	Art & Art History	Associate Professor, Art & Art History	Drofessor Dediatric Dentistry			
FY 2001 Purchases		1000	2001	•	' (	745	22	•	•			1,503	1 1	8,945				1070	3,421	•		•		1,500	1,610			•			1,000	OC .	•			
Product or Service			Art Work	Needle Capping Devices	Assistive Learning Devices	Hand-woven Baskets	Repair of Electronic Equipment	Stoneware Spec. Products	Art Work	Graphic Design	Immune Technology Research	Dental Lab Work	Art Work	Commercial Painting	Medical Equipment, Auditory Training	Embroidery	Handmade Leather Supplies	Art Work	Fudge	Art Work	Art Work	All Wolk	All Wolk			Culinary Cuisine	-	•		Vacuum Pump Repair			_	S Art Work	3 Art Work	
Approval Date			20-Oct-94	15-Apr-92	25-Sep-91	24-May-93	15-May-91	24-May-93	19-Feb-92	27-Oct-93	16-Sep-99	19-Jul-95	15-May-91	16-Oct-97	15-May-91	18-Dec-97	20-Oct-94	25-Sep-91	19-Nov-97	15-May-91	18-Feb-98	15-May-91	15-May-91 27-Oct-93	16-Oct-97	20-Oct-94	27-Oct-93	15-Dec-93	15-May-91	18-Feb-99	18-Dec-96	18-Mar-99	17-Apr-96	24-Jun-97	18-Dec-96	18-Feb-98	
Business Name	AMICI TO VITTO AT	THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA	Achepohl, Keith	Adv. Medical Concepts	Alt. Hearing Systems	Apple Valley Baskets	Brown Electronics	Canoe Creek Pottery	Choo Charles	Classic Graphic Studio	Cog ImmunoPharmaceuticals, Inc.	Definitive Dental Arts	Dila, John	Dvorak Painting	Eckert, Michael	Embroidery Shop	Erickson Leathercraft	Feldstein, Peter	Fudge Because	Glasgow, Robert	Gratama, Ab	Hettmensperger, Sue	Hindes, Charles	Owa Diotecii	lust Chocolate	a Perlita	LDI Video Productions	McBride, Bunny	Performance Sciences	Pump Werks	Quorum Sciences	RF Audio	River Lights Publishers	Smelser, Sarah	Soitzer James	יםו, טמוומט

