MEMORANDUM

To:

Board of Regents

From:

Board Office

Subject:

Final Approval of the Iowa School for the Deaf

Strategic Plan Progress Report

Date:

January 7, 2002

Recommended Actions:

1. Approve the report.

2. links Request the Iowa School for the Deaf maintain and expand close between its institutional strategic plan and the Board of Regents' plan as the Board's new plan is developed.

Executive Summary:

The mission of the Iowa School for the Deaf is to provide comprehensive and appropriate programs and services that will enable deaf and hard of hearing students to attain personal excellence. The Key Result Areas developed to achieve this mission are: Quality Teaching, Pupil Personnel and Administrative Services; Strengthening and Expanding Creative and Innovative Programming; Maintaining Facilities and Equipment with Sufficient Funding, and Accountability. Under each Key Result Area, ISD has listed objectives and indicators. Its Strategic Plan also has a list of benchmarks, for which targets have been established.

In his presentation to the Board of Regents at its November 2001 meeting, Superintendent William Johnson indicated that the new Strategic Plan was an integral part of its accreditation visit in the spring of 2001. The Strategic Plan was cited as a model that should be shared with other state schools for the deaf. When the ISD faculty, staff, and administration developed the plan, they used the input of parents and students, community constituents, and the ISD Advisory Committee. Benchmarks and indicators are part of the plan. See Attachment A, pages 6-13. Attachment B, page 14, is a summary of key elements in the ISD Strategic Plan – mission, vision, values, and culture statements.

There is some anecdotal evidence for indicators at this point in time, noted in the Analysis section. The institution has updated performance indicator and benchmark data, which are incorporated into the Annual Report on Performance Indicators.

Strategic Plan:

ISD proposed a new Strategic Plan for 2001-2006, which the Board approved at its July 2001 meeting. ISD continues to report on selected performance indicators, which are linked to the Board's Strategic Plan. ISD will continue the process of identifying new indicators and benchmarks and compiling appropriate data.

Background:

The new ISD Strategic Plan, like the previous plan, is closely linked to the Board's Strategic Plan.

Linkage of ISD plan with Board's plan

There are clear linkages between the Board's Key Result Areas (KRAs) and the KRAs of Iowa School for the Deaf, and its policies.

Board of Regents	Iowa School for the Deaf
Key Result Area: Quality	 KRA: Provide Quality Teaching Pupil Personnel, and Administrative Services KRA: Strengthen and Expand Creative and Innovative Programming
Key Result Area: Access	 Be open to all students who are deaf or hearing-impaired. Serve lowa students on- and off-campus, in conjunction with local school districts and area education associations. KRA: Strengthen and Expand Creative and Innovative Programming.
Key Result Area: Accountability	 KRA: Maintain Facilities, Equipment, and Operating Budget to allow for a Safe, Healthy, Learning Environment. KRA: Provide Flexibility, Efficiency, Cooperation, and Accountability.

Activities of the ISD Advisory Committee Related to ISD Strategic Plan

The ISD Advisory Committee has devoted substantial time to discussing the strategic plan and the benchmarks over the past year. It will continue to collaborate with ISD faculty to offer its expertise to implement the strategies and benchmarks. In 2000-01, the ISD Advisory Committee:

- Analyzed the vision, benchmarks, and indicators of the plan.
- Received a report from the ISD Consultant on surveys of students and their families, and alumni, regarding their preparation for the world of work and post-secondary educational opportunities.
- Made a recommendation that highest priority should be improvement of the reading abilities of students and related activity of determining most valid and useful assessment instruments.
- Concurred with the ISD administration and faculty that transitional programs should receive additional attention.

ISD Advisory Committee activities for 2001-2002 At its first meeting of 2001-2002, the ISD Advisory Committee received an update from ISD regarding the plan and spent some time discussing the indicators. For the remainder of this year, its meetings will focus on collaborative discussions with faculty and staff regarding the reading programs and student performance in that area.

Analysis:

ISD put much effort into developing its new strategic plan. Compared with its former plan, the new plan contains many more strategies and indicators.

