GENERAL

The following business pertaining to general or miscellaneous business was transacted on Wednesday, March 15, 1995.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF BOARD MEETINGS, DECEMBER 13-14, 1994, AND JANUARY 18, 1995. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the Minutes, as written.

President Berenstein asked for corrections, if any, to the Minutes.

ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board approved the Minutes of the December 13-14, 1994, and January 18, 1995, meetings, as written, by general consent.

CONSENT ITEMS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the consent docket, as follows:

  Approve the change in the name of the Master of Arts (MA) with major in Nursing degree program to a Master of Science in Nursing degree (MSN) program at the University of Iowa;

  Approve the change in the name of the Ph.D. in Physical Education to the Ph.D. major in Sport, Health, Leisure, and Physical Studies; and approve the combination of the Master of Arts degree with a major in Leisure Studies into a single Master of Arts degree with a major in Sport, Health, Leisure and Physical Studies at the University of Iowa;

  Approve the change in the name of the Institute of Agricultural Medicine and Occupational Health to the Institute for Rural and Environmental Health at the University of Iowa;
Approve the change in the name of the Master of Arts with a major in Accountancy degree program to the Master of Accountancy degree program at the University of Iowa;

Receive the status report on the Board Office budget for fiscal year 1995;

Approve the Board Meetings Schedule;

Refer the Iowa State University post-audit report on the Master of Fine Arts degree program to the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and the Board Office for review and recommendation;

Receive the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the Iowa School for the Deaf; and

Receive the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School.

MOTION: Regent Collins moved to approve the consent docket, as presented. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

INTERINSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL COORDINATION. (a) Name Change, (MA) Major in Nursing - University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the change in the name of the Master of Arts (MA) with major in Nursing degree program to a Master of Science in Nursing degree (MSN) program at the University of Iowa.

There has been a nationwide movement toward the use of the Master of Science in Nursing degree title. The University of Iowa is the only Research I University with a Master of Arts rather than the Master of Science in Nursing.

There are no new costs as a result of this name change.

The proposal was reviewed by the Board Office and the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination, and both recommended approval.

ACTION: This item was approved, by consent.
(b) Discontinue Minor in Actuarial Science - University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the request to discontinue the minor in Actuarial Science at the University of Iowa after currently-enrolled students complete the program.

University of Iowa officials proposed to discontinue the minor in actuarial science. The university will continue to offer a B.S. degree in actuarial science.

This request was based on the inability of the minor program to provide for the program enrichment and technical skills necessary for employment in the field, thus limiting its practical value for graduates.

There are no identified savings as a result of discontinuing this program.

The proposal was reviewed by the Board Office and the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination, and both recommended approval.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the request to discontinue the minor in Actuarial Science at the University of Iowa after currently-enrolled students complete the program. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(c) Name Change, (Ph.D.) Major in Physical Education - University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) approve the change in the name of the Ph.D. in Physical Education to the Ph.D. major in Sport, Health, Leisure, and Physical Studies; and (2) approve the combination of the Master of Arts degree with a major in Leisure Studies into a single Master of Arts degree with a major in Sport, Health, Leisure and Physical Studies.

In 1993 the Board of Regents approved a merger of the Department of Physical Education and Sports Studies with the Department of Leisure Studies. This restructuring resulted in a need to restructure the new combined department’s programs. With the approval of the changes proposed this month all the programmatic restructuring will be complete.

The name changes were proposed to bring into line the names of the programs with the name of the administrative unit housing the programs. The combined program will be strengthened as a result of the combined course offerings and faculty support.

There were no new programs being proposed nor were there any additional costs or major savings to be identified as a result of this action.
The proposal was reviewed by the Board Office and the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination, and both recommended approval.

ACTION: This item was approved, by consent.

(d) Name Change, Institute for Agricultural Medicine and Occupational Health - University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the change in the name of the Institute of Agricultural Medicine and Occupational Health to the Institute for Rural and Environmental Health.

This change was proposed to more accurately represent the institute’s programs, improve recruitment of graduate students and postdoctoral fellows, and enhance the institute’s opportunities to compete successfully for rural health and environmental grants.

The mission of the unit is rural health and environmental health graduate research and community service. The unit is financed from grants from various Federal and State agencies and private sector contracts.

This name change will not result in any major organizational or financial alterations of the institute other than it may enhance the institute’s capacity to attract grants.

This request was reviewed by the Board Office and the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination, and both recommended approval.

ACTION: This item was approved, by consent.

(e) Name Change, (MA) Major in Accounting - University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the change in the name of the Master of Arts with a major in Accountancy degree program to the Master of Accountancy degree program at the University of Iowa.

Two major factors contributed to this request: (1) A new State law requires that by the year 2001 individuals must have completed 150 semester hours of academic credit in order to apply for a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) certificate; and (2) a shift in the master’s-level degree toward professional training.

The change in the title from Master of Arts to Master of Accountancy will conform with a recommendation by the Council of Graduate Schools that such professional programs carry a title of “Master of (discipline)” to distinguish these programs from the usually more academic and research oriented “Master of Arts” and “Master of Science” degree programs.
This name change does not result in any new costs.

The name change was reviewed by the Board Office and the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination, and both recommended approval.

**ACTION:** This item was approved, by consent.

**ACCREDITATION REPORTS.**

(a) Bachelor Degree Programs in Music - Iowa State University. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on reaccreditation of the bachelor programs in music at Iowa State University.

Iowa State University officials were notified that the bachelor degree programs in music were recommended for reaccreditation. The program was recognized by the review team for its strengths. Areas of concern were also identified.

Iowa State University and the Department of Music officials were congratulated for persisting through the rigorous accreditation procedures of the National Association of Schools of Music. A full set of documents regarding this accreditation is on file in the Board Office.

**ACTION:** President Berenstein stated the Board received the report on reaccreditation of the bachelor programs in music at Iowa State University, by general consent.

(b) Industrial Technology - University of Northern Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report.

In January 1993 University of Northern Iowa officials reported that 4 of the 5 bachelor degree programs it offers in Industrial Technology had received initial accreditation by the National Association of Industrial Technology (NAIT). The four programs accredited were Construction, General Industry and Technology, Graphic Communications, and Manufacturing Technology. A fifth program, Electro-Mechanical Systems, was not accredited because it has an engineering technology component and NAIT does not accredit programs with such a component.

The accreditation process consisted of a self-study by the Department of Industrial Technology, an on-site visit in April 1992 by a review team, a report by the review team, the university’s response to the report, and a final review by NAIT’s Board of Accreditation.
NAIT granted provisional accreditation after finding that the program had met minimum overall accreditation standards but was not in complete compliance with six accreditation standards.

NAIT requested an interim report on standards and issues involved in the areas of partial compliance. UNI submitted the requested interim report in August 1994 and NAIT granted full accreditation in October 1994. The full accreditation runs through October 1996.

In February, the Board received a report on the NAIT accreditation of Iowa State University’s industrial technology programs. Like the University of Northern Iowa, the Iowa State programs were first granted provisional accreditation followed by full accreditation after completing an interim report addressing areas of concern.

Regent Newlin asked if university officials had any plans to accredit the fifth program, electro-mechanical systems. Provost Marlin responded that there were plans. There is no agency that accredits those programs.

ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board received the report, by general consent.

(c) Bachelor Degree Program in Athletic Training - University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on the initial accreditation of the bachelor degree program in Athletic Training at the University of Iowa.

The Commission on Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs awarded initial accreditation to the University of Iowa’s bachelor degree program in Athletic Training. The accreditation runs through 1999.

The accreditation process consisted of a self study, a site visit and report by a review team, a response from the program to the review team’s report and recommendations, and a final review by the Commission on Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs.

The athletic training program is offered by the Department of Exercise Science as a separate degree track under the Bachelor of Science in Exercise Science. The program provides concentrated study and clinical experiences leading to certification by the National Athletic Training Association.

Regent Newlin asked about the success of students who have taken the certification test. Provost Nathan responded that University of Iowa students’ scores are very high,
virtually the best in the U.S. Graduates are much sought after in the job market. Their training gives them a very good position in terms of job placement.

Regent Newlin asked that in the future university officials prepare that kind of data for the Regents when accreditation reports are brought forward.

ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board received the report on the initial accreditation of the bachelor degree program in Athletic Training at the University of Iowa, by general consent.

SPRING ENROLLMENT REPORT. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on spring 1994 enrollments at Regent universities.

General Headcount Enrollment Trends

Total Regent university spring 1995 headcount enrollment totaled 59,513 students, a decrease of 717 students (-1.2 percent) from spring 1994 enrollment and a decline of 4,719 students (7.3 percent) from fall 1994. Regentwide spring 1995 headcount enrollment is lower than any spring enrollment over the last ten years and is 6.2 percent lower than that for 1985-86.

Spring enrollments closely track fall enrollments: Fall 1994 enrollment was the lowest for the most recent ten-year period and was 5.1 percent lower than that for 1985-86.

Following are fall and spring headcount enrollment information for the last two years:
The last two years (1993-94 and 1994-95) have been years in which the percentage decline in enrollment from fall to spring has been greater than that of any of the last ten years.
FTE Enrollments

FTE enrollments declined from fall to spring by 7.8 percent Regentwide, an increase over 7.6 percent in 1993-94. The FTE decline of 7.8 percent was greater than the 7.3 percent decline in headcount and means that average course loads are lighter in the spring.
FTE Enrollments Fall and Spring
1993-94 and 1994-95

- University of Iowa:
  - Fall 1993: 22,633
  - Spring 1993: 21,072
  - Fall 1994: 22,607
  - Spring 1994: 21,082

- Iowa State University:
  - Fall 1993: 23,116
  - Spring 1993: 23,178
  - Fall 1994: 24,256
  - Spring 1994: 22,654

- University of Northern Iowa:
  - Fall 1993: 11,022
  - Spring 1993: 10,121
  - Fall 1994: 10,867
  - Spring 1994: 9,956

- Total Regent Universities:
  - Fall 1993: 51,777
  - Spring 1993: 56,128
  - Fall 1994: 56,771
  - Spring 1994: 51,777

Decrease in Spring FTE Enrollment from Previous Fall
1993-94 and 1994-95

- University of Iowa: 6.9%
- Iowa State University: 8.2%
- University of Northern Iowa: 7.6%
- Total Regent Universities: 6.7%

- University of Iowa: 8.5%
- Iowa State University: 8.3%
- University of Northern Iowa: 8.4%
- Total Regent Universities: 8.4%
Analysis of the ratio of FTE to headcount for fall and spring semesters shows that Regentwide the decline in course load is among graduate and professional students, not undergraduates.

Headcount Enrollment by Class Level

Historically, the highest percentage loss in headcount enrollment has been in the freshmen class.
The drop in enrollment from fall to spring decreases with class level to the point where the senior class consistently grows from fall to spring.

The following table shows changes in enrollment in each class from fall to spring.
### Undergraduate Classes at Regent Universities: Enrollments Fall 1994 and Spring 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Undergraduate Class</th>
<th>Fall 1994</th>
<th>Spring 1995</th>
<th>Change From Fall to Spring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
<td>Freshmen</td>
<td>4,721</td>
<td>3,365</td>
<td>-28.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sophomores</td>
<td>3,922</td>
<td>3,657</td>
<td>-6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juniors</td>
<td>4,169</td>
<td>4,140</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>4,551</td>
<td>4,888</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa State University</td>
<td>Freshmen</td>
<td>5,180</td>
<td>3,544</td>
<td>-31.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sophomores</td>
<td>4013</td>
<td>3,824</td>
<td>-4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juniors</td>
<td>4371</td>
<td>4,263</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>6,059</td>
<td>6,324</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Northern Iowa</td>
<td>Freshmen</td>
<td>2,560</td>
<td>1,915</td>
<td>-25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sophomores</td>
<td>2,088</td>
<td>1,963</td>
<td>-6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juniors</td>
<td>2,822</td>
<td>2,524</td>
<td>-10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>3,550</td>
<td>3,652</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Regent Universities</td>
<td>Freshmen</td>
<td>12,461</td>
<td>8,824</td>
<td>-29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sophomores</td>
<td>10,023</td>
<td>9,444</td>
<td>-5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juniors</td>
<td>11,362</td>
<td>10,927</td>
<td>-3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>14,160</td>
<td>14,864</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regentwide graduate and professional enrollments declined only 2.9 percent from fall to spring in 1994-95 and actually increased at Iowa State University by 3.2 percent.
Headcount Enrollment by Residence Status

There was little difference between resident and nonresident students in loss of enrollment between fall and spring at either the undergraduate or graduate/professional levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Fall 1994 Resident Non-resident Total</th>
<th>Spring 1995 Resident Non-resident Total</th>
<th>Percent Change Fall to Spring 1994-95 Resident Non-resident Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
<td>12,581 5,638 18,219</td>
<td>11,672 5,250 16,922</td>
<td>-7.2% -6.9% -7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate &amp; Professional</td>
<td>4,971 3,742 8,713</td>
<td>4,750 3,516 8,266</td>
<td>-4.8% -6.0% -5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17,552 9,380 26,932</td>
<td>16,422 8,766 25,188</td>
<td>-6.4% -6.5% -6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa State University</td>
<td>15,501 4,811 20,312</td>
<td>13,998 4,269 18,267</td>
<td>-9.7% -11.3% -10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate &amp; Professional</td>
<td>2,047 2,369 4,416</td>
<td>2,190 2,367 4,557</td>
<td>7.0% -0.1% 3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17,548 7,180 24,728</td>
<td>16,188 6,636 22,824</td>
<td>-7.8% -7.4% -7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Northern Iowa</td>
<td>10,742 490 11,232</td>
<td>9,813 456 10,269</td>
<td>-8.6% -6.9% -8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate &amp; Professional</td>
<td>1,094 246 1,340</td>
<td>1,066 226 1,292</td>
<td>-8.0% -8.1% -8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11,836 736 12,572</td>
<td>10,879 682 11,561</td>
<td>-8.6% -7.3% -8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Regent Universities</td>
<td>38,824 10,939 49,763</td>
<td>35,483 9,975 45,458</td>
<td>-8.6% -8.8% -8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate &amp; Professional</td>
<td>8,112 6,357 14,469</td>
<td>7,846 6,109 14,055</td>
<td>-2.0% -3.3% -2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>46,936 17,296 64,232</td>
<td>43,329 16,084 59,413</td>
<td>-7.5% -7.0% -7.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regentwide the drop in headcount enrollment from fall 1994 to spring 1995 was slightly greater for nonresident than for resident freshmen although the University of Iowa had a slightly higher percentage decrease for resident freshmen than for nonresident freshmen.
Implications

Recent declines in fall undergraduate enrollments have placed some strain on budgets and may have created some inefficiencies. It may be advisable to examine the desirability of significantly decreased spring enrollments.

Adjustments in admissions and advising practices could help to reduce the disparity between fall and spring enrollments. It is possible that an increase in spring undergraduate enrollment, particularly of freshmen, could increase the rate of student progress toward degree attainment and maximize efficiency in the use of university instructional resources.

Director Barak reviewed the report with the Regents. He noted that this year there was a bigger decrease in enrollments than what has been the pattern.

President Berenstein asked if a decrease of 4,700 students is normal. Director Barak responded that the number was a little more than the typical pattern in recent years.