# VENDORS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF REGENTS

										m				۸	A	0.00		<b>-</b> 1		_	_	JF.	LICT	OF.	lais		<b>-</b>	CT <sup>1</sup>		.D.	14	-
									ces	eerin				AI	TA	CHIV	IEN			- 0	O <sub>1</sub>	••	_,	せ	IIN		<b>\</b> _	31		ige	36	
	Employee Affiliation	Design Artist, Hygienic Laboratory		Physical Plant	Professor, Art & Art History	Medical Scientist	Technical Coordinator, Music	Clerk, Hospital School	Electronics Shop Tech, Biological Sciences	Professor, Electrical & Computer Engineering	Secretary, Oral Pathology	Technician, Broadcasting Services			Associate Scientist, IPRT; Professor,	Chemistry, Director Microanalytical Instrument CtrIPRT	Professor, Sociology	Assistant Professor, Vet Pathology	Communications Specialist, Extension	Communications	Program Coordinator, SBDC	Custodian, Residence Halls	Temporary Asst. Professor, Psychology; Graduate Student, Psychology	Associate Professor, English & Assistant to the Dean, Graduate College	Secretary, Chemistry	Secretary, RISE	Program Coordinator,	College of Business Profesor Tournalism &		Secretary, Animal Science Director, Agronomy	ournal, Studies	
	FY 2001 Purchases	,	200	•	1,000		• .		2,949	21,400	5,466		50,867				1				1,608	1	.•	1			2,000	 		•		
	Fur Pur											•	<b>⇔</b>		nalytical	•																
FY 2001	Product or Service	Art Work	Musical Entertainment	Lawn Care	Art Work	Microscopic Charting System	Design of Scenery, Costumes	Transfer Sling for Handicapped	Designs Computer Equipment	Research Software	Installation of Acoustical Ceilings	Electronic Repair			Manufacturer of High Performance Analytical	Instruments	Promo-Air Balloons	Portuguese Translations	Database Development, Web Design,	Electronic Publishing, Etc.	Graduation Caps/Gowns	Cleaning Products	Psychotherapeutic Services	Editorial Consulting	Desktop Publishing	Independent Contractor	Construction	Gravhice & Draffing	Ciaplics & Cialling	Land Surveying Textbooks	Publishing Services	
	Approval Date	18-May-94	18-Oct-98	18-Mar-93	18-Dec-96	15-May-91	15-May-91	19-Apr-01	19-Oct-00	20-Jul-00	20-Jul-00	15-Dec-94			20-Jan-99		08-May-91	19-Mar-97	19-Nov-97		20-Jul-94	08-May-91	16-Mar-00	08-May-91	08-May-91	16-May-96	19-Oct-00	ON MAN OF	00-way-91	08-May-91 21-Apr-93	18-Feb-98	
	Business Name	Summit Street Gallery	Thunder Creek Entertainment	Tompkins Lawn Care	Walker, George	Warren Instruments	Wenk, Margaret	Access Now	Computerdesign, INC	Medical Imaging Applications	Sticks on Stilts	White Dove Electronics	SUI Subtotal	IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY	Advanced Analytical Technologies, Inc.		Aerostation Ames	Andreasen, James R.	Berkland Cyber Services		Caps & Gowns	Carlson, Gary	Central Iowa Psychological Services (formerly known as The Hope Clinic)	Data Sort	Desktop Services	Dobelis, Ed	DR Farni Enterprises			Engineering Plus Fehr Walter R	Focus Communications	

# VENDORS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF REGENTS FY 2001

														A	T	ΓΑ	СН	ME	ENT	гF	<u> </u>	С	01	IFI	LIC	•		IN	TE	RE	ST	_		DO		* *			
	Employee Affiliation	System Support Specialist, Journalism	Temporary Instructor, Journalism	Associate Professor, Mathematics	Clerk, University Bookstore	Department Chair, Architecture	Clerk, Records & Registration	Secretary, Education Administration	Adjunct Assistant Professor, Veterinary	Diagnostic/Animal Production Medicine	<ul> <li>Associate Professor, Psychology</li> </ul>	Secretary, Education & Architecture	Adjunct Instructor, Athletic Department	Senior Graphic Designer, Ames Laborator	Budget Analyst, V-P Business & Finance	Professor, Biochemistry/Biophysics	<ul> <li>Senior Physicist, Ames Laboratory;</li> </ul>	Research Associate, Ames Lab	Professor, Music	Ag Specialist, Entomology	Professor, Architecture	Graphic Designer, Athletic Department	Professor, Zoology & Genetics		Mechanic, Facilities Pianning & Mgmt	Electrician, Power Plant	Manager, Chemistry Instrument Services, Chemistry	Adjunct Instructor Athletic Department	Clark Himan Resources	Controller/Assist Vice Pres for	Business & Finance	Aedicine,	_	Professor, Horticulture	Professor Veterinary Microhiology & V	Preventative Medicine	Professor, Animal Science	Assistant Total State Code:	
	FY 2001 Purchases	2,744	•		1,920	34,226	200,727		•		1,050	11,085	•	.•.			•		1		•		4,550		•			4.00	410,0	500				1,579	/c/'/		504	\$ 276,264	
FY 2001	Product or Service	Web Page & Internet Consulting	Granhic Design Services	Alfalfa Producer	Agricultural Services	Architectural Firm	Electrical Contractor	Custom Hav Baling	Outdoor Power Equipment/Utility Vehicles		Mental Health Services	Information Technology Consulting	Golf Club Repair	Design Graphics	Residential Cleaning	Scientific Supplies	Manufacturer Scientific Instruments		Musical Entertainment	Live Music	Architect Consulting	Graphic Design	Manufacturer - Microscopes,	Micropositioners, Photolysis Systems	Land use	Scuba Diving Equipment	Scientific Consulting		Scuba Gear	Wiove Trees	renormance improvemently office mant fraining and development	Sale of Radiographic Equipment				Services to companies researching Amina Biologicals/Pharmaceuticals	Pool Chemicals/Equipment	Calligraphy	
	Approval Date	18-Jul-96	14-Dec-00	20-Mar-96	20-1111-00	48 Apr-04	10-144-01 00-00-00	20-Sep-95	16-Mar-00	) ;	14-Sep-00	14-Dec-00	20-Jan-93	23-Jul-97	08-May-91	16-Nov-94	18-Nov-99		08-May-91	08-May-91	21-Nov-96	20-Feb-97	17-Feb-99		13-Jun-01	17-Jan-96	08-May-91		15-Jul-92	08-May-91	10-094-77	10-Feh-00		08-May-91	21-Nov-96	20-Jan-99	08-May-91	08-May-91	
	Business Name	Global Beach Internet Productions		Graueri, Jour A.	Heilies, Keillielli Heim David (dha David Heim Earms)	Helli, David (upa David Helli I alilis)	Herbert Lewis/Bluink (nEND) Architects	Jaspernig, Donaid L. (uba Jaspernig Electric)	Vars & More		Konar Arthur (dba Clinical Ass. of Ames)	Leading Change, Inc.	Mac's Custom Clubs	Marti Design Group	Merv Maids	Molecular Express	MTEC Photoacoustics, Inc.		Musica Antiqua	Oleson Duo	Osterberg, Arvid E.	Prairie Cat Graphics (was Paul C. Meenan)	Prairie Technologies LLC		Risdal, Ronald O.	S&S Performance Pro Dive Shop	Scientific Consulting Services		Scuba Shop, The	Shade I rees I oday, Inc.	lerry Pickett & Associates	Thomas Lines M DVM	ביים ביים אין ביים אי	Tomatoes, Etc.	Trede, Bonnie	Veterinary Resources, Inc.	Water Chem	Weber, Gretchen ISU Subtotal	