Reaccreditations and resulting strategic planning activities In October 2001, the Board received a report on ISD's reacccreditation from the North Central Association and the Conference of Educational Administrators of Schools and Programs for the Deaf (CEASD).

- These bodies now require annual reports.
- ISD has chosen to use a school improvement model. As a result, ISD must include a comprehensive plan on student assessment.
- The new Strategic Plan provides specific directions for the type of evaluations that should be made about students and about the institution.
- Both accreditation teams commented on the quality of the Strategic Plan and the School's improvement plan. North Central Association officials and CEASD leaders have indicated that these documents are exemplary, and could serve as a model for deaf schools.

Superintendent's evaluation of the impact of the new strategic plan

The inclusion of the values and culture statements in the new Strategic Plan are significant (Attachment B, see page 14). Such statements provide a concise overview of the institution and will be shared with current and new ISD faculty and staff, students, their families, and other constituents.

Examples of student progress made

The particular strategies and benchmarks in which there has been progress this year are:

- Strategy 2.1.4.0: "Ensure that students and staff have access to technology hardware and software which exists in all components of the school's programs."
 - ♦ Benchmark 2.1.4.1: At least 70% of each graduating class will have computer proficiency, as measured by criteria in the ISD curriculum. *Teachers report students are gaining competency at a phenomenal pace.*

- Strategy 2.1.7.0: "Implement programs and services in the area of transition for students."
 - ♦ Benchmark 2.1.7.1: At least 15 students annually will be monitored, or assisted, in their transition from high school. The Transition Alliance Program (TAP) is assisting students to remain in post-secondary education programs.
- Strategy 2.2.3.0: "Expand authentic work experience opportunities both on campus and off campus."
 - ◆ Benchmark 2.2.3.1: Career Development: a) K-12 students will explore a variety of jobs as they relate to community helpers; b) 3rd − 5th grade students will explore a variety of jobs as they relate to the Guidance Curriculum; c) 6th − 8th grade students will participate in one or more work programs (on and off campus); d) 9th to 12th grade students will participate in one or more employability programs to develop work/workplace readiness skills. *ISD is developing new curriculum in the career development program*.
- Strategy 2.3.2.0: "Student achievement scores by class will exceed the national deaf norms by one standard deviation."
 - Benchmark 2.3.2.1: On national norm achieving tests, such as the SAT, students in the ISD classes of grades 5-12, will attain achievement test scores above the national norms for deaf youngsters of the same grade level. ISD students have exceeded the national norms for academic achievement for deaf students.
- Strategy 2.3.4.0: "At least 85% of the individuals of each graduating class will transition to post-secondary educational programs or competitive work situations."
 - ♦ Benchmark 2.3.4.1: Each student will have a transitional plan developed in high school. Benchmark 2.3.4.2: Eighty-five percent (85%) of the non-LIFE program graduates will be accepted into a post-secondary educational program or competitive work situation. This year's class exceeded the benchmarks set by last year's graduating class. The students have gone on to post-secondary education options or competitive employment opportunities.

Faculty and staff professional development

Faculty and staff are continuing their professional development through pursuit of graduate degrees and graduate course work. Some senior staff that are no longer employed at ISD had advanced degrees. The new hires are generally individuals with undergraduate degrees. However, the percentage of faculty with graduate degrees has remained relatively constant, suggesting that young faculty and staff should pursue graduate educational opportunities early in their careers at ISD.

Performance Indicator Charts:

No new performance indicator and benchmark data were provided by ISD for this report. Data tables and charts for ISD-related indicators are available in the Annual Report of Performance Indicators.

Linkage to the Board's strategic plan As noted in G.D. 6f, the changing economic picture of the state and nation dictate a sustained environmental scan and a careful analysis of the assumption regarding the mission, vision, values, and culture statement of the Board. As the Board is developing its new plan, it is essential that each of the Regent institutions continue to link progress in its plan to the Board's strategic plan.