President Rawlings stated that graduation rates continue to go up at the University of Iowa. Students are completing their degrees more quickly than before. Enrollment at the University of Iowa has now stabilized. He said there will be a slow increase in the size of the student body; next year the student body size will be about level with this year, and then there will be a slow increase. The size of the Iowa high school class is slowly growing after 17 years of decline. The non-resident recruiting is also going very well.
ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board received the report on spring 1994 enrollments at Regent universities, by general consent.

BIENNIAL REPORT ON FACULTY CONSULTING ACTIVITIES. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on the professional consulting activities of faculty at Regent universities.

Regentwide the average number of faculty engaged in consulting each year during the academic years 1992-93 and 1993-94 was 982. For 1992-93 and 1993-94 the average number of faculty consulting per year was 466 at the University of Iowa, 357 at Iowa State University, and 159 at the University of Northern Iowa.
Regentwide the average number of consulting days for faculty members who did outside consulting was 5.3 hours in 1992-93 and 6.0 hours in 1993-94. The number of faculty consulting each year and the average number of consulting days has fluctuated over the last ten years although Regentwide the number of consulting faculty and average number of consulting days is not substantially different from ten years ago.
Average Number of Consulting Days Per Year For Faculty Who Consult 1984-86 to 1992-94 Biennia

- University of Iowa
- Iowa State University
- University of Northern Iowa
University of Iowa: Number of Faculty Consulting In Each College 1993-94

Graduate College: 5
Dentistry: 15
Nursing: 15
Engineering: 21
Law: 30
Pharmacy: 32
Education: 33
Business Administration: 24
Liberal Arts: 116
Medicine: 161
Iowa State University: Number of Faculty Consulting In Each College 1993-94

- Liberal Arts & Sciences: 107
- Agriculture: 91
- Engineering: 67
- Veterinary Medicine: 35
- Design: 29
- Education: 28
- Family & Consumer Sciences: 20
- Business: 19
- Library: 3
Iowa State University: Average Number of Days Per Year Spent Consulting By Consulting Faculty 1993-94

- Engineering: 10.3
- Education: 10.0
- Agriculture: 7.7
- Veterinary Medicine: 7.0
- Design: 6.9
- Liberal Arts & Sciences: 5.9
- Family & Consumer: 3.8
- Library: 3.7
- Business: 3.0
Iowa State University: Average Number of Days Per Year Spent Consulting By Consulting Faculty 1992-93

- Design: 8.0
- Education: 7.3
- Veterinary Medicine: 7.1
- Engineering: 6.3
- Agriculture: 6.2
- Business: 5.9
- Liberal Arts & Sciences: 5.9
- Library: 5.0
- Family & Consumer Sciences: 4.4
University of Northern Iowa

University of Northern Iowa: Number of Faculty Consulting in Each College Over Two Year Period
1992-94

- Education: 56
- Humanities & Fine Arts: 32
- Social & Behavioral Sciences: 25
- Natural Sciences: 24
- Business Administration: 22
Provost Marlin provided an example in the University of Northern Iowa’s Department of Psychology of an industrial psychologist faculty member who takes students with him when he consults. Students have had internship experiences as a result of those contacts. Those industries are also sources of research data. Guest speakers from industry are also brought into the psychology classes.

Provost Kozak stated that a number of Iowa State University faculty members are involved in consulting; the number increased by nine this year. He noted that faculty in veterinary medicine and extension cannot consult for pay.

Regent Dorr asked if the average number of days spent by Iowa State University faculty consulting runs from 3 to 10. Provost Kozak responded that in 1993-94 the average number of days was 7.23.

Provost Nathan stated that the demand for University of Iowa faculty is a reflection of their impact on their fields. He discussed the average number of days per year that nursing faculty spend in consulting. Several senior faculty are national leaders in development of nursing procedures. He said nursing intervention was basically developed by two University of Iowa senior faculty. There is very strong representation in Alzheimer’s and dementia, an area in which University of Iowa faculty lead the nation, as well as the area of practice research outcomes. He said the University of Iowa nursing faculty are much sought after.
ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board received the report on the professional consulting activities of faculty at Regent universities, by general consent.

ANNUAL REPORT ON PROGRAM REVIEWS. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive the report and (2) request that the University of Iowa and Iowa State University in their future reports include specific descriptions of how outcomes assessment information has been used in each program review.

Each year the Regent universities review a number of their academic programs and report to the Board on the review processes and results and findings of the reviews. The comprehensive review calendars prepared by each university indicated that each academic program at the universities will be reviewed at least once every seven years.

The purpose of academic program reviews is to provide critical information for strategic decision making, and to facilitate improvements in program quality and effectiveness by reviewing and evaluating programs on a regular, systematic basis.

Institutional academic program reviews evaluate programs in the context of the rest of the institution in order to determine the program’s effectiveness and appropriateness given the institution’s overall mission, resources, strengths, and weaknesses. Accreditation and other outside, independent reviews serve different purposes than academic program reviews and do not eliminate the need for institutional program reviews.

It may be desirable for logistical and other reasons to combine academic program reviews with accreditation and other independent reviews, but the combination of reviews must complement each other and not override the program review. Other reviews cannot substitute for the program review.

The universities' reports included copies of each institution's program review policies and procedures, copies of each university's comprehensive calendar of reviews, and reports on each individual review that was completed since the last report. The review processes at all three universities have matured considerably since they were initiated several years ago, and were now consistent with nationally-recommended best practices.

The typical program review involves: (1) a self study by the program's faculty and staff; (2) a review of the self study and program by a team of persons external to the program; (3) the preparation of a report with recommendations by the external review
team; (4) a response to the report and recommendations by the program; and (5) development of a plan of action to implement accepted recommendations.

The review by persons external to the program usually involves expert consultants from outside the university as well as Regent university personnel who are not associated with the program.

Last year the Board encouraged the institutions to make greater use of the universities’ student outcomes assessment efforts in their program reviews and to seek ways to focus some of the review recommendations on program improvement as opposed to a heavy emphasis on new resource needs.

The University of Northern Iowa now includes specific descriptions of how student outcomes assessment information has been used in each program review. Though Board Office staff believe that outcomes assessment information may be used as part of reviews at the University of Iowa and Iowa State University, there was little or no evidence of it in their reports.

Though the reports still contain frequent mentions of the need for additional resources, this year’s reports were more focused on program improvements using existing resources.

The program review reports are on file in the Board Office.

Provost Nathan stated that University of Iowa officials perform systematic and rigorous reviews of the university’s programs and colleges. He noted that as the Regents read the reviews of the departments that were reviewed this year, they will see the reviews are not perfunctory but have specific and real outcomes.

Provost Marlin reviewed the report of the University of Northern Iowa. She said she directed that self studies place more emphasis on what can be accomplished with given resources. Program reviews have improved over prior years. Previously program reviews reflected more of a budget request.

Provost Kozak stated that the program review process at Iowa State University has taken place with a vengeance over the last 2-1/2 years. The reviews are coordinated out of the provost’s office. The Board Office had suggested that outcomes assessment should be folded into the program review process. He said university officials will do so. He discussed the way in which the program review process is being organized in the next year. Collegiate program reviews will take place within a very short time period. The campus community has found that program reviews are very helpful. He said they
are tough reviews; very few words are minced. The process emphasizes what can be accomplished within the framework of existing resources.

Regent Dorr said he was delighted that Iowa State University acquired the Journal of Agricultural History. He was glad that documentation about agricultural history was being made in the state of Iowa.

Provost Kozak stated that the Journal of Agricultural History is the agricultural journal of choice. University officials very clearly wanted the journal housed at Iowa State University especially since Iowa State University has the only doctoral degree in the nation in agricultural history.

Regent Newlin asked for comment on the information provided in the docket memorandum concerning the University of Iowa’s review of its Division of Continuing Education and teacher preparation programs.

Provost Nathan responded that university officials determined the university was not in violation of the Board’s policies and procedures. He said the provost can make adjustments in the review process. The decision was made because the Division of Continuing Education does not offer any programs itself. This was a process review not a substance review of faculty and programs; therefore, outside reviewers were not necessary. University officials made the judgment that the review could be done competently on campus with existing personnel. Provost Nathan stated that the teacher preparation program was a slightly different issue and one which he asked Associate Provost John Folkins to address.

Associate Provost Folkins stated there are 96 very specific criteria for review of the teacher preparation program, such as whether it has met the statewide requirement in math and procedures for record keeping. He said those were different questions than would be asked in the review process. Each of the divisions in the College of Education has academic reviews taking place. The two complement each other -- they ask questions on different levels and do not conflict with each other. The processes are different.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to (1) receive the report and (2) request that the University of Iowa and Iowa State University in their future reports include specific descriptions of how outcomes assessment information has been used in each program review. Regent Pellett seconded the motion.
Regent Hendricks asked for clarification of the statement in the University of Northern Iowa report that its College of Education needed additional funding to fund cooperating teachers the same as was done at the other Regent universities.

Provost Marlin said she believed the cooperating teachers at all three Regent universities were funded at the same level until about two years ago. At that time there was an increase at the other two Regent universities. Because of the large number of cooperating teachers at the University of Northern Iowa, the same increase was not made available to its teachers.

Regent Hendricks said it was her collection that it was $100 for a 16-hour stipend. She said the issue should be reviewed and implemented. Even though they were talking about a small amount of money, it still was important.

Provost Marlin agreed that it is a small amount of money; however, the problem for the University of Northern Iowa is when they have 600 student teachers, it multiplies out to not a small amount of money. University of Northern Iowa officials are aware that it is an issue.

Regent Hendricks asked that University of Northern Iowa officials let the Regents know what they decide to do about the issue. She noted that some universities offer continuing education credit to cooperating teachers rather than the stipend. Why has that not been considered in Iowa?

Provost Marlin said that was something that could be explored. In the past university officials were told it was the Regents’ posture to not offer credit; however, that may no longer be accurate.

Regent Hendricks said she used to administer student teaching involving private and public universities. She was always told it was the Regents’ posture, also; however, after being on the Board of Regents for 6 years she had not heard any discussion of the matter. If it is a Regents’ posture, university officials may want to talk about it with the Regents.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: Motion carried unanimously.

ANNUAL REPORT OF CENTERS AND INSTITUTES. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the annual report on centers and institutes.

The term “centers” used in this report refers to both centers and institutes.

Table 1
Creation Dates for Centers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SUI</th>
<th>ISU</th>
<th>UNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991-1995</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986-1990</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981-1985</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1980</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before 1971</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1
Sources of Centers' Funding
(Multiple Sources Possible)
Centers are reviewed and reports received by the Board five years after initial approval. Some established centers undergo reviews with the academic programs with which they are aligned as part of program review. Others undergo review as part of collegiate reviews.

Table 2
Review Schedule for Centers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review Year</th>
<th>SUI</th>
<th>ISU</th>
<th>UNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992-1993</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993-1994</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-1995</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-1996</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-1997</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1998</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-1999</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999 and beyond</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 legal requirement; 25 no date given</td>
<td>2 no date given; 7 collegiate centers</td>
<td>9 no date given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Centers relate to the mission of the universities by contributing to research, instruction, and/or outreach.
Table 3
Relationship to Mission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>SUI</th>
<th>ISU**</th>
<th>UNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Instruction</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Outreach</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction and Outreach</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research, Instruction, and Outreach</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Does not include the 7 collegiate centers

Provost Nathan stated that looking at the most recent 5-year history there has been a dramatic increase in the number of centers. He explained that the increase in centers coincides with the dramatic decrease in Federal funding, particularly for health care centers. He said University of Iowa officials have instituted a program of vigorous reviews of the centers. Where there is a question of continued funding or relevance of the center, university officials are taking an even closer look.

Provost Kozak stated that the two newest institutes at Iowa State University were approved recently by the Board of Regents. He noted that Stanley Johnson was not the Director of the U.S. International Development Institute, as identified in the docket memorandum, but was rather editor of Agricultural Economics, a major journal.

Regent Newlin asked where the journal was domiciled. Provost Kozak responded that the journal was domiciled at Iowa State University.

Provost Marlin stated that the University of Northern Iowa has 18 centers. The Regents received a presentation at last month’s meeting on the Center for the Enhancement of Teaching. She then presented the Regents with brief videotaped presentations on two of the university’s centers: Regents Center for Early Developmental Education and the Small Business Development Center. It was noted that three new books have been written by the Director of the Early Developmental Education center, Rheta DeVries, offering different solutions to social problems. A small business located in Comanche was spotlighted for the help it was provided by the Small Business Development Center.
to make it more competitive. Since July the company has added seven employees and business has grown by leaps and bounds.

Regent Hendricks asked that the Regents be provided with a short presentation on the Regents Center for Early Developmental Education which would encompass the center’s strategic plan, goals, programs, major focus and number of participants. If it is not possible to do at a S meeting, could the Regents be provided with something in writing that would address those issues? She said she was concerned about the amount of money being spent per pupil, whether the focus is training teachers to teach in early developmental classes, etc.

Provost Marlin replied that the Regents would be provided with a written response.

President Berenstein asked if the two video promotions were used as part of the teaching process. Provost Marlin responded that the two presentations showed the wide range of issues being addressed at the university’s centers. The Early Developmental Center works with teachers. The Small Business Development Center in the College of Business works directly with industry identifying new markets for their products. She noted that students are involved in the work of almost all of the University of Northern Iowa’s centers. It was largely faculty, undergraduates and graduates who work within the Regents Center for Early Childhood Development.

Regent Dorr said he appreciated the report and realized the annual report began as a result of some of his inquiries last year. He was still somewhat concerned that the Regents were not given a concise understanding of the amount of resources directly committed to these projects. He wants to know more about the fee income that is generated through the programs and how it comes back into the programs. He was also concerned about duplication between the centers. For example, the University of Iowa appeared to have 4 centers dealing with issues of rural health and safety. He said there ultimately needs to be some greater level of accountability. He would like to see some time within the next few months an indication that, in fact, it may be that some of the centers can be closed. He was not convinced that all the centers needed to continue to exist. He cautioned that resources may be diminishing dramatically over the next few years.

**ACTION:**

President Berenstein stated the Board received the annual report on centers and institutes, by general consent.
REPORT ON GRADUATION RATES. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report from the Graduation Rate Committee and approve the recommended action.

The Board of Regents established the Graduation Rate Committee in December 1994 for the purpose of developing recommendations on improving the graduation rate of students at the three Regent universities.

The committee was composed of Provosts Kozak, Marlin and Nathan; Beth Krueger, President of the University of Northern Iowa Student Association, Tracy Kasson, Executive Director of the United Students of Iowa; Robert Barak from the Board Office and Regent Collins.

The committee met six times and solicited broad input during its deliberations including representatives from admission offices, student financial aid, business and finance, faculty governance bodies, and student government.

The committee unanimously recommended Regent approval of a program intended to encourage and assist students in graduating in four years by providing a contract between the students and the universities which stipulates that if the student:

1. commits to complete all requirements for graduation within four calendar years from date of enrollment, and

2. successfully completes the number of course hours each semester (including summer school, if desired) that will qualify the student for graduation within a four-year period,

the university will:

1. provide an experienced advisor during registration to map out with the student a four-year course plan so that the student will know what courses must be taken each semester in order to graduate in the 4-year period,

2. provide an experienced advisor, prior to the registration period each subsequent semester, to review the course selections already completed and those necessary for the following semester,

3. assure the availability of courses to keep the student on the 4-year plan he or she and the advisor have worked out,
(4) continue to work closely with the student on grants, financial assistance programs, and employment (The committee members believe this is already being done.),

(5) at the time of graduation recognize the student, in an appropriate manner, for having completed the Four-Year Graduation Program.