G.D. 14

ATTACHMENT F – CONFLICT OF INTEREST VENDORS
Page 38

351,257

FY 2001 Regent Institutions Total

# VENDORS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF REGENTS

	FY 2001 Purchases		- Clerk Typist	9,133 Head Golf Coach	_	- Head Swim Coach	- Secretary	- Associate Professor			4,222 Secretary		910 Professor and Dept. Head	<ul> <li>Computer Coordinator</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Technology Specialist</li> </ul>	- Public Relations IWRC	<ul> <li>Waste Management Specialist</li> </ul>	- Clerk Typist	<ul> <li>Assistant Manager Grounds</li> </ul>	- Professor	- Associate Professor	- Professor \$ 22.545		Vocational Education Instructor     Dean of Boys		1 581 School Assistant	
FY 2001	Product or Service		999 Word Processing	Ī,		٠,	_		_	_	•		991 Auctioneering		997 ITS Training Services	998 Cleaning Service	_	998 Printing			997 Sculpture	998 Consulting		F-98 Music Vendor		v. 05 Farm Equipment Darts/Sunnijae	
	Business Name Approval Date	AWO! MODITION TO VEHICLE AND INVESTMENT		J. Golf Shop	l Outdoors)		Hansen, Karen (dba K&E Cleaning)			er		Klein, Richard J.	Kueter, Roger A.		fyAnn M.			verly (dba Art by Design)	Sheerer, Terry L.			White, Michael R. (dba Mgmt. Tech. Intl.) 19	IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF	Grandick, Charles (dba Pleasure of Music) 01-Mar-98	ISD Subtotal	IOWA BRAILLE & SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL Davis Implement Co. 17-May-05	

REGENT PROCEDURAL GUIDE Requires institutions to follow established procedures for investigating vendor complaints [§8.03F].

VENDOR
COMPLAINT
PROCEDURES

Vendor complaints follow a hierarchical process where they are first directed to purchasing management. If not resolved at that level, the complaint may be appealed to institutional management. The complaint may be submitted to the Board Office if necessary.

FY 2001 HIGHLIGHTS The institutions report that no formal complaints were received during the year.