Charles B. Kniker

Approved:

Robert J. Baraj

h/aa/docket/2002/jangd4d

G.D. 4d Attachment A Page 6

IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF Benchmarks

		1		٠.								٠																		_ At	tac	hn
FY01	100%				100%			Exceeded		Target: exceed national	mou			Partially Attained	Reading vocabulary	44%	Reading comprehension	31%	Math 53%	Spelling 36%	Language 30%	In process			13 7000	82% Elem	51% MS 61% HS	100%		Target: 100%		P
FY00	010%	2			100%			Exceeded		Target: exceed	national norm; 90%	of students exceeded	the norm	Partially attained	Reading vocabulary	40%	Reading comprehension	30%	Math 50%	Spelling30%	Language 30%	In process				%+ 5 6	Target: 90%	100%		Target: 100%		
FY99	/800	0/.60			1000%	200		All classes nearly two	of ordered designations	standard deviations		Tarnet: exceed national	norm	Partially Attained	Reading Vocabulary	40%	Reading Comprehension	30%	Math 50%	Spelling 30%	Language 30%	Attained – criterion	reference outcomes	Partially attained –	National norm data	%+56	Target: 90%	100%		Target: 100%		
FV00	F 1 30	%08			7800 F	%001		tacal to accorde IIA	All Classes at Idast	three standard	deviations above are	Target: exceed	national norm									NC				95+%	Target: 90%	10007	%.OO.	Target: 100%		
	FY9/	27%				100%		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	All classes at least two	standard deviations	above the national	Toggt paged	raiger, exceed	Hallottal Hollin.								CN				%+56	Target: 90%	7000	700%	Target: 100%		•
	Number	1) % of graduates	accepted for college or work (excluding	LIFE program	students)	2) % of graduates	exceeding national		3) ISD students in	Grades 5-12 making	achievement scores	for deal children	(excluding LIFE	program students)	4) LIFE Students	achieve 25% growin	(academic) on	Normed acmevement	[ests			6) Classes reach 50%		criterion-referenced	outcomes	6) IED goals	•		7) % of high school	students with transitional plans	Target: 100%	

G.D. 4d ttachment A Page 7

Developing (in-service 10/29 & 11/19)	100%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 38 62 61.3%	65%	60	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 19 38 50% Target: 50%	2 levels above: 51% Target: 50%	6 %	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> (F) 30 59 51% (T) 5 5 100% (S) 57 57 100%	Target: 25%	12	Target: 4
Developing	100%	No. Total Pct. 37 61 59%	20/25 Target: 65%	No. Total Pct. 37 61 61%	No. Total Pct. 19 39 49% Target: 50%	2 levels above: 50% Target: 50%	Minimum level: 93% Target: 95%	No. Total Pct. (F) 50 54 92% (T) 5 9 55% (S) 59 59 100%	Target: 25%	16	Target: 4
Developing	100% Target: 70%	No. Total Pct. 36 62 58%	19/26 Target: 65%	No. Total Pct. 38 62 61% Target: 90%	No. Total Pct. 16 37 43% Target: 50%	2 levels above: 43% Target 50%	Minimum level: 98% Target: 95%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> (F) 39 78 46% (T) 2 10 20% (S) 54 59 93%	Target: 25%	12	Target: 4
ON	100% Target: 70% Target: 70%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 34 59 58%	19/25 Target: 65%	No. Total Pct. 36 59 61% Target: 90%	No. Total Pct. 10 30 33% Target: 50%	2 levels above: 33% Target 50%	Minimum level: 98% Target: 95%	No. Total Pct. (F) 16 59 27% (T) 2 10 20% (S) 42 50 84%	Target: 25%	4	Target: 4
ON.	NC	No. Total Pct. 34 54 63%	18/20 Target: 65%	No. Total Pct. 38 56 68% Target: 90%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 12 34 35% Target: 50%	2 levels above: 32% Target 50%	Minimum level: 93% Target: 95%	No. Total Pct. (F) 13 56 23% (T) 0 9 0% (S) 46 52 89%	Target: 25%	9	Target: 4
8) Each pupil achieves 80% of ISD cur. Outcomes (criterion refer.)	9) % of graduating class with computer proficiency	10) % of Faculty with advanced degrees	BA+	11) % of Faculty with national certification	12) % of student life (direct care) staff with post-secondary Degree	13) Sign Language Proficiency for Faculty and staff Target: 50% 2 levels or	level Target: 95% achieve minimal level within 2	44) No., Total, Pct. Of Faculty (F), Staff (S), and Teacher Aides (T) participating in external staff	development activities Target: 25% participation	15) Faculty and Staff	Presentations Target: 4 per year