Students will have four calendar years to complete (including summer sessions). The program would start with the class beginning Fall 1995.

A Regent committee will continue to monitor the program and each university will establish organizational structures to implement the program. Periodic reports will be provided to the Board.

Regent Collins discussed the history of this committee. He said the committee began its work in January; two months later they are ready with what he believes is an excellent product. The committee determined that it costs approximately $10,000/year for tuition, books, room and board, clothing and other necessities to attend an Iowa Regent university.

Regent Collins summarized the deliberations of the committee. He discussed the importance of stressing to parents at the high school level the need for a concerted effort on a consistent basis from the time a student is a freshman in high school forward to adequately prepare for higher education. He said that although students say they cannot get into courses they need in order to graduate, some students wait until their last semester to take certain courses.

When students come to the universities, if they wish the 4-year course which encompasses 124 credit hours for the traditional undergraduate liberal arts degree, counselors will lay out with the student the sections they need to take each semester. If the students stay on the course identified for graduation in four years, university officials will assure that students get into the courses during the semester they are scheduled to take the courses.

Regent Collins said the other piece of the proposed program is recognition for students who have completed their degree program within the agreed-upon 4-year time frame. The recognition would be given in some way at graduation. He said the public recognition could inspire students’ younger siblings.

Regent Collins discussed an aspect of a proposed recognition for students graduating within the 4-year time frame, in the form of a stipend, which received the most publicity during the committee’s deliberations. He was concerned that the stipend proposal began to be the “tail” that “wagged the dog”. It made a “sizzle” nationally. The stipend
amounted to Iowa’s Regent universities freezing tuition for those students on the 4-year graduation track. He said the stipend amounted to $298. At the last meeting of the committee, Regent Collins asked that the stipend proposal be dropped because too much attention was being given to that aspect of the committee’s deliberations.

Representative Brunkhorst is sponsoring a bill limiting semester hours at the resident student tuition rate for those attending Iowa’s Regent institutions and community colleges. The fifth year student at a Regent university is State subsidized in the amount of $4,600. Regent Collins said he discussed the matter with Representative Brunkhorst who said he was willing to withdraw his bill if the Regents adopted the program outlined by the Committee on Graduation Rates. Regent Collins said the State and taxpayers benefit very much by the 4-year graduation program. Additionally, the student is out in the work force and supporting the system.

Regent Collins stated the proposed 4-year graduation program was unanimously recommended for adoption by the Graduation Rate Committee.

MOTION: Regent Collins moved to receive the report from the Graduation Rate Committee and approve the recommended action. Regent Dorr seconded the motion.

Provost Nathan asked to comment as a member of the Graduation Rate Committee. He said that initially there were a lot of concerns. Regent Collins was an extraordinary statesperson and did an excellent job of bringing everyone together. Provost Nathan emphasized that the 4-year graduation program is going to present challenges for university officials to do what the policy envisions being done. Overall, the program was in the best interest of Iowans and the students. University officials will work hard to see that the program succeeds.

Regent Pellett commended Regent Collins on a job very well done. One of the biggest criticisms she hears as a Regent is that students cannot get the classes when they need and want them.

Regent Collins said he felt the program would work if everyone knows well enough in advance when students will be taking what courses. Students will set out their programs and the universities will respond in kind.

Regent Hendricks acknowledged that the program will be difficult to administer and will present challenges. She hoped that monitoring instruments would be developed to evaluate the effectiveness of the program.
Regent Collins said Regent Hendricks made a good point. The committee members talked about the issue of monitoring the progress of the program and determined they would keep the committee intact except that faculty would be included.

Regent Pellett said the 4-year graduation program will be a big selling point for the Regent institutions.

Regent Johnson-Matthews said she was glad that the proposal was unanimously supported by the committee. The adoption of the proposal challenges them all to make sure the program works and to monitor the progress.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: Motion carried unanimously.

President Berenstein thanked Regent Collins for chairing the Graduation Rate Committee, to which he gave considerable time and effort.

APPROVAL OF CRITERIA FOR PRESIDENTIAL SEARCH, UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN IOWA. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the criteria to be used in evaluating presidential candidates at the University of Northern Iowa.

At the February Board meeting the Board approved several items related to the University of Northern Iowa presidential search including a request for the University of Northern Iowa Presidential Search and Screen Advisory Committee to develop a set of criteria for use in the search.

The University of Northern Iowa Search and Screen Advisory Committee has met three times since being appointed and at its March 10 meeting adopted a set of criteria developed by a sub-committee. The criteria adopted by the Search and Screen Advisory Committee includes a “preface” that provides an overview of the criteria and the use of the criteria including a list of desirable “general attributes” that each candidate should possess in “high measure”; a set of “specific attributes” in which the candidates should have an outstanding ability; and a list of “personal qualifications” which the committee regards as indispensable.

The “criteria” are comprehensive and thoughtfully developed. They are generally consistent with those developed for past presidential searches as modified to meet current needs and concerns at the University of Northern Iowa.

It was recommended that the criteria be approved as proposed by the University of Northern Iowa search committee.
Professor Hovet stated the characteristics developed by the committee best reflected the type of academic leader desired as the next President of the University of Northern Iowa.

MOTION: Regent Johnson-Matthews moved to approve the criteria to be used in evaluating presidential candidates at the University of Northern Iowa. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion.

Regent Furgerson said she was glad to see some of the areas which the committee emphasized, particularly relating to diversity. She wished the best to Professor Hovet and the committee.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: Motion carried unanimously.

REPORT ON 1995 LEGISLATIVE SESSION. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on the 1995 legislative session.

On March 7, 1995, the Senate Appropriations Committee approved SF 266, the education appropriations bill which includes funding of $557.4 million for the Board of Regents for FY 1996.

Excluding tuition replacement, the recommended appropriations in SF 266 were $2,434,000 over the Governor’s recommendations for the Regent programs reflected in the education appropriations bill, including increased funding of $964,000 for the University of Iowa, $960,000 for Iowa State University, and $510,000 for the University of Northern Iowa. The bill includes an appropriation for tuition replacement of $27.4 million, which was $300,000 below the Governor’s recommendation and based on the most recent estimates.

The FY 1996 appropriations in SF 266 for the Regent system, excluding tuition replacement, represented an increase of 1.3 percent which compared to 10.5 percent for the Iowa Tuition Grant Program and 6.3 percent for the community colleges.

The Regents’ appropriations in SF 266 did not include funding for salary increases, which will be in a separate appropriations bill.

The following was the status of the highest priority bills being monitored by the Board of Regents’ staff and the legislative liaisons:

HF 56 - Limiting Semester Hours at the Resident Student Tuition Rate. This bill limits each Iowa resident to a maximum of 160 semester hours, or its equivalent in quarter
hours, at the resident tuition rate. The limitation applies to students of community colleges and Regent institutions. The bill is sponsored by Representative Brunkhorst. Recommended Regent position was MONITOR.

HF 120 - Establishes a Schools Making Advances Through Technology Program. This bill would help school districts meet their present and future computer technology needs by creating a fund and making appropriations. The bill provides allocation of funding based on enrollment. The bill is sponsored by the Committee on Education. Recommended Regent position was MONITOR.

HF 153/SF 131 - Obscenity Exemptions. The bills eliminate the provision in the obscenity chapter that provides for the use of appropriate material for educational purposes in schools, public libraries, or educational programs in which a minor is participating. Attendance of minors at an exhibition of art works if the minor is accompanied by a parent or guardian or has written permission from a parent or guardian is permitted. This bill is sponsored by Representative Brunkhorst/Senators Rensink, Borlaug, and Banks. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

HF 260 - Relating to Competitive Bidding for Public Improvements. The bill provides for alternative procedures for the Board of Regents, among other entities, to award contracts for public improvements that exceed $25,000. The bill permits an award of contract pursuant to a request for competitive proposals in addition to the current sealed bid procedure. The impact of this bill is under review by the Board Office and institutions. This bill is sponsored by Martin, Harrison, Van Fossen. Recommended Regent position was MONITOR.

HSB 14 - Fees Charged for Use of the Iowa Communications Network (ICN). This study bill proposes ICN fees for users. For grades K-12, the fee would be $5 per hour. For all other uses and authorized users, the fee would be based on sufficient pay for the ongoing operational and maintenance costs associated with the use of the network. This bill is sponsored by the Committee on Technology. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

HSB 110/SSB 74 - Certain Personnel and Payroll Records of Employees are Public Record. These study bills make certain information in confidential personnel and payroll records of officials, officers, or employees of a government body not confidential. Included in this information is name and compensation, date of employment, positions held with the government body, basic qualifications, educational background, work experience, sick leave taken, disciplinary actions, and other information as to which the public’s interest in access outweighs the individual’s interest in confidentiality. This bill is sponsored by Committee on State Government/
Committee on Communications and Information Policy. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

HSB 180 - Transmission of a Course via the ICN. The bill prohibits the transmission of a course over the ICN to an area served by another institution which provides a substantially similar course to the area. Under the bill, requirements are set for the establishment of tuition for courses delivered via the ICN. This bill is sponsored by Committee on Education. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

SF 3 - Regents Nominating Council. This bill creates a six-member Regents Nominating Council appointed by the alumni associations of the universities. The Council would nominate three candidates for each vacancy on the Board of Regents. Eight of the nine Board members (excludes student member) shall be appointed by the Governor from the list of nominees submitted by the Council. This bill is sponsored by Senator Kibbie. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

SF 10 - Senate Confirmation of Executive Director of Board of Regents. The executive director would be subject to senate confirmation and reconfirmation every four years with an effective date of July 1, 1996. This bill is sponsored by Senator Kibbie. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

SF 17 - Establishing the State Percent of Growth for the School Budget Year. The Governor’s budget recommendations has 3 percent budgeted. This bill establishes a state percent of growth equal to 4.5 percent, which would require an additional $25.5 million under the school aid formula. This bill is sponsored by Committee on Education. Recommended Regent position was MONITOR.

SF 135 - Travel Expense as a Separate Budget Line Item. The bill requires the Board of Regents to direct the institutions of higher learning under the control of the Board to list travel expenses as a separate line item in each institution’s budget. This bill is sponsored by Senator McKean. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

SF 154 - Release of Patient-Identifiable Data. The bill provides for the accessing of data collected by the community health management information system or by the health data commission by researchers in patient-identifiable form. Certain conditions must be met before data is released. The impact of this bill is under review by the Board Office, the University of Iowa and University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. This bill is sponsored by Senator Neuhauser. Recommended Regent position was MONITOR.

SF 192 - Appointing Members to the Board of Regents. The bill modifies the method of appointing members to the Board of Regents and creates a Regent Candidate Advisory
Commission. The 24-member Commission would review the qualifications for membership on the Board of Regents. The Commission members are chosen by the Majority Leader of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives. The bill requires the Commission to submit at least three but not more than five recommendations to the Governor for each appointment to the Board by January 1 or as necessary to fill a vacancy. The bill requires that the Governor select from the Commission’s recommendations when making an appointment to the Board. This bill is sponsored by Senator Hammond. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

SF 250 - Student Board Member. The bill reduces the term of the student member of the Board from six years to two years. Under the bill, the governor may appoint this member from lists of students submitted by the recognized student government organization at each of the universities. If the student member graduates before expiration of the two-year term, the student member may remain on the Board if the student’s graduation is within one year of the date of expiration of the student’s term on the Board. The bill provides that the current student member may finish the current term. This bill is sponsored by Senators Hammond, Dvorsky, and Redfern. Recommended Regent position was OPPOSE.

SF 266 - Education Appropriations Bill. This bill relates to the funding of, operation of, and appropriation of moneys to institutions, commissions, departments, and boards responsible for education and cultural programs of this state. This includes funding of $557.4 million for the Board of Regents for FY 1996. This bill is sponsored by Committee on Appropriations. Recommended Regent position was MONITOR.

Mr. Richey reported that the education bill included a $2.1 million appropriation increase over the Governor’s recommendations. The overall increase in appropriations was 1-1/2 percent including tuition replacement but not including salary policy. The addition of salary funding could translate into an increase of 4-1/2 percent.

Mr. Richey discussed several of the bills which had been introduced. He said that if the bill regarding obscenity objections was amended to confine it to K-12, the Board Office would change its position recommendation to “monitor” rather than “oppose”. With regard to the bill concerning Board of Regents' student members, he said that the student member has been granted a 6-year appointment for more than 20 years. The bill proposed regarding student members on the Board of Regents would lessen the effectiveness of the present system by not providing the Board with a full-fledged and continuing member, equal in status to the rest of the Regents.
ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board received the report on the 1995 legislative session, by general consent.

ANNUAL REPORT AND TEN-YEAR PLAN OF THE RESIDENCE SYSTEMS. (a) University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive the annual residence system report for FY 1994; (2) approve the residence system ten-year plan for FY 1996 through FY 2005; (3) give preliminary approval to rescind the parietal rule; and (4) submit Notice of Intended Action to rescind and reserve rule 2.2(262) in Iowa Administrative Code section 681 to the Administrative Rules Coordinator.

ANNUAL RESIDENCE SYSTEM REPORT

Financial Information - Summary - FY 1994 Financial Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Gross Revenue</th>
<th>Expenditures for Operations</th>
<th>Debt Service and Mandatory Transfers</th>
<th>Net Revenue*</th>
<th>Net Revenue as Percent of Gross Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUI</td>
<td>$22,960,128</td>
<td>$18,211,622</td>
<td>$2,579,748</td>
<td>$2,168,758</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*after Expenditures for Operations, Debt Service and Mandatory Transfers

Voluntary Reserve Balances as of June 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Reserve Balance</th>
<th>% of Gross Revenues</th>
<th>Reserve Balance</th>
<th>% of Gross Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUI</td>
<td>$9,981,222</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td>$9,993,087</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Occupancy Data - Residence System Occupancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence Halls</th>
<th>Apartments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Beds Occupied</td>
<td>Percent of Design Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univ. Fall 1993</td>
<td>Fall 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUI 5,130</td>
<td>5,528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residence System Headcount as Percent of Fall Headcount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Fall 1990</th>
<th>Fall 1991</th>
<th>Fall 1992</th>
<th>Fall 1993</th>
<th>Fall 1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUI</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of Students Residing in Residence Halls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUI</th>
<th>Fall 1990</th>
<th>Fall 1991</th>
<th>Fall 1992</th>
<th>Fall 1993</th>
<th>Fall 1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Division</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>55.8%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Undergraduate</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plant Improvement

| Plant Improvement, Repair and Maintenance Expenditures (excludes equipment replacement) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Gross Square Feet | Building Replacement Value ($ Thousands) | FY 1994 Expenditures ($ Thousands) | Expenditures per GSF | Expenditures as % of Replacement Value |
| SUI 2,339,000 | $323,795 | $3,814 | $1.63 | 1.2% |

TEN YEAR PLAN

Based on current enrollment projections, demand for residence hall space will remain strong throughout the ten-year period. Excess demand, the amount by which demand
for housing exceeds the operating capacity, was expected to increase to over 800 students by 2004; the excess demand was expected to exceed 500 students by 1998.

The operating plan for the short-term will be a combination of limiting access to housing coupled with a moderate use of retripled doubles.