G.D. 4d Attachment A Page 8

		* *													
Technology/ School and Dorms	Elementary 1:2.93 Secondary 1:2.74	Off-campus 1.10.4	30+	Torrott 30	י פולופור מולופור	No. <u>Total</u> Pct. (F) 15 58 25.8% (S) 24 104 16.3%			On campus 143 Off campus 115		Target: equal number		% 6		Target: 10%
Vocational Education/ Recreation Center		Off-campus 1:16.8	404	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	rarger. 30	No. <u>Tolal Pct.</u> (F) 0 17 27% (S) 8 28 25%			On campus 158 Off campus 112		Target: equal number		%06		Target: 10%
Technology/ Dormitories		Off-campus 1:16	100	1 00	Target: 30	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> (F) 0 10 00.0% (S) 10 25 40.0%	}	25 of 163 total faculty and staff or 15% are from underrepresented groups	On campus 153 Off campus 93		Target: equal number		80/	e	Target: 10%
Vocational: R & M		Off-campus NC			Target: 30	No Total Pct. (F) 0 10 00.0%	•		On campus 123 Off campus 66*	(*40 off campus	students were not counted; received as of	4/12/99)	Target, equal manner	ø/.C.]	Target: 10%
Academic: Math and Science	Elementary 1:4.2 Secondary 1:3.4	Off-campus NC		30+	Target: 30	No. Total Pct. (F) 0 10 00.0%	2		On campus 131 Off campus 94		Target: equal number		704.	0%)	Target 10%
16) Program Emphasis	17) Faculty to student ratios	[Note: Elementary has 3 to 5 teacher aides each year: Secondary has 1 -	3 teacher aides yearly.]	18) Communications with families of	students Target: 30 per year	19) (Underrepresented groups) in	Faculty and Staff	(includes disabled) Target: at least 8%	20) Student enrollments on and off-campus		Target: equal numbers			21) Deferred Maintenance	Completed Target: 10%

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS lowa School for the Deaf

F (Y	Dorformanco Indicator	Dololod	Jours School for the Deaf	Related Covernance
<u>.</u>	renolliance mucator	Related	lowa scribol tol tile Deal	literated Covernance
NO.		Action		Kepoli
 		Step		•
-	% of undergraduate student credit	1.1.1	Not Applicable	FP
	hours taught by tenure/tenure track			SP
	faculty			
2	% of senior faculty teaching	1.1.1	Not Applicable	ДЭ
	undergraduates			SP
3	% of introductory courses laught by	1.1.1.1	Not Applicable	FP
	senior faculty			SP
4	% of senior faculty teaching at least	1.1.1	Not Applicable	FP.
	one undergraduate course per			SP
	academic year			
5	Average undergraduate class size	1.1.1.2		A
٠			97-98 3.2	
			99-0 3.95	
9	% of faculty using instruction	1.1.1.4	FY98 FY99 FY00	SP
	technology		60% 75% 100% 100% 100%	
7	No. and % of general assignment	1.1.1.4		SP
	technology equipped classrooms		99	
			99	
			0.1	
			61	
			61	
8	% of course sections in which	1.1.1.4	96-97 75%	SP
	computers are used as an integral			
	part			
			99-00 100%	
			00-01	

SP	SP	SP	FR												No	No		No	No	No		No	No			
0 FY01		iterion Reference rks	Pct. 3.6%	1.6%	1.5%	1.6%	1.8%	6.5% 1.5%	%9°	%9.	10.7%	11.5%	7.8%	%0												
FY98	FY98 FY99 0/80	Achievemer g, Curriculu	No. Total	1 61	1 64 1	1 62	1 56	4 61 1 64	1 63 1	1 62 1	92 9	7 61	5 5 63	0 62	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable			
1.1.1.4 FY97	1.1.1.4 FY97 0/80	1.1.1.7 IEPs Test	1.1.7	66-86	00-66	01-02	97-98	66-86	00-01	01-02	97-98	66-86	00-66	01-02	1.1.2.5 Not.	1.1.2.6 Not		1.1.4.1 Not.	1.1.4.1 Not /	1.1.4.1 Not.		1.1.4.2 Not /				
% of faculty who use computers	% of students with technology accessibility as part of their IEP	Special school student outcomes	Number of faculty resignations				Number of faculty retirements				Number of faculty new hires				% of professional students passing	Average GRE composite score of	entering graduate students	Relevant annual publication indices	Relevant citation indices	% of faculty having one scholarly	work published during last three	Sponsored funding per year	External funding proposals	submitted per year		
6	10	=	12a				12b				12c				13	14		15	16	17		18	19			1

No	NO CA	No	No	No	ON	No.	No		NO	ON	0N						No	
		Not Applicable Not Applicable			Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	ested w/o salaries	FY9/ 2.0% EV08 17%	FY00 3.6% FY01 4.0%		FY97 FY98 FY99 FY00 FY01 Service Org \$6250 \$6250 \$10,000 \$5600 \$4500 Needy Children \$ 300 \$ 680 \$ 350 \$ 250 \$775	Foundation \$22,637 \$13,017 \$65,174 \$283,582 \$1,192,273 # of Donors (215) (106) (327) (350) (182) (These are cash receipts only—no pledges; the Foundation is calendar year totals—FY99 is 1998; FY00 is 1999)	Not Applicable	
1.1.4.1 and 1.1.4.2	1.1.4.2	1.1.4.1	1.1.4.1	1.1.4.3	1.1.4.3	1.1.4.3	1.1.4.3		1,1.4.3	1.1.4 3	1.2.1.2			1.2.1.3	1.2.1.4		1.2.1.5	
% of faculty as principal or co- principal investigators	Sponsored funding per faculty member	# of intellectual property disclosures	# of new licenses generating	#Wo Ton-degree enrollments	# of sites served by Hancher programming	# of annual visits to UI health	Enrollment in credit/non-credit	courses offered through extended and continuing education	# of extension clients served	Availability of off-campus courses Student Enrollment	State appropriations requested	for operations	(for capital) [See #35, below]	Growth in undergraduate tuition and fees relative to HEPI and CPI	# of annual contributors and dollar value of contributions		External grants and contracts	
20	21	22	24	25	26	27	28		29	30	31	(a)	(q)	32	33		34	

SP Budget	DM		II L	No	Ō
Reg Approp. \$280,000 \$110,000 \$260,000 \$260,000 \$3.8M \$3.8M \$435,000 \$435,000 \$435,000 \$435,000	Backlog (FY97) Expended \$2.0 (FY97) \$0.1 \$2.5 (FY98) \$0.3 \$2.1 (FY99) \$0.3 \$2.2 (FY99) \$0.6 \$2.18 (FY01) \$0.5 \$3.1% \$0.5	.8% .1% .1% .06%	EI. Md. HS III. OC 46 31 54 131 94 44 26 53 123 66 38 40 75 153 95 46 37 72 155 112 44 34 66 144 115		Racial & All Ethnic Protected Minorilies No. Classes 20 9% 225 100.0% 0 0% 6 10.0% 8 7% 18 19.0%
4.3.3.1 FY97 and FY98 1.2.1.6 FY99 FY99 FY00 FY01 FY01	4.3.1.1 Fall 97 and Fall 98 Fall 99 Fall 00 Fall 00 Fall 01 1.2.1.7 FY97	FY98 FY99 FY00 FY01 FY01	2.1.1.1 FY97 FY98 FY99 FY00 FY01 FY01	2.1.1.1 Not Applicable 2.2.1.3 Not Applicable	3.1.2.3 EY97 Students Faculty Staff
Amount of capital improvement funds requested and received in dollars	Deferred maintenance backlog and expenditures in millions of dollars % of resources reallocated annually		Fall enrollment by level and residency (ISD – Elementary, Middle, High School, Total on campus and Off-campus)	# and dollar value of resident undergraduates receiving financial aid (need and non-need based) Off-campus student enrollment in degree programs offered through distance learning	Racial/ethnic composition of student, faculty, and staff populations in percentages (ISD – first number, racial/ethnic minorities; second number is percentage including racial/ethnic, blind, deaf, or all protected classes)
35	36		38	39	4