The Department of Residence Services formed a committee to develop plans for a potential new residence hall to be built within the next five to ten years; potential costs were being developed and would be analyzed. Further consideration of the need for an additional facility would be addressed with the university central administration and subsequently, if approved, with the Board of Regents.

Expenditures for capital renewals, because of the age of the facilities, will total $42.6 million during the decade. While each of the individual projects included in the renovation and replacement schedule was identified, the dollar costs of each were only preliminary estimates and were subject to engineering refinement as time grows near for each project to be started.

To maintain reserves necessary to fund capital renewals at the planned level will require future rate increase in addition to any rate increases necessary to cover inflation; these rate increases will not be needed until fall 1996. Estimated rate increases of 1 percent each year FY 1997 through FY 2003 will provide $9.8 million of the funding for the capital renewal plan during the decade, the balance of which will come from operations.

All fire safety deficiencies cited by the State Fire Marshal’s office have been corrected or are programmed in the capital plan.

PARIETAL RULE

The parietal rule required freshman and sophomore students to live in university-owned residence halls. The University of Northern Iowa also had a parietal rule; Iowa State University did not have the rule.

As enrollments grew and residence hall space filled, the parietal rule was suspended to allow more students to seek off-campus housing. The rule has been suspended partially or wholly since 1977. During the years of suspension, residence hall occupancy has continued to be maintained at high levels; excess demand is expected for the next ten years.

Reserve funds for retiring residence hall bonds have continued to be maintained at satisfactory levels with the rule in suspension.
Director Droll reviewed the report and recommendations with the Regents.

Regent Dorr cautioned that university officials not jeopardize their ability to maintain proper cash flow for the facilities. Director Droll responded that was not a concern; the recommendation was based on enrollment projections.

Regent Dorr asked if there was a solid demand for university housing and whether or not university officials were anticipating shutting down any facilities. Director Droll responded that there was a solid demand and that university officials were not anticipating shutting down any facility.

Mr. Richey noted that it was for the University of Iowa’s residence system that the parietal rule was first imposed. They have been quite successful in the management of that system over the past 15 to 20 years.

MOTION: Regent Hendricks moved to (1) receive the annual residence system report for FY 1994; (2) approve the residence system ten-year plan for FY 1996 through FY 2005; (3) give preliminary approval to rescind the parietal rule; and (4) submit Notice of Intended Action to rescind and reserve rule 2.2(262) in Iowa Administrative Code section 681 to the Administrative Rules Coordinator. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(b) Iowa State University. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive the annual residence system report for FY 1994 and (2) approve the residence system ten-year plan for FY 1996 through FY 2005.
ANNUAL RESIDENCE SYSTEM REPORT

Summary - FY 1994 Financial Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Gross Revenue</th>
<th>Expenditures for Operations</th>
<th>Debt Service and Mandatory Transfers</th>
<th>Net Revenue*</th>
<th>Net Revenue as Percent of Gross Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>$31,147,833</td>
<td>$26,833,962</td>
<td>$1,966,280</td>
<td>$2,347,591</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*after Expenditures for Operations, Debt Service and Mandatory Transfers

Voluntary Reserve Balances as of June 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>FY 1993 Reserve Balance</th>
<th>% of Gross Revenues</th>
<th>FY 1994 Reserve Balance</th>
<th>% of Gross Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>$5,329,562</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>$4,841,790</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Occupancy Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence Halls</th>
<th>Apartments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Beds Occupied</td>
<td>Percent of Design Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 1993</td>
<td>Fall 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>7,781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residence System Headcount as Percent of Fall Headcount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Fall 1990</th>
<th>Fall 1991</th>
<th>Fall 1992</th>
<th>Fall 1993</th>
<th>Fall 1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TEN YEAR PLAN

The university’s objective is to maximize the use of current university housing facilities.

The university projects increases in enrollment for each of the years in the Ten-Year Plan except for next year, FY 1996. However, the projections for lower division undergraduates show three years of decline and seven years of increases.

Based on these enrollment projections, residence hall occupancy was estimated to be very stable with modest increases from 7,749 to 8,200 occupants during the ten-year period.

The proposed construction of 150 new apartments was removed from the ten-year plan. However, the university will continue to monitor the demand for apartment housing and will propose construction of additional apartments if the need arises.

Residence system officials believe there is a continuing need to construct a community center to replace Pammel Court childcare facilities, meeting rooms, a study/computer room, recreation room, laundry and to add space for other related community programs. A study planning committee of student residents and staff will be appointed to continue the planning of such a facility.

The following is a summary of planned university housing space changes during the 1995-2005 period:

- 139 Pammel Court apartments will be removed
121 small triple rooms will be reduced to double occupancy

Double occupancy rooms offered as single occupancy rooms will fluctuate each year based on demand and available space.

Consideration is being given to establishing an Honors House in collaboration with the university Honors program.

Improvements from voluntary reserves were estimated at $2.2-$2.7 million each year for the next ten years. Year-end voluntary reserves are projected to remain at approximately $4 million from FY 1997 to FY 2005.

The residence system has redirected funds to complete fire safety improvements and has compressed the schedule for undertaking fire safety improvements outlined in previous ten-year plans. Fire safety improvements totaling $100,000 are planned for 1995 in Freeman, Barton and Lyon Halls.

Director Frederiksen reviewed the report and recommendations with the Regents.

MOTION: Regent Hendricks moved to (1) receive the annual residence system report for FY 1994 and (2) approve the residence system ten-year plan for FY 1996 through FY 2005. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(c) University of Northern Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive the annual residence system report for FY 1994, (2) approve the residence system ten-year plan for FY 1996 through FY 2005, (3) give preliminary approval to rescind the parietal rule, and (4) submit Notice of Intended Action to rescind and reserve rule 2.36(262) in Iowa Administrative Code section 681 to the Administrative Rules Coordinator.
ANNUAL RESIDENCE SYSTEM REPORT

Summary - FY 1994 Financial Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Gross Revenue</th>
<th>Expenditures for Operations</th>
<th>Debt and Mandatory Transfers</th>
<th>Net Revenue*</th>
<th>Net Revenue as Percent of Gross Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>$14,671,405</td>
<td>$11,925,940</td>
<td>$1,802,785</td>
<td>$942,680</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*after Expenditures for Operations, Debt Service and Mandatory Transfers

Voluntary Reserve Balances as of June 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Reserve Balance</th>
<th>% of Gross Revenues</th>
<th>Reserve Balance</th>
<th>% of Gross Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>$2,490,033</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>$2,467,662</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residence System Occupancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residence Halls</th>
<th>Apartments</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Students Housed</td>
<td>Percent of Design Capacity</td>
<td>Number of Units Occupied</td>
<td>Percent of Design Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univ. Fall 1993</td>
<td>Fall 1993 4,437 97.2%</td>
<td>Fall 1994 4,539 92.0%</td>
<td>Fall 1993 365 100.0%</td>
<td>Fall 1994 360 98.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the beginning of the 1994 spring semester, ROTH (Residence on the Hill) opened to 103 sophomores, juniors and seniors; approximately fifty percent of the building was available. The design capacity for ROTH is 381; during the 1994 fall semester, 274 students resided in the hall.
Residence System Headcount as Percent of Fall Headcount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Fall 1990</th>
<th>Fall 1991</th>
<th>Fall 1992</th>
<th>Fall 1993</th>
<th>Fall 1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of Students Residing in Residence Halls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Fall 1990</th>
<th>Fall 1991</th>
<th>Fall 1992</th>
<th>Fall 1993</th>
<th>Fall 1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Division</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Undergraduate</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plant Improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Gross Square Feet</th>
<th>Building Replacement Value ($ Thousands)</th>
<th>FY 1994 Expenditures ($ Thousands)</th>
<th>Expenditures per GSF</th>
<th>Expenditures as % of Replacement Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>1,357,000</td>
<td>$175,984</td>
<td>$1,434</td>
<td>$1.06</td>
<td>.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEN YEAR PLAN

Enrollment projections for the ten-year period show an enrollment high of 13,613 in the fall of 2004. Lower division enrollment was estimated to increase from 4,747 in fall 1994 to 5,276 in fall 2004.

Residence hall occupancy was expected to rise gradually reaching the new design capacity of 4,931 in fall 2002.

Room, board and apartment costs will continue to be reviewed annually in order to propose rates that will meet operating costs, facility improvements and reserve balances as required.

University officials project year-end voluntary reserve balances of $2.5 million through FY 1999, increasing to $4.6 million in FY 2005.
PARIETAL RULE

The parietal rule required freshman and sophomore students to live in university-owned residence halls. The University of Iowa also had a parietal rule; Iowa State University did not have the rule.

As enrollments grew and residence hall space filled, the parietal rule was suspended to allow more students to seek off-campus housing. The rule has been suspended partially or wholly since 1979. During the years of suspension, the university has enlarged its residence hall system and maintained high occupancy levels.

Reserve funds for retiring residence hall bonds have continued to be maintained at satisfactory levels with the rule in suspension.

Director Hartman stated that University of Northern Iowa officials had no plans to close any buildings. The occupancy projection was very positive. There is great student satisfaction with the new facility.

MOTION: Regent Hendricks moved to (1) receive the annual residence system report for FY 1994, (2) approve the residence system ten-year plan for FY 1996 through FY 2005, (3) give preliminary approval to rescind the parietal rule, and (4) submit Notice of Intended Action to rescind and reserve rule 2.36(262) in Iowa Administrative Code section 681 to the Administrative Rules Coordinator. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion.

The Regents discussed the history of the parietal rule and the advisability of removing the rule.

President Curris stated the parietal rule for the University of Northern Iowa had been wholly suspended since 1977 when he came to the university.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: Motion carried unanimously.

PROPOSED RESIDENCE SYSTEM RATES. (a) University of Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) Give preliminary consideration to the following proposed rate for residence halls and dining contracts for the 1995-96 academic year:
Double Occupancy Rooms with 20 Meals per Week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994-95 Rate</th>
<th>Proposed 1995-96 Rate</th>
<th>$ Increase</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUI</td>
<td>$3,423</td>
<td>$3,550</td>
<td>$127</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Give preliminary consideration to apartment rate increases averaging 4.4 percent.

(3) Receive the FY 1996 preliminary residence system budget subject to further review and action when the Board approves the final FY 1996 institutional budget.

University officials proposed an increase in residence hall rates averaging 4.1 percent; proposed increases in board rates average 3.2 percent. The increase for a student living in a double room with a board contract of 20 meals per week would be 3.7 percent ($127); this rate increase compares to an increase of 4.8 percent ($157) for this past year. New rates would take effect with the 1995 fall semester.

Proposed rate increases for apartments average 4.4 percent. New apartment rates would take effect June 1, 1995.

Information on the proposed rates was shared with the Associated Residence Halls (ARH) Financial Board and its House of Representatives and apartment tenants.

FY 1995 net revenue after debt service and mandatory transfers was estimated at $3.14 million (12.4 percent of gross revenues). This amount was $473,000 more than the Board-approved budget.

Although FY 1995 revenues were expected to be slightly higher than the Board-approved budget, expenditures for operations were expected to be $476,000 lower than the approved budget. Lower food and utility costs account for most of this reduction.

Voluntary reserve fund balances as of June 30, 1995, were expected to be $3.9 million higher than estimated last year. This increase was due primarily to FY 1994 improvement fund expenditures being less than estimated in February 1994 thereby increasing the June 30, 1994, reserve balance, and a reduction in FY 1995 improvement fund expenditures. Some of the FY 1995 planned improvement fund expenditures were shifted to FY 1996 and beyond.

The FY 1996 preliminary budget was based on a fall residence hall occupancy of 5,510 and 747 housing units occupied.
In preparing its FY 1996 preliminary budget, university officials made certain assumptions, as follows, regarding cost increases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries, Wages and Benefits</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0-4.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY 1996 net revenue after debt service and mandatory transfers was estimated to be $2.95 million (11.2 percent of revenues) with the above occupancy assumptions and approval of the proposed rates. This amount compares to the revised estimate for net revenue of $3.14 million for FY 1995.

Improvements from voluntary reserves were expected to total $4.3 million in FY 1996, $770,000 more than the estimated cost of FY 1995 improvements. With the increase, the 1995-96 rate for a double occupancy room with 20 meals per week will be lower than 1994-95 comparable rates at all other Big Ten institutions.

Regent Tyrrell questioned the difference in the voluntary reserve balance percentages. Associate Director Racki responded that it had to do with the guidelines the institutions set for themselves as to the amount of needed reserves; it is a cash flow issue.

Director Droll stated that the reasons for the differences in reserve balances are as different as the three universities. For example, the University of Iowa maintains reserve balances to cover capitalization of the projects for the next construction season plus $2.2 million for operating during the summer and $1.9 million to $2.2 million for general operating expenses. He said there were a number of capital projects last year that came in way over budget; university officials decided not to undertake the projects, which resulted in some of the balances becoming even further enlarged.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to (1) give preliminary consideration to the proposed rate for residence halls and dining contracts for the 1995-96 academic year, as presented; (2) give preliminary consideration to apartment rate increases averaging 4.4 percent; and (3) receive the FY 1996 preliminary residence system budget subject to further review and action when the Board approves the final FY 1996 institutional budget. Regent Dorr seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
(b) Iowa State University. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) Give preliminary consideration to the following proposed rate for residence halls and dining contracts for the 1995-96 academic year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>1994-95 Rate</th>
<th>Proposed 1995-96 Rate</th>
<th>$ Increase</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>$3,224</td>
<td>$3,382</td>
<td>$158</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Give preliminary consideration to apartment rate increases averaging 6.3 percent.

(3) Receive the FY 1996 preliminary residence system budget subject to further review and action when the Board approves the final FY 1996 institutional budget.

University officials proposed an increase in undergraduate residence hall double room rates of 5.6 percent and a board increase of 4.2 percent for all meal plans. The increase for an undergraduate student living in a double with a board contract of 20 meals per week would be 4.9 percent ($158); this compares to an increase of 3.9 percent ($120) this past year. Residence hall rate increases would be effective May 14, 1995.

Occupancy in residence halls is projected to decrease by 100 students from FY 1995 levels. Projected FY 1996 revenue also included a projected decrease of 100 meal plans and a planned decrease of 26 apartments in Pammel Court. The estimated decreases were based on a projected university total enrollment decline of 135 for fall 1995.

The proposed average increase in rental rates for student apartments was 6.3 percent, with the range being 6.0 percent to 6.9 percent. Apartment rate increases would be effective July 1, 1995.

Information on the proposed rate increases was shared with the Inter-Residence Hall Association and the University Student Apartment Community Council.

The revised FY 1995 estimate of net revenue after debt service and mandatory transfers was approximately $528,000 less than the Board-approved budget. This decline reflected a reduction in gross revenues of $952,000 because of unprojected declines in residence hall occupancy of 322 students during the fall semester and 364
students during the spring semester. FY 1995 estimated expenditures for operations were $424,000 less than budgeted.

In preparing its preliminary FY 1996 budget, university officials made certain assumptions regarding cost increases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries, Wages and Benefits</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>5.0% (inflation plus additional repairs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.5-4.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed schedule of rate increases for FY 1996 and the above occupancy assumptions will generate an estimated increase of $1.5 million (5.1 percent) in gross operating revenue over the FY 1995 estimate.

FY 1996 expenditures for operations were estimated to increase by $1.2 million (4.5 percent) over FY 1995 estimated expenditures.

FY 1996 net revenue after debt service and mandatory transfers was expected to be $2.2 million (6.8 percent of gross revenue). This amount was higher than the revised estimated for FY 1995 ($1.9 million) but lower than the FY 1994 actual ($2.3 million).

The voluntary reserve balance was expected to decline by more than $900,000 between FY 1994 and FY 1996, a decline of 18.9 percent, with a balance of slightly less than $4 million projected for June 30, 1996.

With the above rate increases, the university’s 1995-96 rate will be lower than the 1994-95 Big Eight average ($3,406).

Director Fredericksen stated that the objective has been to be at about 15 percent of revenue in reserve, or about $4 million. Due to the floods of 1993, the system experienced an occupancy drop of 300.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to (1) give preliminary consideration to the proposed rate for residence halls and dining contracts for the 1995-96 academic year, as presented; (2) give preliminary consideration to apartment rate increases averaging 6.3 percent; and (3) receive the FY 1996 preliminary residence system budget subject to further review and action when the Board
approves the final FY 1996 institutional budget. Regent Dorr seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(c) University of Northern Iowa. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) Give preliminary consideration to the following proposed rate for residence halls and dining contracts for the 1995-96 academic year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>1994-95 Rate</th>
<th>Proposed 1995-96 Rate</th>
<th>$ Increase</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>$2,971*</td>
<td>$3,112*</td>
<td>$141</td>
<td>4.75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes $41 cable fee which was implemented for 1994-95; the fee has been incorporated into the rates.

(2) Give preliminary consideration to apartment rate increases averaging 4.74 percent.

(3) Receive the FY 1996 preliminary residence system budget subject to further review and action when the Board approves the final FY 1996 institutional budget.

University officials proposed an increase of 4.75 percent ($141) in residence hall rates for double occupancy units. These room rates include full board. Residence hall rate increases would take effect with the 1995 fall semester.

Proposed rate increases for apartments average 4.74 percent; the increases range from 4.62 percent to 4.87 percent. Proposed increases in apartment rents would take effect July 1, 1995.

The proposed rate increases were shared with the Residence Hall Presidents Council and the Northern Iowa Student Government.

While the university projected that residence hall occupancy would increase by 150 students from fall 1993 to fall 1994, occupancy increased by 102 students. However, gross revenue was estimated to be slightly higher than the FY 1995 approved budget, while expenditures for operations were expected to be slightly lower. Net revenue after debt service and mandatory transfers was thus estimated to be $78,000 above the approved budget.
The increase in gross revenue was due to an increase in conference income and more students choosing single rather than double accommodations. The additional cost to a student of a double room rented as a single is $550 for the academic year.

Estimated FY 1995 expenditures showed a decrease in salaries and wages of $322,000 and an increase in repairs and maintenance of $176,000 from the approved budget.

The FY 1996 preliminary budget was based on a fall residence hall occupancy of 4,587 (an increase of 48 students), 100 percent occupancy of university apartments and the proposed rate increases.

In preparing its preliminary FY 1996 budget, university officials made certain assumptions regarding cost increases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries, Wages and Benefits</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs and Maintenance</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies and Services</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FY 1996 budget projects net revenue after debt service and mandatory transfers of $414,000 (2.6 percent of revenues); after the university overhead payment is deducted from this amount, the operating margin is $68,000. These amounts were less than the FY 1995 estimated net revenue of $440,000 (2.9 percent of revenues) and operating margin of $112,000.

Last year the university requested a rate increase of 5.5 percent ($153) plus $41 for the cable television fee; the Board Office initially recommended a rate increase of 7.7 percent ($214) plus approval of the cable television fee. The Board Office was concerned that the FY 1995 budgeted operating margin of $34,000 was too low and that insufficient funds were budgeted for repairs and maintenance. FY 1995 expenditures for repairs and maintenance were estimated to be $176,000 higher than when the budget was approved.

Although Board Office staff were concerned that the FY 1996 budgeted operating margin may not be sufficient, they did not recommend a higher rate increase than requested by the university.

The proposed FY 1996 budget included an additional $50,000 for repairs and maintenance above the FY 1995 estimate in addition to the amount generated by the 4.3 percent inflationary cost increase.
The voluntary reserve balance was projected to be $2.1 million on June 30, 1996, a decline from the $2.5 million on June 30, 1994, but an increase from the Board approved FY 1995 budget of $2.0 million. Improvements from voluntary reserves were estimated to be $862,000 in FY 1996, an increase of $474,000 from the FY 1995 estimate.

The university-proposed 1995-96 residence hall rates would be lower than the 1994-95 academic year rates at all other Missouri Valley institutions except SW Missouri State.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to (1) give preliminary consideration to the proposed rate for residence halls and dining contracts for the 1995-96 academic year, as presented; (2) give preliminary consideration to apartment rate increases averaging 4.74 percent; and (3) receive the FY 1996 preliminary residence system budget subject to further review and action when the Board approves the final FY 1996 institutional budget. Regent Dorr seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

REPORT OF THE BANKING COMMITTEE. The Board Office recommended the Board accept the report of the Banking Committee.

Regent Hendricks stated that the Banking Committee members received presentations from each of the Regents’ endowment fund managers. They also received a report from Cambridge Associates regarding the overall management of the endowment funds. She said the presentations took up the entire 2-1/2 hour meeting.

Regent Furgerson stated that she asked Ms. Briggle, who has begun recording the Banking Committee meetings for the sake of the Minutes, to provide her with a copy of the portion containing Mr. Scott’s comments. He provided an excellent presentation with a global viewpoint.

Regent Hendricks agreed that Mr. Scott’s comments were very interesting, particularly in terms of the European economy and where and how fast it is growing. She said that any Regents interested in a copy of Mr. Scott’s remarks should make a request to Ms. Briggle.
ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board received the report of the Banking Committee, by general consent.

NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION TO AMEND ADMINISTRATIVE RULES RELATING TO PURCHASING AND OTHER MATTERS. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) give preliminary approval to the revised version of the proposed changes in Section 681 of the Iowa Administrative Code and (2) submit Notice of Intended action to the Administrative Rules Coordinator.

The following proposed changes to the Iowa Administrative Code incorporate revisions previously approved by the Board in the Procedural Guide.

- Increase the dollar level at which public hearings are required for construction, repairs, or improvements of buildings or grounds from $10,000 to $25,000. (Procedural Guide, page IX - 12, Section 9.10, paragraph A.2)

- Increase the dollar level at which certain requirements are made for equipment funded by academic revenue bond proceeds from $10,000 to $25,000. (Procedural Guide, page VIII - 8, Section 8.04, paragraph K)

When bid specifications include a brand name to indicate quality, allow institutions to choose between an “or equal” clause, which is required by the Administrative Rules, or an “or acceptable alternate” clause, which is current practice.

Change "executive secretary" wherever it occurs in Section 681 of the Iowa Administrative Code to "executive director."

Change the address of the Board of Regents wherever it is listed in Section 681 of the Iowa Administrative Code from “Lucas State Office Building” to “Old Historical Building”.

To comply with Code of Iowa 68B.3, change the definition of a Regent employee prohibited from selling goods or services from "full-time employee” to "employee."

Change the dollar level at which Board authorization is required for purchases from Regent employees from $500 per transaction to $1,000 per transaction to be closer to the $2,000 level at which bids are required.

Change the amount at which Board authorization is required for aggregate contracts purchased from a Regent employee in a single fiscal year from $1,000 to $2,000.
GENERAL
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Change the dollar level at which purchases from Regent employees must be included in the annual purchasing report from $1,000 in aggregate for the preceding fiscal year to the amount "approved per Subrule 8.9(2)“, which is currently recommended at $2,000, and specify that the summary is of "the preceding fiscal year's" purchases.

Change the definition of an employee by replacing section 8.9(8) with "For purposes of these rules, the definition of employee shall be as follows: Employee shall mean a paid employee of the State of Iowa, their spouse or minor children, and any firm of which any of those persons is partner or sole proprietor, as well as, any corporation of which any of those persons holds five percent or more stock either directly or indirectly."

Director Houseworth stated that the Board Office conducted an extensive review with the institutional purchasing directors.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to (1) give preliminary approval to the revised version of the proposed changes in Section 681 of the Iowa Administrative Code and (2) submit Notice of Intended action to the Administrative Rules Coordinator. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion.

Regent Dorr expressed opposition to adoption of the following proposed changes:

Change the dollar level at which Board authorization is required for purchases from Regent employees from $500 per transaction to $1,000 per transaction to be closer to the $2,000 level at which bids are required.

Change the amount at which Board authorization is required for aggregate contracts purchased from a Regent employee in a single fiscal year from $1,000 to $2,000.

Change the dollar level at which purchases from Regent employees must be included in the annual purchasing report from $1,000 in aggregate for the preceding fiscal year to the amount "approved per Subrule 8.9(2)“, which is currently recommended at $2,000, and specify that the summary is of "the preceding fiscal year's" purchases.

Regent Dorr said those three items should be left as they are currently. He said he understood why it may be nice to have those levels elevated, but it was an issue of public trust.

Regent Hendricks said she agreed with Regent Dorr.
Mr. Richey stated that when institutional officials presented the requests to the Board Office, they were highly desirous of having the levels double what was recommended by the Board Office. He said there have been significant changes in State law regarding bidding, and some price inflation has also occurred. He thought a more modest adjustment of the nature recommended was advisable while still providing for due diligence of the Board.

Regent Newlin asked how many years the current levels had been in place. Director Houseworth said the current levels had been in effect for at least a decade.

Regent Hendricks said it was her recollection that there was a change in the law during her time on the Board concerning employees of institutions doing business with their employing institution. She felt that any time an institution purchases from its own employees, due diligence requires it to be reported.

Mr. Richey suggested that perhaps a satisfactory compromise could be reached where the Board did not have to approve individual purchases as they arise but rather that information could be included in the annual purchasing report.

President Berenstein noted that the recommendation was for “approval”; it did not change the reporting requirement.

Regent Dorr said he was fundamentally opposed to the proposal. He felt it was a matter of public trust and that it was appropriate to leave it at the current levels.

MOTION TO AMEND THE MOTION: Regent Dorr moved to amend the motion to remove the three items, identified above, for which he was concerned. Regent Hendricks seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Collins, Dorr, Hendricks, Pellett.
NAY: Berenstein, Furgerson, Johnson-Matthews, Newlin, Tyrrell.
ABSENT: None.

MOTION WAS DEFEATED.

VOTE ON THE ORIGINAL MOTION: Upon the roll being called, the following voted:

AYE: Berenstein, Furgerson, Johnson-Matthews, Newlin, Tyrrell.
NAY: Collins, Dorr, Hendricks, Pellett.
ABSENT: None.

MOTION CARRIED.

EDUCATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS COUNCIL.  (a) Revision of Appointments.  The Board Office recommended the Board approve the appointments to the Educational Telecommunications Council.

In February the Board approved Regent representation on the Educational Telecommunications Council that included Emmett Vaughan and Robert Barak as regular members and Richard Varn and Marcia Bankirer as alternates. The Board Office has since received notice from the leadership of the ETC that the appointments must be “gender balanced.”

It was recommended that Marcia Bankirer and Robert Barak serve as the regular members and Emmett Vaughan and Richard Varn serve as alternates to achieve gender balance.

MOTION: Regent Johnson-Matthews moved to approve the appointments to the Educational Telecommunications Council. Regent Furgerson seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(b) Report. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report on the Educational Telecommunications Council (ETC).

On January 31 the Educational Telecommunications Council met at Iowa Public Television studios.

The ETC received a report on legislative activities related to Part III of the ICN. One bill discussed would exempt the AEAs and the libraries from the video portion of the ICN. The ETC members discussed the difference between some of the proposed solutions to developing Part III including the possible substitution of what is regarded as less desirable technology (i.e. T-1s for DS3 the original video technology). The ETC adopted a motion in support of the original technology (DS3). Another motion adopted requested that an “op. ed.” piece be developed that would be sent to the media explaining in lay language the reasons why the ETC thinks the DS3 is the desired technology.

The ETC heard a presentation from ICN engineers regarding the T-1 issue that basically confirms the ETC position that the DS3 is the preferred technology. Using the T-1
technology would mean for example that courses requiring a high quality picture and/or audio transmission would no longer be able to use the ICN at the Part III sites.

The ETC reviewed a concept paper suggesting various minor changes to the Administrative Rules for ICN educational video sites. No action was taken.

ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board received the report on the Educational Telecommunications Council (ETC), by general consent.

BOARD OFFICE PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office reported no transactions on its Register of Personnel Transactions this month.

REVISIONS OF MERIT SYSTEM CLASSIFICATIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the revisions to the Regents Merit System Classification Plan as outlined below:

New Classes

Parking and Transportation Field Service Officer, pay grade 307 ($18,366-$24,272)
Parking Facilities Mechanic, pay grade 205 ($16,869-$21,341)
Parking and Transportation Attendant, pay grade 505 ($15,974-$21,923)
Parking and Transportation Supervisor, pay grade 111 ($22,214-$30,264)
Classes to be deleted

Parking Cashier Attendant, pay grade 503 ($13,998-$19,094)
Parking Enforcement Officer, pay grade 303 ($14,497-$18,740)
Parking Cashier Supervisor, pay grade 108 ($18,990-$26,436)

Substantive changes have occurred in the parking and transportation area at the Regent universities, especially at the University of Iowa which has a separate parking and transportation department.

Regent Hendricks asked if the Parking and Transportation Attendants were the individuals who take the money from those leaving parking facilities. Director Williams responded affirmatively.

Regent Hendricks asked why the recommendations were before the Board. Director Williams responded that the proposal was before the Board because of a need to update the duties and responsibilities of the classification to be more reflective of what the individuals are actually doing.

Regent Hendricks asked if the private sector was consulted about compensation for parking attendants. Director Williams responded affirmatively. A review took place to determine whether the Regents system was competitive with the private sector.

Mr. Richey reminded the Regents of the point count system for the merit operation in this state. Salaries have to relate to each other. Salaries have to be structured in such a way that there is no discrimination on a gender basis. If the Regent system structured its pay plans to what is done in the private sector in every respect, there would be people paid out of line with other employees doing essentially the same business.

President Berenstein asked why they would not privatize. Mr. Richey responded that if the Regents wanted to go to that extreme, they could do so. In areas where Regent services have been privatized there have been problems with the level of services. The State has experienced the same decline in the quality of service in areas where it has privatized.

Regent Collins said he was not sure whether this was an area for which the Regents may want to consider privatization. When they have to pay an extraordinary salary because of the way certain positions fit into the Regent system, then he felt that was when they should at least discuss whether or not they should review a position for privatization. He felt they needed to explore their options.
President Berenstein stated that he has been concerned during his tenure as a Regent that the Regents do not always fully understand matters for which they are asked to give their blessings. He asked whether the Regents should send this request back and ask that it be reviewed and presented in a way that might answer some of their questions.

MOTION: Regent Dorr moved to table this item. Regent Collins seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

STATUS REPORT ON BOARD OFFICE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995. The Board Office recommended the Board receive the report.

No unanticipated changes in the revenues and expenditures occurred in the Board Office budget since the last monthly report. Expenditures from the category of Other Operating Expenditures were higher for office equipment than the original budget. This variation occurred because of the necessity to purchase a computer for the new Human Resources Director. This increase in expenditures was offset by a reduction in the anticipated expenditures for outside services.