				ĪQ	SP
10.0% 10.0% 1 19.0%	48 100.0% 10 15.0% 28 25.0%	7 100.0% 2 21.0% 3 32.0%	9 100.0% 5 25.8% 16.3%	dents are agency for dropouts at	st per pupil. ms, ve programs.
8% 189 0% 6 7% 21	8% 248 0% 10 0% 28	9% 267 0% 12 10% 36	329 0% 15 6.7% 17	100%. Some students are it local education agency for There are no dropouts at	rays to look at co n-campus prograr ns, comprehensi
FY98 Students 17 Faculty 0 Staff 8	FY99 Students 19 Faculty 0 Staff 11	FY00 Students 17 Faculty 0 Staff 11	FY01 Students Faculty 0 Staff 7	Graduation rate is 100%. Some students are referred back to their local education agency for further programming. There are no dropouts at ISD.	There are varying ways to look at cost per pupil. Nebraska tuition, on-campus programs, mainstream programs, comprehensive programs.
L. (0)			TION T. O	3.12.3	4.2.1.2
				Student retention and graduation rates by ethnic/racial category	Cost per student
				42	43

NC - Not Collected

IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Iowa School for the Deaf is to enable deaf and hard of hearing children to attain personal excellence by providing comprehensive and appropriate educational programs and service.

VISION STATEMENT

The lowa School for the Deaf will be one of the best educational settings for deaf and/or hard of hearing youngsters in the United States. The school will develop measurable standards to assess its effectiveness. It will utilize multiple criteria to demonstrate its accountability and progress toward attaining its goals and objectives.

VALUES STATEMENT

The lowa School for the Deaf values the pursuit of optimal educational opportunities and personal growth experiences for each student, so that each one may become a contributing member of society.

Toward that end, the administration, faculty, staff, and students of lowa School for the Deaf affirm their quest for a comprehensive educational program that values:

- Excellence in academics.
- Integrity in facing challenges.
- Honesty in all endeavors.
- Civility toward all people.
- Compassion for others.
- Commitment to serve the community.

The goal of lowa School for the Deaf is to aid students in developing the skills of communication, a life-long love of learning and problem solving, a positive sense of self-realization, economic independence, and a sense of civic and social responsibility.

CULTURE STATEMENT

lowa School for the Deaf seeks to provide a safe, relaxed educational environment in which the deaf and hard-of-hearing child has access to opportunities that are at least equal to those of non-handicapped peers. Such a culture:

- Allows access to meaningful communication throughout the day. This
 means that students are trained in reading, writing, the use of sign
 language, oral speech, residual hearing, and speech-reading.
- Offers a supportive residential program
- Promotes frequent and in-depth contacts with families of students.
- Engages in partnerships with area schools to provide opportunities for ISD students to attend classes in public schools and students from lowa school districts to attend classes in ISD's vocational department.
- Works in collaboration with the Iowa Department of Education, Vocational Rehabilitation Division, to help place students in college, advanced trade schools, and industry upon completion of the ISD curriculum.
- Recognizes and fosters the role and importance of deaf culture and heritage.