ACTION: The report was received by consent.

BOARD MEETINGS SCHEDULE. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the Board Meetings Schedule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 19-20, 1995</td>
<td>University of Iowa Iowa City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 17-18</td>
<td>Iowa School for the Deaf Council Bluffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 21-22</td>
<td>University of Northern Iowa Cedar Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 19-20</td>
<td>Iowa State University Ames</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 20-21</td>
<td>University of Iowa Iowa City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 18-19</td>
<td>University of Northern Iowa Cedar Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 15-16</td>
<td>Iowa State University Ames</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13-14</td>
<td>To be determined Des Moines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 17, 1996</td>
<td>Telephonic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 21-22</td>
<td>University of Iowa Iowa City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 20-21</td>
<td>University of Northern Iowa Cedar Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 17-18</td>
<td>Iowa State University Ames</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACTION: The Board Meetings Schedule was approved, by consent.
President Berenstein then asked Board members and institutional officials if there were additional general or miscellaneous items for discussion.

President Berenstein stated that this was his last meeting in Ames as President of the Board of Regents. He thanked everyone for the courtesy they have extended to him. He said that although they have not always agreed on the issues he appreciated the fact that they had the opportunity to disagree with honor.

Regent Furgerson thanked everyone who had been helpful to her. She said recognizes a different perspective as an African American and has tried with integrity to represent that perspective. She felt she had learned more than she had given. It has been a very educational experience for her. She said she would keep all of them in her heart with fondness.

Regent Hendricks thanked officials of all three universities. She said the Regents had all been treated very nicely. The opportunity to meet them all and learn had been wonderful.

Mr. Richey stated that he was scheduled for surgery on April 3 and would be out of the office the entire month of April; therefore, he would not be able say good-bye to the departing members of the Board of Regents. He said it had been a pleasure to work with them. He hoped their successors would be as dedicated as they had been. He wished them Godspeed and thanked them for the many courtesies extended to him.

President Berenstein expressed appreciation to Mr. Richey. He said Mr. Richey was an absolutely dedicated public servant and was also wished Godspeed, especially with regard to his surgery.

Regent Furgerson said that thanks to former Regent Percy Harris she knew what it would be like to work with Mr. Richey. She said Mr. Richey worked very hard to educate the Regents in areas where that was needed, and that he was a good human being.
STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

The following business pertaining to the State University of Iowa was transacted on Wednesday, March 15, 1995.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board ratify personnel transactions, as follows:


The register included an increase in the salary of Vice President David Skorton from $156,000 to $161,000 effective January 1, 1995.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to ratify personnel transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

TUITION POLICY FOR SPOUSES OF TEACHING ASSISTANTS AND RESEARCH ASSISTANTS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the University of Iowa and University of Northern Iowa proposed policy on assessing in-state tuition for spouses of teaching and research assistants (TA & RA).

Effective with the 1995 summer session, officials of the University of Iowa and the University of Northern Iowa proposed a new policy of assessing resident tuition in the case of teaching and resident assistants with a 25 percent or greater appointment.

The assessment of resident tuition for non-resident spouses of RAs and TAs would allow them to register for a full course load. Previously these spouses had to pay non-resident tuition for registration above 4 credit hours per semester.

This new policy will be of benefit in attracting graduate and professional students by providing them with a benefit previously provided by competing universities.
The universities indicated that the economic impact would be minimal since previously non-resident spouses of TAs and RAs had to pay out-of-state tuition for all courses over 4 semester hours. This effectively prohibited many spouses from pursuing degree programs. These students will now enroll and pay tuition.

Spouses of teaching and research assistants at Iowa State University have received this benefit for several decades.

Director Barak stated that this request originated at the University of Iowa and was subsequently agreed to at the University of Northern Iowa. He said the policy was consistent with the policy at Iowa State University and competing institutions. He said the economic impact was minimal.

President Berenstein asked if the Regents needed to wait 30 days before adopting the tuition policy. Director Barak responded that it did not require a 30-day waiting period and noted that the policy had been reviewed by the Attorney General’s Office.

Dean Sims said the policy was consistent with that of every other major institution with which the University of Iowa competes for graduate students. He noted that lack of such a policy was the reason why the University of Iowa had lost some graduate students.

MOTION: Regent Newlin moved to approve the University of Iowa and University of Northern Iowa proposed policy on assessing in-state tuition for spouses of teaching and research assistants. Regent Dorr seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the university's capital register, as presented.
PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS AND BUDGETS

Lower Finkbine--Resurface Track  $347,000
Source of Funds: Proceeds from Insurance Settlement

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design, Inspection and Administration</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$347,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University officials requested approval of a project description and budget for the replacement of the track surface at the Lower Finkbine Athletic/Recreational Complex. The synthetic surface of the competitive running track was severely damaged by the summer flooding of 1993. This damage is exhibited by bubbles, delamination and shrinkage. The delamination and bubbling present a tripping hazard to athletes competing on the track. The option of patching the existing surface was studied and eliminated because this option did not work in similar track installations.

The repair of the track was originally included in the Lower Finkbine--Repair Flood Damage project approved by the Board in September 1994. This project also provides repairs to the softball complex and parking areas, and this work was included in the construction contract awarded in October 1994. The repairs to the track were included in the bid alternates which were not part of the contract award. The university determined that the repairs to the track could be completed this spring.

The original project is nearing completion and therefore university officials decided to undertake the repairs to the track as a separate project.

Iowa Center for the Arts Campus--Replace Electrical Cable  $300,000
Source of Funds: Utility Enterprise Improvement and Replacement Fund

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction/Physical Plant Labor</td>
<td>$283,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design, Inspection and Administration</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$300,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
University officials requested approval of a project description and budget to replace the existing 15KV electrical cable between the English-Philosophy Building and Hancher Auditorium to provide improved electrical service. The replacement cable is approximately 5,000 feet in length and will be housed in existing ductbanks and vaults.

**Iowa Memorial Union Footbridge Repairs--Replace Steam and Condensate Lines**

Source of Funds: Utility Enterprise Improvement and Replacement Fund

**Preliminary Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design, Inspection and Administration Consultants</td>
<td>31,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect/Engineering Services</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$296,750</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University officials requested approval of a project description and budget to replace steam and condensate lines at the Iowa Memorial Union footbridge to provide improved steam distribution capacities.

The university has been in the process of upgrading the campus steam distribution system. The current Iowa Memorial Union Footbridge Repairs project provides an opportunity to upgrade an east-west campus link at the footbridge. The proposed project, which will be coordinated with the footbridge repairs project, includes the replacement of an existing condensate line and two steam distribution lines with larger lines for greater capacity.

Regent Newlin asked if the bridge would have to be able to handle vehicles due to the proximity of the physical plant. Vice President True responded that mainly steam lines and telecommunications lines would cross the bridge.

* * * * * * *

**Iowa Memorial Union Footbridge Repairs**

Source of Funds: Building Renewal or Income from Treasurer’s Temporary Investments & Utility Enterprise Improvement & Replacement Fund

**Original Budget $398,800**

**Revised Budget $612,550**

**Project Budget**
University officials requested approval of a revised project budget in the amount of $612,500, an increase of $213,750, in order to accommodate greater loading and capacity requirements for the bridge. The Iowa Memorial Union pedestrian bridge was designed and constructed in the early-1930s. The bridge connects the east and west campuses from the central east campus (Iowa Memorial Union, Pentacrest and Chemistry Building) to the art campus. The bridge provides an essential pedestrian link for students, staff and visitors, and serves as an important utility corridor across the Iowa River.

In May 1994 the university received one bid for this project which exceeded the engineering estimate by approximately 40 percent. University officials reviewed the project and determined that there were two factors which negatively influenced the bidding process: 1) the combination of land-based and river-based construction work which increased construction costs, and 2) an unfavorable bidding climate which resulted in the receipt of only one bid for the project. Subsequently, the university received approval to reject the bid and reevaluate the project.

The original project included surface restoration and replacement of defective structural members to maintain the original design load of 60 pounds per square foot. That load bearing capability was acceptable for the existing structure but is less than the 1995 Bridge Code requirements of 80 pounds per square foot.

Due to the need to provide additional capacity for pedestrian traffic and the additional loading requirements created by the proposed steam and condensate line replacement project, it is necessary to add additional structural steel to the bridge. The estimated cost for this structural work is $200,000.
University officials reported five new projects with budgets of less than $250,000. The titles, source of funds and estimated budgets for the projects were listed in the register prepared by the university.

* * * * * *

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER AGREEMENTS

Iowa Center for the Arts--Campus Master Plan, River Garden and Flood Control Protection
Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, Inc., Cambridge, MA

University officials requested approval of an agreement with Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates to provide landscape architectural services for the Iowa Center for the Arts campus. In June 1994 the University of Iowa Campus Planning Committee reviewed and recommended approval of the Master Plan as prepared by Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates. University officials proposed to proceed with design development planning for the River Garden area of the Iowa Center for the Arts Campus. This includes the area bounded by the Theatre Building on the south, Riverside Drive on the west, Clapp Recital Hall and the Voxman Music Building on the north, and the Iowa River on the east.

The agreement provides for a fee of $53,660, including reimbursables. This fee will cover the preparation of design development documents and budget estimates.

Pharmacy Building--Remodel Zopf Auditorium
Brooks Borg and Skiles, Des Moines, Iowa

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Brooks Borg and Skiles to provide design services for the project. The agreement provides for a fee of $41,000, including reimbursables.

Library Area Master Plan--North Pedestrian Walkway and South Plaza Developments
Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, Inc., Cambridge, MA

University officials requested approval of an agreement with Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates to provide landscape architectural services for the area surrounding the Main Library. The Master Plan includes converting the section of Washington Street directly north of the Main Library into a pedestrian walkway, improving the open space area directly south of the Library, and establishing criteria for future building and site
developments for this section of campus in accordance with the University of Iowa Campus Planning Framework.

University officials proposed to proceed with design development planning for the Library North Terrace and the Library South Plaza. The Library North Terrace development will provide for the permanent closing of Washington Street adjacent to the Library and construction of a pedestrian walkway and terrace. This project will retain the service access to the Samuel L. Becker Communications Studies Building, improve access to the north entrance of the Library for persons with disabilities, and develop a pedestrian use terrace area at the Library north entrance. The Library South Plaza development will include construction of a parking area to replace parking displaced on the north side of the Library and the renovation of the open green space between the Library and Burlington Street.

The agreement provides for a fee of $27,167, including reimbursables. This fee will cover the preparation of design development documents and budget estimates.

Amendments:

Center for University Advancement $75,000
Brooks Borg and Skiles, Des Moines, Iowa

University officials requested approval of Amendment #2 in the amount of $75,000 to the agreement with Brooks Borg and Skiles for the design of the Center for University Advancement project. The amendment will provide additional design services for interior furnishings and equipment for the facility.

Amendment No. 2 will not result in an increase in the total project budget.

College of Medicine Administration Building--Completion of Third Floor $7,110
Hansen Lind Meyer, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa

University officials requested approval of Amendment #2 in the amount of $7,110 to the agreement with Hansen Lind Meyer to provide design services for this project. The amendment reflects additional costs for electrical and communications boxes, changes to interior conference windows, supplemental heating and additional site visits.

Amendment No. 2 will not result in an increase in the total project budget.

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

University Hospitals and Clinics--Replacement of Obsolete $498,173
Boyd Tower Switchgear--Phase B  
Award to: Gerard Electric, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa  
(2 bids received)

ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

Multi-Tenant Facility--Oakdale Research Park--Construct Center for Biocatalysis and Bioprocessing Laboratory  
Modern Piping, Inc., Cedar Rapids, Iowa

Pharmacy Building Addition--Utilities  
Hurst and Sons Contractors, Inc., Waterloo, Iowa

University Hospitals and Clinics--Development of an Audio and Video Production Center and Staff Offices in the John Pappajohn Pavilion  
McComas-Lacina Construction Company, Inc., Iowa City, Iowa

University Hospitals and Clinics--Headend Air Handling Unit System Installation  
Bowker Mechanical Contractors, Cedar Rapids, Iowa

University Hospitals and Clinics--West General Hospital Air Handler Replacement  
O. F. Paulson Construction, Cedar Rapids, Iowa

FINAL REPORTS

Power Plant--Boiler Replacement--Phase I $29,500,000

University Hospitals and Clinics--Overhead Connecting Link $663,391.32  
Between the UIHC Main Entrance and Parking Ramp #2

University Hospitals and Clinics--Expansion of General Hospital Alarm System $260,524.60

University Hospitals and Clinics--Boyd Tower Water Pipe Replacement $214,325.06
MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the university's capital register, as presented. Regent Pellett seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

APPROVAL OF LEASES. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the leases, as presented.

University officials requested approval to renew its lease as lessor with Bio-Research Products, Inc., for its use of 800 square feet of business incubator space at the Oakdale Research Park at the rate of $933.33 per month ($14 per square foot, $11,999.96 per year), for a six-month period.

University officials requested approval to renew its lease as lessor with Solltech, Inc., for its use of 694 square feet of business incubator space at the Oakdale Research Park at the rate of $347 per month ($6 per square foot, $4,164 per year), for a one-year period.

University officials requested approval of the following farm leases effective March 1, 1995, through February 28, 1996:

With Tom Williams as operator for his use of 176.2 acres of farmland located in Johnson County, Iowa, (Hawkeye Farm) at the rate of $87.92 per acre ($15,492 per year);

With Scott Ogden as operator for his use of 121.1 acres of farmland located in Johnson County, Iowa, (Oakdale Farm) at the rate of $28.70 per acre ($3,475 per year); and

With Richard Chapuis of Chapuis Farms, Ltd., as operator for his use of 77.6 acres of farmland located in Van Buren County, Iowa, at the rate of $88.92 per acre ($6,900 per year).

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the leases, as presented. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:
NAY: None.
ABSENT: None.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

RESIDENCE SYSTEM FUND TRANSFER. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the transfer of $1,752,000 from the Dormitory Surplus Fund to the Improvement Fund.

University of Iowa officials requested a transfer of $1,752,000 from the Dormitory Surplus Fund to the Improvement Fund. This transfer, by moving funds from an undesignated (surplus) category of voluntary reserve to a category of the voluntary reserves earmarked for improvements, does not affect the total of the voluntary reserves.

MOTION: Regent Tyrrell moved to approve the transfer of $1,752,000 from the Dormitory Surplus Fund to the Improvement Fund. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:
NAY: None.
ABSENT: None.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

LEASE AND OCCUPANCY AGREEMENT FOR CENTER FOR UNIVERSITY ADVANCEMENT. The Board Office recommended (1) that the lease for the Center for University Advancement be approved subject to revision from bond rating agencies and (2) that the occupancy agreement for the Center for University Advancement be approved.

The lease and occupancy agreement were reviewed by the Attorney General’s Office and were recommended for approval. The lease is still subject to change as the financing has not been formally reviewed by the rating agency; however, any changes agreed to in that process will only serve to strengthen the security provisions.

In February 1995 the Board approved a preliminary resolution for the sale of up to $15,500,000 Center for University Advancement Revenue bonds, Series S.U.I. 1995 to finance construction of the facility. The bid opening and award are scheduled for April 19, 1995.
The bonds will be payable solely from rental payments for the lease of the space to the foundation by the university. The foundation expects the source of the lease payments to be receipts of gifts for the project to be received over several years. Secondary sources of payment can be unrestricted net revenues and unrestricted fund balances of the foundation.

Vice President True stated the lease underpins the bond sale anticipated to take place in April.

President Berenstein asked for an explanation of the proposed transaction. Mr. Anderson stated that the transaction will be based on the credit of the foundation versus the credit of the university. Due to the unusual nature of the proposal, arrangements began early. Moody’s was given a large package of information with the idea that those individuals could provide an early indication of what the bond rating would likely be. He said Moody’s representatives were very impressed with the financial condition of the foundation and the way in which this transaction was developed. Indications are that the bonds will be rated somewhere between A and AA; A-1 is the level in between. Moody’s officials mentioned earlier in this process that the bonds could be rated AA if the restricted funds of the foundation were available to pay the debt service; however, that was not the case. It is expected there will be a formal rating on the bonds in early-April. He said preparations are moving forward; the bond documents are in place. There will be only minor modifications to the documents from this point on.

Mr. Haynie stated that the lease was in near final order. There may be a request from Moody’s to modify one or more provisions. He said the Board of Regents will get another look at the lease at its April meeting if any revisions are made by Moody’s. The bonds are self-liquidating and will be issued under the strength of the tenant. The budget for the facility includes several million dollars of direct equity contributed by the foundation. The facility will be owned by the University of Iowa and will be leased to the foundation for an amount equal to the debt service on the bonds. After the bonds are retired, the rental payments will drop to $1,000/year. He noted that Moody’s would be looking to the foundation’s ability as tenant to meet those obligations. He stressed that the university was only committing revenues received from the rent.

President Berenstein asked if the university would be liable for payments if the foundation was unable to make its payments. Mr. Haynie responded that in the unforeseen worst possible case, the university’s responsibility would be to find another tenant. He said the problem would be to fill up the facility. It will be a very modern facility in a nice location on the University of Iowa campus. He emphasized that there is no liability for the university to make the debt service payments. The lease is a mirror image of the bond resolution.
indicating that the university will faithfully elect to apply the rent proceeds to repayment of the bonds.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved (1) that the lease for the Center for University Advancement be approved subject to revision from Bond Rating Agencies and (2) that the occupancy agreement for the Center for University Advancement be approved. Regent Pellett seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:
NAY: None.
ABSTAIN: Newlin.
ABSENT: None.

MOTION CARRIED.

President Berenstein then asked Board members and institutional officials if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to the University of Iowa.

President Rawlings introduced John Lohman, President, University of Iowa Student Association. He said Mr. Lohman was completing his term as student body president and had added a tremendous amount of credibility to the organization. Mr. Lohman turned around the budget situation and university officials were very pleased with the work Mr. Lohman and his sister, Gretchen, vice president of the student body, had done. He then introduced the student government leaders who were just elected for next year: Tim Williams, president, and Jeremy Johnson, vice president.

Mr. Lohman stated, on behalf of Gretchen and himself, that they had enjoyed working with the Board of Regents and wished them the best of luck.
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

The following business pertaining to Iowa State University was transacted on Wednesday, March 15, 1995.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve personnel transactions, as follows:

Register of Personnel Changes for February 1995. The register included nine early retirements and two phased retirements.

Presented for the Board's information was the appointment of RABINDRA N. MUKERJEA as Assistant to the President for Budget Planning and Analysis effective July 1, 1995, at a fiscal year salary of $100,000.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the university's personnel transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

ACCEPTANCE OF POST-AUDIT REPORT: MASTER OF FINE ARTS (MFA) DEGREE. The Board Office recommended the Board refer the post-audit report to the Interinstitutional Committee on Educational Coordination and the Board Office for review and recommendation.

Iowa State University officials submitted a post-audit report five years after the initial February 1990 approval of the Master of Fine Arts in the areas of graphic design and interior design. The comprehensive post-audit submitted by the university demonstrated that the program has achieved many initial objectives.

Both programs have experienced slow, steady enrollment growth as anticipated. Instruction has been provided without additional tenure-track faculty and without major increases in expenditures for equipment and technology.
Graduates in the graphic design area have all found employment; interior design will graduate its first students next year.

ACTION: This matter was referred by consent.

PRESENTATION ON VISUALIZATION LABORATORY. The Board Office recommended the Board hear the presentation on Iowa State University’s Visualization Laboratory.

President Jischke introduced James Oliver, Associate Professor of Engineering, to make the presentation on Iowa State University’s Visualization Laboratory and the role virtual reality is playing in design and problem solving. He noted that Jim Oliver was one of the bright young stars of the university’s faculty and in 1992 won a National Science Foundation investigator award.

Professor Oliver described the mission of the state-of-the-art laboratory at Iowa State University at which he works on cutting-edge technology. He described the results of a research project funded by the U.S. Navy and a project which he was working on with the Des Moines Area Community College.

At the conclusion of Professor Oliver’s presentation the Regents were presented with a virtual campus setup for a hands-on experience.

President Jischke stated that he visited the Visualization Laboratory last fall. He found that students were very proud of and excited about the work they did. He noted that the research programs of the university involve undergraduate as well as graduate students.

ACTION: President Berenstein stated the Board received the presentation on Iowa State University’s Visualization Laboratory, by general consent.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for Iowa State University be approved.

APPROVAL OF PROGRAM STATEMENTS AND DESIGN DOCUMENTS

Student Health Center

The schematic design was developed in accordance with the approved building program and input from the Student Health Center staff, Government of the Student Body, Facilities Planning and Management, and other potential users of the facility.
Gary VanDyke from Baldwin White Architects presented the schematic design. He said 66 percent of the building had to be on one level, which will be the main floor. The building will be rotated to take more advantage of green space.

Regent Newlin asked what was restricting them from building further to the north. What is north of the parking lot?

Mr. VanDyke responded that there was parking to the north. He said it was important to maintain the facade along Union Drive. The main entrance is very close to Beyer Hall. The design allows the building to turn and open itself up to students as it is approached from any direction. He discussed the organizing principles of the building. The ground floor contains the bulk of the building and includes clinic spaces which can accommodate eight physicians at any one time. The clinic space is designed in four clusters of exam rooms; each cluster has two nurses and two physicians. The second floor houses the student wellness center and business office.

Mr. VanDyke said the image of the building draws from the strong sense of collegiate architecture. Brick and limestone are the primary building materials. It will be a traditional building with a contemporary twist. There will be cutouts on the roof to bring daylight into the “people” areas.

Regent Tyrrell asked if daylight would be brought into the exam rooms. Mr. VanDyke said it would not be; daylight will be brought into the subwaiting rooms.

Regent Tyrrell asked what hours the clinic would be open. Dr. Patterson responded that full clinic services would be available from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m., Monday through Thursday; Friday from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m., and Saturday from 8 a.m. until 12 noon. After hours (emergent care) services are available until 10 p.m. seven days a week during the academic year and on Sunday from 12 noon until 10 p.m. After 10 p.m. patients will be referred to Mary Greeley Hospital.

Regent Tyrrell asked why the decision was made against bringing daylight into the exam rooms. Dr. Patterson responded that they preferred not having daylight in the exam rooms from the standpoint of examining eyes. There is a very limited number of dark rooms in the current facility. He was very satisfied with the dark space of the new facility.

Regent Newlin asked if they wished they had more green space. Mr. VanDyke responded that the site was in the urban area of the campus. They were trying to maximize the amount of green space that is left.
Regent Newlin suggested they could maximize the green space on the north by taking some of the parking lot for green space and pushing back the adjacent parking lot. Mr. VanDyke said that could be done but those were issues that were beyond the architects’ responsibility for the architecture of the building.

Regent Furgerson asked for the location of the main entrance. Mr. VanDyke responded that it was on the south side of the building.

Regent Furgerson asked about parking for people with disabilities in relation to the building entrance. Mr. VanDyke responded that parking is available at the south of the building and near the entrance.

Regent Furgerson expressed concern for people who have difficulty moving but who are not wheelchair bound.

Regent Pellett asked if patients can enter the building from the back during the day. Mr. VanDyke responded that for security reasons that would not be allowed.

Regent Tyrrell asked about using the back door for emergencies. Mr. VanDyke said the procedure during the day is always through the front door.

Vice President Madden stated that 250 parking places are being displaced and will be replaced to the south and north of the building. He said the new building will be closer to their parking spaces for many of the center’s staff than at the current facility.

Regent Tyrrell asked how much traffic would be by car and by foot. Dr. Patterson responded that 65 percent would be by foot access.

Regent Tyrrell said the exceptions would be those who might not be able to walk. In urgent care situations will patients have to go around to the front door of the facility?

Dr. Patterson responded that there would be a panic button at the back door near the laboratory and x-ray. He said there will always be someone there. He stressed that they want to discourage routine traffic through the clinic. There will be personnel available near the back door.

Regent Newlin asked if the 65 percent foot access patients included the bus riders. Dr. Patterson responded affirmatively.
President Berenstein asked if any public moneys would be involved other than tuition. Vice President Madden responded that the primary construction funds will be student fees. The project will be set up as a debt service project for which an enterprise fund will be created. University officials will bring forward a bond schedule. He said there will be no direct general fund moneys going into the operation of the facility.

Mr. Richey asked if a contingent student fee liability would be included in the bond covenant. Mr. Anderson responded that if this is structured similar to other self-liquidating revenue bonds there will be a requirement that student fees be increased, if necessary.

President Berenstein asked if university officials would be coming to the Board for capital appropriations. Vice President Madden responded that they would not. There were no anticipated requests of the Board on this project.

Regent Tyrrell asked how long the students would be contributing to the building. Vice President Madden responded that the fee previously approved by the Board was for an 18-year bond service. The fee actually began this past year so there are some cash reserves available. The financial plan will be part of the bond sale.

President Berenstein asked for what university officials were asking at this meeting. Vice President Madden said they were requesting approval of the schematic design. They hoped to bid the project in late summer with the goal of having the building finished by late-fall 1996.

Regent Johnson-Matthews asked for the location of Mary Greeley Hospital in relation to the new health center. Vice President Madden responded that it was two to three miles to the east.

MOTION: Regent Newlin moved to approve the schematic design of the Student Health Center, as presented. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS AND BUDGETS

C. Y. Stephens Auditorium--Soffit Restoration
Source of Funds: General University Funds

$400,000

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>9,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Services</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>43,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $400,000

University officials requested approval of a project description and budget for the restoration of the wood soffit of C. Y. Stephens Auditorium. For the past ten to fifteen years the wood soffit on the high roof has shown signs of deterioration due to normal weathering of the original pigmented stain. Because of the difficulty in accessing the soffit, and therefore the high cost, the university has deferred maintenance and repairs to the soffit. The appearance of the soffit has now deteriorated to the point where it has become a very unattractive element that significantly detracts from the beauty of the Iowa State Center complex.

Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School--Boiler Replacement

$313,000

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $313,000
Source of Funds:

Pending 1995 Special Legislative Appropriation $ 296,000
Iowa Braille and Sight School Operating Funds 17,000

TOTAL $ 313,000

University officials requested approval of a project description and budget for the replacement of two steam heat boilers for the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School. This project budget was presented on the Iowa State University capital register since the project will be coordinated by the university.

In 1994 the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School undertook a study to evaluate the existing steam generating system on campus. This study recommended the replacement of the boilers in lieu of repairing the existing units which had been cited for deficiencies by the State Boiler Inspector.

The estimated cost (including engineering fees) to install two 90 horsepower boiler units in a modified header room and provide a new energy management system was $311,000. The project will be funded with an anticipated capital appropriation of $296,000 plus $17,000 from the school’s operating fund budget. The project was approved as an amendment to the Board’s capital budget request in December 1994 and was included in the Governor’s budget.

* * * * * * *
Veterinary Medicine--Energy Conservation Remodeling

Original Budget $4,200,000
Revised Budget $4,414,500

Project Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 1993</td>
<td>March 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$ 3,200,000</td>
<td>$ 3,447,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Services</td>
<td>395,200</td>
<td>465,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration</td>
<td>103,440</td>
<td>184,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Services</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Automation</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>140,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>412,360</td>
<td>134,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 4,200,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 4,414,500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University officials requested approval of a revised project budget in the amount of $4,414,500, an increase of $214,500, to reflect the inclusion of the HVAC System Asbestos Abatement project with the Energy Conservation Remodeling project at the College of Veterinary Medicine.

The university received two bids for Phase 3 of the Energy Conservation Remodeling project on December 22, 1994. The low bid, in the amount of $3,025,000, exceeded the engineering estimate of $1,900,000 by approximately 59.2 percent. The bid included nine deduct alternates which could have reduced the bid to $2,497,200. However, this amount would still have exceeded the project budget by $512,280. The second bid exceeded the project budget.

Subsequent to the bid opening the university met with the project engineers (KJWW Engineering Consultants) and the low bidder (ACI Mechanical Corporation) to review options to reduce the construction costs. Four main areas were reviewed for possible reductions: fume hood controls, terminal controls, material changes, and exhaust fan changes.

Normally, the university does not include an asbestos removal contract as part of the general construction bid package as this limits the number of bidders and/or inflates the bids. Therefore, the university initiated a separate capital project for asbestos removal in the amount of $214,500. During the negotiations with ACI Mechanical Corporation
the firm indicated that it had experience coordinating asbestos removal contractors. At
the university’s request, the firm took bids for asbestos removal and received bids
within the university’s cost estimate. The university has thus determined that it would
be cost effective to coordinate the asbestos removal work with the general construction
portion of the project. Therefore, the asbestos work has been incorporated into the
revised project budget and negotiated into the base contract with ACI Mechanical
Corporation. The negotiated contract, which totals $2,238,808, represents a savings of
$786,192 from the low bid received on December 22.

* * * * * * *

University officials reported one new project with a budget of less than $250,000. The
title, source of funds and estimated budget for the project was listed in the register
prepared by the university.

* * * * * * *

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER AGREEMENTS

Bilsland Swine Breeding Farm--Renovate Facilities--Phase I $16,000
Curry-Wille and Associates, Ames, Iowa

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Curry-Wille and
Associates to provide engineering services for the construction documents phase of the
farrowing/ nursery/office complex. The agreement provides for a fee of $16,000,
including reimbursables.

C. Y. Stephens Auditorium--Soffit Restoration $15,000
Simonson-Sinclair Architects, Des Moines, Iowa

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Simonson-
Sinclair Architects to provide design services for the project. The agreement provides
for a fee of $15,000, including reimbursables.

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

Veterinary Medicine--Energy Conservation Remodeling
ACI Mechanical Corporation, Ames, Iowa
Reject Bids
Two bids were received for this project on December 22, 1994. The low bid, in the amount of $3,025,000, exceeded the engineering estimate by approximately 59.2 percent. University officials requested approval to reject the bids in order to enter into a negotiated contract with the low bidder.

University officials requested award of the construction contract to ACI Mechanical Corporation in the negotiated amount of $2,238,808.

**General Campus Water and Wind Damage 1993--Vet Med**
Condensate Line Replacement--Phase 2
Award to: ACI Mechanical Corporation, Ames, Iowa
(2 bids received)

**Utilities--Increased Chilled Water Capacity--Phase I--**
Mechanical Package No. 10
Award to: Manning-Seivert Mechanical Contractors, Granger, Iowa
(2 bids received)

**ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS**

**Sweeney Hall--Addition and Renovation--Phase 2**
Koester Construction Company, Ames, Iowa

**Fire Safety Improvements--FY 1991--Eyewashes and Safety Showers**
Manning-Seivert Mechanical Contractors, Granger, Iowa

MOTION: Regent Newlin moved that the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for Iowa State University be approved. Regent Johnson-Mathews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**APPROVAL OF LEASES.** The Board Office recommended the Board approve leases, as presented.

University officials requested approval to enter into a lease as lessor with Cimtechnologies Corporation for its use of 220 square feet of business incubator space located at the ISU Research Park at the rate of $250 per month ($13.64 per square foot, $3,000 per year), on a month-to-month basis.
University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement as lessor with Stine Biotechnology for its use of 1,082 square feet of business incubator space located at the ISU Research Park at the rate of $1,200 per month ($13.31 per square foot, $14,400 per year) for a six-month period.

University officials requested approval to extend its firm power agreement with the City of Ames for a one-year period commencing June 1, 1995, through June 1, 1996; the amendment maintains the firm power amount at 7 megawatts.

University officials requested approval to enter into a lease agreement as lessor with the Iowa State University Foundation for its use of a tract of land located north of Cyclone Stadium for construction of the Richard O. Jacobson Building.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve leases, as presented. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted: AYE: Berenstein, Collins, Dorr, Furgerson, Hendricks, Johnson-Matthews, Newlin, Pellett, Tyrrell. NAY: None. ABSENT: None.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DISPOSITION OF BUILDINGS ON ISU FARMS. The Board Office recommended the Board authorize Iowa State University to dispose of various buildings located at the Ankeny Research Farm, Bilsland Memorial Farm, and Agronomy Research Farm, as indicated.

Iowa State University officials requested approval to dispose of a total of 12 buildings located at the Ankeny Research Farm, Bilsland Memorial Farm, and Agronomy Research Farm. All of the buildings suffer from functional and economic obsolescence and physical depreciation. Each structure was estimated to have a market value of less than $1,000.

The buildings have all been inspected for asbestos or other hazardous materials. Any salvageable materials will be removed and sold to a salvage company prior to disposal.

The buildings will be disposed of in accordance with Board of Regents surplus property disposal procedures.
The cost of demolition and disposal of the structures will be paid by the Agriculture Experiment Station.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to authorize Iowa State University to dispose of various buildings located at the Ankeny Research Farm, Bilsland Memorial Farm, and Agronomy Research Farm, as presented. Regent Pellett seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted: AYE: Berenstein, Dorr, Furgerson, Johnson-Matthews, Newlin, Pellett, Tyrrell. NAY: None. ABSENT: Collins, Hendricks.

MOTION CARRIED.

DORMITORY IMPROVEMENT FUND TRANSFER. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the transfer of $1,000,000 from the Dormitory Surplus Fund to the Improvement Fund.

Iowa State University officials requested the transfer of $1,000,000 from the Dormitory Surplus Fund to the Improvement Fund. As of June 30, 1994, the Surplus Fund had a cash balance of $4,194,768. As of June 30, 1994, the Improvement Fund had a cash balance of $647,022.

MOTION: Regent Tyrrell moved to approve the transfer of $1,000,000 from the Dormitory Surplus Fund to the Improvement Fund. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted: AYE: Berenstein, Collins, Dorr, Furgerson, Hendricks, Johnson-Matthews, Newlin, Pellett, Tyrrell. NAY: None. ABSENT: None.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
NAMING THE IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY RECREATION ATHLETIC FACILITY THE “ERNST F. LIED RECREATION/ATHLETIC CENTER”. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the naming of the Iowa State University Recreation/Athletic Facility the “Ernst F. Lied Recreation/Athletic Center”.

On February 17, 1995, the Iowa State University Foundation announced the largest cash gift ever received by the university. The Lied Foundation Trust of Las Vegas, Nevada, committed $5 million to the Christina Hixson Opportunity Awards. This will allow 100 awards to first-year students, each worth $2,500.00.

The Lied Foundation Trust was created by the estate of Ernst F. Lied of Omaha.

In recognition of the significant gift made to Iowa State University, university officials recommended the naming of the Recreation/Athletic Facility the “Ernst F. Lied Recreation/Athletic Center”.

President Jischke stated this gift was made possible by the Lied Foundation’s sole trustee, Christina Hixson of Clarinda, Iowa. He said this was the most heartwarming of all the fund-raising ventures he has been involved with in his 4 years at Iowa State University. It speaks to a fundamental ideal of land grant universities, that of access. The Christina Hixson Opportunity Awards program will annually fund 100 $2,500 scholarships, ideally one in every county of Iowa, for students who may not have the opportunity to go to the university. The awards will focus on students who come out of difficult home environments and/or who have high financial needs.

President Jischke said the program had been very well received. Many applications have been received. University officials hope to have the first 100 award winners this coming fall. He said that as part of the university’s agreement with the Lied Foundation, they wish to recognize the benefactor, Ernst Lied, whose estate created the foundation. Mr. Lied was a very successful businessperson both in Omaha, Nebraska, and in Las Vegas, Nevada. University officials proposed to name the student athletic facility in his honor. The facility is a very prominent site of student activity and would be an appropriate way in which to recognize Mr. Lied.

MOTION: Regent Dorr moved to approve the naming of the Iowa State University Recreation/Athletic Facility the “Ernst F. Lied Recreation/Athletic Center”. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
STUDENT HOUSING - LAKESIDE LABORATORY. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the purchase and relocation to Iowa Lakeside Laboratory of two motel units to be used as housing.

Iowa Lakeside Laboratory administrators requested permission to proceed with the purchase and relocation to Iowa Lakeside Laboratory of two, 4-plex motel units which became available as a result of a demolition project. This acquisition was the cheapest and fastest way to acquire a significant amount of better quality housing to replace housing space which can no longer be used.

Alternatives to this purchase were considered; all would be considerably more expensive.

Evaluations by two appraisers estimate the contributory value of the units, finished with all utilities hooked up and site preparations complete at the laboratory, at $60,000 and $66,680. The current owners are willing to sell the units for $10,000; additional costs of relocation, including moving, construction of a foundation, electrical hookups and sewage and water hookups, and administration and contingency total $33,750; the total project budget was $43,750.

The funds for this project will come from reallocations within the FY 1995 operating budget, FY 1996 maintenance budget and 1995 housing receipts; no new additional funds were requested for this project.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the purchase and relocation to Iowa Lakeside Laboratory of two motel units to be used as housing. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

President Berenstein then asked Board members and institutional officials if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to Iowa State University. There were none.
UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN IOWA

The following business pertaining to the University of Northern Iowa was transacted on Wednesday, March 15, 1995.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the university’s personnel transactions, as follows:


MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to ratify the university’s personnel transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

TUITION POLICY FOR SPOUSES OF TEACHING ASSISTANTS AND RESEARCH ASSISTANTS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the University of Iowa and University of Northern Iowa proposed policy on assessing in-state tuition for spouses of teaching and research assistants (TA & RA).

Effective with the 1995 summer session, officials of the University of Iowa and the University of Northern Iowa proposed a new policy of assessing resident tuition in the case of teaching and resident assistants with a 25 percent or greater appointment.

The assessment of resident tuition for non-resident spouses of RAs and TAs would allow them to register for a full course load. Previously these spouses had to pay non-resident tuition for registration above 4 credit hours per semester.

This new policy will be of benefit in attracting graduate and professional students by providing them with a benefit previously provided by competing universities.

The universities indicated that the economic impact would be minimal since previously non-resident spouses of TAs and RAs had to pay out-of-state tuition for all courses over 4
semester hours. This effectively prohibited many spouses from pursuing degree programs. These students will now enroll and pay tuition.

Spouses of teaching and research assistants at Iowa State University have received this benefit for several decades.

MOTION: Regent Newlin moved to approve the University of Iowa and University of Northern Iowa proposed policy on assessing in-state tuition for spouses of teaching and research assistants. Regent Dorr seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions.

PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS AND BUDGETS

Campanile Plaza $285,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preliminary Budget</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts/Purchase Orders</td>
<td>238,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant/Design Services</td>
<td>35,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>11,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>285,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Repairs</th>
<th>$220,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Funds</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>285,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University officials requested approval of a project description and budget for improvements to the Campanile Plaza area. The project will provide for repair of the walkways, installation of additional lighting, improvements in pedestrian circulation, and the redevelopment of seating areas. In addition, the granite base of the Campanile will be architecturally integrated with the plaza.
UNI-Dome South Entrance
Source of Funds: Building Repairs

Preliminary Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts/Purchase Orders</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant/Design Services</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$338,500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University officials requested approval of a project description and budget to upgrade the area walkways around the UNI-Dome south entrance. Deteriorated walks which serve the south entrance to the UNI-Dome and the Dome south parking lot will be replaced. Additional lighting will be installed; benches, planters and space frames will be added to enhance the building entrance.

In addition, the project will incorporate underground utility work including installation of electrical and communication ducts in accordance with the university’s Electrical Distribution System Master Plan. The original buried fuel tank for the Dome’s main generators will be replaced with a “Day Tank” to be located inside the facility. This tank provides a more economical method for the storage of fuel.

* * * * * * *

University officials reported five new projects with budgets of less than $250,000. The titles, source of funds and estimated budgets for the projects were listed in the register prepared by the university.

* * * * * * *

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER AGREEMENTS

Campanile Plaza                        $35,500
Rietz Consultants, Ltd., Ames, Iowa

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Rietz Consultants to provide design services for improvements to the Campanile Plaza area. The agreement provides for a fee of $35,500, including reimbursables.
GENERAL
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UNI-Dome South Entrance $42,000
Kirkham Michael and Associates, Inc., Cedar Falls/Urbandale, Iowa

University officials requested approval to enter into an agreement with Kirkham Michael and Associates to provide design services for improvements to the UNI-Dome south entrance and parking lot. The agreement provides for a fee of $42,000, including reimbursables.

Amendments:

Wellness/Recreation Center $41,300
RDG Bussard Dikis, Des Moines, Iowa

University officials requested approval of Amendment #1 in the amount of $41,300 to the agreement with RDG Bussard Dikis. The amendment will provide design services for remodeling a portion of the existing Physical Education Center. This work is necessary to allow the Wellness/Recreation Center to connect with the Physical Education Center as outlined in the schematic design approved by the Board in July 1994.

Amendment No. 1 will not result in an increase in the total project budget.

Maucker Union Roof/Pedestrian Plaza $360

ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

Campanile Improvements $510,375
Story Construction, Ames, Iowa

Sabin Hall--Windows and Doors $243,027
Robinson Energy Efficient Products, Inc., Baxter, Iowa

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve the university's capital register, as presented. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

APPROVAL OF LEASES AND EASEMENTS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve leases and the easement agreement, as presented.

University officials requested approval of an easement agreement with the City of Cedar Falls for the city's installation of water lines on university property.
University officials requested approval of an agreement to provide faculty and staff temporary housing at the rate of $460 per month effective April 1, 1995, through June 30, 1996.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve leases and the easement agreement, as presented. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion, and upon the roll being called, the following voted:

NAY: None.
ABSENT: None.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

President Berenstein then asked Board members and institutional officials if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to the University of Northern Iowa.

President Curris asked to acknowledge Beth Krueger for her contribution to the university as president of the University of Northern Iowa Student Association. He said she would be leaving the university at the end of this year to pursue legal studies. President Curris thanked Ms. Krueger for her service to the University of Northern Iowa and recognized her advocacy on behalf of its students.

President Berenstein stated that it had been a pleasure to work with Ms. Krueger. He said she had a nice ability to express her opinion and argued with vigor, which he felt would help in her further studies.
IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

The following business pertaining to Iowa School for the Deaf was transacted on Wednesday, March 15, 1995.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office reported that the Register of Personnel Changes for the month of February 1995 had not been received in the Board Office and would be reported next month.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office reported there were no transactions this month on Iowa School for the Deaf’s capital register.

APPROVAL OF ATHLETIC AGREEMENT BETWEEN IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND LEWIS CENTRAL COMMUNITY SCHOOLS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve the renewal of the Iowa High School Athletic Association cooperative athletic agreement between Iowa School for the Deaf and Lewis Central Community Schools.

This was an annual renewal of an agreement that has been in effect for several years. Under the agreement, Iowa School for the Deaf students participate on athletic teams at Lewis Central in sports which are not offered at Iowa School for the Deaf. The sports include swimming, junior high football, cross country, tennis, wrestling, soccer, and golf.

Iowa School for the Deaf officials indicated that its students would not be able to compete in these sports if it were not for the agreement with Lewis Central.

The agreement was previously reviewed by the Attorney General’s Office.

MOTION: Regent Newlin moved to approve the renewal of the Iowa High School Athletic Association cooperative athletic agreement between Iowa School for the Deaf and Lewis Central Community Schools.
Schools. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

President Berenstein then asked Board members and institutional officials if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to the Iowa School for the Deaf. There were none.
IOWA BRAILLE AND SIGHT SAVING SCHOOL

The following business pertaining to Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School was transacted on Wednesday, March 15, 1995.

RATIFICATION OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office recommended the Board approve personnel transactions, as follows:

Register of Personnel Changes for the period January 1 to January 28, 1995.

MOTION: Regent Furgerson moved to approve personnel transactions, as presented. Regent Johnson-Matthews seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

REDUCTION IN FORCE - FACULTY. The Board Office recommended the Board (1) receive report; (2) approve the superintendent’s recommendation to reduce five faculty members’ contracts by 10 days each beginning with the 1995-96 school year; and (3) approve the Superintendent’s recommendation to reduce one of the five faculty members’ contract an additional 7 days beginning with the 1996-97 school year.

The current 199-day contract will be reduced to a standard 189-day contract for the five faculty members. The days will be reduced from 196 beginning with the 1996-97 school year to the standard 189 day contract for one of the faculty members.

The reduction in days to a standard 189-day contract for the coordinator of instructional materials was an adjustment in response to a previous reorganization of duties including reduction of staff supervised by 50 percent; significant reduction in large print production and reorganization of oversight responsibility for high tech items housed in the state depository of equipment and materials.

The reduction of the four orientation and mobility staff contract days will be accomplished by the school reorganizing some of its services provided. This change means that there will be 27 faculty providing services within the standard 189-day contract.
Of the 30 faculty on staff, three will remain at 199 days because they are providing additional services that must be performed beyond the standard 189 days and they are paid for these additional services from nonappropriated funds.

Superintendent Thurman stated that the payment for additional services would be accomplished within the school’s State vision grant. He said the recommended action was reviewed thoroughly with staff of the Attorney General and Board Office.

MOTION: Regent Hendricks moved to (1) receive report; (2) approve the superintendent’s recommendation to reduce five faculty members’ contracts by 10 days each beginning with the 1995-96 school year; and (3) approve the Superintendent’s recommendation to reduce one of the five faculty members’ contract an additional 7 days beginning with the 1996-97 school year. Regent Tyrrell seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

REGISTER OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS. The Board Office reported there were no transactions on the school’s Capital Register this month.

President Berenstein then asked Board members and institutional officials if there were additional items for discussion pertaining to Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School. There were none.

ADJOURNMENT.

The meeting of the State Board of Regents adjourned at 1:00 p.m., on Wednesday, March 15, 1995.

_____________________________________
Robert J. Barak
Deputy Executive Director